## EXHIBIT 10

1

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

1	Page 2 APPEARANCES:	1	Page 4 INDEX
2	AITEANANCES.	2	INDEX
	Ashcraft & Gerel, LLP	$\frac{2}{3}$	WITNESS: SONAL SINGH, M.D., M.P.H
4	Michelle A. Parfitt, Esq.	4	WITHESS. SOUTH SHOOM, W.D., W.I. III
5	1825 K Street, N.W., Suite 700	l	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE
6	Washington, D.C. 20006	l	Mr. Martin 8
7	202.783.6400		Ms. Parfitt 275
8	mparfitt@ashcraftlaw.com	8	273
9	for Plaintiffs	l	EXHIBIT PAGE
10	101 Figure 115		Exhibit 1, deposition notice9
	Levin Papantonio Rafferty		Exhibit 2, invoice14
12	Christopher V. Tisi, Esq.		Exhibit 3, curriculum vitae18
13	316 South Baylen Street		Exhibit 4, list of testimony31
14	Pensacola, Florida 35202	l .	Exhibit 5, supplemental expert report of
15	850.435.7000	15	Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H34
16	ctisi@levinlaw.com		Exhibit 6, ACOG printout37
17	for plaintiffs		Exhibit 7, National Cancer Institute
18	r ··· ··	18	PDQ printout41
19	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP		Exhibit 8, January 16, 2019 transcript of
20	Zachary W. Martin, Esq.	20	Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H42
21	500 Boylston Street		Exhibit 9, American Cancer Society
22	Boston, Massachusetts 02116	22	printout47
23	617.573.4862	23	Exhibit 10, order from Court in Viagra
24	zachary.martin@skadden.com	24	case52
25	for Defendants	25	
	Page 3		Page 5
1	Page 3 APPEARANCES:	1	Page 5 INDEX
1 2	-	1 2	
1 2 3	-		
	APPEARANCES:	2 3	INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE Exhibit 11, February 2, 2023 transcript
3	APPEARANCES: Also present: Luis Chu (via Zoom)	2 3	INDEX EXHIBIT PAGE
3 4	APPEARANCES:  Also present: Luis Chu (via Zoom) Patrick Lyons (via Zoom)	2 3 4 5	INDEX  EXHIBIT PAGE Exhibit 11, February 2, 2023 transcript
3 4 5 6 7	APPEARANCES:  Also present: Luis Chu (via Zoom) Patrick Lyons (via Zoom)	2 3 4 5	EXHIBIT PAGE Exhibit 11, February 2, 2023 transcript of Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H57
3 4 5 6 7 8	APPEARANCES:  Also present: Luis Chu (via Zoom) Patrick Lyons (via Zoom)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXHIBIT PAGE Exhibit 11, February 2, 2023 transcript of Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H57 Exhibit 12, 2021 article by Nicolas Wentzensen, et al86 Exhibit 13, 2023 article by Katie M.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	APPEARANCES:  Also present: Luis Chu (via Zoom) Patrick Lyons (via Zoom)	2 3 4 5 6 7	EXHIBIT PAGE Exhibit 11, February 2, 2023 transcript of Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H57 Exhibit 12, 2021 article by Nicolas Wentzensen, et al86
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	APPEARANCES:  Also present: Luis Chu (via Zoom) Patrick Lyons (via Zoom)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXHIBIT PAGE Exhibit 11, February 2, 2023 transcript of Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H57 Exhibit 12, 2021 article by Nicolas Wentzensen, et al86 Exhibit 13, 2023 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al104 Exhibit 14, 2019 article by Iwona M.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	APPEARANCES:  Also present: Luis Chu (via Zoom) Patrick Lyons (via Zoom)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	EXHIBIT PAGE Exhibit 11, February 2, 2023 transcript of Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H57 Exhibit 12, 2021 article by Nicolas Wentzensen, et al86 Exhibit 13, 2023 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al104 Exhibit 14, 2019 article by Iwona M. Gabriel, et al117
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	APPEARANCES:  Also present: Luis Chu (via Zoom) Patrick Lyons (via Zoom)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	EXHIBIT PAGE Exhibit 11, February 2, 2023 transcript of Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H57 Exhibit 12, 2021 article by Nicolas Wentzensen, et al86 Exhibit 13, 2023 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al104 Exhibit 14, 2019 article by Iwona M. Gabriel, et al117 Exhibit 15, 2016 article by Daniel W.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	APPEARANCES:  Also present: Luis Chu (via Zoom) Patrick Lyons (via Zoom)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXHIBIT PAGE Exhibit 11, February 2, 2023 transcript of Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H57 Exhibit 12, 2021 article by Nicolas Wentzensen, et al86 Exhibit 13, 2023 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al104 Exhibit 14, 2019 article by Iwona M. Gabriel, et al117 Exhibit 15, 2016 article by Daniel W. Cramer, et al
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	APPEARANCES:  Also present: Luis Chu (via Zoom) Patrick Lyons (via Zoom)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	EXHIBIT PAGE Exhibit 11, February 2, 2023 transcript of Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H57 Exhibit 12, 2021 article by Nicolas Wentzensen, et al86 Exhibit 13, 2023 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al104 Exhibit 14, 2019 article by Iwona M. Gabriel, et al117 Exhibit 15, 2016 article by Daniel W. Cramer, et al127 Exhibit 16, 2024 article by Julie E.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	APPEARANCES:  Also present: Luis Chu (via Zoom) Patrick Lyons (via Zoom)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	EXHIBIT PAGE Exhibit 11, February 2, 2023 transcript of Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H57 Exhibit 12, 2021 article by Nicolas Wentzensen, et al86 Exhibit 13, 2023 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al104 Exhibit 14, 2019 article by Iwona M. Gabriel, et al117 Exhibit 15, 2016 article by Daniel W. Cramer, et al127 Exhibit 16, 2024 article by Julie E. Goodman, et al133
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	APPEARANCES:  Also present: Luis Chu (via Zoom) Patrick Lyons (via Zoom)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXHIBIT PAGE Exhibit 11, February 2, 2023 transcript of Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H57 Exhibit 12, 2021 article by Nicolas Wentzensen, et al86 Exhibit 13, 2023 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al104 Exhibit 14, 2019 article by Iwona M. Gabriel, et al17 Exhibit 15, 2016 article by Daniel W. Cramer, et al127 Exhibit 16, 2024 article by Julie E. Goodman, et al133 Exhibit 17, 2020 article by Katie M.
3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	APPEARANCES:  Also present: Luis Chu (via Zoom) Patrick Lyons (via Zoom)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	EXHIBIT PAGE Exhibit 11, February 2, 2023 transcript of Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H57 Exhibit 12, 2021 article by Nicolas Wentzensen, et al86 Exhibit 13, 2023 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al104 Exhibit 14, 2019 article by Iwona M. Gabriel, et al117 Exhibit 15, 2016 article by Daniel W. Cramer, et al127 Exhibit 16, 2024 article by Julie E. Goodman, et al133 Exhibit 17, 2020 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al
3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	APPEARANCES:  Also present: Luis Chu (via Zoom) Patrick Lyons (via Zoom)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXHIBIT PAGE Exhibit 11, February 2, 2023 transcript of Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H57 Exhibit 12, 2021 article by Nicolas Wentzensen, et al86 Exhibit 13, 2023 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al104 Exhibit 14, 2019 article by Iwona M. Gabriel, et al117 Exhibit 15, 2016 article by Daniel W. Cramer, et al127 Exhibit 16, 2024 article by Julie E. Goodman, et al133 Exhibit 17, 2020 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al138 Exhibit 18, 2018 report of Sonal
3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	APPEARANCES:  Also present: Luis Chu (via Zoom) Patrick Lyons (via Zoom)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	EXHIBIT PAGE Exhibit 11, February 2, 2023 transcript of Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H57 Exhibit 12, 2021 article by Nicolas Wentzensen, et al86 Exhibit 13, 2023 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al104 Exhibit 14, 2019 article by Iwona M. Gabriel, et al117 Exhibit 15, 2016 article by Daniel W. Cramer, et al127 Exhibit 16, 2024 article by Julie E. Goodman, et al133 Exhibit 17, 2020 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al138 Exhibit 18, 2018 report of Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H
3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	APPEARANCES:  Also present: Luis Chu (via Zoom) Patrick Lyons (via Zoom)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXHIBIT PAGE Exhibit 11, February 2, 2023 transcript of Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H57 Exhibit 12, 2021 article by Nicolas Wentzensen, et al86 Exhibit 13, 2023 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al104 Exhibit 14, 2019 article by Iwona M. Gabriel, et al117 Exhibit 15, 2016 article by Daniel W. Cramer, et al127 Exhibit 16, 2024 article by Julie E. Goodman, et al133 Exhibit 17, 2020 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al138 Exhibit 18, 2018 report of Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H
3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	APPEARANCES:  Also present: Luis Chu (via Zoom) Patrick Lyons (via Zoom)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXHIBIT PAGE Exhibit 11, February 2, 2023 transcript of Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H57 Exhibit 12, 2021 article by Nicolas Wentzensen, et al86 Exhibit 13, 2023 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al104 Exhibit 14, 2019 article by Iwona M. Gabriel, et al17 Exhibit 15, 2016 article by Daniel W. Cramer, et al127 Exhibit 16, 2024 article by Julie E. Goodman, et al133 Exhibit 17, 2020 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al138 Exhibit 18, 2018 report of Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H
3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	APPEARANCES:  Also present: Luis Chu (via Zoom) Patrick Lyons (via Zoom)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	EXHIBIT PAGE Exhibit 11, February 2, 2023 transcript of Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H57 Exhibit 12, 2021 article by Nicolas Wentzensen, et al86 Exhibit 13, 2023 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al104 Exhibit 14, 2019 article by Iwona M. Gabriel, et al17 Exhibit 15, 2016 article by Daniel W. Cramer, et al
3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	APPEARANCES:  Also present: Luis Chu (via Zoom) Patrick Lyons (via Zoom)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	EXHIBIT PAGE Exhibit 11, February 2, 2023 transcript of Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H57 Exhibit 12, 2021 article by Nicolas Wentzensen, et al86 Exhibit 13, 2023 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al104 Exhibit 14, 2019 article by Iwona M. Gabriel, et al117 Exhibit 15, 2016 article by Daniel W. Cramer, et al127 Exhibit 16, 2024 article by Julie E. Goodman, et al133 Exhibit 17, 2020 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al
3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	APPEARANCES:  Also present: Luis Chu (via Zoom) Patrick Lyons (via Zoom)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	EXHIBIT PAGE Exhibit 11, February 2, 2023 transcript of Sonal Singh, M.D., M.P.H57 Exhibit 12, 2021 article by Nicolas Wentzensen, et al86 Exhibit 13, 2023 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al104 Exhibit 14, 2019 article by Iwona M. Gabriel, et al17 Exhibit 15, 2016 article by Daniel W. Cramer, et al

Page 6	Page 8
1 INDEX	1 SONAL SINGH, M.D., M.P.H,
2	2 was duly sworn by the notary public,
3 EXHIBIT PAGE	3 examined, and testified as follows:
4 Exhibit 22, 2019 article by Mohamed	4 EXAMINATION
5 Kadry Taher, et al191	5 BY MR. MARTIN:
6 Exhibit 23, 2021 article by Colette P.	6 Q. Good morning, Dr.Singh. My name is Zachary
7 Davis, et al207	7 Martin. I'm here on behalf of Johnson & Johnson and
8 Exhibit 24, 2021 article by Kiarash	8 LLC management. You understand you're here to
9 Tanha, et al219	9 discuss your opinions in your supplemental expert
10 Exhibit 25, April 2021 Health Canada	10 report in the In re Johnson & Johnson talcum powder
11 document227	11 MDL?
12 Exhibit 26, 2022 article by Sean A.	12 A. That is correct.
13 Woolen, et al230	13 Q. And you're also offering the same opinions
14 Exhibit 27, supplementary table230	14 in the New Jersey multicounty litigation; is that
15 Exhibit 28, 2008 article by Anna H.	15 correct?
16 Wu, et al248	16 A. Yes. That is correct.
17 Exhibit 29, 2019 article by Amy K.	17 Q. Okay. So I know you've been deposed
18 Harper, et al269	18 before, including in this case. So I will not
19 Exhibit 30, "EPA Asbestos Part 1;	19 belabor the rules. But just please give verbal
20 chrysotile asbestos;	20 answers like, not a shake of the head or nod of
21 regulation of certain	21 the head to make it easy for your court reporter
conditions of use under the	22 here.
23 Toxic Substance Control Act,	Let me finish questions. I'll do my best
24 TSCA," document276	24 to let you finish your answers. You may want to
25	25 take a beat in case Ms. Parfitt wants to object. If
Page 7	Page 9
1 INDEX	1 she does object, please still answer the question
2	2 anyway, unless she instructs you not to.
3 EXHIBIT PAGE	3 And if you want to take a break at any
4 Exhibit 31, article by Kemi	4 time, just let me know. Just try not to take a
5 Ogunsina, M.D., et al283	5 break while a question's pending. If you can answer
6 Exhibit 32, article by Katie M.	6 the question, then we'll take a break.
7 O'Brien, et al285	7 (Deposition notice, Exhibit 1, marked)
8	8 Q. Doctor, have you seen this document before?
9 *Exhibits returned to Mr. Martin	9 A. That is correct.
10	10 Q. Okay. And have you seen exhibit
11	11 Schedule A I'm sorry to the document, just on
12	12 the next page?
13	13 A. That is correct.
14	14 Q. Okay. And that schedule requests that you
15	15 produce certain documents. To the best of your
16	16 knowledge, are all the were all the documents in
17	17 Schedule A produced to us in advance of this
18	18 deposition?
19	19 A. That is correct.
20	20 Q. Okay.
21	21 MS. PARFITT: Zack, if I may, we also
22	22 filed objections to the notice of deposition and
23	23 requested documents. So I'm not sure whether you're
24	24 going to mark that as part of the file, but for the
25	25 record.
20	2.5 Tootiu.

Page

- 1 MR. MARTIN: I am aware of the
- 2 objections.
- 3 MS. PARFITT: Thank you.
- 4 MR. MARTIN: I'm not going to mark that
- 5 as part of the file, but I'm aware of those.
- Q. But to the extent not barred by the
- 7 objections of counsel, you've produced everything
- 8 requested?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- 10 Q. Okay. Did you bring any additional
- 11 materials with you today?
- 12 A. Those are references -- those are all in my
- 13 -- but, you know, I've scribbled on them. That's
- 14 what it is.
- 15 Q. But there's no documents in there --
- 16 there's nothing in there that is not either in your
- 17 reference list or in the Dropbox that Ms. Parfitt
- 18 produced on Monday?
- 19 A. No, as far as I know.
- 20 Q. How did you prepare for the deposition
- 21 today?
- 22 A. I read my report, and I reviewed my report.
- 23 I read my previous report. And where I had
- 24 questions for myself, I went through those
- 25 references and I, you know -- then yesterday

- Page 10
- 1 Dr. Cote, I was provided that. I skimmed through
- 2 it, you know, to the extent I reviewed -- yes -- and
- 3 have it.
- 4 Q. I know you said you hadn't looked at your
- 5 previous deposition in advance of today. Have you
- 6 looked at that deposition since you gave it in
- 7 January 2019?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Okay. To the extent you remember what you
- 10 said in that deposition, is there anything you would
- 11 change or that you don't stand by?
- MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 13 A. I think you'd have to, you know, point what
- 14 specifics you're asking for. But in terms of the
- 15 causal opinion, it is reflected in my expert report
- 16 -- supplemental report.
- 17 Q. Okay. We will -- we might look at specific
- 18 parts --
- 19 A. Sure.
- 20 Q. -- later this morning. Beyond your two
- 21 expert reports, are there any writings in which you
- 22 set out opinions related to the purported
- 23 relationship between talcum powder and ovarian
- 24 cancer?
- 25 A. No.

1

- 1 evening, I met with the counsel for two, three hours
- 2 to discuss, prepare.
- 3 Q. And when you say "counsel," is that the two
- 4 counsel here in the room?
- 5 A. That is correct.
- 6 Q. And did you do that in person or over the 7 phone?
- 8 A. In person.
- 9 Q. Okay. Did they give you any additional
- 10 materials at that meeting?
- 11 A. I don't recall.
- 12 Q. Okay. You said you reviewed your two
- 13 reports in this case. Did you review your prior
- 14 deposition?
- 15 A. I did not.
- 16 Q. Okay. Have you at any point reviewed any
- 17 of the depositions given by other experts in this
- 18 litigation?
- 19 A. I have.
- Q. Okay. Which experts?
- A. I think at the time of the initial
- 22 deposition, I reviewed Dr. Diette.
- 23 Q. Diette, I believe, yeah.
- 24 A. Diette. Yeah. Sorry. His. And then for
- 25 this deposition, I was provided Dr. Moorman. And

- Page 13 Q. In 2018 and '19, you were charging \$600 an
- 2 hour. Is that still how much you charge?
- 3 A. A little bit more. 650.
- 4 Q. 650. Okay.
- 5 A. Inflation.
- 6 Q. Understood. Understood. And beyond your
- 7 hourly rate, are there any other charges that you
- 8 have charged plaintiffs' counsel?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. No retainer?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Okay. Have you traveled at all for this
- 13 litigation?
- 14 A. Yesterday I had to travel because of the
- 15 weather. So that wasn't preplanned. I stayed in
- 16 the hotel so that I could make it in time for you.
- 17 That's all.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. I live a little bit out of town.
- 20 Q. Never traveled outside of Massachusetts
- 21 for --
- 22 A. No. No.
- 23 Q. -- for the case. Okay. I have Exhibit 2
- 24 here. This is something that was produced to us on
- 25 Monday by Ms. Parfitt.

Page 14	Page 16
1 (Invoice, Exhibit 2, marked)	1 A. I cannot. I mean, it's what 2018,
2 Q. Does this invoice reflect all of the work	2 2019? Yeah.
3 that you have done on this case since your last	3 Q. Your last deposition was January of 2019.
4 deposition in January of 2024 (verbatim)?	4 A. I cannot estimate.
5 MR. TISI: I'm sorry. I didn't realize	5 Q. Okay. Not even a ballpark number?
6	6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
7 MR. MARTIN: I'm sorry. This is two-	7 A. No, not really.
8 sided, for anyone who hasn't seen that.	8 Q. Do you know if it was more or less than a
9 A. Yeah. This reflects the invoice I	9 hundred thousand dollars?
10 submitted at that time. I haven't submitted any	10 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
11 other invoices.	11 A. Probably more.
12 Q. That wasn't quite my question.	12 Q. Do you know if it's more or less than
Does that reflect all the work you've	13 \$200,000?
14 done	MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
15 A. No.	15 A. I don't know that.
16 Q since okay. Does it reflect all the	16 Q. Approximately what percentage of your
17 work you had done from January of 2019 through the	17 income last year came from litigation work?
18 date of this invoice?	18 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
19 A. Yeah.	19 A. Last year?
20 Q. Okay. So you didn't do any work after your	20 Q. The year 2023.
21 deposition and before September of last year?	21 MS. PARFITT: Same objection.
22 A. No.	22 A. I can't recall the specifics of it.
23 Q. Okay. Can you estimate approximately how	23 Q. Okay. Again, more or less than 30 percent?
24 many hours you've spent on this case since the date	24 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
l	0.5
25 of this invoice, which is November 15th of 2023?	25 A. You know, I have other sources of income.
25 of this invoice, which is November 15th of 2023?  Page 15	25 A. You know, I have other sources of income.  Page 17
	,
Page 15	Page 17
Page 15  1 A. I would say 40, 45 hours. I don't have an	Page 17 1 You know, I do trading. I do other work. So I
Page 15  1 A. I would say 40, 45 hours. I don't have an  2 exact number.	Page 17  1 You know, I do trading. I do other work. So I  2 don't keep track of I mean, I produced the
Page 15  1 A. I would say 40, 45 hours. I don't have an  2 exact number.  3 Q. That's fine. That's ballpark. So if I	Page 17  1 You know, I do trading. I do other work. So I  2 don't keep track of I mean, I produced the  3 invoices that you asked for.
Page 15  1 A. I would say 40, 45 hours. I don't have an  2 exact number.  3 Q. That's fine. That's ballpark. So if I  4 were if I could do mental math, I probably	Page 17  1 You know, I do trading. I do other work. So I  2 don't keep track of I mean, I produced the  3 invoices that you asked for.  4 Q. What is your salary from the University of
Page 15  1 A. I would say 40, 45 hours. I don't have an  2 exact number.  3 Q. That's fine. That's ballpark. So if I  4 were if I could do mental math, I probably  5 wouldn't be a lawyer. But that reflects	Page 17  1 You know, I do trading. I do other work. So I  2 don't keep track of I mean, I produced the  3 invoices that you asked for.  4 Q. What is your salary from the University of  5 Massachusetts?
Page 15  1 A. I would say 40, 45 hours. I don't have an  2 exact number.  3 Q. That's fine. That's ballpark. So if I  4 were if I could do mental math, I probably  5 wouldn't be a lawyer. But that reflects  6 approximately 650 times 45 \$29,000 worth of	Page 17  1 You know, I do trading. I do other work. So I  2 don't keep track of I mean, I produced the  3 invoices that you asked for.  4 Q. What is your salary from the University of  5 Massachusetts?  6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. What's
Page 15  1 A. I would say 40, 45 hours. I don't have an  2 exact number.  3 Q. That's fine. That's ballpark. So if I  4 were if I could do mental math, I probably  5 wouldn't be a lawyer. But that reflects  6 approximately 650 times 45 \$29,000 worth of  7 work since then. Does that seem right?	Page 17  1 You know, I do trading. I do other work. So I  2 don't keep track of I mean, I produced the  3 invoices that you asked for.  4 Q. What is your salary from the University of  5 Massachusetts?  6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. What's  7 the relevance? Relevancy. That has no relevancy.
Page 15  1 A. I would say 40, 45 hours. I don't have an  2 exact number.  3 Q. That's fine. That's ballpark. So if I  4 were if I could do mental math, I probably  5 wouldn't be a lawyer. But that reflects  6 approximately 650 times 45 \$29,000 worth of  7 work since then. Does that seem right?  8 Okay. So plus approximately 42, \$43,000,	Page 17  1 You know, I do trading. I do other work. So I  2 don't keep track of I mean, I produced the  3 invoices that you asked for.  4 Q. What is your salary from the University of  5 Massachusetts?  6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. What's  7 the relevance? Relevancy. That has no relevancy.  8 MR. MARTIN: It's relevant to
Page 15  1 A. I would say 40, 45 hours. I don't have an  2 exact number.  3 Q. That's fine. That's ballpark. So if I  4 were if I could do mental math, I probably  5 wouldn't be a lawyer. But that reflects  6 approximately 650 times 45 \$29,000 worth of  7 work since then. Does that seem right?  8 Okay. So plus approximately 42, \$43,000,  9 that brings the grand total to somewhere in the	Page 17  1 You know, I do trading. I do other work. So I  2 don't keep track of I mean, I produced the  3 invoices that you asked for.  4 Q. What is your salary from the University of  5 Massachusetts?  6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. What's  7 the relevance? Relevancy. That has no relevancy.  8 MR. MARTIN: It's relevant to  9 credibility to get approximately what percentage of
Page 15  1 A. I would say 40, 45 hours. I don't have an  2 exact number.  3 Q. That's fine. That's ballpark. So if I  4 were if I could do mental math, I probably  5 wouldn't be a lawyer. But that reflects  6 approximately 650 times 45 \$29,000 worth of  7 work since then. Does that seem right?  8 Okay. So plus approximately 42, \$43,000,  9 that brings the grand total to somewhere in the  10 neighborhood of \$70,000 of work since your past	Page 17  1 You know, I do trading. I do other work. So I  2 don't keep track of I mean, I produced the  3 invoices that you asked for.  4 Q. What is your salary from the University of  5 Massachusetts?  6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. What's  7 the relevance? Relevancy. That has no relevancy.  8 MR. MARTIN: It's relevant to  9 credibility to get approximately what percentage of  10 his income comes from litigation.
Page 15  1 A. I would say 40, 45 hours. I don't have an  2 exact number.  3 Q. That's fine. That's ballpark. So if I  4 were if I could do mental math, I probably  5 wouldn't be a lawyer. But that reflects  6 approximately 650 times 45 \$29,000 worth of  7 work since then. Does that seem right?  8 Okay. So plus approximately 42, \$43,000,  9 that brings the grand total to somewhere in the  10 neighborhood of \$70,000 of work since your past  11 deposition.	Page 17  1 You know, I do trading. I do other work. So I  2 don't keep track of I mean, I produced the  3 invoices that you asked for.  4 Q. What is your salary from the University of  5 Massachusetts?  6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. What's  7 the relevance? Relevancy. That has no relevancy.  8 MR. MARTIN: It's relevant to  9 credibility to get approximately what percentage of  10 his income comes from litigation.  11 MS. PARFITT: I object. You can ask the
Page 15  1 A. I would say 40, 45 hours. I don't have an  2 exact number.  3 Q. That's fine. That's ballpark. So if I  4 were if I could do mental math, I probably  5 wouldn't be a lawyer. But that reflects  6 approximately 650 times 45 \$29,000 worth of  7 work since then. Does that seem right?  8 Okay. So plus approximately 42, \$43,000,  9 that brings the grand total to somewhere in the  10 neighborhood of \$70,000 of work since your past  11 deposition.  12 A. That is correct.	Page 17  1 You know, I do trading. I do other work. So I  2 don't keep track of I mean, I produced the  3 invoices that you asked for.  4 Q. What is your salary from the University of  5 Massachusetts?  6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. What's  7 the relevance? Relevancy. That has no relevancy.  8 MR. MARTIN: It's relevant to  9 credibility to get approximately what percentage of  10 his income comes from litigation.  11 MS. PARFITT: I object. You can ask the  12 question with regard to the percentage of his
Page 15  1 A. I would say 40, 45 hours. I don't have an  2 exact number.  3 Q. That's fine. That's ballpark. So if I  4 were if I could do mental math, I probably  5 wouldn't be a lawyer. But that reflects  6 approximately 650 times 45 \$29,000 worth of  7 work since then. Does that seem right?  8 Okay. So plus approximately 42, \$43,000,  9 that brings the grand total to somewhere in the  10 neighborhood of \$70,000 of work since your past  11 deposition.  12 A. That is correct.  13 Q. Okay. Have you done work related to talc	Page 17  1 You know, I do trading. I do other work. So I  2 don't keep track of I mean, I produced the  3 invoices that you asked for.  4 Q. What is your salary from the University of  5 Massachusetts?  6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. What's  7 the relevance? Relevancy. That has no relevancy.  8 MR. MARTIN: It's relevant to  9 credibility to get approximately what percentage of  10 his income comes from litigation.  11 MS. PARFITT: I object. You can ask the  12 question with regard to the percentage of his  13 income, if he knows that. I object to asking him
Page 15  1 A. I would say 40, 45 hours. I don't have an  2 exact number.  3 Q. That's fine. That's ballpark. So if I  4 were if I could do mental math, I probably  5 wouldn't be a lawyer. But that reflects  6 approximately 650 times 45 \$29,000 worth of  7 work since then. Does that seem right?  8 Okay. So plus approximately 42, \$43,000,  9 that brings the grand total to somewhere in the  10 neighborhood of \$70,000 of work since your past  11 deposition.  12 A. That is correct.  13 Q. Okay. Have you done work related to talc  14 litigation outside of the MDL?	Page 17  1 You know, I do trading. I do other work. So I  2 don't keep track of I mean, I produced the  3 invoices that you asked for.  4 Q. What is your salary from the University of  5 Massachusetts?  6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. What's  7 the relevance? Relevancy. That has no relevancy.  8 MR. MARTIN: It's relevant to  9 credibility to get approximately what percentage of  10 his income comes from litigation.  11 MS. PARFITT: I object. You can ask the  12 question with regard to the percentage of his  13 income, if he knows that. I object to asking him  14 what his salary is from the university. I instruct
Page 15  1 A. I would say 40, 45 hours. I don't have an  2 exact number.  3 Q. That's fine. That's ballpark. So if I  4 were if I could do mental math, I probably  5 wouldn't be a lawyer. But that reflects  6 approximately 650 times 45 \$29,000 worth of  7 work since then. Does that seem right?  8 Okay. So plus approximately 42, \$43,000,  9 that brings the grand total to somewhere in the  10 neighborhood of \$70,000 of work since your past  11 deposition.  12 A. That is correct.  13 Q. Okay. Have you done work related to talc  14 litigation outside of the MDL?  15 A. No.	Page 17  1 You know, I do trading. I do other work. So I  2 don't keep track of I mean, I produced the  3 invoices that you asked for.  4 Q. What is your salary from the University of  5 Massachusetts?  6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. What's  7 the relevance? Relevancy. That has no relevancy.  8 MR. MARTIN: It's relevant to  9 credibility to get approximately what percentage of  10 his income comes from litigation.  11 MS. PARFITT: I object. You can ask the  12 question with regard to the percentage of his  13 income, if he knows that. I object to asking him  14 what his salary is from the university. I instruct  15 you not to answer that.
Page 15  1 A. I would say 40, 45 hours. I don't have an  2 exact number.  3 Q. That's fine. That's ballpark. So if I  4 were if I could do mental math, I probably  5 wouldn't be a lawyer. But that reflects  6 approximately 650 times 45 \$29,000 worth of  7 work since then. Does that seem right?  8 Okay. So plus approximately 42, \$43,000,  9 that brings the grand total to somewhere in the  10 neighborhood of \$70,000 of work since your past  11 deposition.  12 A. That is correct.  13 Q. Okay. Have you done work related to talc  14 litigation outside of the MDL?  15 A. No.  16 Q. Okay. So what we just talked about in this	Page 17  1 You know, I do trading. I do other work. So I  2 don't keep track of I mean, I produced the  3 invoices that you asked for.  4 Q. What is your salary from the University of  5 Massachusetts?  6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. What's  7 the relevance? Relevancy. That has no relevancy.  8 MR. MARTIN: It's relevant to  9 credibility to get approximately what percentage of  10 his income comes from litigation.  11 MS. PARFITT: I object. You can ask the  12 question with regard to the percentage of his  13 income, if he knows that. I object to asking him  14 what his salary is from the university. I instruct  15 you not to answer that.  16 MR. MARTIN: He didn't answer the
Page 15  1 A. I would say 40, 45 hours. I don't have an  2 exact number.  3 Q. That's fine. That's ballpark. So if I  4 were if I could do mental math, I probably  5 wouldn't be a lawyer. But that reflects  6 approximately 650 times 45 \$29,000 worth of  7 work since then. Does that seem right?  8 Okay. So plus approximately 42, \$43,000,  9 that brings the grand total to somewhere in the  10 neighborhood of \$70,000 of work since your past  11 deposition.  12 A. That is correct.  13 Q. Okay. Have you done work related to talc  14 litigation outside of the MDL?  15 A. No.  16 Q. Okay. So what we just talked about in this  17 invoice reflects the entirety of your work on any	Page 17  1 You know, I do trading. I do other work. So I  2 don't keep track of I mean, I produced the  3 invoices that you asked for.  4 Q. What is your salary from the University of  5 Massachusetts?  6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. What's  7 the relevance? Relevancy. That has no relevancy.  8 MR. MARTIN: It's relevant to  9 credibility to get approximately what percentage of  10 his income comes from litigation.  11 MS. PARFITT: I object. You can ask the  12 question with regard to the percentage of his  13 income, if he knows that. I object to asking him  14 what his salary is from the university. I instruct  15 you not to answer that.  16 MR. MARTIN: He didn't answer the  17 question about percentage, which is why I was trying
Page 15  1 A. I would say 40, 45 hours. I don't have an  2 exact number.  3 Q. That's fine. That's ballpark. So if I  4 were if I could do mental math, I probably  5 wouldn't be a lawyer. But that reflects  6 approximately 650 times 45 \$29,000 worth of  7 work since then. Does that seem right?  8 Okay. So plus approximately 42, \$43,000,  9 that brings the grand total to somewhere in the  10 neighborhood of \$70,000 of work since your past  11 deposition.  12 A. That is correct.  13 Q. Okay. Have you done work related to talc  14 litigation outside of the MDL?  15 A. No.  16 Q. Okay. So what we just talked about in this  17 invoice reflects the entirety of your work on any  18 litigation related to talc since your last	Page 17  1 You know, I do trading. I do other work. So I  2 don't keep track of I mean, I produced the  3 invoices that you asked for.  4 Q. What is your salary from the University of  5 Massachusetts?  6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. What's  7 the relevance? Relevancy. That has no relevancy.  8 MR. MARTIN: It's relevant to  9 credibility to get approximately what percentage of  10 his income comes from litigation.  11 MS. PARFITT: I object. You can ask the  12 question with regard to the percentage of his  13 income, if he knows that. I object to asking him  14 what his salary is from the university. I instruct  15 you not to answer that.  16 MR. MARTIN: He didn't answer the  17 question about percentage, which is why I was trying  18 to get at it from a different angle. If you're
Page 15  1 A. I would say 40, 45 hours. I don't have an  2 exact number.  3 Q. That's fine. That's ballpark. So if I  4 were if I could do mental math, I probably  5 wouldn't be a lawyer. But that reflects  6 approximately 650 times 45 \$29,000 worth of  7 work since then. Does that seem right?  8 Okay. So plus approximately 42, \$43,000,  9 that brings the grand total to somewhere in the  10 neighborhood of \$70,000 of work since your past  11 deposition.  12 A. That is correct.  13 Q. Okay. Have you done work related to talc  14 litigation outside of the MDL?  15 A. No.  16 Q. Okay. So what we just talked about in this  17 invoice reflects the entirety of your work on any  18 litigation related to talc since your last  19 deposition.	Page 17  1 You know, I do trading. I do other work. So I  2 don't keep track of I mean, I produced the  3 invoices that you asked for.  4 Q. What is your salary from the University of  5 Massachusetts?  6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. What's  7 the relevance? Relevancy. That has no relevancy.  8 MR. MARTIN: It's relevant to  9 credibility to get approximately what percentage of  10 his income comes from litigation.  11 MS. PARFITT: I object. You can ask the  12 question with regard to the percentage of his  13 income, if he knows that. I object to asking him  14 what his salary is from the university. I instruct  15 you not to answer that.  16 MR. MARTIN: He didn't answer the  17 question about percentage, which is why I was trying  18 to get at it from a different angle. If you're  19 instructing him not to answer, he can not
Page 15  1 A. I would say 40, 45 hours. I don't have an  2 exact number.  3 Q. That's fine. That's ballpark. So if I  4 were if I could do mental math, I probably  5 wouldn't be a lawyer. But that reflects  6 approximately 650 times 45 \$29,000 worth of  7 work since then. Does that seem right?  8 Okay. So plus approximately 42, \$43,000,  9 that brings the grand total to somewhere in the  10 neighborhood of \$70,000 of work since your past  11 deposition.  12 A. That is correct.  13 Q. Okay. Have you done work related to talc  14 litigation outside of the MDL?  15 A. No.  16 Q. Okay. So what we just talked about in this  17 invoice reflects the entirety of your work on any  18 litigation related to talc since your last  19 deposition.  20 A. That is correct.	Page 17  1 You know, I do trading. I do other work. So I  2 don't keep track of I mean, I produced the  3 invoices that you asked for.  4 Q. What is your salary from the University of  5 Massachusetts?  6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. What's  7 the relevance? Relevancy. That has no relevancy.  8 MR. MARTIN: It's relevant to  9 credibility to get approximately what percentage of  10 his income comes from litigation.  11 MS. PARFITT: I object. You can ask the  12 question with regard to the percentage of his  13 income, if he knows that. I object to asking him  14 what his salary is from the university. I instruct  15 you not to answer that.  16 MR. MARTIN: He didn't answer the  17 question about percentage, which is why I was trying  18 to get at it from a different angle. If you're  19 instructing him not to answer, he can not  20 MS. PARFITT: I instruct him not to  21 answer.
Page 15  1 A. I would say 40, 45 hours. I don't have an  2 exact number.  3 Q. That's fine. That's ballpark. So if I  4 were if I could do mental math, I probably  5 wouldn't be a lawyer. But that reflects  6 approximately 650 times 45 \$29,000 worth of  7 work since then. Does that seem right?  8 Okay. So plus approximately 42, \$43,000,  9 that brings the grand total to somewhere in the  10 neighborhood of \$70,000 of work since your past  11 deposition.  12 A. That is correct.  13 Q. Okay. Have you done work related to talc  14 litigation outside of the MDL?  15 A. No.  16 Q. Okay. So what we just talked about in this  17 invoice reflects the entirety of your work on any  18 litigation related to talc since your last  19 deposition.  20 A. That is correct.  21 Q. Okay. What about litigation generally?	Page 17  1 You know, I do trading. I do other work. So I  2 don't keep track of I mean, I produced the  3 invoices that you asked for.  4 Q. What is your salary from the University of  5 Massachusetts?  6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. What's  7 the relevance? Relevancy. That has no relevancy.  8 MR. MARTIN: It's relevant to  9 credibility to get approximately what percentage of  10 his income comes from litigation.  11 MS. PARFITT: I object. You can ask the  12 question with regard to the percentage of his  13 income, if he knows that. I object to asking him  14 what his salary is from the university. I instruct  15 you not to answer that.  16 MR. MARTIN: He didn't answer the  17 question about percentage, which is why I was trying  18 to get at it from a different angle. If you're  19 instructing him not to answer, he can not  20 MS. PARFITT: I instruct him not to  21 answer.

24

25

24 work since your last deposition in 2019?

MS. PARFITT: Objection.

MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. It's

25 the same question. Non-litigation income would

Page 21

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217281

Page 18

1 include salary.

- A. I didn't prepare for sort of, you know,
- 3 monetary, which income from where. I produced what
- 4 was here, and that's what I was prepared to answer.
- MR. MARTIN: I think it's relevant to
- 6 credibility, but we'll move on.
- 7 MS. PARFITT: Thank you.
- 8 MR. MARTIN: Let's look at your CV.
- 9 (Curriculum vitae, Exhibit 3, marked)
- 10 Q. So this is the CV that was produced to us
- 11 on Monday. And I believe it's current as of this
- 12 month. Is there anything that looks not current to
- 13 you in that?
- 14 A. No.

1 sciences?

3 know.

2

4

- 15 Q. Okay. So there are a couple of changes
- 16 since the time of your last deposition that I want
- 17 to talk about. The first is on page 1. And it's
- 18 your role as associate professor in the division of
- 19 health systems sciences. Okay. You started that
- 20 role in October of 2022?

5 doing at the university?

A. Yeah.

- A. Yeah. It was more, you know -- we were at
- 22 Meyers. And it was more an incorporation than an
- 23 institute into the school of medicine.
- Q. Okay. So just so I'm clear, it was more
- 25 the creation of a formal division of health systems

A. Exactly. Yes. It's very new. It's, you

Q. It incorporates work you'd previously been

Q. Okay. Does it incorporate work from the 8 Department of Family Medicine & Community Health,

- A. Yes.
  - 2 Q. Okay. What did that course entail,
  - 3 generally?
  - A. It entails starting out with first years,
  - 5 usually teaching them about study designs, how to
  - 6 review a case control study, cohort study,
  - 7 randomized trials, and, you know, bias, confounding
  - 8 So it's -- yeah.
  - Q. Just to be clear, when you say first-year
  - 10 students, you mean first-year medical students, not
  - 11 first-year residents.
  - A. No. These are medical students. They 12
  - 13 could be nursing students as well.
  - Q. Okay. Approximately how many students were
  - 15 in that class?
  - 16 A. I don't recall. You know, usually --
  - 17 sometimes it's 15.
  - Q. And is there a reason that you stopped
  - 19 teaching the class in 2022?
  - 20 A. Yeah, there is. Because the class
  - 21 coincided with my clinical days so that it was sort
  - 22 of -- I had to choose between teaching.
  - Q. The class is still existing. It's just
  - 24 taught by someone else?
  - 25 A. It is.

1

Page 19

Q. Can you guys flip to page 7, please?

2 MR. TISI: Can I just say I'm getting a

3 note that your voice is really muffled.

- 4 MR. MARTIN: Off the record.
- 5 (A break was taken)
- MR. MARTIN: Back on the record. So
- 7 while we were off the record, Ms. Finken asked that
- 8 Ms. Parfitt's objections be for all plaintiffs'
- 10 on the record.
- 11 A. So, you know, the way it was, we -- there
- 12 was Meyers Health Institute maybe at that time that

9 the Department of Quantitative Health Sciences, or

- 13 I was deposed. And the institute -- medical school
- 14 brought in people who do health systems research
- 15 into one institute. And that's why it's reflected
- 16 there.

10 both?

- 17 Q. Actually, it's on the same page.
- 18 A. Sure.
- 19 Q. I'm sorry. No. It's not. It's on page 3,
- 20 teaching and classroom activities. It looks like
- 21 you started to teach a course at the medical school
- 22 since your last deposition.
- 23 A. That is correct.
- Q. And is "Clinical epidemiology for medical
- 25 students" the name of that course?

9 counsel. So just memorializing that now that we're

- Q. We were on page 7 of your CV, and at the
- 12 top of page 7 it asks for submitted grants. First
- 13 of all, can you explain what you mean by "submitted
- 14 grants"?
- 15 A. Sure. So grants submitted are those that
- 16 are being reviewed at the NIH, so these were
- 17 submitted for NIH consideration. You see at the top
- 18 "National Institute of Aging." So these have not
- 19 been funded yet. They will be reviewed probably in
- 20 April sometime. Then we'll know. So a department
- 21 likes to know, you know "Are you working? Are you
- 22 submitting new grants?"
- Q. Fair to say, these aren't things -- well,
- 24 beyond submitting the funding proposal, these aren't
- 25 things on which you're doing active research.

6 (Pages 18 - 21)

Page 22

- 1 A. Yeah. No. No. The ones that are active 2 are listed right below that.
- 3 Q. We'll get to those. So is this submitted
- 4 grant related to talc at all?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Is it related to ovarian cancer at all?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Okay. As you mentioned, below the
- 9 submitted grant, there are three active grants, all
- 10 of which postdate your last deposition. Are any of
- 11 those related to talc --
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. -- to ovarian cancer or cancer more
- 14 generally?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. All right. And then on "completed grants
- 17 and contracts," going from page 7 and into page 8, I
- 18 believe there are three or four that postdate your
- 19 last deposition. I think three that started after
- 20 your last deposition, those would be the three that
- 21 are on page 7 or begin on page 7. Do you see that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And do any of those grants have to do with
- 24 talc?
- 25 A. No.

Page 23

- 1 Q. Okay. Cancer?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Okay. Let's turn to page 10. Since your
- 4 last deposition in 2016 (verbatim), it looks like
- 5 you've joined the editorial board of two journals:
- 6 Frontiers in Drug Safety and Frontiers in Primary
- 7 Care and Family Medicine. Is that correct?
- 8 A. That is correct.
- 9 Q. And you've left the editorial board of
- 10 Evidence-Based Medicine?
- 11 A. That is correct.
- 12 Q. Okay. Since you joined the editorial board
- 13 of Frontiers in Drug Safety, are you aware of
- 14 whether they've published any articles related to
- 15 talc?
- 16 A. I'm not aware of a specific journal, but
- 17 I'm aware that Frontiers had a publication on that.
- 18 I'm not sure if it's on drug safety, but the group
- 19 of journals has it.
- Q. Can you explain that a little bit more?
- 21 Frontiers is a group of journals of which there are
- 22 several topical journals. Is that more or less
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. Yes. Yes.
- 25 Q. You're not aware of whether the two topical

- Page 24
- 2 anything on talcum powder?
- 3 A. Yeah. Yeah.
- 4 Q. Okay. Do you know if the two -- if either

1 journals for which you were an editor published

- 5 of the journals for which you're an editor have
- 6 published anything on asbestos since you joined?
- 7 A. No. I don't keep track of all the articles
- 8 in the journal. I'm on the editorial board. So I
- 9 get asked to review certain articles every year, at
- 10 least six. That's sort of the mandate, so.
- 11 Q. So just so I understand the process, your
- 12 job is essentially when someone submits an article
- 13 for publication, you're one of the peer reviewers
- 14 for the journal?
- 15 A. No. I'm the handling editor. So I get to
- 16 ask, you know, if it's, you know -- the editor-in-
- 17 chief looks at the editorial board and -- so I'm not
- 18 the editor-in-chief. I'm an editorial board member.
- 19 And they send it to me, say "Okay. You are the
- 20 handling editor." And you send it out for review,
- 21 comes back. And I may be asked to review, too, so
- 22 there's two sort of aspects of the job.
- 23 Q. That's helpful. Thank you. Assume you
- 24 haven't personally seen any articles --
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. -- related to talc or asbestos. Okay. I
- 2 want to look at your publications since 2019. Do
- 3 you recall approximately how many things you've
- 4 published since 2019?
- 5 A. Not really.
- 6 Q. Okay. So I went through your CV. Let's
- 7 turn to page 26 first. Is it correct there have
- 8 been no books or monographs since 2019?
- 9 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 10 Q. Have you published any books or monographs
- 11 since 2019?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Okay. Turning to page 28. First of all,
- 14 can you explain what the difference between a peer-
- 15 reviewed educational publication and a what we might
- 16 call traditional peer-reviewed publication is?
- 17 A. Yeah. So for us, you know, in the academic
- 18 world, we try to make a distinguish (verbatim)
- 19 between, you know, research articles that are based
- 20 on original data, so that, you'll see that in the
- 21 original research section.
- Others are editorials. We'll try to place
- 23 into the editorial section. And then educational
- 24 articles are if -- say I'm the expert, you know, I
- 25 have an article on, say, COVID drugs and kidney

Page 26

- 1 disease. Then, you know, it might be -- so there's
- 2 some distinction. It might be in the editorial
- 3 section.
- 4 It might be -- I think it's also --
- 5 original research carries -- at least for academic
- 6 promotion, it carries more weight. It's not that
- 7 educational publications are not important, but they
- 8 are distinct.
- 9 Q. So as I'm sure you can predict, we're going
- 10 to talk a lot about meta-analyses and pooled
- 11 analyses later today. Those you consider original
- 12 research, even though they're not collecting the
- 13 data originally.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. So back to your CV. Have you
- 16 published any educational publications since 2019?
- 17 A. I'd have to go back and look at it. Don't
- 19 O. If I said there were none listed there,
- 20 would you believe me?
- 21 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. If you
- 22 need to look, Doctor.
- A. That is correct.
- 24 Q. Okay. Next page, page 29, peer-reviewed
- 25 case reports. First of all, again, can you explain

- Pag
- 1 look at some of my reports, some of them -- for 2 example, we describe the report of Wernicke --
- 3 W-e-r-n-i-c-k-e -- encephalopathy. Yeah. Case
- 4 reports can be informative in the -- but, obviously,
- 5 if it's a common disease, then they're not as
- 6 informative as other study designs.
- Q. Would you agree with me that, holding the
- 8 disease at issue constant, a case report is less
- 9 informative than other study designs?
- 10 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 11 Misstates the testimony.
- 12 A. I want to understand the question.
- 13 Q. Sure. Holding the disease at -- you
- 14 mentioned rare diseases versus common diseases. If
- 15 it's the same disease, is a case report less
- 16 informative than another type of literature?
- 17 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 18 A. Yeah. If you had a more comprehensive
- 19 study design.
- Q. Let's look at correspondence on the next
- 21 page. Do you see any correspondence there since
- 22 2019?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Can we flip back to 16? So these
- 25 are peer-reviewed original research publications.

Page 27

- 1 to me the difference between a case report and what
- 2 we might call a traditional peer-reviewed
- 3 publication?
- 4 A. Yeah. I mean, again, this is, you know --
- 5 these distinctions are more in our realm. In
- 6 academic world, you want to make a distinction on
- 7 the different type of scientific output that is
- 8 coming out of, you know, lab or a person's record.
- 9 You know, in terms of distinction, case
- 10 report is usually just one report that talks about,
- 11 say, something that's really important or novel that
- 12 gets across a point. So that's why it's in a
- 13 distinct section.
- 14 Q. It usually deals with one case?
- 15 A. Yeah. Usually. Sometimes case reports are
- 16 combined with case reports and reviews of the
- 17 literature. So, you know, they could be in a
- 18 different section.
- 19 Q. Are the results from case reports generally
- 20 considered less reliable than the results from what
- 21 we might call multicase literature?
- MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- A. You know, that goes to the question at
- 24 hand. Sometimes, you know, if the question is --
- 25 outcome is rare, usually, sometimes -- if you can

- 1 And I count 33 since your last deposition.
- 2 A. Yeah. I don't remember the exact time, but 3 yes.
- 4 MS. PARFITT: Why don't you take a look
- 5 at your CV, Doctor.
- 6 A. I'm going with 33. December '19, so.
- 7 Q. Do any of the publications listed since
- 8 January of 2019 address talc?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Okay. What about asbestos?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. What about ovarian cancer?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Have you conducted any studies outside of
- 15 litigation related to ovarian cancer since 2019 that
- 16 are not listed here as publications?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Okay. Do you have any forthcoming
- 19 publications related to ovarian cancer?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Okay. Are you working on any non-
- 22 litigation research related to ovarian cancer
- 23 currently?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Okay. Have you submitted the substance of

ı				1
		Page 30		Page 32
		your opinions in this case for peer review?	1	A. I don't.
	2		2	Q. Do you remember if it was more or less than
	3	Q. Okay. Outside of the statements you've	3	
		given in litigation, have you given any public	4	MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
		statements concerning talc and ovarian cancer since	5	A. I don't recall.
		2019?	6	Q. What about In re Tasigna Products Liability
	7	MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.	1	Litigation?
	8	A. I've not spoken in the public, no.	8	A. Yeah. This was a recent litigation. That,
	9	- •		I recall. And I provided expert report and
	10			deposition that was admitted under Daubert recently.
	11	Q. Okay. Let's look at page 13. These are		I recall that. Yeah.
		presentations you've given?	12	Q. And what was the nature of your opinion in
	13	A. Yeah. This is a team. I mean, I have been	13	that case?
		on some. Others, I've presented. I'm not	14	A. Yeah. That Tasigna is causally related to
	15	necessarily the first presenter.	15	the development of atherosclerosis and peripheral
	16		16	artery disease.
	17	answer to the last question, that none of the	17	Q. What does the drug Tasigna do?
	18	presentations since 2019 relate to talc or ovarian	18	A. It is an anticancer drug.
	19	cancer?	19	Q. Okay.
	20	A. No.	20	A. CML for chronic myeloid leukemia.
	21	Q. Okay. Any forthcoming presentations	21	Q. Do you remember approximately how much you
	22	related to talc or ovarian cancer?	22	were paid in that case?
	23	A. No.	23	MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
	24	MR. MARTIN: Okay. Let's move to	24	A. I don't recall.
	25	Exhibit 4.	25	Q. Okay.
ĺ		Page 31		Page 33
	1	(List of testimony, Exhibit 4, marked)	1	A. But it was more than 30,000.
	2	Q. This is Exhibit B to your expert report.	2	, , ,
		Is this exhibit a complete list of the testimony	3	_
	4	you've given in the last four years?	4	Q. Thank you. More than a hundred thousand?
	5	A. That's as much as I can recall.	5	MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
	6	Q. Okay. Do you think you would give	6	A. I don't recall.
	7	testimony at a deposition and not remember the case?		
	8	A. I mean, after you received my I mean,	8	Center?
	9	after I received this, I tried to list as much as I	9	A. Yes. I recall. This was a recent
	10	can recall. And I did go back and look at	10	testimony.
	11	Q. Okay.	11	Q. Okay. And do you recall how much you
	12	A. It's not like I'm trying to hide anything.	12	received for that?
	13	Q. What was the nature of your opinions in	13	A. I don't recall that.
	14	Coates versus United States?	14	Q. Again, more or less than \$30,000?
	15	A. I don't recall the specifics of the	15	MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
	16	opinion. I'd have to I didn't review.	16	A. I cannot tell you that.
	17	Q. Do you recall the do you recall the	17	Q. Okay. Have you served as an expert but not
	18	general issue in the case?		testified in any cases in the last four years other
	19	A. Let me just look at it.	1	than those three listed here?
	20	Q. Okay.	20	MS. PARFITT: Objection to the extent
	21	A. I think it related to prostate cancer I	21	he can answer a yes or no to that. But not any
	22	don't remember. Again, I don't want to guess.	22	inquiry as to the lawyers or the litigation
I	23	Q. Okay. Do you remember how much you were	23	A. Yes.
I	24	paid for your testimony in that case?	24	MS. PARFITT: where he's not been
- 1	a -	Ma Didental Office		

25 declared an expert.

25

MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.

Page 34	Page 36
1 Q. You have?	1 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates his
2 A. Yes.	2 testimony.
3 Q. Okay.	3 A. My opinion would be that talc causes
4 A. I have. You know, I get inquiries about	4 epithelial ovarian cancer. And your interpretation
5 Q. Have you submitted an expert report in any	5 could be that, since, you know, clear-cell is
6 of those cases?	6 epithelial ovarian cancer, then it increases that.
7 A. I don't recall. I mean, this is my listing	7 But my testimony is that talc causes epithelial.
8 of deposition, as far as I can recall.	8 Q. So your testimony is not broken down by
9 Q. Right. But, I mean, you'd agree with me	9 histological subtype of cancer.
10 that there are cases in which you may submit an	10 A. That is correct.
11 expert report. It may settle. You may never be	11 Q. Okay. And, again, just to be clear, it's
12 deposed.	12 related to both invasive and borderline cancer.
13 A. I don't recall that. May have. Yeah.	13 A. Yes. You know, all epithelial cancers.
14 Q. Okay.	14 Q. Have you done any outreach to any public
MR. MARTIN: Can we mark this as Exhibit	15 health organizations related to talc use and ovarian
16 5?	16 cancer?
17 (Supplemental expert report of Sonal	17 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
18 Singh, M.D., M.P.H, Exhibit 5, marked)	18 A. No, I have not.
Q. If you can keep this towards the front of	19 Q. Let's talk about the American College of
20 your pile. We'll probably be referring back to this	20 Gynecology. Would you agree it's generally a
21 several times. And this is your expert report in	21 reputable organization?
22 this case your supplemental expert report in this	MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
23 case.	23 A. That is correct.
So let's look at the first sentence. "I	Q. Okay. Have you looked at any statements
25 have been asked to supplement my previous expert	25 made by the American College of Gynecology since
Page 35	Page 37
Page 35 1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the	Page 37 1 your last deposition?
1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the	Page 37  1 your last deposition?  2 A. I don't recall.
	1 your last deposition? 2 A. I don't recall.
1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the 2 genital use of talcum powder products i.e.,	1 your last deposition? 2 A. I don't recall.
1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the 2 genital use of talcum powder products i.e., 3 Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower are	<ol> <li>your last deposition?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you aware that they have updated</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the</li> <li>genital use of talcum powder products i.e.,</li> <li>Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower are</li> <li>causally related to an increased risk of ovarian</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>your last deposition?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you aware that they have updated</li> <li>their Website's "frequently asked questions" on</li> </ol>
1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the 2 genital use of talcum powder products i.e., 3 Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower are 4 causally related to an increased risk of ovarian 5 cancer." Are you offering an opinion related to any	<ol> <li>your last deposition?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you aware that they have updated</li> <li>their Website's "frequently asked questions" on</li> <li>ovarian cancer since your last deposition?</li> </ol>
1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the 2 genital use of talcum powder products i.e., 3 Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower are 4 causally related to an increased risk of ovarian 5 cancer." Are you offering an opinion related to any 6 non-ovarian types of cancer?	<ol> <li>your last deposition?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you aware that they have updated</li> <li>their Website's "frequently asked questions" on</li> <li>ovarian cancer since your last deposition?</li> <li>MS. PARFITT: Objection. Just testified</li> </ol>
1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the 2 genital use of talcum powder products i.e., 3 Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower are 4 causally related to an increased risk of ovarian 5 cancer." Are you offering an opinion related to any 6 non-ovarian types of cancer? 7 A. No.	<ol> <li>your last deposition?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you aware that they have updated</li> <li>their Website's "frequently asked questions" on</li> <li>ovarian cancer since your last deposition?</li> <li>MS. PARFITT: Objection. Just testified</li> <li>he had not looked at it.</li> </ol>
1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the 2 genital use of talcum powder products i.e., 3 Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower are 4 causally related to an increased risk of ovarian 5 cancer." Are you offering an opinion related to any 6 non-ovarian types of cancer? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Okay. So not mesothelioma?	<ol> <li>your last deposition?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you aware that they have updated</li> <li>their Website's "frequently asked questions" on</li> <li>ovarian cancer since your last deposition?</li> <li>MS. PARFITT: Objection. Just testified</li> <li>he had not looked at it.</li> <li>A. Yeah. I don't recall. I mean, if you show</li> </ol>
1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the 2 genital use of talcum powder products i.e., 3 Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower are 4 causally related to an increased risk of ovarian 5 cancer." Are you offering an opinion related to any 6 non-ovarian types of cancer? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Okay. So not mesothelioma? 9 A. No.	<ol> <li>your last deposition?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you aware that they have updated</li> <li>their Website's "frequently asked questions" on</li> <li>ovarian cancer since your last deposition?</li> <li>MS. PARFITT: Objection. Just testified</li> <li>he had not looked at it.</li> <li>A. Yeah. I don't recall. I mean, if you show</li> <li>me, I can</li> </ol>
1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the 2 genital use of talcum powder products i.e., 3 Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower are 4 causally related to an increased risk of ovarian 5 cancer." Are you offering an opinion related to any 6 non-ovarian types of cancer? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Okay. So not mesothelioma? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Not uterine cancer?	<ol> <li>your last deposition?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you aware that they have updated</li> <li>their Website's "frequently asked questions" on</li> <li>ovarian cancer since your last deposition?</li> <li>MS. PARFITT: Objection. Just testified</li> <li>he had not looked at it.</li> <li>A. Yeah. I don't recall. I mean, if you show</li> <li>me, I can</li> <li>Q. Okay. Well, we can do that.</li> </ol>
1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the 2 genital use of talcum powder products i.e., 3 Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower are 4 causally related to an increased risk of ovarian 5 cancer." Are you offering an opinion related to any 6 non-ovarian types of cancer? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Okay. So not mesothelioma? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Not uterine cancer? 11 A. No.	<ol> <li>your last deposition?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you aware that they have updated</li> <li>their Website's "frequently asked questions" on</li> <li>ovarian cancer since your last deposition?</li> <li>MS. PARFITT: Objection. Just testified</li> <li>he had not looked at it.</li> <li>A. Yeah. I don't recall. I mean, if you show</li> <li>me, I can</li> <li>Q. Okay. Well, we can do that.</li> <li>MR. MARTIN: This will be Exhibit 6.</li> </ol>
1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the 2 genital use of talcum powder products i.e., 3 Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower are 4 causally related to an increased risk of ovarian 5 cancer." Are you offering an opinion related to any 6 non-ovarian types of cancer? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Okay. So not mesothelioma? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Not uterine cancer? 11 A. No. 12 Q. Okay. Are you offering an opinion related	1 your last deposition? 2 A. I don't recall. 3 Q. Okay. Are you aware that they have updated 4 their Website's "frequently asked questions" on 5 ovarian cancer since your last deposition? 6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Just testified 7 he had not looked at it. 8 A. Yeah. I don't recall. I mean, if you show 9 me, I can 10 Q. Okay. Well, we can do that. 11 MR. MARTIN: This will be Exhibit 6. 12 (ACOG printout, Exhibit 6, marked)
1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the 2 genital use of talcum powder products i.e., 3 Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower are 4 causally related to an increased risk of ovarian 5 cancer." Are you offering an opinion related to any 6 non-ovarian types of cancer? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Okay. So not mesothelioma? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Not uterine cancer? 11 A. No. 12 Q. Okay. Are you offering an opinion related 13 to all ovarian cancers?	1 your last deposition? 2 A. I don't recall. 3 Q. Okay. Are you aware that they have updated 4 their Website's "frequently asked questions" on 5 ovarian cancer since your last deposition? 6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Just testified 7 he had not looked at it. 8 A. Yeah. I don't recall. I mean, if you show 9 me, I can 10 Q. Okay. Well, we can do that. 11 MR. MARTIN: This will be Exhibit 6. 12 (ACOG printout, Exhibit 6, marked) 13 Q. Can we turn to they're not paginated
1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the 2 genital use of talcum powder products i.e., 3 Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower are 4 causally related to an increased risk of ovarian 5 cancer." Are you offering an opinion related to any 6 non-ovarian types of cancer? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Okay. So not mesothelioma? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Not uterine cancer? 11 A. No. 12 Q. Okay. Are you offering an opinion related 13 to all ovarian cancers? 14 A. Epithelial.	1 your last deposition? 2 A. I don't recall. 3 Q. Okay. Are you aware that they have updated 4 their Website's "frequently asked questions" on 5 ovarian cancer since your last deposition? 6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Just testified 7 he had not looked at it. 8 A. Yeah. I don't recall. I mean, if you show 9 me, I can 10 Q. Okay. Well, we can do that. 11 MR. MARTIN: This will be Exhibit 6. 12 (ACOG printout, Exhibit 6, marked) 13 Q. Can we turn to they're not paginated 14 but the second page of this document.
1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the 2 genital use of talcum powder products i.e., 3 Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower are 4 causally related to an increased risk of ovarian 5 cancer." Are you offering an opinion related to any 6 non-ovarian types of cancer? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Okay. So not mesothelioma? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Not uterine cancer? 11 A. No. 12 Q. Okay. Are you offering an opinion related 13 to all ovarian cancers? 14 A. Epithelial. 15 Q. Epithelial ovarian cancer. Okay. Thank	1 your last deposition? 2 A. I don't recall. 3 Q. Okay. Are you aware that they have updated 4 their Website's "frequently asked questions" on 5 ovarian cancer since your last deposition? 6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Just testified 7 he had not looked at it. 8 A. Yeah. I don't recall. I mean, if you show 9 me, I can 10 Q. Okay. Well, we can do that. 11 MR. MARTIN: This will be Exhibit 6. 12 (ACOG printout, Exhibit 6, marked) 13 Q. Can we turn to they're not paginated 14 but the second page of this document. 15 A. Sure.
1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the 2 genital use of talcum powder products i.e., 3 Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower are 4 causally related to an increased risk of ovarian 5 cancer." Are you offering an opinion related to any 6 non-ovarian types of cancer? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Okay. So not mesothelioma? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Not uterine cancer? 11 A. No. 12 Q. Okay. Are you offering an opinion related 13 to all ovarian cancers? 14 A. Epithelial. 15 Q. Epithelial ovarian cancer. Okay. Thank 16 you.	1 your last deposition? 2 A. I don't recall. 3 Q. Okay. Are you aware that they have updated 4 their Website's "frequently asked questions" on 5 ovarian cancer since your last deposition? 6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Just testified 7 he had not looked at it. 8 A. Yeah. I don't recall. I mean, if you show 9 me, I can 10 Q. Okay. Well, we can do that. 11 MR. MARTIN: This will be Exhibit 6. 12 (ACOG printout, Exhibit 6, marked) 13 Q. Can we turn to they're not paginated 14 but the second page of this document. 15 A. Sure. 16 Q. Actually, I apologize. Let's turn back to
1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the 2 genital use of talcum powder products i.e., 3 Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower are 4 causally related to an increased risk of ovarian 5 cancer." Are you offering an opinion related to any 6 non-ovarian types of cancer? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Okay. So not mesothelioma? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Not uterine cancer? 11 A. No. 12 Q. Okay. Are you offering an opinion related 13 to all ovarian cancers? 14 A. Epithelial. 15 Q. Epithelial ovarian cancer. Okay. Thank 16 you. 17 Does the histological subtype of epithelial	1 your last deposition? 2 A. I don't recall. 3 Q. Okay. Are you aware that they have updated 4 their Website's "frequently asked questions" on 5 ovarian cancer since your last deposition? 6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Just testified 7 he had not looked at it. 8 A. Yeah. I don't recall. I mean, if you show 9 me, I can 10 Q. Okay. Well, we can do that. 11 MR. MARTIN: This will be Exhibit 6. 12 (ACOG printout, Exhibit 6, marked) 13 Q. Can we turn to they're not paginated 14 but the second page of this document. 15 A. Sure. 16 Q. Actually, I apologize. Let's turn back to 17 let's start with page let's start with the 18 final page of substantive text. 19 Do you see that it's it says last
1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the 2 genital use of talcum powder products i.e., 3 Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower are 4 causally related to an increased risk of ovarian 5 cancer." Are you offering an opinion related to any 6 non-ovarian types of cancer? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Okay. So not mesothelioma? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Not uterine cancer? 11 A. No. 12 Q. Okay. Are you offering an opinion related 13 to all ovarian cancers? 14 A. Epithelial. 15 Q. Epithelial ovarian cancer. Okay. Thank 16 you. 17 Does the histological subtype of epithelial 18 ovarian cancer matter? 19 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. 20 A. My work and opinions in this case are	1 your last deposition? 2 A. I don't recall. 3 Q. Okay. Are you aware that they have updated 4 their Website's "frequently asked questions" on 5 ovarian cancer since your last deposition? 6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Just testified 7 he had not looked at it. 8 A. Yeah. I don't recall. I mean, if you show 9 me, I can 10 Q. Okay. Well, we can do that. 11 MR. MARTIN: This will be Exhibit 6. 12 (ACOG printout, Exhibit 6, marked) 13 Q. Can we turn to they're not paginated 14 but the second page of this document. 15 A. Sure. 16 Q. Actually, I apologize. Let's turn back to 17 let's start with page let's start with the 18 final page of substantive text. 19 Do you see that it's it says last 20 reviewed November 2021 and last updated May 2022?
1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the 2 genital use of talcum powder products i.e., 3 Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower are 4 causally related to an increased risk of ovarian 5 cancer." Are you offering an opinion related to any 6 non-ovarian types of cancer? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Okay. So not mesothelioma? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Not uterine cancer? 11 A. No. 12 Q. Okay. Are you offering an opinion related 13 to all ovarian cancers? 14 A. Epithelial. 15 Q. Epithelial ovarian cancer. Okay. Thank 16 you. 17 Does the histological subtype of epithelial 18 ovarian cancer matter? 19 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. 20 A. My work and opinions in this case are 21 focused on epithelial ovarian cancer. To the extent	1 your last deposition? 2 A. I don't recall. 3 Q. Okay. Are you aware that they have updated 4 their Website's "frequently asked questions" on 5 ovarian cancer since your last deposition? 6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Just testified 7 he had not looked at it. 8 A. Yeah. I don't recall. I mean, if you show 9 me, I can 10 Q. Okay. Well, we can do that. 11 MR. MARTIN: This will be Exhibit 6. 12 (ACOG printout, Exhibit 6, marked) 13 Q. Can we turn to they're not paginated 14 but the second page of this document. 15 A. Sure. 16 Q. Actually, I apologize. Let's turn back to 17 let's start with page let's start with the 18 final page of substantive text. 19 Do you see that it's it says last
1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the 2 genital use of talcum powder products i.e., 3 Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower are 4 causally related to an increased risk of ovarian 5 cancer." Are you offering an opinion related to any 6 non-ovarian types of cancer? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Okay. So not mesothelioma? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Not uterine cancer? 11 A. No. 12 Q. Okay. Are you offering an opinion related 13 to all ovarian cancers? 14 A. Epithelial. 15 Q. Epithelial ovarian cancer. Okay. Thank 16 you. 17 Does the histological subtype of epithelial 18 ovarian cancer matter? 19 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. 20 A. My work and opinions in this case are 21 focused on epithelial ovarian cancer. To the extent 22 that histologic types, you know, are included within	1 your last deposition? 2 A. I don't recall. 3 Q. Okay. Are you aware that they have updated 4 their Website's "frequently asked questions" on 5 ovarian cancer since your last deposition? 6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Just testified 7 he had not looked at it. 8 A. Yeah. I don't recall. I mean, if you show 9 me, I can 10 Q. Okay. Well, we can do that. 11 MR. MARTIN: This will be Exhibit 6. 12 (ACOG printout, Exhibit 6, marked) 13 Q. Can we turn to they're not paginated 14 but the second page of this document. 15 A. Sure. 16 Q. Actually, I apologize. Let's turn back to 17 let's start with page let's start with the 18 final page of substantive text. 19 Do you see that it's it says last 20 reviewed November 2021 and last updated May 2022? 21 A. That is correct. 22 Q. Okay. Now we can turn to the second page.
1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the 2 genital use of talcum powder products i.e., 3 Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower are 4 causally related to an increased risk of ovarian 5 cancer." Are you offering an opinion related to any 6 non-ovarian types of cancer? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Okay. So not mesothelioma? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Not uterine cancer? 11 A. No. 12 Q. Okay. Are you offering an opinion related 13 to all ovarian cancers? 14 A. Epithelial. 15 Q. Epithelial ovarian cancer. Okay. Thank 16 you. 17 Does the histological subtype of epithelial 18 ovarian cancer matter? 19 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. 20 A. My work and opinions in this case are 21 focused on epithelial ovarian cancer. To the extent 22 that histologic types, you know, are included within 23 that, it does matter.	1 your last deposition? 2 A. I don't recall. 3 Q. Okay. Are you aware that they have updated 4 their Website's "frequently asked questions" on 5 ovarian cancer since your last deposition? 6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Just testified 7 he had not looked at it. 8 A. Yeah. I don't recall. I mean, if you show 9 me, I can 10 Q. Okay. Well, we can do that. 11 MR. MARTIN: This will be Exhibit 6. 12 (ACOG printout, Exhibit 6, marked) 13 Q. Can we turn to they're not paginated 14 but the second page of this document. 15 A. Sure. 16 Q. Actually, I apologize. Let's turn back to 17 let's start with page let's start with the 18 final page of substantive text. 19 Do you see that it's it says last 20 reviewed November 2021 and last updated May 2022? 21 A. That is correct. 22 Q. Okay. Now we can turn to the second page. 23 Can you review the section "What are the risk
1 report submitted on November 16, 2018 on whether the 2 genital use of talcum powder products i.e., 3 Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower are 4 causally related to an increased risk of ovarian 5 cancer." Are you offering an opinion related to any 6 non-ovarian types of cancer? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Okay. So not mesothelioma? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Not uterine cancer? 11 A. No. 12 Q. Okay. Are you offering an opinion related 13 to all ovarian cancers? 14 A. Epithelial. 15 Q. Epithelial ovarian cancer. Okay. Thank 16 you. 17 Does the histological subtype of epithelial 18 ovarian cancer matter? 19 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. 20 A. My work and opinions in this case are 21 focused on epithelial ovarian cancer. To the extent 22 that histologic types, you know, are included within	1 your last deposition? 2 A. I don't recall. 3 Q. Okay. Are you aware that they have updated 4 their Website's "frequently asked questions" on 5 ovarian cancer since your last deposition? 6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Just testified 7 he had not looked at it. 8 A. Yeah. I don't recall. I mean, if you show 9 me, I can 10 Q. Okay. Well, we can do that. 11 MR. MARTIN: This will be Exhibit 6. 12 (ACOG printout, Exhibit 6, marked) 13 Q. Can we turn to they're not paginated 14 but the second page of this document. 15 A. Sure. 16 Q. Actually, I apologize. Let's turn back to 17 let's start with page let's start with the 18 final page of substantive text. 19 Do you see that it's it says last 20 reviewed November 2021 and last updated May 2022? 21 A. That is correct. 22 Q. Okay. Now we can turn to the second page.

Page 38 Page 40 Q. I'll give you a minute. A. I don't recall. But if I said that, you 1 2 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 2 know, we can look at it, and --3 Q. We don't need to look at it. Do you 4 Q. Okay. Thank you. Agree with me that 4 currently counsel your female patients to avoid 5 talcum powder use -- genital talcum powder use is 5 genital talcum powder use? 6 not listed there? A. I think, you know, if -- particularly as it 7 A. That is correct. 7 relates to ovarian cancer, yes. Q. Do you disagree with ACOG's decision not to 8 Q. Yes. Do you do that only if they ask, or 9 list genital talcum powder use as a risk factor for 9 is that something you affirmatively say to them? 10 10 ovarian cancer? MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. 11 A. Yes. 11 A. I mean, you know, there's so much going on 12 Q. You do. Okay. Have you told anyone at the 12 at a clinic visit that, you know, I'm not asking 13 organization that? 13 everyone about -- but when, you know, the decision A. No. 14 14 goes about modifiable risk factors, I do ask. 15 Q. Okay. 15 Q. Is it fair to say it's not one of your A. I don't -- I'm not an OB-GYN person. 16 highest priorities in an ordinary patient visit? 16 Q. Understood. Let's flip a few pages forward 17 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. 17 18 to "reducing risk." Do you see that section? 18 A. So it's, you know, you -- for example, I'll 19 use example of lung cancer. You know, you see a A. Yes. 20 Q. Okay. And can you just take a second to 20 patient or -- who is at risk. You're talking about 21 smoking. It's modifiable. You know, the risks for 21 review that section? 22 A. Yes. 22 family histories are higher. But then that's not Q. Okay. Thank you. Agree that there are 23 modifiable. You start thinking about weight 24 some lifestyle recommendations there, such as using 24 reduction, things that are modifiable. Q. Do you believe that the risk of ovarian 25 hormonal birth control pills? 25 Page 39 Page 41 A. Yes. 1 cancer associated with talc use is comparable to the 1 Q. Okay. But, again, agree there's no 2 risk of lung cancer associated with smoking? 3 recommendation to stop using talcum powder? 3 MS. PARFITT: Objection. A. Yes. But there's no recommendation to lose A. No. I was just using that as an example to 5 weight as well. So it's not a full, comprehensive 5 explain how one discusses modifiable risk factors. 6 list of recommendations. Similarly, the risk Q. I understand. It wasn't meant to be a 7 gotcha question. It was just a question. 7 factors don't capture all the risk factors, such as 8 height (verbatim). And so, you know, there's other MR. MARTIN: Can we have this marked as 9 things -- smoking, we don't have it in the -- so 9 well? Luis, this is not Tab 7. This is Tab 8, just 10 it's not a comprehensive list. Obviously does not 10 if you're following along. This is the PDQ. I'm 11 include talc but also does not include other things. 11 sorry, Luis. It's actually Tab 9. Q. Do you think this section should be more 12 MR. CHU: Okay. Got it. Thanks. MR. MARTIN: But it's Exhibit 7 here at 13 comprehensive? 13 14 A. Exactly. 14 the deposition. 15 15 (National Cancer Institute PDQ printout, Q. Okay. MS. PARFITT: "This section" meaning the 16 16 Exhibit 7, marked) 17 risk factors and --17 THE WITNESS: Can we take a break after 18 MR. MARTIN: I apologize. 18 this question? MR. MARTIN: Sure. If you'd like, we 19 Q. Do you think this Website should be more 19 20 comprehensive? 20 can take a break now.

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

(A break was taken)

MR. MARTIN: Let's go off the record.

MR. MARTIN: Back on the record.

Q. Do you remember talking about the National

25 testimony?

A. I agree.

Q. Okay. At your last deposition, I believe

24 avoid genital talcum powder use. Do you recall that

23 you said that you counsel your female patients to

21

Page 42

- 1 Cancer Institute PDQ at your previous deposition?
- A. I don't recall, but I have seen this
- 3 document that you presented.
- Q. Okay. Let's look at -- let's actually look
- 5 at your deposition, which I can mark as whatever the 6 next exhibit is.
- 7 (January 16, 2019 transcript of Sonal
- 8 Singh, M.D., M.P.H, Exhibit 8, marked)
- Q. Can we look at the beginning of page 94 of
- 10 your deposition, traditional page 94, which is page
- 11 25 of the mini document?
- 12 MS. PARFITT: He's talking about the
- 13 actual page number.
- A. Yeah. 14
- 15 Q. Begins "All right. This document." "This
- 16 document that we're looking at from the National
- 17 Cancer Institute, Exhibit 15, was updated in January
- 18 of 2019." And your answer is "Yeah. But it doesn't
- 19 mean the review is updated because it has no recent
- 20 citations of studies that have been conducted."
- 21 So fair to say that one of your criticisms
- 22 of the PDQ at your last deposition was whether it
- 23 was updated based on the most recent research?
- 24 A. That is correct.
- 25 Q. Okay. Let's go back to the PDQ -- the new

- Page 44 A. Yeah. 13, 14. So the question is "What is
- 2 the methodology they've applied," you know, before
- 3 concluding anything in looking at, you know, all the
- 4 ratios, study designs. So yes, obviously, if it is
- 5 comprehensive, then yes, you know, it is relevant.
- Q. So let's look at the talc section, which is
- 7 a few pages back, not that many pages back. If we
- 8 can look at the first short paragraph.
- You agree that PDQ still believes the data
- 10 are inadequate to support an association between
- 11 perineal talc exposure --
- 12 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 13 Q. -- and increased risk of ovarian cancer?
  - MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 15 Misstates testimony.
- A. Right. That is what PDQ states. I don't 16
- 17 agree with their statement there, but that's what
- 18 the PDQ --

14

- 19 Q. Understood. Okay. Can we look at the
- 20 reference list?
- 21 A. Sure.
- 22 Q. Particularly 10 and 11 on the reference
- 23 list. Can you read what 10 and 11 are for me?
- A. Yeah. The meta-analysis and the pooled
- 25 analysis by O'Brien -- meta-analysis by Dr. Woolen

Page 43

1 and the pooled analysis by O'Brien.

- Q. Do you agree that those are two of the most
- 3 up-to-date studies on the relationship between talc
- 4 and ovarian cancer?
- A. They are some of the most up-to-date, not 5
- 6 necessarily the most updated. I mean, you know,
- 7 there's meta-analysis by Penninkilampi. There's
- 8 meta-analysis by Health Canada. So, you know,
- 9 there's a causality assessment.
- 10 So there, you know -- there's all these
- 11 OCAC consortium studies. There's OCWAA consortium
- 12 studies. So there's many other studies that they
- 13 could have looked at.
- Q. Understood. Do you know of a meta-analysis
- 15 more recent than Woolen's meta-analysis?
- A. Yeah. But, you know, Woolen's meta-
- 17 analysis is relevant. But it is relevant to the
- 18 frequency. But, you know, the overall body of
- 19 evidence -- case and control and cohort -- was Taher
- 20 and Penninkilampi.
- Q. Understood. Can you try to answer the
- 22 question I asked, though, which is are you aware of
- 23 a meta-analysis that's more recent than the Woolen
- 24 meta-analysis?
- 25 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Asked and

1 PDQ that I just circulated.

- 2 A. 7?
- 3 Q. Yeah. There's a lot to keep track of.
- 4
- 5 Q. Let's turn to the final page right at the
- 6 bottom. 7
- 8 give us a category.
- Q. It's the last page of the document. It's 10 not a category. It's, like, "Disclaimer," "Contact

MS. PARFITT: Zack, if you could kind of

- 11 us," that stuff.
- 12 MS. PARFITT: Perfect. Thank you.
- Q. Unfortunately, none of these Web pages are
- 14 paginated, which makes it difficult. But you see
- 15 "Updated October 16, 2023"?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- 17 Q. Okay. But I recall that one of your
- 18 criticisms last time was that, even if it says it's
- 19 been updated recently, it doesn't necessarily take
- 20 into account all the scholarship. Was that correct? A. Yeah. And that is sort of the methodology
- 22 applied, what are the studies they have evaluated.
- 23 And so even here, we can see that they have 13
- 24 references in the talc section.
- 25 Q. 14, I believe. But yes, I see that.

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217288

Page 46

1 answered.

- 2 A. I don't follow the dates. I mean, whatever
- 3 is in my report includes the relevant analysis from
- 4 the date of previous report. There may be some --
- 5 you know, whether you're referring to Lynch, which
- 6 is more updated or -- I don't know the exact date
- 7 when it was published. But it is in my report.
- 8 Q. Okay. You'd agree that Lynch also
- 9 concludes that talc does not cause ovarian cancer;
- 10 is that correct?
- 11 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 12 A. Yeah. Lynch concludes -- to be more
- 13 precise, Lynch does not conduct a meta-analysis.
- 14 They're only a systematic review. But yes, the
- 15 inference is that it does not. And we can discuss
- 16 why it concludes that and what are my concerns about 16
- 17 it.
- 18 Q. I think we might get to that this
- 19 afternoon.
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. On O'Brien, are you aware of any pooled
- 22 analysis of cohort studies more recent than O'Brien?
- 23 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. Asked
- 24 and answered.
- 25 A. Yeah, there are. I mean, the OCAC

- 1 A. That is correct.
  - 2 Q. Okay. Do you think it's generally a
  - 3 reputable organization?
  - 4 A. It is a reputable organization, not
  - 5 "generally."
  - 6 Q. Okay. Are you aware that they put out a
  - 7 "Cancer Facts & Figures" document every year?
  - 8 A. Yes. I refer to it, you know, at times.
  - 9 Q. And what we have in front of us is the one
  - 10 from 2024. This document is long, but it's
  - 11 mercifully paginated this time. Can we turn to
  - 12 page 22?
  - 13 A. Yes.
  - 14 Q. Okay. Can you review the two paragraphs
  - 15 there on page 22 under "Ovary"?
  - 16 A. Yes.
  - 17 Q. Okay. Do you agree with what it says here,
  - 18 that the incidence rate has declined by somewhere
  - 19 between 1 and 3 percent a year over the last 30
  - 20 years or so?
  - 21 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Do you agree that that can be
  - 23 attributed to increased oral contraceptive use and
  - 24 decreased postmenopausal hormone therapy?
  - 25 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.

Page 47

1 consortium, the OCWAA consortium. Not of cohort

- 2 studies but case control studies.
- 3 O. The answer with cohort studies is no?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Okay. So would it be fair to say that you
- 6 don't believe that the references cited by the PDQ
- 7 are comprehensive?
- 8 A. That is correct.
- 9 Q. Okay. Separate from whether they are
- 10 comprehensive, do you believe they're up to date?
- 11 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 12 A. I mean, if they're not comprehensive enough
- 13 and they don't consider the whole body of evidence
- 14 and don't do a causality assessment, as I have done,
- 15 I don't know -- when they say "up to date," I really
- 16 don't know, you know, did they consider everything
- 17 and then decide to exclude Lynch or for some reason
- 18 others? It's unclear why they excluded and included
- 19 certain studies.
- 20 MR. MARTIN: Okay. Next exhibit, Luis,
- 21 this is Tab 11 in our binders. This is 9.
- 22 (American Cancer Society printout,
- 23 Exhibit 9, marked)
- 24 Q. You're familiar with the American Cancer
- 25 Society, I assume.

- Page 49
  A. Those are one of the causes. There could
- 2 be others. I mean, I think one of the others is,
- 3 you know, better ascertainment. We are becoming
- 4 much more aware of it, so.
- 5 Q. Can I ask you why better ascertainment
- 6 would influence -- would impact the case rate?
- 7 A. Yeah. I mean, we are diagnosing it more,
- 8 but the incident rate is declining.
- 9 Q. Okay. Can we turn to page 23, which is the
- 10 next page. And can you review the paragraph for
- 11 "Risk factors"?
- 12 A. Sure. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. So the first sentence there, "The
- 14 most important risk factor other than age is family
- 15 history of breast or ovarian cancer." Agree with
- 16 the implication that the most important risk factor
- 17 of all is age?
- 18 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates
- 19 testimony.
- 20 A. I mean, I don't know if I would say -- the
- 21 most important other than age, they're saying, is
- 22 breast or family history of cancer.
- 23 Q. Right. Would you agree that, by saying
- 24 "other than age," that implies that age is the
- 25 number 1 most important?

1 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.

- 2 A. Okay. Yeah.
- 3 Q. Okay. And would you agree that the next
- 4 most important is history of breast or -- family
- 5 history of breast or ovarian cancer?
- 6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates his 7 testimony.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. Also lists a number of protective
- 10 and additional risk factors below there.
- 11 A. That is correct.
- 12 Q. Okay. And the last sentence, "The weight
- 13 of the evidence does not support an association
- 14 between ovarian cancer and genital exposure to talc-
- 15 based powder." Assume you disagree with the
- 16 American Cancer Society about this.
- 17 A. Yes. Again, you know, partly because I
- 18 don't see any references in how they came to that
- 19 weight-of-evidence conclusion. Did they do a
- 20 causality assessment? What are the studies that
- 21 they evaluated to come to that conclusion?
- Q. Have you ever told anyone at the American
- 23 Cancer Society you disagree with them?
- MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 25 A. Many times with CDC, with the American --

Page 50

1 Q. Have you read the order that excluded your

- 2 opinion?3 A. I haven't read the full order, but I did
- 4 read the portions of it relevant to my -- yeah.
- 5 Q. Makes sense.
- 6 MR. MARTIN: Could I have this -- which,
- 7 Luis, is Tab 13 in our binders -- marked as
- 8 Exhibit 10?
- 9 (Order from Court in Viagra case,
- 10 Exhibit 10, marked)
- 11 Q. Let's turn to federal supplement page 796,
- 12 97, which is WestLaw page 14.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Last sentence of the first paragraph in the
- 15 second column, "As Li 2014 was one of the smallest
- 16 studies and its results have not been duplicated,
- 17 Dr. Singh has not provided a persuasive reason to
- 18 rely more heavily on it."
- 19 A. Where?
- Q. Last sentence of the first paragraph on the
- 21 second column. So agree that the Court excluded
- 22 your opinion, in part, for placing undue emphasis on
- 23 a smaller study?
- 24 A. That is correct.
- 25 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.

Page 51

- 1 lot of people disagreed with the CDC in COVID. So 2 yeah.
- 3 Q. I understand you disagree. I'm asking if
- 4 you've ever told anyone at the American Cancer
- 5 Society that.
- 6 MS. PARFITT: Objection.
- 7 A. About this particular --
- 8 Q. About this particular issue.
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Okay. You can put that aside. Do you
- 11 recall giving an expert opinion in In re Viagra?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. Did you testify in that case?
- 14 A. That is correct.
- 15 Q. Okay. I assume it wasn't in the last four
- 16 years, though. That's why it wasn't on the list we
- 17 went over earlier today?
- 18 A. That's correct. I think it was in my
- 19 previous list.
- 20 Q. Okay. Understood.
- 21 A. If I recall, I mean, it was in my previous.
- Q. Understood. Are you aware that, in 2020
- 23 after your most recent deposition, your opinion was
- 24 excluded in that case?
- 25 A. I am aware.

Page 53

Q. Okay. Do you believe you've done that in

this case?

- 3 A. No. I mean, there's -- the evidence has
- 4 been, you know -- that was one study. I mean, here
- 5 we've had, what, four decades of -- not four. I
- 6 don't know the exact time -- but several decades and
- 7 several case control studies and, you know, overall
- 8 body of evidence that provides for a causal opinion.
- 9 And I've not emphasized one study as opposed to 10 other, suggesting that, well, Li is, you know --
- 11 I've looked at the cumulative body of evidence.
- 11 1 ve looked at the camulative body of evidence.
- 12 Q. You do weight some studies more heavily 13 than others?
- 14 A. Yeah. Strengths and limitations. Exactly.
- 15 Q. Can we go back to the end of the previous
- 16 column?17 A. Which is on ---
- 18 Q. It's the same page, previous column here,
- 19 "At the hearing Dr. Singh."
- 20 A. Yeah.
- 21 Q. "At the hearing, Dr. Singh candidly
- 22 admitted that the Bradford Hill criteria are
- 23 susceptible to an outcome-driven analysis, though he
- 24 contends he did not take such an approach here." Do
- 25 you still agree that the Bradford Hill criteria are

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217290

1 susceptible to an outcome-driven analysis?

2 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.

- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 Q. Still agree that you're not taking that
- 5 approach here?
- A. No.
- 7 Q. Okay. Next sentence, "Dr. Singh testified
- 8 that the criteria are not ranked in any order of
- 9 importance, which is contrary to the position he has
- 10 taken in prior litigation and contrary to how it
- 11 appears they are usually applied."
- 12 Do you believe there's any particular order
- 13 of importance associated with the Bradford Hill
- 14 criteria?
- 15 A. So, I mean, this sort of comes out of
- 16 Dr. Bradford Hill's paper, which, prior to getting
- 17 into the viewpoints, not even criteria -- so I,
- 18 again, have -- it says "ranked in order of
- 19 importance." So that's sort of his -- so when we
- 20 use his viewpoints, you know, that's what his
- 21 assessment was and -- yeah.
- 22 Q. So sorry. Just to make a clear record. Do
- 23 you agree -- I think there's two questions here.
- Do you agree, first, that Dr. Hill was --
- 25 ranked those viewpoints in order of importance?

- Page 54
  - 1 relationship -- the particular association that
  - 2 you're looking at?
  - 3 A. Exactly.
  - 4 Q. Okay. How do you make that decision when
  - 5 you're looking at an association?
  - A. So you'd have to still rank them. But, you
  - 7 know, you're finally making a causal determination.
  - 8 And whoever is, they'd have to either make a
  - 9 rational argument that biologic, say -- I'm just
  - 10 using example of biologic plausibility -- is the --
  - 11 is, you know, driving the causal assessment.
  - 12 Q. Is that decision a subjective process,
  - 13 objective process, or partially subjective and
  - 14 partially objective?
  - 15 MS. PARFITT: Just objection. Form.
  - 16 A. No. Yeah --
  - Q. Can I redo the question? 17
  - 18 A. I can answer that. Yeah.
  - 19 Q. Is that a subjective or objective process?
  - 20 A. So it, you know -- there is no quantitative
  - 21 way to weigh the Bradford Hill viewpoints. He never
  - 22 stated it that way, that you give 10 points to
  - 23 strength, 2 points to consistency, and 1 point to
  - 24 biologic plausibility.
  - 25 It is not a subjective. I would say it is

- 1 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 2 A. I mean, the -- his article talks about
- 3 these viewpoints in order of importance.
- Q. Okay. Do you, Dr. Singh, believe that they
- 5 can be ranked in order of importance?
- A. They can.
- 7 Q. Okay. Which would you find to be the most
- 8 important?
- A. I mean, just go by, you know, strength of
- 10 association. I have to look at the list.
- Q. Understood. Do you believe that the same
- 12 -- whether you want to call them viewpoints or
- 13 criteria, are most important in every case? Or do
- 14 you believe that -- you know what? Let's ignore
- 15 that question.
- 16 A. So I can clarify, since you asked that.
- 17 Q. Yeah.
- A. So while he listed the viewpoints, ranked
- 19 them in order of importance, each criteria
- 20 necessarily will not be important in each case, you
- 21 know, taking it out of talc or -- you know, could be
- 22 X associated with Y. In some cases, consistently
- 23 and biologic plausibility may be enough to
- 24 contribute to causation.
- 25 Q. So it depends on the particular

- Page 57 1 a scientific opinion based on, most of the time,
- 2 there is quantitative data either to support or
- 3 refute that opinion. In certain cases, there is no
- 4 evidence.
- MR. MARTIN: Can we have this marked as
- 6 the next exhibit? This is, Luis, Tab 15 in our
- 7 binders. It's Exhibit 11.
- (February 2, 2023 transcript of Sonal
- 9 Singh, M.D., M.P.H, Exhibit 11, marked)
- 10 Q. This is the deposition in the Tasigna case
- 11 we talked about.
- 12 A. This is the recent deposition or --
- 13 Q. Let me look at the date on it.
- 14 MR. TISI: Just a question. Is this
- 15 covered by any kind of confidentiality order? I'm
- 16 not sure we would have access to it. Do you know --
- 17 THE WITNESS: No. This was admitted
- 18 under Daubert.
- 19 MR. MARTIN: No. I understand the
- 20 question.
- 21 MR. TISI: If it's covered by a
- 22 confidentiality order, we didn't --
- THE WITNESS: I don't have access to it 23
- 24 either.
- 25 MR. MARTIN: I understand. I don't -- I

,	Page 58	1	Page 60
	don't believe so. But can we go off the record for		whether you still believe that they're all
	a second and confirm that?		important, you have to apply them qualitatively?
3	(A break was taken)	3	MS. PARFITT: Actually, that misstates
4	MR. MARTIN: Back on the record. So to		his testimony.
	follow up on the issue that was raised before, my	5	A. Yeah. And you have to look at the report.
1	understanding now is that we received these from a		I mean, all of them were applied, and all of them
	public records source. So I'm comfortable using		are important. But, obviously, in certain contexts,
	them. So I'll redistribute these, which, again, are		some of them may be more relevant than others.
	the depositions you gave in the Tasigna I'm sure	9	So I don't see any you have to look at
10	I'm pronouncing that wrong MDL.	10	the report. I mean, you can't look at this document
11	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	11	in isolation. We should bring that you know, if
12	MR. TISI: Again, for clarification,	12	you pull that off, you could have I'm sure you
13	these have redactions in it. I'm assuming	13	have the report.
14	MR. MARTIN: Those aren't redactions.	14	Q. I do.
15	Those are highlights.	15	A. Let's look at the report and see.
16	MR. TISI: Okay. I got you. Okay. I	16	Q. No. Again, it's not intended to be a
17	didn't get a chance to look through it. Sorry.	17	gotcha question. I'm trying to understand the scope
18	MR. MARTIN: No problem. It does look	18	of your opinion.
19	exactly like sometimes things are redacted.	19	A. Yeah. You have to look at all of that.
20	MR. TISI: Are those highlights your	20	And then, you know, you have to decide, you know,
21	office did?		which in certain cases for example, dose
22	MR. MARTIN: Yes.		response. It may not apply. If a drug is given at
23	MR. TISI: So these were not in the		one dose, how will that apply? I mean, you look at
24	filing		it, but it may not be relevant.
25	MR. MARTIN: Correct. I was just trying	25	Q. If a drug is given in multiple doses, do
1	Page 59 to bring attention to myself and to Dr. Singh.	1	Page 61
1			vou believe doce response is an
1 2	-		you believe dose response is an
2	MR. TISI: I just want to understand	2	A. Yes.
3	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for.	2 3	A. Yes. Q important criteria?
3 4	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for. THE WITNESS: Just so you know, I have	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q important criteria?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>
3 4 5	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for.  THE WITNESS: Just so you know, I have not reviewed this.	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q important criteria?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Can we look back at your report in this</li></ul>
3 4 5 6	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for.  THE WITNESS: Just so you know, I have not reviewed this.  MR. MARTIN: Understood. I'm just going	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q important criteria?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Can we look back at your report in this case?</li></ul>
3 4 5 6 7	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for.  THE WITNESS: Just so you know, I have not reviewed this.  MR. MARTIN: Understood. I'm just going to touch on it very briefly.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q important criteria?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can we look back at your report in this case?</li> <li>MS. PARFITT: Just for the record, it's</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for. THE WITNESS: Just so you know, I have not reviewed this. MR. MARTIN: Understood. I'm just going to touch on it very briefly. THE WITNESS: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q important criteria?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can we look back at your report in this case?</li> <li>MS. PARFITT: Just for the record, it's Exhibit No. 5.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for.  THE WITNESS: Just so you know, I have not reviewed this.  MR. MARTIN: Understood. I'm just going to touch on it very briefly.  THE WITNESS: Sure.  Q. So if you can turn to deposition page 283,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q important criteria?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can we look back at your report in this case?</li> <li>MS. PARFITT: Just for the record, it's Exhibit No. 5.</li> <li>A. 5.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for. THE WITNESS: Just so you know, I have not reviewed this. MR. MARTIN: Understood. I'm just going to touch on it very briefly. THE WITNESS: Sure. Q. So if you can turn to deposition page 283, which is page 72 of the document. So if you can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q important criteria?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can we look back at your report in this case?</li> <li>MS. PARFITT: Just for the record, it's</li> <li>Exhibit No. 5.</li> <li>A. 5.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does the November 2013 (verbatim)</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for. THE WITNESS: Just so you know, I have not reviewed this. MR. MARTIN: Understood. I'm just going to touch on it very briefly. THE WITNESS: Sure. Q. So if you can turn to deposition page 283, which is page 72 of the document. So if you can review where the highlighting begins down to the end	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. Q important criteria? A. Yes. Q. Can we look back at your report in this case? MS. PARFITT: Just for the record, it's Exhibit No. 5. A. 5. Q. Okay. Does the November 2013 (verbatim) report contain all of the opinions that you plan to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for.  THE WITNESS: Just so you know, I have not reviewed this.  MR. MARTIN: Understood. I'm just going to touch on it very briefly.  THE WITNESS: Sure.  Q. So if you can turn to deposition page 283, which is page 72 of the document. So if you can review where the highlighting begins down to the end of the page.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q important criteria? A. Yes. Q. Can we look back at your report in this case? MS. PARFITT: Just for the record, it's Exhibit No. 5. A. 5. Q. Okay. Does the November 2013 (verbatim) report contain all of the opinions that you plan to give in this case that are not included in your
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for. THE WITNESS: Just so you know, I have not reviewed this. MR. MARTIN: Understood. I'm just going to touch on it very briefly. THE WITNESS: Sure. Q. So if you can turn to deposition page 283, which is page 72 of the document. So if you can review where the highlighting begins down to the end of the page. MS. PARFITT: That would be Line 11 down	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q important criteria? A. Yes. Q. Can we look back at your report in this case? MS. PARFITT: Just for the record, it's Exhibit No. 5. A. 5. Q. Okay. Does the November 2013 (verbatim) report contain all of the opinions that you plan to give in this case that are not included in your previous 2019 report?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for.  THE WITNESS: Just so you know, I have not reviewed this.  MR. MARTIN: Understood. I'm just going to touch on it very briefly.  THE WITNESS: Sure.  Q. So if you can turn to deposition page 283, which is page 72 of the document. So if you can review where the highlighting begins down to the end of the page.  MS. PARFITT: That would be Line 11 down to 25?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q important criteria? A. Yes. Q. Can we look back at your report in this case? MS. PARFITT: Just for the record, it's Exhibit No. 5. A. 5. Q. Okay. Does the November 2013 (verbatim) report contain all of the opinions that you plan to give in this case that are not included in your previous 2019 report? A. 2023, to correct. It was not 2013.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for. THE WITNESS: Just so you know, I have not reviewed this. MR. MARTIN: Understood. I'm just going to touch on it very briefly. THE WITNESS: Sure. Q. So if you can turn to deposition page 283, which is page 72 of the document. So if you can review where the highlighting begins down to the end of the page. MS. PARFITT: That would be Line 11 down to 25? MR. MARTIN: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q important criteria? A. Yes. Q. Can we look back at your report in this case? MS. PARFITT: Just for the record, it's Exhibit No. 5. A. 5. Q. Okay. Does the November 2013 (verbatim) report contain all of the opinions that you plan to give in this case that are not included in your previous 2019 report? A. 2023, to correct. It was not 2013. Q. Thank you for fixing that.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for. THE WITNESS: Just so you know, I have not reviewed this. MR. MARTIN: Understood. I'm just going to touch on it very briefly. THE WITNESS: Sure. Q. So if you can turn to deposition page 283, which is page 72 of the document. So if you can review where the highlighting begins down to the end of the page. MS. PARFITT: That would be Line 11 down to 25? MR. MARTIN: Correct. MS. PARFITT: Continuing onto the top	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q important criteria? A. Yes. Q. Can we look back at your report in this case? MS. PARFITT: Just for the record, it's Exhibit No. 5. A. 5. Q. Okay. Does the November 2013 (verbatim) report contain all of the opinions that you plan to give in this case that are not included in your previous 2019 report? A. 2023, to correct. It was not 2013. Q. Thank you for fixing that. A. No. I think, you know, these are linked
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for.  THE WITNESS: Just so you know, I have not reviewed this.  MR. MARTIN: Understood. I'm just going to touch on it very briefly.  THE WITNESS: Sure.  Q. So if you can turn to deposition page 283, which is page 72 of the document. So if you can review where the highlighting begins down to the end of the page.  MS. PARFITT: That would be Line 11 down to 25?  MR. MARTIN: Correct.  MS. PARFITT: Continuing onto the top of.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q important criteria? A. Yes. Q. Can we look back at your report in this case? MS. PARFITT: Just for the record, it's Exhibit No. 5. A. 5. Q. Okay. Does the November 2013 (verbatim) report contain all of the opinions that you plan to give in this case that are not included in your previous 2019 report? A. 2023, to correct. It was not 2013. Q. Thank you for fixing that. A. No. I think, you know, these are linked reports. I mean, it's not that I'm I think I'm
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for. THE WITNESS: Just so you know, I have not reviewed this. MR. MARTIN: Understood. I'm just going to touch on it very briefly. THE WITNESS: Sure. Q. So if you can turn to deposition page 283, which is page 72 of the document. So if you can review where the highlighting begins down to the end of the page. MS. PARFITT: That would be Line 11 down to 25? MR. MARTIN: Correct. MS. PARFITT: Continuing onto the top of. MR. MARTIN: You do not need to continue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q important criteria? A. Yes. Q. Can we look back at your report in this case? MS. PARFITT: Just for the record, it's Exhibit No. 5. A. 5. Q. Okay. Does the November 2013 (verbatim) report contain all of the opinions that you plan to give in this case that are not included in your previous 2019 report? A. 2023, to correct. It was not 2013. Q. Thank you for fixing that. A. No. I think, you know, these are linked reports. I mean, it's not that I'm I think I'm providing testimony on the update between the 19th
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for.  THE WITNESS: Just so you know, I have not reviewed this.  MR. MARTIN: Understood. I'm just going to touch on it very briefly.  THE WITNESS: Sure.  Q. So if you can turn to deposition page 283, which is page 72 of the document. So if you can review where the highlighting begins down to the end of the page.  MS. PARFITT: That would be Line 11 down to 25?  MR. MARTIN: Correct.  MS. PARFITT: Continuing onto the top of.  MR. MARTIN: You do not need to continue onto the top of the page.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. Q important criteria? A. Yes. Q. Can we look back at your report in this case? MS. PARFITT: Just for the record, it's Exhibit No. 5. A. 5. Q. Okay. Does the November 2013 (verbatim) report contain all of the opinions that you plan to give in this case that are not included in your previous 2019 report? A. 2023, to correct. It was not 2013. Q. Thank you for fixing that. A. No. I think, you know, these are linked reports. I mean, it's not that I'm I think I'm providing testimony on the update between the 19th and 23rd in this report. Maybe you can restate the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for.  THE WITNESS: Just so you know, I have not reviewed this.  MR. MARTIN: Understood. I'm just going to touch on it very briefly.  THE WITNESS: Sure.  Q. So if you can turn to deposition page 283, which is page 72 of the document. So if you can review where the highlighting begins down to the end of the page.  MS. PARFITT: That would be Line 11 down to 25?  MR. MARTIN: Correct.  MS. PARFITT: Continuing onto the top of.  MR. MARTIN: You do not need to continue onto the top of the page.  A. "Which of the"? Is that the question?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q important criteria? A. Yes. Q. Can we look back at your report in this case? MS. PARFITT: Just for the record, it's Exhibit No. 5. A. 5. Q. Okay. Does the November 2013 (verbatim) report contain all of the opinions that you plan to give in this case that are not included in your previous 2019 report? A. 2023, to correct. It was not 2013. Q. Thank you for fixing that. A. No. I think, you know, these are linked reports. I mean, it's not that I'm I think I'm providing testimony on the update between the 19th and 23rd in this report. Maybe you can restate the question. I'll try to answer it.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for.  THE WITNESS: Just so you know, I have not reviewed this.  MR. MARTIN: Understood. I'm just going to touch on it very briefly.  THE WITNESS: Sure.  Q. So if you can turn to deposition page 283, which is page 72 of the document. So if you can review where the highlighting begins down to the end of the page.  MS. PARFITT: That would be Line 11 down to 25?  MR. MARTIN: Correct.  MS. PARFITT: Continuing onto the top of.  MR. MARTIN: You do not need to continue onto the top of the page.  A. "Which of the"? Is that the question?  Q. Yes. The question and the answer to that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q important criteria? A. Yes. Q. Can we look back at your report in this case? MS. PARFITT: Just for the record, it's Exhibit No. 5. A. 5. Q. Okay. Does the November 2013 (verbatim) report contain all of the opinions that you plan to give in this case that are not included in your previous 2019 report? A. 2023, to correct. It was not 2013. Q. Thank you for fixing that. A. No. I think, you know, these are linked reports. I mean, it's not that I'm I think I'm providing testimony on the update between the 19th and 23rd in this report. Maybe you can restate the question. I'll try to answer it. Q. Understood.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for.  THE WITNESS: Just so you know, I have not reviewed this.  MR. MARTIN: Understood. I'm just going to touch on it very briefly.  THE WITNESS: Sure.  Q. So if you can turn to deposition page 283, which is page 72 of the document. So if you can review where the highlighting begins down to the end of the page.  MS. PARFITT: That would be Line 11 down to 25?  MR. MARTIN: Correct.  MS. PARFITT: Continuing onto the top of.  MR. MARTIN: You do not need to continue onto the top of the page.  A. "Which of the"? Is that the question?  Q. Yes. The question and the answer to that.  A. The answers is "Temporality is important,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q important criteria? A. Yes. Q. Can we look back at your report in this case? MS. PARFITT: Just for the record, it's Exhibit No. 5. A. 5. Q. Okay. Does the November 2013 (verbatim) report contain all of the opinions that you plan to give in this case that are not included in your previous 2019 report? A. 2023, to correct. It was not 2013. Q. Thank you for fixing that. A. No. I think, you know, these are linked reports. I mean, it's not that I'm I think I'm providing testimony on the update between the 19th and 23rd in this report. Maybe you can restate the question. I'll try to answer it. Q. Understood. MR. TISI: You said "the 19th."
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for. THE WITNESS: Just so you know, I have not reviewed this. MR. MARTIN: Understood. I'm just going to touch on it very briefly. THE WITNESS: Sure. Q. So if you can turn to deposition page 283, which is page 72 of the document. So if you can review where the highlighting begins down to the end of the page. MS. PARFITT: That would be Line 11 down to 25? MR. MARTIN: Correct. MS. PARFITT: Continuing onto the top of. MR. MARTIN: You do not need to continue onto the top of the page. A. "Which of the"? Is that the question? Q. Yes. The question and the answer to that. A. The answers is "Temporality is important, strength of association, consistency, plausible"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q important criteria? A. Yes. Q. Can we look back at your report in this case? MS. PARFITT: Just for the record, it's Exhibit No. 5. A. 5. Q. Okay. Does the November 2013 (verbatim) report contain all of the opinions that you plan to give in this case that are not included in your previous 2019 report? A. 2023, to correct. It was not 2013. Q. Thank you for fixing that. A. No. I think, you know, these are linked reports. I mean, it's not that I'm I think I'm providing testimony on the update between the 19th and 23rd in this report. Maybe you can restate the question. I'll try to answer it. Q. Understood. MR. TISI: You said "the 19th." MS. PARFITT: Let's redo dates here.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TISI: I just want to understand what the highlighting's for.  THE WITNESS: Just so you know, I have not reviewed this.  MR. MARTIN: Understood. I'm just going to touch on it very briefly.  THE WITNESS: Sure.  Q. So if you can turn to deposition page 283, which is page 72 of the document. So if you can review where the highlighting begins down to the end of the page.  MS. PARFITT: That would be Line 11 down to 25?  MR. MARTIN: Correct.  MS. PARFITT: Continuing onto the top of.  MR. MARTIN: You do not need to continue onto the top of the page.  A. "Which of the"? Is that the question?  Q. Yes. The question and the answer to that.  A. The answers is "Temporality is important,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q important criteria? A. Yes. Q. Can we look back at your report in this case? MS. PARFITT: Just for the record, it's Exhibit No. 5. A. 5. Q. Okay. Does the November 2013 (verbatim) report contain all of the opinions that you plan to give in this case that are not included in your previous 2019 report? A. 2023, to correct. It was not 2013. Q. Thank you for fixing that. A. No. I think, you know, these are linked reports. I mean, it's not that I'm I think I'm providing testimony on the update between the 19th and 23rd in this report. Maybe you can restate the question. I'll try to answer it. Q. Understood. MR. TISI: You said "the 19th."

Page 62

- 1 define the full scope of the opinions you plan to
- 3 A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. 4
- 5 A. Sorry about dates.
- Q. No. Understood. Can we turn to page 4 of
- 7 the 2023 report. So you say in page 4 that you use
- 8 the weight-of-the-evidence approach in examining the
- 9 causal relationship. Can you define for me what you
- 10 mean by weight-of-the-evidence approach?
- A. The weight of evidence is, you know,
- 12 gathering all the relevant body of evidence to the
- 13 specific scenario and the cumulative body of
- 14 scientific and medical evidence for a systematic
- 15 review based on animal studies, in vitro studies,
- 16 human studies -- including epidemiologic studies --
- 17 and then -- including published, most of the time,
- 18 you know, peer-reviewed documents, other documents 18
- 19 which -- then examining them for whether they are
- 20 relevant and reliable to the present -- the context
- 21 and then examining them through the lens of the
- 22 Bradford Hill viewpoints.
- 23 And, obviously, these are -- there is no
- 24 quantitative way to say that strength of evidence
- 25 gets 7 points and, you know, biologic plausibility
  - Page 63
- 1 gets 1 and dose response gets 2.
- Q. To the extent you draw causal conclusions
- 3 in your professional work, is this the same
- 4 methodology that you use in your professional work?
- A. So my professional work is clinical; right?
- 6 So I see patients. So we use the differential
- 7 diagnosis as -- we don't necessarily -- we don't
- 8 have to make causal determinations when I see 9 patients.
- Q. Understood. But I guess I was referring to
- 11 your published academic work. To the extent you
- 12 draw causal conclusions in your publications, is it
- 13 the same methodology that you use?
- A. Yeah. I mean, if you are going to draw
- 15 causal conclusion, you would use -- I mean, Health
- 16 Canada has used the same approach. They've used a
- 17 weight-of-evidence approach. So they may have
- 18 defined it differently, but they have used a weight-
- 19 of-evidence approach.
- 20 There are other approaches. I mean, you
- 21 know, it's not, like, the only approach. I'm being
- 22 transparent about what I've gathered, what I've
- 23 used, and how I rate it. I've rated certain
- 24 viewpoints more than others. And that's, you know,
- 25 that's my approach.

- MS. PARFITT: Zack, I'm not sure exactly
  - 2 where you're going. I've giving you a little
  - 3 runway. I think, you know, from 2018, we talked
  - 4 quite a bit about how to make a causal inference
  - 5 using Bradford Hill criteria.
    - MR. MARTIN: Understood.
  - 7 MS. PARFITT: So, again, giving you some 8 pretext but --
  - MR. MARTIN: Understood. Understood.
  - 10 Yeah. I don't want to rehash things we've already

17

1

- 12 MS. PARFITT: Right. I'm looking at his
- 13 prior deposition, so.
- Q. Do you believe the methodology you used in
- 15 this 2023 report is the same as the methodology that
- 16 Health Canada used in their report?
  - MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
  - A. In general, yes. I mean, I'm not -- you
- 19 know, I didn't do exactly the same thing. I
- 20 actually relied on Health Canada so I didn't have to
- 21 redo what they had to do. So in a lot -- in the
- 22 majority of, you know, bodies of evidence that they
- 23 appraised, you know, they appraised, you know, the
- 24 O'Brien study. They appraised a lot of the
- 25 mechanistic studies. So I relied on them.

- But their -- in terms of assessment, yes.
- 2 I, you know -- to my best knowledge, I was, you
- 3 know, applying the weight-of-evidence approach.
- Q. You mentioned that you conducted a Bradford
- 5 Hill analysis in your 2023 report. You also
- 6 conducted one in your 2018 report. And your opinion
- 7 in 2018 was that the evidence was sufficient to
- 8 reach a causal conclusion. Why did you feel the
- 9 need to conduct an additional Bradford Hill analysis 10 in 2023?
- 11 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 12 A. So evidence was sufficient to reach a
- 13 causal conclusion at that time. But when I was
- 14 asked to update my report, I -- this is not
- 15 something of my own choosing. I was asked to do it.
- 16 And so I could not just look at the body of
- 17 evidence and, you know, at the end of -- say "Well,
- 18 you know, I agree with what I said then." So I had
- 19 to look at it through the lens of what is known in
- 20 the previous time, what is here. So I would have to
- 21 do the Bradford Hill analysis.
- Q. So is it fair to say that your opinion is 22
- 23 that, given the intervening new science, you felt it
- 24 important to do a new Bradford Hill analysis?
- 25 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates his

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217293

Page 66

1 testimony.

- A. No. I think that whatever I evaluated from
- 3 2019 -- let's get the dates right -- until, you
- 4 know -- 2018 was my report -- then 2023, this new
- 5 search, I felt that, since I was going to provide a
- 6 causal opinion, updated causal opinion, while at
- 7 that time, you know, the opinion, you know, body of
- 8 evidence was sufficient -- if you're looking at a
- 9 body of evidence and, again, arriving at a causal
- 10 opinion -- then, you know, I had to be transparent
- 11 in my assessment. I could not, for example, end at
- 12 page 2 -- 17 and just give you a one-line
- 13 conclusion.
- Q. Can we look at page --14
- 15 A. This is my report; right?
- Q. Yes, it is. 16
- 17 A. Which page is it?
- Q. Well, I guess I'll ask a general question.
- 19 You can look through your report.
- 20 Do you agree that, in your 2023 report, you
- 21 assigned less weight to the factor of dose response
- 22 or biological gradient than some of the other
- 23 factors?
- 24 A. Let me just look at the wording that I
- 25 used.

Page 67

- Q. Yes. It's on page 21. 1
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. And can you point to any literature
- 4 suggesting that it's appropriate to weight the dose
- 5 response factor less than the other factors?
- MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 7 A. So it is not that -- it is -- I'm trying to
- 8 be transparent on -- for example, there's no
- 9 literature to suggest that experiments should be --
- 10 you're not going to get experimental data on this
- 11 question.
- 12 So, of course, I weighed -- it's my
- 13 weighting of the factors. Does not mean that those
- 14 dose response is not -- I evaluated dose response.
- 15 There were some -- you know, several studies which
- 16 provided dose response; some studies did not.
- 17 So I weighed -- so my -- I think I have to
- 18 be clear that my assessment -- the factors driving
- 19 my assessment are consistency and strength of
- 20 evidence, temporality, plausibility, and coherence.
- So dose response is also there but to a
- 22 lesser -- so it's my assessment of the viewpoints.
- 23 It's not necessarily, you know -- you're right.
- 24 There's not literature suggesting that dose response
- 25 should not be used in assessment.

Q. All right. Thank you. Are you offering

- 2 the opinion that cosmetic talcum powder contains 3 asbestos?
- A. So my causality opinion's not predicated on 4
- 5 presence of asbestos in talc. But as was noted in
- 6 my previous report and in my present report, I'm
- 7 aware of studies that provide evidence that talc may
- 8 contain asbestos.
  - Q. Which studies are you relying on for that?
- 10 MS. PARFITT: I guess I'll give a bit of
- 11 leeway. Again, this area was examined at his prior
- 12 deposition, 2019.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Let me answer.
- 14 MS. PARFITT: I'm giving you context --
- 15 I'm giving you a little leeway. Go ahead,
- 16 Dr. Singh.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I have to find it now.
- 18 MS. PARFITT: Take your time.
- 19 Q. It's on page 13, I think.
- 20 A. Let's see. I just want to be precise by my
- 21 language. Yeah. So the studies I'm relying on are
- 22 the FDA testing, testing by -- that was cited in the
- 23 previous report, I think, Johnson & Johnson testing,
- 24 and then obviously the testing by Dr. Longo and
- 25 Rigler and now, subsequent to this, the EPA

- 1 conclusions about the presence of asbestos in talc. 2
- Again, I'm not a mineralogist, but several 3 lines of evidence -- in fact, even epidemiologists
- 4 have at least acknowledged and are starting to
- 5 interpret the evidence that -- for example, O'Brien
- 6 in a recent paper on fibroids said that, you know,
- 7 talc may be contaminated with asbestos.
- O. Let's break that down --8
- 9 A. Sure.
- 10 Q. -- one by one. You mentioned an EPA study
- 11 that you said was subsequent to -- do you mean
- 12 subsequent to your report or subsequent to your
- 13 previous deposition?
- 14 A. No. Subsequent to my report. Like, this
- 15 EPA -- not study. It's an EPA ruling.
- MR. MARTIN: Was that in the materials 16
- 17 that you gave us Monday?
- 18 MS. PARFITT: Yes, it was.
  - THE WITNESS: That's the EPA ruling. I
- 20 mean, it just came out -- what -- a couple of weeks
- 21 ago?

- 22 MS. PARFITT: Yes.
- 23 THE WITNESS: But we have to bring it
- 24 out. I don't remember.
- 25 MR. TISI: If you want a copy, I can get

Page 70 Page 72 A. And I will not be testifying to testing of 1 a copy. 2 talc and presence. 2 MR. MARTIN: If you have one handy, I'll 3 3 take a quick look at it and review it over the Q. Understood. 4 A. And quantity of -- sorry -- quantity of 4 break. 5 asbestos and --MR. TISI: Sure. Q. Understood. Have you personally reviewed THE WITNESS: Yeah. This was not 6 6 7 the testing done by Drs. Longo and Rigler? 7 included in my citations. MS. PARFITT: For the record, it was, in 8 A. Yes. I reviewed the report. 9 9 fact, provided in the Dropbox, just for the record. Q. You reviewed the report? 10 And we will give you a copy. 10 A. Yeah. I -- you know, I reviewed the 11 methods. And to the extent I can understand it, I MR. TISI: I'd appreciate if you are 11 12 understood it. But I'm not expert in that area. 12 able to give it back because it's my only copy. 13 MR. MARTIN: No problem. I can probably Q. Have you reviewed any testing -- asbestos 14 testing performed by defense experts? 14 get it from the Dropbox. 15 A. Yeah. I've seen their -- I don't know if 15 MR. TISI: Can I just check something, 16 it's exhibits. I don't know if I've seen their 16 make sure I don't have writing on it? Q. Let's talk about the FDA. Are you 17 testing. 18 Q. Have you seen their reports? 18 referring to the 2019 FDA findings that prompted a 19 MS. PARFITT: Again, if you could be a 20 little more --20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Have you seen the report of any defense 21 Q. Okay. Do you know how many samples the FDA 22 tested in 2019? 22 expert who performed asbestos testing? 23 A. I don't remember, off the top of my head. A. No, I have not. 24 Q. Okay. Do you believe that you're qualified 24 But it was something bot -- so there's a distinction 25 to weigh -- let's strike that question. Start over. 25 between samples and bottles. Page 71 Page 73 Q. Understood. Do you know what X-ray diffraction is? 1 1 2 A. You're asking about samples or bottles? A. No. I don't. 3 Q. I will ask about samples first. 3 Q. Okay. A. Yeah. I don't recall exactly. But it was A. I think we should stop at that line of 5 something about -- it's approximate -- I don't like 5 questioning. I mean, you can ask. You can ask. 6 approximations. It was 52 or -- yeah. I don't want Q. I just like to get a record. Polarized 7 to approximate. 7 light microscopy? A. I mean, I know the name. I can tell you Q. Okay. Understood. If the answer is "no," 9 that can be the answer. 9 what TEM was. But how is that performed? No, I 10 A. Yeah. Yeah. I don't --10 don't. Q. Do you know what percentage of those Q. That was -- the question is do you feel 11 12 samples tested positive? 12 like you're qualified to weigh the strengths and 13 weaknesses of those forms of asbestos testing? 13 A. No. I can't recall. 14 Q. Okay. And do you know how many samples of 14 A. No. 15 J&J baby powder have been tested by the FDA in 15 Q. Okay. 16 16 history? A. I know TEM is better. I mean, I read. 17 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. 17 Q. What do you read that says TEM is better? 18 A. No, I don't. I don't. I know that there 18 A. The FDA's, you know, moving towards TEM. 19 have been many. 19 Again, I don't want to get into that area of Q. You don't know how many of those tested 20 testing. Yeah. 21 positive in history? Q. Well, I think it's important, if you're

22 going to offer an opinion about whether talc takes 23 -- contains asbestos, that we understand what that

A. Yeah. I mean, the opinion is based on, you

24 opinion is based on.

25

24 answered.

A. No.

Q. Okay.

MS. PARFITT: Objection. Asked and

22

23

Page 74

- 1 know, these citations, the EPA report and their, you
- 2 know -- they would be the experts to answer whether,
- 3 you know, the methodology was appropriate. I mean,
- 4 I -- on my reading, it appears to be appropriate.
- Q. When you say "they," are you referring to
- 6 Drs. Longo and Rigler?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 MS. PARFITT: He mentioned IARC. He
- 9 mentioned EPA.
- Q. Let me ask it as an open-ended question.
- 12 referring to?
- 13 A. Yeah. When -- obviously, the evidence I
- 14 cite is from the FDA. I mean, so you can go to the
- 15 FDA. You can go to Longo and Rigler. You can go
- 16 to, you know, the EPA and look at their methodology
- 17 and provide -- yeah.
- 18 Q. Let's turn to page 14 of your report.
- 19 A. Sure.
- 20 Q. The final paragraph before Section 8, so
- 21 final paragraph of Section 7, beginning with
- 22 "Vimercati, et al."
- 23 A. Yeah.

4

- 24 Q. You say that Vimercati is a case series
- 25 with four cases: Two with talc exposure, and one

- Page 76 Q. Okay. At your last deposition, you said
  - 2 that you would not be offering an opinion on the
  - 3 quantity of asbestos exposure necessary to cause
  - 4 ovarian cancer. Is that still the case?
  - 5 A. That is correct.
  - 6 Q. Okay. You mention here "retrograde
  - 7 migration of talc." Are you going to be offering an
  - 8 opinion that talc can reach the ovaries through
  - 9 inhalation?
  - 10 A. I mean, I -- some have suggested that.
- 11 When you said "they" in that question, what were you 11 Like, for example, Schildkraut suggests that in her
  - 12 paper, the AACES study. I am, you know -- that's
  - 13 one biologic plausibility opinion that I, you know,
  - 14 included in my 2018 report -- 2018? Yeah.
  - 15 MS. PARFITT: 2018.
    - A. That's one plausible mechanism.
  - 17 Q. Do you have an opinion related to fibrous
  - 18 talc?

16

- 19 A. I mean, I have an opinion related to
- 20 whatever's in the bot -- you know, I was examining
- 21 the association. I was not disaggregating talc,
- 22 talc containing asbestos, fibrous talc. You know,
- 23 what's in that bottle, whatever's -- the studies
- 24 reported, I'm not going to be able to disaggregate
- 25 those components of talc.

Page 77

- 1 with an identified amphibole fiber of tremolite. Is
- 2 it your standard practice to draw causal conclusions

MS. PARFITT: Objection. Asked and

- 3 from a single case report?
- 5 answered. A. No. I'm not drawing any causal conclusion
- 7 from that single -- I mean, again, the causality
- 8 assessment is based on the whole body of evidence.
- 9 You know, I did a supplement. I found studies. So
- 10 I noted it. So, you know, that one study or case
- 11 series is not going to drive the causal assessment.
- 12 Q. So the answer to the question is no; but,
- 13 also, you didn't do that here.
- 14 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates his
- 15 testimony.
- A. What do you mean by -- ask the question. 16
- 17 Ask the question. Of course, I have to cite. If
- 18 the studies are there, you have to cite the study.
- 19 But the causality assessment is not based on this.
- 20 It is not even predicated on the presence of
- 21 asbestos, you know.
- 22 Q. Understood. At your last deposition, you
- 23 said you were not familiar with the term "cleavage
- 24 fragments." Is that still the case?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Who drafted your 2023 supplemental expert 1 2 report?
- 3 A. Me, me, and only me.
- 4 Q. You wrote every word in it?
- 5 A. Every single word in it.
- Q. Okay. Did you have any discussions with
- 7 lawyers before you drafted it?
- 8 MS. PARFITT: Objection to any
- 9 discussions with counsel as to the content.
- 10 Q. Okay. Can you answer that as a yes-or-no
- 11 question without telling me the content of those
- 12 discussions, if they exist?
- 13 MS. PARFITT: Just so I understand,
- 14 Mr. Martin -- I'm not being difficult -- but have we
- 15 talked to him since his 2018 report when we asked --
- 16 advised him that the Court required an updated
- 17 report? Help me out here. Of course we've talked
- 19 Q. Okay.

18 to him.

- 20 A. Yeah, I did have a discussion. Yeah.
- 21
- 22 MS. PARFITT: We talked to him about
- 23 coming to this deposition.
- 24 MR. MARTIN: I'm sorry. I meant in
- 25 advance of drafting the 2023 report.

- A. Yeah. They had to -- because if I hadn't 1
- 2 known it, I wouldn't have drafted it. There would
- 3 have to be a discussion.
- Q. Do you remember approximately how many
- 5 discussions you had?
- A. It was -- that should have been noted in my
- 7 thing because --
- Q. Fair point. If you had a discussion, it
- 9 would be noted on the invoice?
- 10 A. I noted discussion separately and my review
- 11 separately, I think.
- 12 Q. Let me just check and make sure that's
- 13 right.
- 14 A. I hope I did.
- 15 Q. Sounds right but -- telephonic
- 16 consultation?
- 17 A. Yes. So that's the discussion.
- Q. Understood. Did you personally identify
- 19 all of the documents included in your -- all of the
- 20 documents on the reference list of your 2023 report?
- A. The majority. They did provide some
- 22 additional documents, for example, you know, the
- 23 depositions and, you know, Longo's testing so -- and
- 24 the EPA testing. I mean, I had heard about the EPA
- 25 test, and I had it. But I didn't have the full text
  - Page 79

- 1 of it.
- Q. When you received materials from counsel,
- 3 did you ask for those? Or were they provided to you
- 4 without asking?
- A. Some of them, like, I asked them to source
- 6 the references because, you know, I didn't have it
- 7 in my library. So I may have asked one or two
- 8 references for them to source it. Like, I had
- 9 identified it, but in some cases they did provide
- 10 it.
- Q. Let's look back at your report, page 3. In
- 12 that box there, that contains the search terms that
- 13 you used to identify new articles for your
- 14 deposition?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And all the articles that were
- 17 responsive to those search terms are included in
- 18 Appendix A to your report; is that right?
- A. Yeah. And the ones that I excluded are --
- 20 there's two lists; right? There's an included and
- 21 relevant list. And there is a potentially, you
- 22 know, excluded is -- the, you know -- the -- some of
- 23 them may have been cited for context. But the ones
- 24 in the references are the main articles that are
- 25 relevant to this report.

- Page 80 Q. Understood. So can we turn to Appendix A?
  - 2 A. Which is?
  - 3 MS. PARFITT: We're referencing the '23
  - 4 report?
  - 5 Q. Right. Appendix A of the 2023 report,
  - 6 which follows the reference list.
  - 7 A. Okay. Yes.
  - 8 Q. Were any studies -- let me back up.
  - 9 There are no rows for which I see multiple
  - 10 Xs under -- let me back up further.
  - The chart includes two columns under
  - 12 "include" and several columns under "exclude." The
  - 13 "exclude" columns would be reasons for which you
  - 14 excluded literature that you identified in your
  - 15 search; right?
  - 16 A. Sure.
  - Q. Okay. And if you listed an article and 17
  - 18 there was an X under an "exclude" category, that was
  - 19 the reason you excluded it?
  - 20 A. Yeah. So just to be clear -- and I think I
  - 21 explained that -- article could be -- have been
  - 22 excluded for multiple reasons. But that Appendix A
  - 23 just denotes one reason.
  - 24 For example, article could have been a
  - 25 duplicate and not have original data as well. But,

- Page 81
- 1 you know, that is as transparent as I could be in 2 terms of that.
- Q. You anticipated my next question. So thank
- 4 you. What was your basis for excluding an article
- 5 as nonrelevant?
- A. Well, so I state that in the report. So we
- 7 should look at that. So, I mean, in the section on
- 8 "eligibility criteria," I included epidemiologic
- 9 studies --
- 10 MS. PARFITT: That's page 3.
- 11 O. Yes.
- 12 A. -- which provided data, so they had to
- 13 provide data on association. I included study
- 14 designs. I included, you know, the cohort studies,
- 15 case control studies, umbrella reviews, systematic
- 16 reviews, meta-analysis, pooled analysis.
- 17 I examined the references to find
- 18 additional articles which provided data. I also
- 19 included studies that reported on biological
- 20 mechanisms and causal pathways that either supported
- 21 or refuted the role of talc. And this could include
- 22 different study design.
- 23 When I say, after consideration, I excluded
- 24 narrative reviews or opinion articles that did not
- 25 provide original articles -- original data that are

Page 82 Page 84 1 not deemed relevant, some of them were cited for 1 and ovarian cancer." 2 context, so -- but in terms of the -- you know, my A. Sure. Sure. 3 3 opinion, I am focusing on, you know, systematic Q. You have this marked as not relevant 4 review that have studies that provide data. 4 because it is not a systematic review or Q. How do you define "cited for context"? 5 meta-analysis; is that correct? 6 What exactly do you mean by that? A. That is correct. And does not provide 7 A. Well, they are cited. You know, they are 7 original data. Yeah. 8 cited in the report. Yeah. Q. Okay. Let me ask you about original data. Q. But you seem to be drawing a distinction 9 So -- okay. Yes. So it would be relevant if it 10 between "cited for context" and "cited 10 provided original data, on the one hand, or if it 11 substantively"? 11 was a systematic review or meta-analysis on the 12 A. Yeah. 12 other hand. 13 Q. Can you explain what you mean by the A. Yes. Exactly. So, for example, I mean, in 14 distinction? 14 terms of context, you know, if you look at 62 -- I'm 15 A. Sure. For example -- and I have to, you 15 trying to answer -- your question is -- where is 16 know -- I don't want to make sort of assumptions 16 that Rosner -- 77, Row 77. It's also excluded. It 17 about anything. But, for example, let's see -- I 17 does not have original data. But that is, like, a 18 don't -- I can't come up with -- but, you know, it 18 citation for context. I'm trying to explain why, 19 will be, like, response to an article which 19 you know, because, you know, it was excluded. 20 explains, you know, the underlying -- yeah. So, for Q. So going back to 29, Goodman 2020, it's 21 example, if you look at -- let's see if I can 21 entitled "A systematic review." Can you explain to 22 explain this better. For example -- I can't find 22 me the difference between a systematic review and a 23 that. 23 -- I'm sorry. It's entitled "A critical review." 24 Q. We can --24 Can you explain to me the difference between a 25 MS. PARFITT: Just give him a moment. 25 critical review and systematic review? Page 83 1 He doesn't mind. A. Sure. You know, a systematic review would A. Yeah. I mean, there are -- I'm trying to 2 provide search dates, would provide what are the 3 look through the list of excluded articles. 3 studies they included -- you know, similar to what I Q. I'll withdraw the question. 4 have here -- would provide criteria for inclusion, 5 A. No. No. 5 exclusion and, in many cases, assessment of bias Q. I don't want to spend time on this. I 6 and, if the data are appropriate, do a pooled 7 don't want to spend time on this. I'll withdraw the 7 analysis or -- meta-analysis. Sorry. Q. Let's look at Row 27. This is one that you 8 question. MR. MARTIN: Can we take a break? Off 9 did include as relevant; right? This is Gabriel, et 10 the record. 10 al., "Douching, talc use, and risk for ovarian 11 (A break was taken) 11 cancer and conditions related to genital tract 12 MR. MARTIN: Back on the record. 12 inflammation," 2019. A. Which is --Q. We were looking at Appendix A to your 2023 13 MS. PARFITT: 27, Gabriel. 14 report when we broke. And can we look at Row 29 of 14 15 this? 15 MR. MARTIN: Let's introduce this as an 16 exhibit. 16 MS. PARFITT: Row 29 of Appendix A? 17 MR. MARTIN: Yes. Which should be 17 Q. Sorry. So let's just look at your report, 18 Goodman, et al. 18 again, page 12. 19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 19 A. Okay. 20 MS. PARFITT: For the record, if you 20 Q. So this is the same document, just going 21 don't mind, since there's two Goodmans. Goodman 21 back to the body of it. You described this as a 22 '23? 22 case control study in the body of your report, so MR. MARTIN: No. Goodman 2020. 23 23 not a systematic review or meta-analysis; right?

24

25

A. That's what I state here.

Q. Okay. But it's included because it

MS. PARFITT: 2020. Thank you.

Q. It's entitled "A critical review of talc

24

	Page 86		Page 88
1	contains original data?	1	depending on bias
2	A. Yeah.	2	Q. Let's talk about financial bias
3	Q. Just wanted to	3	specifically. Are you aware of any financial bias
4	A. Sure.	4	they have?
5	Q put a finer point.	5	MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
6	A. I have to look at I want to make sure I	6	A. No.
7	have it in front of me if you're going to ask	7	Q. Okay.
8	specific questions.	8	MR. MARTIN: Let's mark this as an
9	Q. Understood. We will probably return to it	9	exhibit. This is the Wentzensen, O'Brien article I
10	later today, but that was the only question I had at	10	just mentioned. I have a wrongly paginated version
11	this point. Let's go back to Appendix A, Row 92.	11	of this, unfortunately.
12	Sorry. There's a lot of flipping through paper	12	THE WITNESS: I read it. So you can
13	today.	13	point
14	A. That's okay, as long as I have it in front	14	MR. MARTIN: I understand. I need to
15	of me.	15	find my place in it because I have it paginated
16	(2021 article by Nicolas Wentzensen, et	16	differently in my outline than it is here.
17	al., Exhibit 12, marked)	17	• •
18	Q. This is Wentzensen, O'Brien, "Talc, body	18	Q. If you can look at page 8 of the document,
19	powder, and ovarian cancer: A summary of the	19	halfway through the second paragraph of the
1	epidemiological evidence" from 2021		conclusion.
21	A. Yes.	21	A. Give me a second.
22	Q published in Gynecologic Oncology.	22	MS. PARFITT: Page 8.
23	A. That is correct.	23	_
24	Q. Do you think Gynecologic Oncology is a	24	"Taken together, the epidemiological data from case
25	reputable journal?		control studies and cohort studies"
	Page 87		Page 89
1	A. Yes.	1	A. I'm sorry. I'm not there.
2	Q. Have you cited things from it?	2	
3	A. Yes.	-	Q. Let me know when you get there.
	A. Tes.	3	<ul><li>Q. Let me know when you get there.</li><li>A. Page 8 where? Second paragraph?</li></ul>
4	Q. I think you cited some things from it in		
4 5		3 4	A. Page 8 where? Second paragraph?
	Q. I think you cited some things from it in	3 4	<ul><li>A. Page 8 where? Second paragraph?</li><li>Q. Second paragraph of the "conclusion"</li></ul>
5	Q. I think you cited some things from it in your expert report.	3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. Page 8 where? Second paragraph?</li><li>Q. Second paragraph of the "conclusion" section.</li></ul>
5 6 7	<ul><li>Q. I think you cited some things from it in your expert report.</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. Page 8 where? Second paragraph?</li><li>Q. Second paragraph of the "conclusion" section.</li><li>A. Second paragraph. "Taken together." Okay.</li><li>Got it.</li></ul>
5 6 7	<ul><li>Q. I think you cited some things from it in your expert report.</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Do you know by the reputation of either</li></ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>A. Page 8 where? Second paragraph?</li><li>Q. Second paragraph of the "conclusion" section.</li><li>A. Second paragraph. "Taken together." Okay.</li><li>Got it.</li></ul>
5 6 7 8	<ul><li>Q. I think you cited some things from it in your expert report.</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Do you know by the reputation of either Dr. Wentzensen or Dr. O'Brien?</li></ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Page 8 where? Second paragraph?</li> <li>Q. Second paragraph of the "conclusion" section.</li> <li>A. Second paragraph. "Taken together." Okay.</li> <li>Got it.</li> <li>Q. "Taken together, the epidemiological data</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. I think you cited some things from it in your expert report.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you know by the reputation of either Dr. Wentzensen or Dr. O'Brien?</li> <li>A. No. But I've read a lot of the articles.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Page 8 where? Second paragraph?</li> <li>Q. Second paragraph of the "conclusion" section.</li> <li>A. Second paragraph. "Taken together." Okay.</li> <li>Got it.</li> <li>Q. "Taken together, the epidemiological data from case control studies and cohort studies suggest</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. I think you cited some things from it in your expert report.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you know by the reputation of either Dr. Wentzensen or Dr. O'Brien?</li> <li>A. No. But I've read a lot of the articles.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe that</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Page 8 where? Second paragraph?  Q. Second paragraph of the "conclusion" section.  A. Second paragraph. "Taken together." Okay.  Got it.  Q. "Taken together, the epidemiological data from case control studies and cohort studies suggest that there may be a small positive association
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. I think you cited some things from it in your expert report.  A. Yes. Q. Do you know by the reputation of either Dr. Wentzensen or Dr. O'Brien?  A. No. But I've read a lot of the articles. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that they'd be biased one way or another?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Page 8 where? Second paragraph?  Q. Second paragraph of the "conclusion" section.  A. Second paragraph. "Taken together." Okay.  Got it.  Q. "Taken together, the epidemiological data from case control studies and cohort studies suggest that there may be a small positive association between genital powder use and ovarian cancer, which
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. I think you cited some things from it in your expert report.  A. Yes. Q. Do you know by the reputation of either Dr. Wentzensen or Dr. O'Brien?  A. No. But I've read a lot of the articles. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that they'd be biased one way or another?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.  A. I mean, biased in the terms of, you know,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Page 8 where? Second paragraph?  Q. Second paragraph of the "conclusion" section.  A. Second paragraph. "Taken together." Okay.  Got it.  Q. "Taken together, the epidemiological data from case control studies and cohort studies suggest that there may be a small positive association between genital powder use and ovarian cancer, which may be limited to women with patent reproductive
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. I think you cited some things from it in your expert report.  A. Yes. Q. Do you know by the reputation of either Dr. Wentzensen or Dr. O'Brien?  A. No. But I've read a lot of the articles. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that they'd be biased one way or another?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.  A. I mean, biased in the terms of, you know,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Page 8 where? Second paragraph?  Q. Second paragraph of the "conclusion" section.  A. Second paragraph. "Taken together." Okay.  Got it.  Q. "Taken together, the epidemiological data from case control studies and cohort studies suggest that there may be a small positive association between genital powder use and ovarian cancer, which may be limited to women with patent reproductive tracts."  Do you agree with Wentzensen that there's a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. I think you cited some things from it in your expert report.  A. Yes. Q. Do you know by the reputation of either Dr. Wentzensen or Dr. O'Brien?  A. No. But I've read a lot of the articles. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that they'd be biased one way or another?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.  A. I mean, biased in the terms of, you know, what kind of bias? You know, bias works in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Page 8 where? Second paragraph?  Q. Second paragraph of the "conclusion" section.  A. Second paragraph. "Taken together." Okay.  Got it.  Q. "Taken together, the epidemiological data from case control studies and cohort studies suggest that there may be a small positive association between genital powder use and ovarian cancer, which may be limited to women with patent reproductive tracts."  Do you agree with Wentzensen that there's a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. I think you cited some things from it in your expert report.  A. Yes. Q. Do you know by the reputation of either Dr. Wentzensen or Dr. O'Brien?  A. No. But I've read a lot of the articles. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that they'd be biased one way or another?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.  A. I mean, biased in the terms of, you know, what kind of bias? You know, bias works in different forms. Financially? No. They work at,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Page 8 where? Second paragraph?  Q. Second paragraph of the "conclusion" section.  A. Second paragraph. "Taken together." Okay.  Got it.  Q. "Taken together, the epidemiological data from case control studies and cohort studies suggest that there may be a small positive association between genital powder use and ovarian cancer, which may be limited to women with patent reproductive tracts."  Do you agree with Wentzensen that there's a small association between genital powder use and
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. I think you cited some things from it in your expert report.  A. Yes. Q. Do you know by the reputation of either Dr. Wentzensen or Dr. O'Brien? A. No. But I've read a lot of the articles. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that they'd be biased one way or another?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. A. I mean, biased in the terms of, you know, what kind of bias? You know, bias works in different forms. Financially? No. They work at, as far as where, you know, NCI.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Page 8 where? Second paragraph?  Q. Second paragraph of the "conclusion" section.  A. Second paragraph. "Taken together." Okay.  Got it.  Q. "Taken together, the epidemiological data from case control studies and cohort studies suggest that there may be a small positive association between genital powder use and ovarian cancer, which may be limited to women with patent reproductive tracts."  Do you agree with Wentzensen that there's a small association between genital powder use and ovarian cancer?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. I think you cited some things from it in your expert report.  A. Yes. Q. Do you know by the reputation of either Dr. Wentzensen or Dr. O'Brien?  A. No. But I've read a lot of the articles. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that they'd be biased one way or another?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.  A. I mean, biased in the terms of, you know, what kind of bias? You know, bias works in different forms. Financially? No. They work at, as far as where, you know, NCI.  But there are other sources of bias, like confirmation bias. You know, researchers publish	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Page 8 where? Second paragraph?  Q. Second paragraph of the "conclusion" section.  A. Second paragraph. "Taken together." Okay.  Got it.  Q. "Taken together, the epidemiological data from case control studies and cohort studies suggest that there may be a small positive association between genital powder use and ovarian cancer, which may be limited to women with patent reproductive tracts."  Do you agree with Wentzensen that there's a small association between genital powder use and ovarian cancer?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. I think you cited some things from it in your expert report.  A. Yes. Q. Do you know by the reputation of either Dr. Wentzensen or Dr. O'Brien? A. No. But I've read a lot of the articles. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that they'd be biased one way or another?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. A. I mean, biased in the terms of, you know, what kind of bias? You know, bias works in different forms. Financially? No. They work at, as far as where, you know, NCI.  But there are other sources of bias, like confirmation bias. You know, researchers publish findings. And then there are subsequent study	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Page 8 where? Second paragraph?  Q. Second paragraph of the "conclusion" section.  A. Second paragraph. "Taken together." Okay.  Got it.  Q. "Taken together, the epidemiological data from case control studies and cohort studies suggest that there may be a small positive association between genital powder use and ovarian cancer, which may be limited to women with patent reproductive tracts."  Do you agree with Wentzensen that there's a small association between genital powder use and ovarian cancer?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.  A. I mean, I'm not going to qualify it. But,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. I think you cited some things from it in your expert report.  A. Yes. Q. Do you know by the reputation of either Dr. Wentzensen or Dr. O'Brien? A. No. But I've read a lot of the articles. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that they'd be biased one way or another?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. A. I mean, biased in the terms of, you know, what kind of bias? You know, bias works in different forms. Financially? No. They work at, as far as where, you know, NCI.  But there are other sources of bias, like confirmation bias. You know, researchers publish findings. And then there are subsequent study	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Page 8 where? Second paragraph?  Q. Second paragraph of the "conclusion" section.  A. Second paragraph. "Taken together." Okay.  Got it.  Q. "Taken together, the epidemiological data from case control studies and cohort studies suggest that there may be a small positive association between genital powder use and ovarian cancer, which may be limited to women with patent reproductive tracts."  Do you agree with Wentzensen that there's a small association between genital powder use and ovarian cancer?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.  A. I mean, I'm not going to qualify it. But, you know, they provided and other studies have
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. I think you cited some things from it in your expert report.  A. Yes. Q. Do you know by the reputation of either Dr. Wentzensen or Dr. O'Brien? A. No. But I've read a lot of the articles. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that they'd be biased one way or another?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. A. I mean, biased in the terms of, you know, what kind of bias? You know, bias works in different forms. Financially? No. They work at, as far as where, you know, NCI.  But there are other sources of bias, like confirmation bias. You know, researchers publish findings. And then there are subsequent study they pattern these findings.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Page 8 where? Second paragraph?  Q. Second paragraph of the "conclusion" section.  A. Second paragraph. "Taken together." Okay.  Got it.  Q. "Taken together, the epidemiological data from case control studies and cohort studies suggest that there may be a small positive association between genital powder use and ovarian cancer, which may be limited to women with patent reproductive tracts."  Do you agree with Wentzensen that there's a small association between genital powder use and ovarian cancer?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.  A. I mean, I'm not going to qualify it. But, you know, they provided and other studies have provided evidence that, based on the biological

24 Q. You mentioned in that answer "biological

25 mechanism." Is biological mechanism relevant to

24 something totally out of the ordinary. That's well

25 established in the scientific literature. Yes. So

Page 90

1 consideration of an association, or is it relevant

- 2 to consideration of causation?
- A. I mean, yeah, causation.
- Q. Okay. Do you agree with the statement that
- 5 it may be limited to women with patent reproductive 6 tracts?
- 7 A. I mean, that is one possible biological
- 8 mechanism. But, you know, if -- and, again, we went
- 9 through this before. But if the other -- there are
- 10 other biological mechanisms such as inhalation,
- 11 then, you know, it may not be limited.
- Q. I guess I'm not asking at this point for a 12
- 13 discussion of biological mechanism. Do you believe
- 14 that the associations shown in the literature are
- 15 limited to women with patent reproductive tracts?
- MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. 16
- 17 A. Not necessarily. I mean, her study broke
- 18 it down, and some of the more recent studies have.
- 19 And that is probably the most likely biological
- 20 mechanism. But other studies have shown in, you
- 21 know -- the earlier studies did not adjust for
- 22 patent tracts. And they still showed -- so if we
- 23 would rely on those studies, then yes.

1 hysterectomy and those who have not.

A. I think she's a "she."

2

3

7

10

17

21

8 guess. 9

A. Exactly.

Q. Presumably, those studies would include

Q. And you just used a pronoun in the last

Q. Her name is Katie. I'm going to make that

A. I also made a guess. I have not met her.

Q. In the same paragraph, the sentence that

14 premenopausal women and postmenopausal women who

I believe you may have said this in your

18 report. But do you agree with Cramer and Wentzensen 19 that the association is limited to premenopausal

MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.

A. You have to point me where I wrote that.

23 But, I mean, I see that study and I -- you know,

24 this was -- this study was cited in my previous

25 report. But I want to make sure that -- what is the

20 women and postmenopausal women with hormone therapy?

11 follows, "Data from a large case control study 12 suggested that associations between talc use and

15 used hormone therapy." And the citation is 39,

13 ovarian cancer were largely confined to

16 which is Cramer 2016.

4 answer. You said "she." I assume you mean 5 Dr. O'Brien, one of the co-authors in this paper.

25 women both who have had tubal ligation or

Page 92

- 1 statement that I'm being asked to comment on.
- Q. Let's just move on. I don't need to dig
- 3 through your report for it.
- 4 Next paragraph of this "conclusion"
- 5 section, the second sentence which -- the third
- 6 sentence, actually, "However, the experimental and
- 7 animal carcinogenicity data for talc are limited and
- 8 inconclusive. There are currently no good animal or
- 9 experimental models of ovarian carcinogenesis that
- 10 could be used to more directly test biological
- 11 effects of talc."
- 12 A. Where are you?
- 13 Q. I apologize. It's two sentences down.
- 14 A. Got it. Yeah.
- 15 O. You see where it is --
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. The question I have is whether you 17
- 18 agree that there are currently no good animal or
- 19 experimental models of ovarian carcinogenesis.
- 20 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 21 Misstates his testimony.
- 22 MR. MARTIN: The question is whether he
- 23 agrees.
- 24 A. So I think, you know, assessment of
- 25 causality is, you know -- she -- she's making a

Page 91

Page 93 1 statement. Does not make a -- you have to examine

- 2 animal models. You have to examine experimental
- 3 models, but it is really about biologic
- 4 plausibility.
- And she, actually, in a recent paper on
- 6 fibroids provides the best example of an
- 7 experimental model where she talks about migration,
- 8 inflammation and epithelial cells. And we can
- 9 initiate a series of mutagenic events that can lead
- 10 to cancer as well as -- and if -- which is even
- 11 worse with asbestos.
- 12 So in my mind, that's an experimental
- 13 model. I'm not sure what experimental model -- that
- 14 term means. Is it some -- you know, I don't know if
- 15 she is thinking about every step in the carcinogenic
- 16 process. I don't really know what the term means.
- 17 Q. Let's focus on -- do you agree that there
- 18 are no good animal models of ovarian carcinogenesis?
  - MS. PARFITT: Object. Objection.
- 20 Misstates the prior testimony.
- 21 A. Yeah. I'm not aware of good animal models.
- 22 Q. Halfway down the same paragraph -- and I'll
- 23 slow down this time to make sure everyone is
- 24 there --

19

25

MS. PARFITT: Thank you.

24 (Pages 90 - 93)

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217300

Page 94

- Q. -- it's the sentence starting "Other 1 2 components."
- A. Yes. I have it.
- Q. Okay. "Other components of body powder,
- 5 including cornstarch, could also possibly play a
- 6 role in carcinogenesis by inducing inflammation in
- 7 the reproductive tract. But carcinogenicity data
- 8 are lacking." Do you have an opinion about the
- 9 carcinogenicity of non-talc components of body 10 powder?
- 11 A. I have not evaluated that.
- 12 Q. And body powder that is not -- cornstarch
- 13 body powder you have not evaluated.
- A. I've used "genital talc," yeah.
- 15 Q. So there's a different product that is
- 16 cornstarch body powder. You haven't evaluated that?
- A. No. I mean, some of the studies talk about
- 18 it. But I haven't eval -- my opinion is limited to
- 19 genital talcum powder use.
- 20 Q. The next sentence -- the next two
- 21 sentences, "Confounding by indication may explain
- 22 some of the observed associations. This would occur
- 24 conditions that are associated with ovarian cancer
- 25 were also more likely to use body powder in the
  - Page 95

- 1 genital area."
- The first question is whether you agree
- 3 that confounding by association is a possibility to
- 4 be considered.
- 5 MS. PARFITT: Objection.
- Q. Excuse me. That confounding by indication
- 7 is a possibility to be considered.
- A. Yeah. And, you know, A, you have to
- 9 consider it in epidemiologic studies of association,
- 10 any kind of confounding. And you have to consider
- 11 confounding by indication.
- 12 I'm not sure this would be operational
- 13 because we are talking about exposure outcome
- 14 relationship that takes years. We're not talking
- 15 about you use it, you know, today and you have
- 16 cancer tomorrow.
- 17 So, you know, if women had irritation in
- 18 something and they had the first symptoms of -- so
- 19 it's really about -- "confounding by indication" is
- 20 a very specific term. And it means that you are
- 21 using it for indication for that disease.
- 22 And, in fact, there's good studies to
- 23 suggest that, you know, it is more operational when
- 24 you're thinking about drugs and efficacy, you know,
- 25 like, ACE inhibitors and stroke and something that

- 1 provides benefit. It is less operational when
  - 2 you're thinking about harmful effects.
  - So I don't think confounding by indication
  - 4 is a consideration. There could be a consideration
  - 5 about confounding, per se, but not necessarily
  - 6 confounding by indication; or if it is, it's very 7 low.
  - 8 Q. Do you think it's possible that obese women
  - 9 are more likely to use talcum powder than nonobese
  - 10 women?
  - 11 MS. PARFITT: Objection to form.
  - 12 A. You would have to have data showing that,
  - 13 you know, it is -- you know, that -- you know,
  - 14 obesity is a risk factor for -- you know, we know
  - 15 that. But the question then would be is -- are
  - 16 women that are obese -- you'd have to fulfill the
  - 17 criteria for confounding.
  - 18 You have to have obesity association with
  - 19 cancer -- we know it is, several studies -- obesity
  - 20 association with exposure, in this case, and then
  - 21 obesity not mediating the pathway. You'd have to go
  - 22 to specifics. But that is not confounding by
- 23 if women with hormonal or inflammatory exposures or 23 indication. That's not an indication for ovarian
  - 24 cancer. That's confounding, yes, but -- and you
  - 25 have several studies have adjusted for that.
  - But I disagree with her about confounding 2 by -- I think she's using the term very generally
  - 3 but not specifically. She could have been more
  - 4 specific about confounding.
  - Q. Okay. Can you -- and I appreciate the
  - 6 context. But can you just try to give a yes-or-no
  - 7 answer to the question of whether you believe it's
  - 8 possible -- not established but possible -- that
  - 9 obese women are more likely to use talcum powder?
  - 10 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Asked and
  - 11 answered.
  - 12 A. I don't -- I have to look at the data and
  - 13 say.
  - 14 MS. PARFITT: You've answered the
  - 15 question.
  - Q. Can you review the first paragraph on the
  - 17 next page -- just let me know when you've reviewed
  - 18 it -- beginning "Independent of the underlying
  - 19 cause"?
  - 20 A. Yeah. Yes. First paragraph. Okay.
  - 21 Q. Yeah. Second sentence, "The low relative
  - 22 risk translates to a very low absolute risk
  - 23 increase, given the rarity of ovarian cancer" and
  - 24 then later down the page, "Given the widespread use
  - 25 of powders and the rarity of ovarian cancer, the

Q. Okay. Does the fact that talc is

2 associated with various subtypes of cancer affect

MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.

Q. Sure. One of the viewpoints that Dr. Hill

3 your analysis of the specificity criterion of the

Page 100

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217301

Page 98

- 1 case for public health relevance is limited." Do
- 2 you agree or disagree with the statement that the
- 3 case for public health relevance is limited?
- A. I disagree because that's, you know -- the
- 5 reason I disagree is, you know, it's not just about
- 6 relative risk. And it's not just about the
- 7 prevalence of exposure. And as you know, we've seen
- 8 studies, many studies, where they talk about give or
- 9 take there are 20,000 incident ovarian cancers in
- 10 the US and -- annually and the PAR.
- So at least at the population level, you
- 12 are getting -- Davis and Wu provide an estimate.
- 13 And I don't recall the specifics, but we can look at
- 14 that. So that means there is a preventible cause of
- 15 risk factors. Why would that -- why wouldn't it be
- 16 relevant for public health?
- MR. MARTIN: Can we go off the record 17
- 18 for just a moment while I go through my notes?
- (A break was taken)
- 20 MR. MARTIN: Back on the record.
- 21 Q. Can you turn to page 4 of the Wentzensen
- 22 article we were discussing before the break? The
- 23 First sentence of 4.1, "Ovarian cancer is
- 24 characterized by profound heterogeneity that can be
- 25 observed in site of origin, genetic susceptibility,

9 A. Yeah.

4 Bradford Hill analysis?

- 10 Q. Okay. And we just talked about the fact
- 11 that epithelial ovarian cancer contains several
- 12 subtypes.

5

6

7

- 13 A. Sure.
- 14 Q. Does the fact that it contains several
- 15 subtypes -- let me start that one over.

A. Can you restate? Sorry.

8 talks about is specificity; right?

- 16 Did you consider the fact that it contains
- 17 several subtypes when you were evaluating the
- 18 specificity viewpoint?
  - A. I don't think that that is, you know, the
- 20 relevance of -- if you -- and we should pull the
- 21 Bradford Hill article. I don't know what the
- 22 reference -- can we pull it out?
- 23 The reason I'm saying that is I don't think
- 24 that is the consideration there. It's more the
- 25 consideration of "Is that etiology the only cause of

- 1 somatic mutations, molecular pathways, risk factor
- 2 associations, and morphologic differences." Do you
- 3 agree with that statement?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Do you agree that that statement is true
- 6 for epithelial ovarian cancer specifically?
- 7 A. I mean, that's what I think she's talking
- 8 about; right? She's talking about --
- Q. But we talked earlier about your opinion
- 10 that talc causes all epithelial ovarian cancers.
- A. Yeah. Because that's what I evaluated.
- 12 Q. And did you consider this heterogeneous
- 13 nature of ovarian cancer as part of your opinion?
- A. My -- you know, so my overall opinion was
- 15 based on whatever the studies reported on and --
- 16 which included these various cancers. When notable,
- 17 I did note -- for example, if you go back to my
- 18 previous report, I did note what specific cancers
- 19 they were reporting on. But I did not disaggregate
- 20 that particular -- that tale only is associated with
- 21 X and Y.
- Q. Would you agree with me that the
- 23 overwhelming majority of epithelial ovarian cancers
- 24 are serous?
- 25 A. Yes. By, you know -- just by prevalence.

- 1 that cancer or whatever outcome?" So it's that --
- 2 just because it's etiologic heterogeneity I don't
- 3 think -- and in any way, you know, I did not weigh
- 4 specificity very heavily, because, in fact, I don't
- 5 -- I can look at the exact words -- because ovarian
- 6 cancer has multicausal, you know, risk factors so --
- 7 but it's not because of the fact that it is
- 8 etiologic, you know, heterogeneity. It's just that
- 9 there are different causes.
- 10 Q. Okay. Can you explain to me the difference
- 11 between different causes and etiologic
- 12 heterogeneity?
- A. Yeah. I mean, different causes are, like,
- 14 you know -- like, say if you say -- I don't know --
- 15 "What are the established risk factors?" You know,
- 16 we could go back and look at a list and say, you
- 17 know, "Obesity increases the risk of causes of
- 18 ovarian cancer." Those are causes.
- 19 For a moment let's, you know, think that
- 20 obesity -- but that does not get into etiologic
- 21 heterogeneity. Here, we are talking about different
- 22 types of cancer. That's etiologic heterogeneity.
- 23 Q. Okay. So this Wentzensen and O'Brien 24 article, you exclude it because it's not a meta-
- 25 analysis or original data; right?

Page 102	Page 104
1 A. Yeah. I mean, you know, it is important.	1 (2023 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et
2 It's, you know, included in my list of I reviewed	2 al., Exhibit 13, marked)
3 it. But it did not provide original data. I mean,	3 Q. Can you look at the O'Brien 2023 article
4 most of the opinions are, you know, derived from the	4 that was marked as Exhibit 13?
5 previous publication that are included and	5 A. Sure.
6 considered, you know. So it's not excluded in that	6 Q. In particular, can you look at the end of
7 sense. But it is in my list of reviewed documents.	7 the second body paragraph, the last sentence of that
8 Q. Are you aware of a different article by	8 paragraph, "However, if historic use cannot be
9 O'Brien, Wentzensen along with Ogunsina and Sandler	9 accurately recalled, measurement error can bias
10 entitled "Douching and genital talc use: Patterns	10 effect estimates, especially if recall reliability
11 of use and reliability of self-reported exposure"?	11 differs by outcome status."
12 A. Yes.	12 A. Which page? I'm sorry. I missed it.
13 Q. Okay. I did not see that on your reliance	13 Q. It's the first page, page 376, of the
14 list. Did you review it in drafting your expert	14 journal pagination. But it's the first page of the
15 report?	15 article.
16 A. I know I'm aware of it. I don't know when	16 A. Where are we?
17 I reviewed it. But yeah, I did review it. Yeah.	Q. It's the second paragraph of the body.
18 Q. Okay. Is there a reason it wouldn't be on	18 A. Okay.
19 your reliance list?	19 Q. It's the final sentence beginning
20 A. You know, it could I'll have to look at	20 "However."
21 it and see why not but what was the year of	21 A. Yeah.
22 publication?	Q. Okay. That's talking about the concept of
23 Q. 2023.	23 recall bias; right?
24 A. Okay. When in '23?	24 A. Uh-huh.
25 MR. TISI: I think it was December 2023,	25 Q. And do you agree that recall bias can pose
Page 103	Page 105
1 if I'm not mistaken.	1 a problem in a retrospective epidemiological study?
2 THE WITNESS: It could be earlier.	2 A. That is correct.
3 MR. TISI: But I think it is December.	3 Q. Okay. If you look at the next sentence,
4 MR. MARTIN: It says "May 2023" here.	4 which is the beginning of the following paragraph,
5 MR. TISI: Maybe I'm wrong. I	5 "Using data from the Sister Study, we examined
6 apologize.	6 patterns of use and reliability of recall for two
7 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Let me just May	7 feminine hygiene products thought to be associated
8 2023 what is the title?	8 with gynecological (verbatim) cancers." And the end
9 MR. MARTIN: "Douching and genital talc	9 of that sentence says "powder applied to the genital
10 use: Patterns of use and reliability of self-	10 area" is one of those two. Do you see that?
11 reported exposure."	11 A. Yes.
MS. PARFITT: This was one of the	Q. Okay. We'll skip the part on douching and
13 articles that was put in the Dropbox on Monday, just	13 turn to page 383, which gets to some of the results
14 so you're aware of it. We put it in the Dropbox.	14 about ovarian cancer. Let's look beginning with
15 THE WITNESS: No. But I reviewed it.	15 "The reliability," which is about the fourth line of
16 Let me just go through give me one second.	16 the page.
MR. TISI: You want a copy?	17 A. Which page? I'm sorry.
18 MR. MARTIN: I have a copy of it or	18 MS. PARFITT: 383.
19 should.	MR. MARTIN: 383.
THE WITNESS: I have it. I have it.	20 MS. PARFITT: I'm sorry. Are you under
MR. MARTIN: Can you just mark this? MR. TISI: Are you done with the review	21 "discussion"?
,	MR. MARTIN: No. I'm sorry. I'm in the
23 paper?	23 paragraph that precedes "discussion."
24 MR. MARTIN: I am.	24 THE WITNESS: Okay.

MS. PARFITT: How far down?

25

MR. TISI: Thank you.

Page 106

MR. MARTIN: Four lines. Starts with

2 "The reliability."

1

- THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 4 Q. "The reliability measures for genital talc
- 5 use were similar for ovarian cancer compared to the
- 6 full sample. However, while self-reported use in
- 7 the 12 months before enrollment was more commonly
- 8 reported on the enrollment questionnaire -- 27
- 9 percent -- relative to the fourth detailed follow-up
- 10 questionnaire -- 21 percent -- in the full sample,
- 11 the trend was reversed among those with intervening
- 12 ovarian cancer diagnoses, with 28 percent
- 13 self-reporting genital talc use at enrollment and 33
- 14 percent self-reporting genital talc use on the
- 15 follow-up questionnaire." Let's unpack this a
- 16 little bit.
- 17 For the full sample, it looks like self-
- 18 reported talc use was higher at the beginning than
- 19 it was in a later follow-up questionnaire, 27 to 21.
- 20 A. Give me a second to read.
- Q. No problem.
- 22 A. There's so many different things here.
- 23 Yeah. So self-reported use in the 12 months before
- 24 enrollment was more commonly reported in the -- 27
- 25 to -- okay. Yeah. Got it.

Page 107

- 1 Q. So does that suggest that some women may
- 2 have underreported their exposures in the later 3 questionnaire?
- 4 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 5 A. I'm not sure that's what it suggests.
- 6 Q. What else could it suggest?
- 7 A. Well, you know, there's -- is it the same
- 8 sample? For example, if you look at the same --
- 9 paragraph down, I mean, half of the women diagnosed
- 10 with ovarian cancer expired. So are we looking at
- 11 the same sample? Before we can even interpret these
- 12 numbers -- so if you had women with -- if you are
- 13 losing the sample, I can't compare the estimates --
- 14 Q. Well, this is the full sample; right? It's
- 15 not the sample limited to ovarian cancer-diagnosed
- 16 women.
- 17 A. Yeah. But it is following -- it is the
- 18 full sample. Then you have to get to the fourth
- 19 questionnaire; right? You have to get to the fourth
- 20 questionnaire. Between the enrollment and the
- 21 fourth questionnaire -- that's what they're saying
- 22 -- half of the women diagnosed -- so at least
- 23 relevant to the ovarian cancer, I don't know how to
- 24 interpret these numbers as being decreased reporting
- 25 or increased reporting.

Page 108

- 1 I mean, it's probably not increased. But,
- $2\,$  you know, it's not the same sample that began and
- 3 the same sample that reported at the end.
- 4 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the
- 5 people who dropped --6 MS. PARFITT: No. Please. Go ahead.
- 7 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the
- 8 people who dropped out between the first and fourth
- 9 questionnaire would be systematically as opposed to
- 10 randomly different than the group as a whole?
  - A. I mean, we'll have to look at, you know,
- 12 who are the dropouts; what are their
- 13 characteristics; how much was the dropout. And
- 14 we'll have to look at that.
- And, you know, particularly if dropout is
- 16 related to something with talc, such as ovarian
- 17 cancer, then these estimates become, you know --
- 18 were people taking it, getting ovarian cancer, and
- 19 then dropping out? I don't know.
- 20 Q. Let's look at the subgroup that does
- 21 involve intervening ovarian cancer diagnoses, which
- 22 is the second half of that sentence. "The trend was
- 23 reversed among those with intervening ovarian cancer
- 24 diagnoses, with 28 percent self-reporting genital
- 25 talc in enrollment and 33 percent self-reporting
  - Page 109

1 genital talc use on the follow-up questionnaire."

- 2 So in that group that had an intervening
- 3 ovarian cancer diagnosis, more people reported talc
- 4 use after the diagnosis than before. Is that your
- 5 understanding?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. No, it's not?
- 8 A. I think you can't compare the samples. I
- 9 mean, you know, they are comparing. That's fine.
- 10 But to interpret these numbers, you'd have to know
- 11 attrition, attrition by talc, and their
- 12 characteristics. I can't really -- I can't affirm
- 13 the conclusions that 28 increased with 33 is
- 14 consistent.
- 15 Q. Let's look at what the authors of this
- 16 article said about those numbers, and let me try to
- 17 point you in the right direction.
- 18 A. Can you give me one minute to read this?
- 19 O. Sure.
- 20 MS. PARFITT: Thank you.
- 21 Q. Happy to have you read it briefly on the
- 22 record. If you're going to take a long time, I'd
- 23 like to go off the record.
- 24 A. No. No.
- 25 MS. PARFITT: Finish. Are you done?

Page 113

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217304

Page 110

- 1 A. One more. Yeah. Ask the question, if
- 2 there's a question. I'll --
- 3 Q. Let's look at the next sentence. "This was
- 4 the only subgroup for which the proportion of users
- 5 increased between enrollment and follow-up and could
- 6 indicate recall bias, i.e., over-reporting of talc
- 7 use among those with a history of ovarian cancer."
- 8 That's, I think, what we were talking about earlier.
- 9 Do you disagree with that statement there?
- 10 A. Yeah. I mean, I don't think that that's
- 11 what the results, you know, can be interpreted as.
- 12 I mean, for example, if you go back to the methods,
- 13 they say that, because the enrollment questionnaire
- 14 did not collect information between age 14 and one
- 14 did not concet information between age 14 and on
- 15 year, it was impossible for a participant to report
- 16 never use on the enrollment and ever use on follow-
- 17 up without contradicting themselves.
- 18 Q. But in the full -- well, I'll put that
- 19 aside. Let's look at the next column, the end of
- 20 the first paragraph, "The observed increase."
- 21 MS. PARFITT: Page? I'm sorry.
- MR. MARTIN: Again, page 383, the same
- 23 page.

1

- 24 MS. PARFITT: I appreciate that.
- 25 A. The second column?

1 recall bias here.

- Q. Do you have a reason that the amount of
- 3 recall bias would be different in the surviving
- 4 group than the non-surviving group?
- 5 A. It could be. Yeah. I mean, without
- 6 looking at the characteristics, you -- and, you
- 7 know, I mean, it's not a small number as
- 8 approximately half the women diagnosed with ovarian
- 9 cancer expire.
- 10 Q. I just want to follow up. You said "it
- 11 could be different." Do you have any bases here
- 12 today for why it would be different?
- MS. PARFITT: Objection. Asked and
- 14 answered.
- 15 A. Yeah. I mean, you know, I don't -- I don't
- 16 have that data because they don't provide the data
- 17 on the women who expired and what are their
- 18 characteristics.
- 19 Q. Even if you disagree with some of the
- 20 conclusions drawn by the authors of this article, do
- 21 you think the article is relevant to your
- 22 supplemental report?
- 23 A. Yeah. So the question about relevance and
- 24 the reason now I recall is -- I obviously included
- 25 it in the list. And this is a discussion -- it does

- Q. Second column beginning "The observed."
- 2 A. Beginning the -- which -- second paragraph?
- 3 Q. The first paragraph, the paragraph that
- 4 carries over from the previous.
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 O. "The observed increase."
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. "The observed increase in self-reported
- 9 genital talc use at follow-up relative to enrollment
- 10 among ovarian cancer survivors may indicate recall
- 11 bias is present and potentially driving some of the
- 12 previously observed differences in effect estimates
- 13 between studies collecting genital powder exposure
- 14 status retrospectively versus prospectively."
- 15 A. It's interesting. That line is very
- 16 interesting, I mean, because, A, you did not collect
- 17 as they -- I'll restate it. They did not collect
- 18 between 14 and one year before enrollment. So
- 19 that's one.
- 20 And they're talking about ovarian cancer
- 21 survivors. Well, what happens to women who get
- 22 ovarian cancer? How many survive? So we have a
- 23 highly lethal cancer. So the sample -- you know,
- 24 you'd have to look at all people -- survivor,
- 25 non-survivors -- to really make a judgment about

- 1 not provide direct data on talc and ovarian cancer.
- 2 But it discusses recall bias in the Sister Study.
- 3 But there's no data on talc and ovarian
- 4 cancer, per se, in this article, that it decreases
- 5 ovarian cancer or increases. Either way, it does
- 6 not refute. That's not the focus of this article.
- 7 I mean, is there somewhere that I didn't miss?
- 8 O. No.
- 9 A. Yeah. So it does not provide any -- as I
- 10 outlined in the methods, I was looking at original
- 11 data on talc and ovarian cancer.
- 12 Q. Is this article in your Appendix A under
- 13 the excluded articles?
- 14 A. No. I don't think so.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. Yeah.
- 17 Q. There a reason why it wasn't even in the
- 18 excluded list in Appendix A?
- 19 A. Yeah. I don't recall -- I mean, there were
- 20 so many references that, you know, I examined. So
- 21 -- but, again, it does not provide the data on talc
- 22 and ovarian cancer. But it's not that it's not --
- 23 you know, there's -- we discussed it and, you know,
- 24 addressed as issues around recall bias.
- 25 Q. Generally, do you believe that everything

Page 114

- 1 responsive to your Scopus and PubMed search queries
- 2 should be in Exhibit A?
- 3 A. Yeah. Yeah.
- 4 Q. Okay. You can put that aside. Is it
- 5 standard practice in your experience for authors of
- 6 scientific literature to disclose conflicts of
- 7 interest if they're serving as a litigation expert?
- 8 A. Any kind of -- you know, either litigation
- 9 expert or consulting for the company or doing
- 10 something else. I mean, it's standard practice.
- 11 Journals require it. It's not an optional thing.
- 12 Q. Have you published on talc and ovarian
- 13 cancer --
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. -- since becoming an expert? If you were
- 16 to do so, though, you would note your --
- 17 A. For sure.
- 18 Q. -- conflict of interest. Okay. And as a
- 19 reader of scientific literature, is it something you
- 20 consider important when you're evaluating a
- 21 scientific study?
- 22 A. I mean, it is a factor among other factors,
- 23 bias. I mean, the predominant factor is
- 24 methodology. I mean, that is the driving factor.
- 25 But, yes, bias --funding bias, you know, whether

- Page 11
- 1 Q. When you were performing your qualitative 2 evaluation of the studies in your expert report, did
- 2 evaluation of the studies in your expert report, die
- 3 you consider whether an article author was a paid
- 4 litigation expert?
  - MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 6 A. I mean --

5

- 7 MS. PARFITT: Please answer.
- A. Yeah. I mean, I considered it. But,
- 9 again, I think I answered the question -- is that
- 10 the primary consideration was, A, what is the
- 11 methodology they're talking about? What are the
- 12 issues at stake? And, you know, obviously whether
- 13 they are experts is a consideration.
- 14 Q. All else being equal, would you have fewer
- 15 bias concerns with a paper published by a neutral
- 16 author than by a defense expert?
- 17 MS. PARFITT: Objection.
- 18 A. I mean, I think that, to me -- I hate to
- 19 say it -- but in this realm, I mean, there have been
- 20 so many papers published by defense experts as well
- 21 as, you know, some papers by experts in plaintiffs
- 22 that if one were to start excluding all those, then,
- 23 you know, the body of literature would be, like --
- 24 why -- you have to -- I mean, if it comes up peer-
- 25 reviewed literature, I have to evaluate.

Page 115

- 1 it's manufacturer, whether it's expert witnesses or
- 2 testimony, consultants.
- 3 It is a factor. And the way it is a factor
- 4 is interpretation, you know. One has to rely that
- 5 the findings in the peer-reviewed literature, at
- 6 least to the extent that they've been undergoing
- 7 peer review, are, you know, rigorous. And, you
- 8 know, obviously one has to examine the methods. But 8
- 9 disclosure is important.
- 10 Q. Is there a way -- did you perform -- did
- 11 you quantitatively weigh the importance of the
- 12 studies included in your 2023 expert report?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Okay. Is there a way we could tell from
- 15 reviewing your report whether one study was more
- 16 important than another in the formation of your
- 17 opinion?
- 18 A. I mean, you'd have to look at the
- 19 description about strength and limitations. But,
- 20 again, that's not a numerical value.
- 21 Q. Is the fact that an author is --
- MR. MARTIN: Can we take a break here?
- 23 Go off the record.
- 24 (A break was taken)
- MR. MARTIN: Back on the record.

- Page 117 And if the methodology is sound, then, you
- 2 know, you have to acknowledge that yes, they are.
- 3 And -- but you have to go by the methodology really.
- Q. So it is something you acknowledge?
- 5 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 6 A. Yeah. I mean, I may not have stated it
- 7 explicitly. But that is important to acknowledge.
- 8 MR. MARTIN: Let's break for lunch. Off 9 the record.
- 10 (A break was taken)
- 11 MR. MARTIN: Back on the record. So
- 12 let's mark as Exhibit 14 Gabriel 2019, "Douching,
- 13 talc use, and risk for ovarian cancer."
- 14 (2019 article by Iwona M. Gabriel, et
- 15 al., Exhibit 14, marked)
- 16 Q. You've seen this document before; right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. You cite it in your 2023 report; right?
- 19 A. That is correct.
- Q. And this is a case control study from 2019;
- 21 right?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And it's the same data that were previously
- 24 reported in Cramer 2016?
- 25 A. I'm not sure entirely. I mean, I didn't

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217306

Page 118

- 1 duplicate, you know -- this was a new study,
- 2 provided data on association between talc and
- 3 ovarian cancer. Yeah. But it did include, you
- 4 know, the eastern Massachusetts and New Hampshire
- 5 cohort, you know -- cohort.
- Q. If you look at 1835, which is the first
- 7 page, under "materials and methods," you'll see
- 8 "Details regarding enrollment are described
- 9 elsewhere." Then it's Reference 10. Then
- 10 Reference 10 is to the Cramer 2016 article. Do you
- 11 see that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. In fact, Dr. Cramer is also a
- 14 co-author on this article; right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. We talked a little bit before lunch
- 17 about litigation conflicts. So let's check the
- 18 second-to-last page, "Disclosure of potential
- 19 conflicts of interest." Do you see that? 1843.
- 20 A. Uh-huh.
- 21 Q. "A.F. Vitonis has provided statistical
- 22 programming to support expert testimony for Beasley
- 23 Allen law firm. D.W. Cramer has provided expert
- 24 testimony for Beasley Allen law firm." Does this
- 25 say what the subject of Dr. Cramer's expert
- Page 119
- 1 testimony was?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Does it say who Beasley Allen represented?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Okay. You're aware that Dr. Cramer is a
- 6 plaintiffs' expert in talc litigation; right?
- A. I'm not sure he is, but he was at some 8 point.
- 9 Q. I'm sorry. Yes. You're aware that he was.
- 10 A. Yeah. At some point in time.
- 11 Q. Would the fact that the litigation
- 12 referenced in the conflicts of interest disclosure
- 13 involved talc be something that would be relevant to
- 14 you as a reader?
- 15 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 16 A. Can you restate the question?
- 17 Q. Sure. Do you understand this testimony
- 18 that Dr. Cramer provided for Beasley Allen to be
- 19 related to talc?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. Do you think that a reader who is
- 22 not involved in the litigation would understand
- 23 that?
- 24 MS. PARFITT: Objection as to what
- 25 another reader would understand or not.

- MR. MARTIN: Understood.
- 2 A. I mean, they would go through -- if they
- 3 went through Beasley Allen, yes, they could. But I
- 4 don't -- I can't put myself in their situation.
- 5 Q. Okay. You'd agree it doesn't explicitly
- 6 say that.

1

11

22

- 7 A. Exactly.
- 8 Q. Is the fact that it involves talc something
- 9 that would be relevant for you to know?
- 10 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
  - A. Right. For me, it doesn't because -- so
- 12 when I look at articles and the disclosure, when I
- 13 look at a disclosure section, I'm assuming that
- 14 disclosure is relevant. That's why they're making
- 15 the disclosure. I mean, it's either funding or --
- 16 you know, it's NIH, NCI, we do disclose. FDA, I
- 17 disclose. And/or if it's expert testimony, then
- 18 it's relevant to the subject matter at hand. But,
- 19 obviously, it's not explicit here.
- Q. Would you as a reader want to know whether
- 21 he consulted for defendants or plaintiffs?
  - MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 23 A. I can't tell the reader -- I mean, I know
- 24 and, you know -- potential reader would want to
- 25 know, yes, I mean.

- 1 MS. PARFITT: Objection to "potential
- 2 reader would want to know."
- 3 Q. Did you consider Dr. Cramer and
- 4 Dr. Vitonis's conflict of interest when you were
- 5 evaluating this paper for your expert report?
- 6 A. As I stated earlier, I think my answer to
- 7 that question will be sort of consistent, and, you
- 8 know, I -- I'm aware of it. But, you know, it's the
- 9 methodological issues.
- 10 This is a supplemental report of -- what --
- 11 17, 20 pages. I'm aware of those conflicts, and I
- 12 consider it. But I don't think that was the major
- 13 consideration. It's the methodologic issues. The
- 14 fact they provided new data and the fact that they
- 14 fact they provided new data and the fact that
- 15 reported an increased risk, those are the
- 16 considerations.
- 17 Q. You don't note that fact in your report?
- 18 A. No, I don't.
- 19 Q. You'd agree with me?
- 20 A. But I did consider it.
- 21 Q. Can we turn to the next page, 1844,
- 22 "Acknowledgments," second column, "The costs of
- 23 publication of this article were defrayed in part by
- 24 the payment of page charges. The article must,
- 25 therefore, be hereby marked 'advertisement' in

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217307

- 1 accordance with 18 USC, Section 1734 solely to
- 2 indicate this fact." Did you notice this disclaimer
- 3 when you initially read the Gabriel article?
- 4 A. Yeah. I mean, I focused on the
- 5 acknowledgments, and I focused on the disclosure. I
- 6 did not notice that in the disclaimer as an
- 7 advertisement.
- 8 Q. Have you seen a disclaimer like that in the
- 9 scientific literature before?
- 10 A. I mean, I've not gone and looked for it,
- 11 but.
- 12 Q. So the answer to the question is "no"?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Okay. Let's --
- MS. PARFITT: I object to that.
- 16 Misstates what he said. He said he's not looked for
- 17 it.
- 18 A. To clarify that -- since we are on the
- 19 topic -- to the disclaimer part, yes, the cost of
- 20 publication was defrayed. I mean, we also put it.
- 21 But the second part, you know, I have not seen.
- 22 Q. Understood. So it's the second sentence --
- 23 A. About advertisement.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. But the costs, yes, we -- in fact, my

- 1 Q. Can I refocus the question?
  - 2 A. Sure.
  - 3 Q. The fact that slightly more cases than
  - 4 controls had reported exposure to genital talc would
  - 5 be consistent with an increase in risk; correct?
  - 6 A. Yes.
  - 7 Q. Okay. Let's look at the next row, women
  - 8 who have had a tubal ligation. Okay?
  - A. Yes.
  - 10 Q. 36.5 percent of cases and 26 percent of
  - 11 controls reported using genital talc; correct?
  - 12 A. Yes.
  - 13 Q. Okay. You'd agree with me that's a larger
  - 14 difference than the difference for women who
  - 15 reported tubal ligation?
  - 16 A. Yeah. But the overall numbers are so
  - 17 small. I mean, if you look at the sample size, we
  - 18 are talking about 541 cases versus 440 controls and
  - 19 then 101 versus, you know, 310. So it's -- sorry --
  - 20 Q. Okay.
  - 21 MS. PARFITT: Let him finish.
  - MR. MARTIN: I'll let you finish.
  - A. 101 versus 310, those are not comparable.
  - 24 But the magnitude of the difference is higher.
  - 25 Q. Okay. How do you explain the fact the
- Page 123

- 1 recent article has that.
- Q. Can you look at Table 1 in the body of the
- 3 report, which is on page 1837? Towards the bottom,
- 4 you can look at "tubal ligation." Just tell me when
- 5 you've gotten there.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. So you look at the cases -- which
- 8 would be the women with ovarian cancer; correct?
- 9 A. Uh-huh.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. Yes. Yes.
- 12 Q. And you see that 30.7 percent of them --
- 13 looking at the women -- I'm sorry. Looking at the
- 14 women who have not undergone tubal ligation, you see
- 15 that 30.7 percent of the cases had used genital
- 16 talc.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And 26.2 percent of the controls?
- 19 A. Yes
- Q. And that would be consistent with what
- 21 you'd expect if talc were associated with a slight
- 22 increase in risk; correct?
- 23 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 24 A. Let me just get back to the numbers. Give
- 25 me a second.

- Page 125 1 magnitude of the difference is higher among women
- 2 who have had a tubal ligation than among women who
- 3 have intact genital tracts?
- 4 A. I mean, their primary analysis focused on,
- 5 you know, reporting risks based on adjustment
- 6 factors and the reported increased risk. It is
- 7 entirely plausible that it is even increased in
- 8 those who have tubal ligation. So that, you know --
- 9 it's not that those findings are incompatible with
- 10 biological plausibility.
- 11 Q. I guess I'm asking why the difference in
- 12 risk would be larger among women with tubal ligation
- 13 than among women without.
- 14 A. First of all, none of the tests that -- if
- 15 you look at the -- if you're looking at the -- the
- 16 difference is not -- is not -- I would say 30.7,
- 17 26.2 -- and, you know, tests for interactions there
- 18 are not significant. So I don't see that as being
- 19 any materially different.
- Q. So do you think it's just maybe statistical
- 21 noise?
- A. Could be statistical noise.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. I mean, not noise. I would say, I mean, it
- 25 is a finding. So you have to go by what they

Page 129

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217308

Page 126

- 1 reported. But it is entirely plausible that women
- 2 who had tubal ligation also had an increase. The
- 3 magnitude of -- I think the magnitude of risk is
- 4 sort of not a relevant -- very relevant
- 5 consideration right here.
- 6 Q. You mentioned that the -- strike that.
- 7 You'd agree that the authors of Gabriel
- 8 2019 did not calculate separate odds ratios for
- 9 women with intact tubes and women without?
- 10 A. They adjusted for it.
- 11 Q. Correct. But they did not calculate
- 12 separate ratios.
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Okay. So we talked about this involving
- $15\,$  some of the same cases and controls as Cramer. So I
- 16 do want to look at the Cramer study, Cramer 2016.
- MS. PARFITT: I will just note that, on
- 18 pages 194 all the way through, there's a significant
- 19 discussion of the Cramer 2016 article in the first
- 20 deposition in 2019.
- 21 MR. MARTIN: I am aware it was discussed
- 22 in the first deposition. I will keep this very
- 23 short. I want to talk about specifically one
- 24 sentence that is in the 2023 expert report.
- MS. PARFITT: Very good. I appreciate

- 1 classified controls fell to 82 percent or 18
  - 2 percent.

11

- 3 Q. I guess my question is about your
- 4 understanding of the term "nullified." Does it mean
- 5 the risk ratio would be 1.0, or does it mean the
- 6 risk ratio would be statistically insignificant? Or
- 7 does it mean something else?
- 8 A. I mean, it means an odds ratio would be
- 9 statistically insignificant.
- 10 Q. But it could still be elevated over 1.0?
  - MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 12 A. Let me just --
- 13 Q. I'll strike the question.
- 14 So let's look at the portion of Cramer that
- 15 you just quoted, which is beginning on page 341, the
- 16 final paragraph.
- 17 A. 341. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. "Addressing recall bias, we
- 19 conducted a sensitivity analysis that assumed truly
- 20 nonexposed cases and controls were accurately
- 21 classified as unexposed, i.e., specificity 99
- 22 percent."

1

- 23 Do you know whether that assumption, that
- 24 all truly nonexposed cases were correctly
- 25 classified, is a realistic one?

- 1 that. Thank you.
- 2 (2016 article by Daniel W. Cramer, et
- 3 al., Exhibit 15, marked)
- 4 MR. TISI: Remind me the exhibit. I
- 5 apologize.
- 6 MR. MARTIN: 15.
- 7 MR. TISI: Thank you.
- 8 A. Which page?
- 9 Q. Let's look at 12, page 12 of your
- 10 supplemental expert report.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. In that list of bullets, it's the fourth
- 13 bullet --
- 14 A. Yeah.
- 15 Q. -- "Cramer, et al. included an 18 percent
- 16 buffer to account for recall bias before nullifying
- 17 their study results." When you say "included an 18
- 18 percent buffer," do you mean -- well, what do you
- 19 mean by that?
- 20 A. Yeah. So they have a "discussion" section
- 21 where they talk about -- let's go to the
- 22 "discussion" section. Starting from 341, addressing
- 23 recall bias, we conducted sensitivity of the
- 24 analysis, and then the odds ratio of 133 -- 1.33
- 25 would be nullified if the sensitivity of correctly

- MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 2 A. Yeah. I mean, it is to the extent that why
- 3 would nonexposed people recall talc exposure. It is
- 4 a reasonable assumption.
- 5 Q. Okay. Do you know if it's empirically
- 6 realistic?
- 7 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 8 A. I don't understand that word. My English
- 9 is poor. What is "empirically realistic"? Is it
- 10 reasonable, unreasonable?
- 11 Q. Do you know if there are any data on the
- 12 reliability of recall?
- 13 A. Yeah. We were looking at that data, and we
- 14 just looked at Exhibit 13. And they said, you know
- 15 --
- MS. PARFITT: Could you identify the
- 17 article? O'Brien?
- 18 A. O'Brien. And they said classification of
- 19 ever use of feminine genital products may be
- 20 recalled with good -- I mean, I don't know the
- 21 numbers there, but they can recall with good
- 22 consistence. I have no reason to suspect that the
- 23 assumption that Dr. Cramer makes is suspect.
- 24 MS. PARFITT: Dr. Singh, if I can just
- 25 ask one thing -- and Mr. Martin. For the court

- 1 reporter, when you start talking fast when you're
- 2 reading an article, she may not catch the words
- 3 you're reading from the article.
- 4 MR. MARTIN: Please, if anyone is
- 5 talking too quickly and you're not getting it down,
- 6 please let me know.
- Q. Well, let's look at the next clause in the
- 8 Cramer article.
- A. Sure.
- Q. The next assumption that Dr. Cramer makes
- 11 is "Truly exposed cases were also correctly
- 12 classified, sensitivity 99 percent." Do you assume
- 13 -- do you know whether that's a realistic
- 14 assumption?
- 15 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- A. I mean, I don't see data elsewhere to 16
- 17 support that it is otherwise.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. I mean, you know, it -- 99 percent, 98
- 20 percent, I don't see data elsewhere.
- 21 Q. So you don't know one way or another?
- 22 A. Yeah. I mean, that's an assumption. And,
- 23 you know, it's a reasonable assumption.
- 24 Q. Okay. Next sentence, "The OR of 1.33 in
- 25 our study would be nullified if the sensitivity of
  - Page 131
  - 1 correctly classified controls fell to 82 percent or
- 2 18 percent misclassification." That's what you're
- 3 basing your 18 percent buffer on?
- 4 A. Exactly.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if 18 percent is a 5
- 6 reasonable estimate of misclassification?
- 7 A. I think they cite, you know -- they go to
- 8 other studies of -- at that time at least. You
- 9 know, they go to other studies and say "Well, let's
- 10 look at, you know, assumptions about control
- 11 classification."
- 12 And I think they have it about -- they talk
- 13 about they found an age-adjusted OR for breast
- 14 cancer -- just below that line -- of 1.42 associated
- 15 with 30 or more grams from the prospective data
- 16 compared to 1.33.
- 17 So I think, they're using that, this change
- 18 would occur if the sensitivity of controls correctly
- 19 used drop to 1 percent or 9 percent; this suggests
- 20 some degree of misclassification but not as great as
- 21 80 percent required to nullify.
- 22 Q. That section that you just read is about
- 23 the relationship between breast cancer and alcohol?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay.

- A. A lifestyle variable and cancer.
  - 2 Q. But to be clear, it's about alcohol, not
  - 3 about cosmetic use.
  - 4 A. That is correct.
  - 5 Q. Okay. And to go in between where you read
  - 6 and I read, the sentence starting with
  - 7 "Unfortunately," "Unfortunately, there are no
  - 8 external records to validate talc use reported by
  - 9 study participants to assess whether this degree of
- 10 misclassification is reasonable." Are you aware of
- 11 any external records that -- any such external
- 12 records?
- 13 MS. PARFITT: Used by the investigators
- 14 in this study?
- 15 MR. MARTIN: No.
- 16 Q. Are you aware of any such external records
- 17 that have come into existence since 2016?
- 18 MS. PARFITT: Objection.
- 19 A. Well, yeah. I mean, we discussed the
- 20 Sister Study that, you know, assessed. But it
- 21 doesn't assess whether this degree of --
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 A. I mean, I saw the other Goodman study,
- 24 which talks about different misclassifications. But
- 25 I'm not sure that, you know -- we can discuss it --
- 1 whether that's reasonable. It has two, you know --
- Q. You can probably conduct this deposition by
- 3 yourself because that's what I want to get at right
- 4 now.
- 5 MR. MARTIN: If we can mark Goodman
- 6 2024.
- 7 (2024 article by Julie E. Goodman, et
- 8 al., Exhibit 16, marked)
- Q. All right. So I have had marked as Exhibit
- 10 16 Julie Goodman, et al., "Quantitative recall bias
- 11 analysis of the talc and ovarian cancer
- 12 association." This study was published in 2024.
- 13 So I assume -- well, so it was not,
- 14 obviously, in your expert report. But it was
- 15 produced to us on Monday by your lawyers or by
- 16 plaintiffs' lawyers. You've -- you're familiar with
- 17 this article?
- 18 A. Yes. I have had a chance to read it.
- 19 Q. And one of the things that -- well, the
- 20 thing this article does is to evaluate the possible
- 21 effects of recall bias on the Cramer study we just
- 22 looked at. Right?
- 23 A. Sure.
- 24 Q. If you can turn to page 2 -- and I'll try
- 25 to get there. If you could look at the second

Page 137

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217310

- 1 column of page 2 beginning "Of these studies." And
- 2 if you can read down there for the next two
- 3 paragraphs, and I'll ask you some questions about
- 4 it.
- 5 A. Which one? The second column?
- 6 Q. Second column, the first two paragraphs of 7 the second column.
- 8 A. "In the published case control studies on 9 talc"?
- 10 Q. Yes. Correct.
- 11 A. Okay. Of these studies, only Cramer
- 12 conducted a recall bias and so did (verbatim) using
- 13 point estimates of sensitivities and specificity
- 14 rather than distribution. The investigators
- 15 recalculated the ORs assuming 99 percent recall in
- 16 cases and 99 -- and 82 percent recall specificity
- 17 and sensitivity in controls using the assumptions
- 18 the OR was 1.
- 19 Q. Would you agree with me that what the
- 20 authors are saying here is that, using different
- 21 assumptions than Dr. Cramer, that they produced
- 22 further attenuated results?
- 23 A. I haven't even read that. Yeah. But in
- 24 this analysis -- but I have my, you know -- since I
- 25 reviewed it and it came out in '24, I have my
  - Page 135

- 1 opinions on it.
- 2 Q. So let me ask you. How does this paper
- 3 affect your opinions of Cramer 2016?
- 4 A. Yeah. I will provide those opinions.
- 5 First of all, you know, I reviewed it. There are
- 6 several considerations in this paper. So the
- 7 question is, when they are doing these recall bias
- 8 analysis, where are they getting the numbers from?
- 9 They're getting it from the Sister Study,
- 10 sensitivity, spes -- that's one. And we discussed
- 11 the issues with that.
- 12 Then they are quite explicit that all their
- 13 Analysis D, Scenario 3, Scenario 4, Scenario 5 are
- 14 -- none of them adjust for any confounders. So this
- 15 is all unadjusted analysis. So, again, if
- 16 confounding is an issue in case control studies, I
- 17 don't know what weight to put on the analysis when
- 18 they are all unadjusted.
- 19 Q. Do you know whether adjustment for
- 20 confounding would increase or decrease the
- 21 association?
- 22 A. I don't know here. You know, I don't know.
- 23 So they state that these are unadjusted. And
- 24 perhaps, even more importantly, the method that they
- 25 use is known as a triangular distribution. We chose

- 1 a triangular distribution. In the first column in
- 2 that page, they talk about a triangular distribution
- 3 because it is an ideal distribution where the only
- 4 data on hand are the maximal, minimal values and the
- 5 most likely outcome.
- 6 That is not entirely correct. You have
- 7 maximal and minimal. But you also have median or
- 8 mode. And in this case, you know, if you use a
- 9 triangular distribution versus other distributions
- 10 like port (verbatim) or others, triangular
- 11 distributions give weights to the tails. So that's
- 12 why their confidence was wider.
- Other ways to analyze this data using other
- 14 distributions would give much more curve than --
- 15 give weight to the shoulders. So I don't agree with
- 16 their analysis.
- 17 Q. You said it would give different confidence
- 18 intervals. Would it give a different point
- 19 estimate?
- 20 A. Yes. Yeah. I mean, the shapes are
- 21 different.
- Q. Okay. Let's move on to the next exhibit,
- 23 which is O'Brien 2020.
- MR. MARTIN: Actually, before we do
- 25 that, can we look at the Cramer study one more time?
- 135
  - MS. PARFITT: Cramer '16?
  - 2 THE WITNESS: Which is what number?
  - 3 MS. PARFITT: 15. It's No. 15.
  - 4 Q. Can we look at Table 2?
  - 5 A. Yes.
  - 6 Q. Do you see that they -- in this case, they
  - 7 separately calculated odds ratios for hysterectomy,
  - 8 for intact or nonintact genital tracts?
  - 9 A. Yes.
  - 10 Q. Okay. And do you see that the point
  - 11 estimate for women who have had hysterectomy or
  - 12 tubal ligation is higher than the point estimate for
  - 13 women who have not?
  - MS. PARFITT: I'm going to object. This
  - 15 specific exact question was asked on page 196 of his
  - 16 2019 deposition, I mean, down to the page number,
  - 17 carrying over from the bottom of page 337 over to
  - 18 338.
  - 19 And the question was about tubal
  - 20 ligation and hysterectomy. The question was about
  - 21 the protective or lack thereof nature of those
  - 22 diseases -- of that process.
  - MR. MARTIN: Can we go off the record
  - 24 for a moment?
  - MS. PARFITT: Yes.

	P 400		5 440
1	Page 138	1	Page 140
1	(A break was taken)	1	MR. MARTIN: Understood.
2	MR. MARTIN: Back on the record. Let's	2	Ç
1	move on to O'Brien 2020, which postdates your most	3	I G
	recent deposition and report, and which we can mark	1	What's the sample size for this study in terms of
	as Exhibit 17.		number of women?
6	(2020 article by Katie M. O'Brien, et	6	
	al., Exhibit 17, marked)	7	8
8	A. Do you have the supplement tables for this?	1	"person years." You understand that to be well,
9	Q. Not in this document. I believe I have		what do you understand person years to be?
	them elsewhere.	10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11	A. Can I use my copy of supplements?		follow-up during that study period.
12	Q. Sure.	12	1 ,
13	MS. PARFITT: Yes.		in this study?
14	MR. MARTIN: Yes. So this	14	
15	MS. PARFITT: Give him one second here.	15	Q. Okay. Can we turn to Table 2, which is on
	Okay. Good. Thank you.		page 53?
17	Q. We talked about Dr. O'Brien and	17	
1	Dr. Wentzensen earlier. You agree that they're	18	•
1	well-regarded?		supplemental table but
20	A. I don't know if they are well-regarded. We	20	1 6
1	talked about that they worked for, you know, NIEHS.		primary article.
1	Yeah. I have nothing against scientists, you know,	22	·
1	whether Dr. Cramer is well-regarded too. He's		sorry. Okay.
	done tons of studies on ovarian cancer.	24	
25	Q. This article was published in JAMA. Are	25	table, they have a pooled estimate for all women.
	Page 139		Page 141
1	you familiar with that journal?		Do you see that?
2	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite	2	Do you see that? A. That is correct.
3	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my	2 3	Do you see that? A. That is correct. Q. What's the confidence interval on that
2 3 4	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my  Q. And I hope you would agree with me it's a	2 3 4	Do you see that? A. That is correct. Q. What's the confidence interval on that pooled estimate?
2 3 4 5	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my  Q. And I hope you would agree with me it's a prestigious journal.	2 3 4 5	Do you see that? A. That is correct. Q. What's the confidence interval on that pooled estimate? A99 to 1.17.
2 3 4 5 6	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my  Q. And I hope you would agree with me it's a prestigious journal.  A. Yes, it is.	2 3 4 5 6	Do you see that?  A. That is correct.  Q. What's the confidence interval on that pooled estimate?  A99 to 1.17.  Q. Would you agree with me that, as
2 3 4 5 6 7	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my Q. And I hope you would agree with me it's a prestigious journal.  A. Yes, it is. Q. You've published in it, you said.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Do you see that?  A. That is correct.  Q. What's the confidence interval on that pooled estimate?  A99 to 1.17.  Q. Would you agree with me that, as traditionally understood, that is not statistically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my Q. And I hope you would agree with me it's a prestigious journal.  A. Yes, it is. Q. You've published in it, you said. A. Yes. Many times.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Do you see that?  A. That is correct.  Q. What's the confidence interval on that pooled estimate?  A99 to 1.17.  Q. Would you agree with me that, as traditionally understood, that is not statistically significant?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my Q. And I hope you would agree with me it's a prestigious journal. A. Yes, it is. Q. You've published in it, you said. A. Yes. Many times. Q. Do you read it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Do you see that?  A. That is correct.  Q. What's the confidence interval on that pooled estimate?  A99 to 1.17.  Q. Would you agree with me that, as traditionally understood, that is not statistically significant?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my Q. And I hope you would agree with me it's a prestigious journal. A. Yes, it is. Q. You've published in it, you said. A. Yes. Many times. Q. Do you read it? A. Yeah. I read it every week.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Do you see that?  A. That is correct.  Q. What's the confidence interval on that pooled estimate?  A99 to 1.17.  Q. Would you agree with me that, as traditionally understood, that is not statistically significant?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates the evidence and his testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my Q. And I hope you would agree with me it's a prestigious journal.  A. Yes, it is. Q. You've published in it, you said. A. Yes. Many times. Q. Do you read it? A. Yeah. I read it every week. Q. Would you agree with me that none of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Do you see that?  A. That is correct.  Q. What's the confidence interval on that pooled estimate?  A99 to 1.17.  Q. Would you agree with me that, as traditionally understood, that is not statistically significant?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates the evidence and his testimony.  A. Well, Dr. O'Brien herself in subsequent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my Q. And I hope you would agree with me it's a prestigious journal.  A. Yes, it is. Q. You've published in it, you said. A. Yes. Many times. Q. Do you read it? A. Yeah. I read it every week. Q. Would you agree with me that none of the authors here, to your knowledge, are experts on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Do you see that?  A. That is correct.  Q. What's the confidence interval on that pooled estimate?  A99 to 1.17.  Q. Would you agree with me that, as traditionally understood, that is not statistically significant?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates the evidence and his testimony.  A. Well, Dr. O'Brien herself in subsequent interpretations has concluded that there's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my Q. And I hope you would agree with me it's a prestigious journal. A. Yes, it is. Q. You've published in it, you said. A. Yes. Many times. Q. Do you read it? A. Yeah. I read it every week. Q. Would you agree with me that none of the authors here, to your knowledge, are experts on either side of this litigation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Do you see that?  A. That is correct.  Q. What's the confidence interval on that pooled estimate?  A99 to 1.17.  Q. Would you agree with me that, as traditionally understood, that is not statistically significant?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates the evidence and his testimony.  A. Well, Dr. O'Brien herself in subsequent interpretations has concluded that there's a positive association between talc and ovarian cancer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my Q. And I hope you would agree with me it's a prestigious journal.  A. Yes, it is. Q. You've published in it, you said. A. Yes. Many times. Q. Do you read it? A. Yeah. I read it every week. Q. Would you agree with me that none of the authors here, to your knowledge, are experts on either side of this litigation? A. No. They're government I mean, as far	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Do you see that?  A. That is correct. Q. What's the confidence interval on that pooled estimate? A99 to 1.17. Q. Would you agree with me that, as traditionally understood, that is not statistically significant?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates the evidence and his testimony. A. Well, Dr. O'Brien herself in subsequent interpretations has concluded that there's a positive association between talc and ovarian cancer without any qualifications about patent or nonpatent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my Q. And I hope you would agree with me it's a prestigious journal.  A. Yes, it is. Q. You've published in it, you said. A. Yes. Many times. Q. Do you read it? A. Yeah. I read it every week. Q. Would you agree with me that none of the authors here, to your knowledge, are experts on either side of this litigation?  A. No. They're government I mean, as far as I'm aware, they are, you know, employees	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Do you see that?  A. That is correct. Q. What's the confidence interval on that pooled estimate? A99 to 1.17. Q. Would you agree with me that, as traditionally understood, that is not statistically significant?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates the evidence and his testimony. A. Well, Dr. O'Brien herself in subsequent interpretations has concluded that there's a positive association between talc and ovarian cancer without any qualifications about patent or nonpatent tubes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my Q. And I hope you would agree with me it's a prestigious journal.  A. Yes, it is. Q. You've published in it, you said. A. Yes. Many times. Q. Do you read it? A. Yeah. I read it every week. Q. Would you agree with me that none of the authors here, to your knowledge, are experts on either side of this litigation?  A. No. They're government I mean, as far as I'm aware, they are, you know, employees MS. PARFITT: I didn't get to interpose	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Do you see that?  A. That is correct. Q. What's the confidence interval on that pooled estimate? A99 to 1.17. Q. Would you agree with me that, as traditionally understood, that is not statistically significant?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates the evidence and his testimony. A. Well, Dr. O'Brien herself in subsequent interpretations has concluded that there's a positive association between talc and ovarian cancer without any qualifications about patent or nonpatent tubes. Q. If you could just focus on the question I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my Q. And I hope you would agree with me it's a prestigious journal. A. Yes, it is. Q. You've published in it, you said. A. Yes. Many times. Q. Do you read it? A. Yeah. I read it every week. Q. Would you agree with me that none of the authors here, to your knowledge, are experts on either side of this litigation? A. No. They're government I mean, as far as I'm aware, they are, you know, employees MS. PARFITT: I didn't get to interpose an objection. I'm going to object. You may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Do you see that?  A. That is correct.  Q. What's the confidence interval on that pooled estimate?  A99 to 1.17.  Q. Would you agree with me that, as traditionally understood, that is not statistically significant?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates the evidence and his testimony.  A. Well, Dr. O'Brien herself in subsequent interpretations has concluded that there's a positive association between talc and ovarian cancer without any qualifications about patent or nonpatent tubes.  Q. If you could just focus on the question I asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my Q. And I hope you would agree with me it's a prestigious journal.  A. Yes, it is. Q. You've published in it, you said. A. Yes. Many times. Q. Do you read it? A. Yeah. I read it every week. Q. Would you agree with me that none of the authors here, to your knowledge, are experts on either side of this litigation?  A. No. They're government I mean, as far as I'm aware, they are, you know, employees MS. PARFITT: I didn't get to interpose an objection. I'm going to object. You may certainly answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Do you see that?  A. That is correct. Q. What's the confidence interval on that pooled estimate? A99 to 1.17. Q. Would you agree with me that, as traditionally understood, that is not statistically significant?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates the evidence and his testimony. A. Well, Dr. O'Brien herself in subsequent interpretations has concluded that there's a positive association between talc and ovarian cancer without any qualifications about patent or nonpatent tubes. Q. If you could just focus on the question I asked A. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my Q. And I hope you would agree with me it's a prestigious journal.  A. Yes, it is. Q. You've published in it, you said. A. Yes. Many times. Q. Do you read it? A. Yeah. I read it every week. Q. Would you agree with me that none of the authors here, to your knowledge, are experts on either side of this litigation?  A. No. They're government I mean, as far as I'm aware, they are, you know, employees MS. PARFITT: I didn't get to interpose an objection. I'm going to object. You may certainly answer.  A. I'm not aware of their involvement. That's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Do you see that?  A. That is correct. Q. What's the confidence interval on that pooled estimate? A99 to 1.17. Q. Would you agree with me that, as traditionally understood, that is not statistically significant?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates the evidence and his testimony. A. Well, Dr. O'Brien herself in subsequent interpretations has concluded that there's a positive association between talc and ovarian cancer without any qualifications about patent or nonpatent tubes. Q. If you could just focus on the question I asked A. Sure. Q which is would you agree that a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my Q. And I hope you would agree with me it's a prestigious journal.  A. Yes, it is. Q. You've published in it, you said. A. Yes. Many times. Q. Do you read it? A. Yeah. I read it every week. Q. Would you agree with me that none of the authors here, to your knowledge, are experts on either side of this litigation?  A. No. They're government I mean, as far as I'm aware, they are, you know, employees MS. PARFITT: I didn't get to interpose an objection. I'm going to object. You may certainly answer.  A. I'm not aware of their involvement. That's all.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Do you see that?  A. That is correct.  Q. What's the confidence interval on that pooled estimate?  A99 to 1.17.  Q. Would you agree with me that, as traditionally understood, that is not statistically significant?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates the evidence and his testimony.  A. Well, Dr. O'Brien herself in subsequent interpretations has concluded that there's a positive association between talc and ovarian cancer without any qualifications about patent or nonpatent tubes.  Q. If you could just focus on the question I asked  A. Sure.  Q which is would you agree that a confidence interval that crosses 1 at a 95 percent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my Q. And I hope you would agree with me it's a prestigious journal.  A. Yes, it is. Q. You've published in it, you said. A. Yes. Many times. Q. Do you read it? A. Yeah. I read it every week. Q. Would you agree with me that none of the authors here, to your knowledge, are experts on either side of this litigation?  A. No. They're government I mean, as far as I'm aware, they are, you know, employees MS. PARFITT: I didn't get to interpose an objection. I'm going to object. You may certainly answer.  A. I'm not aware of their involvement. That's all. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Do you see that?  A. That is correct.  Q. What's the confidence interval on that pooled estimate?  A99 to 1.17.  Q. Would you agree with me that, as traditionally understood, that is not statistically significant?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates the evidence and his testimony.  A. Well, Dr. O'Brien herself in subsequent interpretations has concluded that there's a positive association between talc and ovarian cancer without any qualifications about patent or nonpatent tubes.  Q. If you could just focus on the question I asked  A. Sure.  Q which is would you agree that a confidence interval that crosses 1 at a 95 percent value is not, as traditionally understood,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my Q. And I hope you would agree with me it's a prestigious journal.  A. Yes, it is. Q. You've published in it, you said. A. Yes. Many times. Q. Do you read it? A. Yeah. I read it every week. Q. Would you agree with me that none of the authors here, to your knowledge, are experts on either side of this litigation?  A. No. They're government I mean, as far as I'm aware, they are, you know, employees MS. PARFITT: I didn't get to interpose an objection. I'm going to object. You may certainly answer.  A. I'm not aware of their involvement. That's all. Q. Okay. MS. PARFITT: Zack, I'm not being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Do you see that?  A. That is correct.  Q. What's the confidence interval on that pooled estimate?  A99 to 1.17.  Q. Would you agree with me that, as traditionally understood, that is not statistically significant?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates the evidence and his testimony.  A. Well, Dr. O'Brien herself in subsequent interpretations has concluded that there's a positive association between talc and ovarian cancer without any qualifications about patent or nonpatent tubes.  Q. If you could just focus on the question I asked  A. Sure.  Q which is would you agree that a confidence interval that crosses 1 at a 95 percent value is not, as traditionally understood, statistically significant?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my Q. And I hope you would agree with me it's a prestigious journal.  A. Yes, it is. Q. You've published in it, you said. A. Yes. Many times. Q. Do you read it? A. Yeah. I read it every week. Q. Would you agree with me that none of the authors here, to your knowledge, are experts on either side of this litigation?  A. No. They're government I mean, as far as I'm aware, they are, you know, employees MS. PARFITT: I didn't get to interpose an objection. I'm going to object. You may certainly answer.  A. I'm not aware of their involvement. That's all. Q. Okay. MS. PARFITT: Zack, I'm not being difficult. I just don't know who you all are going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Do you see that?  A. That is correct.  Q. What's the confidence interval on that pooled estimate?  A99 to 1.17.  Q. Would you agree with me that, as traditionally understood, that is not statistically significant?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates the evidence and his testimony.  A. Well, Dr. O'Brien herself in subsequent interpretations has concluded that there's a positive association between talc and ovarian cancer without any qualifications about patent or nonpatent tubes.  Q. If you could just focus on the question I asked  A. Sure.  Q which is would you agree that a confidence interval that crosses 1 at a 95 percent value is not, as traditionally understood, statistically significant?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Completely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you familiar with that journal?  A. I've published in it. So I'm quite familiar with it as you can see in my Q. And I hope you would agree with me it's a prestigious journal.  A. Yes, it is. Q. You've published in it, you said. A. Yes. Many times. Q. Do you read it? A. Yeah. I read it every week. Q. Would you agree with me that none of the authors here, to your knowledge, are experts on either side of this litigation?  A. No. They're government I mean, as far as I'm aware, they are, you know, employees MS. PARFITT: I didn't get to interpose an objection. I'm going to object. You may certainly answer.  A. I'm not aware of their involvement. That's all. Q. Okay. MS. PARFITT: Zack, I'm not being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Do you see that?  A. That is correct.  Q. What's the confidence interval on that pooled estimate?  A99 to 1.17.  Q. Would you agree with me that, as traditionally understood, that is not statistically significant?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates the evidence and his testimony.  A. Well, Dr. O'Brien herself in subsequent interpretations has concluded that there's a positive association between talc and ovarian cancer without any qualifications about patent or nonpatent tubes.  Q. If you could just focus on the question I asked  A. Sure.  Q which is would you agree that a confidence interval that crosses 1 at a 95 percent value is not, as traditionally understood, statistically significant?  MS. PARFITT: Objection. Completely

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217312

Page 142

- A. Yeah. Can you repeat it? I'm sorry. I'm 1
- 2 trying to understand and answer.
- Q. What do you understand -- you'd agree this
- 4 confidence interval -- this 95 percent confidence
- 5 interval crosses 1.0.
- A. That is correct.
- 7 Q. Okay. You'd also agree that all of the --
- 8 in each -- the confidence interval for each of the
- 9 individual cohorts crosses 1.0.
- A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. You'd also agree that, for one of
- 12 the individual cohorts, the point estimate is below
- 13 1.0.
- 14 A. We should discuss that cohort because the
- 15 median follow-up time in that is 3.8 years, which is
- 16 not etiologically relevant for ovarian cancer. We
- 17 discussed person years. But we have 2168 with
- 18 ovarian cancer, where in the case control we have
- 19 15,000. So, yes, we should look at that. But we
- 20 also need to look at where are those numbers coming
- 21 from.
- 22 Q. Dr. Singh, I appreciate that you need to
- 23 give context. I'd appreciate it if you could focus
- 24 on the answer to my question because we have limited 24
- 25 time.

- A. Right. I have to give context. I cannot 1
- 2 answer questions without context.
- 3 Q. Would the limited follow-up explain why the
- 4 point estimate is below 1.0?
- A. Yes.
- Q. How so?
- 7 A. Because they would not have sufficient
- 8 number of cases. You know, we -- they don't have
- 9 etiologically relevant exposure periods. You know,
- 10 three years of follow-up to really detect ovarian
- 11 cancer?
- 12 I mean, I don't think -- in my analysis,
- 13 this study should not have been -- you know, is not
- 14 really informative on the association. And,
- 15 actually, if you look at the ones that you were
- 16 pointing out -- the pooled hazard ratios -- the
- 17 confidence intervals for that are so wide that it's
- 18 just contributing very little information and weight
- 19 to the analysis.
- 20 These results that you see here, they are
- 21 driven by the NHS and WHI-OS. I can examine the
- 22 confidence, then tell you. The NHSII and VI are not
- 23 driving any of these results.
- 24 Q. The study with a 3.8-year follow-up is the
- 25 NHSII?

- A. That is correct. 1
- 2 Q. That study is not driving the pooled
- 3 estimate?
- 4 A. That is correct.
- 5 Q. Can we turn to page 3 on Table 55? Looking
- 6 at the top of Table 3, you can see that the authors
- 7 here looked at different levels of use.
- A. Yeah. They looked at, you know, frequency
- 9 and duration of use.
- 10 Q. Okay. Looking at long-term use for the
- 11 "all women" group, can you tell me what the adjusted
- 12 hazard ratio and confidence interval is?
- A. Yeah. I'll provide that and also provide
- 14 what they mean by "long-term use." So the hazard
- 15 ratio -- adjusted hazard ratio, if I'm correct, is
- 16 1.01, .82 to 1.25. So, again, NHS has no data on
- 17 long-term use.
- 18 The only three studies that provide data on
- 19 long-term use are -- NHSII, VI, and WHI-OS define
- 20 very differently. "Long-term use" is defined in
- 21 NHSII as at least weekly for 20 years. In the
- 22 Sister Study, "long-term use" is defined as perineal
- 23 use at ages 10 to 13 and in the last 12 months.
  - And in the WHI study, "long-term use" is
- 25 defined as 20 or more years for any of the three
  - Page 145
- 1 questions. So what is long-term use?
- Q. Two of the three studies you mentioned
- 3 defined it as 20 or more years.
- A. Yeah. But they ask different sets of
- 5 questions. One of them even asked questions about
- 6 -- if you look at the Sister Study questionnaire, it
- 7 asks about, during the ages of 10 to 13, how often
- 8 did you apply. And the options were "sometimes,"
- 9 "frequently," "don't know." And then they had a
- 10 second about in the past 12 months.
- In fact, they are incorrect in their
- 12 exposure classification because they say "more than
- 13 five times per month." If you look at Chang and the
- 14 original Sister Study, it was more than five times
- 15 per week, if I'm correct.
- Q. The question was not about frequency. It
- 17 was about length of use. There is a frequency
- 18 number later, but let's focus on the length of use
- 19 number now.
- 20 A. Sure.
- 21 Q. Point estimate is 1.01. Do you believe
- 22 that shows an association?
- 23 A. I just don't -- I believe that analysis of
- 24 long-term use is flawed because of the way long-term
- 25 use data was collected. And I mean, for example,

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217313

Page 146

- 1 let's look at Sister. Long-term use, perineal use
- 2 at age 10 to 13 years, last 12 months. What
- 3 happened to that whole --
- Q. You've made your opinion clear. I'm simply
- 5 asking whether you believe a 1.01 hazard ratio
- 6 represents an association.
- 7 A. Not in this context.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. You'd agree that the
- 9 1.01 point estimate here is lower than the point
- 10 estimate for all users that we discussed earlier.
- MS. PARFITT: Objection to form.
- A. Which was one -- which one? The overall? 12
- 13 O. The overall.
- 14 A. Yeah. It would be. I mean, you know,
- 15 you're selecting a subsample. You have wider
- 16 confidence intervals. You've lost a study already,
- 17 which didn't collect data, which was, you know, a
- 18 study that was driving the estimates. So I don't
- 19 see it as any -- I think it's more about how
- 20 exposure was defined and measured and --
- Q. Let's look at talc use for greater than one
- 22 week. What's the pooled estimate and confidence
- 23 interval there?
- 24 MS. PARFITT: I'm sorry. Where are you?
- 25 MR. MARTIN: I apologize. That's the

- 2 number.
  - 3
  - And we know from several studies --4 Penninkilampi, others -- that total number is a
  - 5 better measure. Forget about the results. What is

1 total number of applications is probably the best

- 6 a better measure? You can't separate use and
- 7 frequency. I mean, they have it. And even that,
- 8 they have mis-specified in how they have measured
- 9 it.
- 10 Q. Again, if you could just answer the
- 11 questions that I'm asking. Ms. Parfitt will have an
- 12 opportunity to ask you questions if you want to
- 13 clarify anything later.
- 14 You would agree that the long-term use
- 15 point estimate is lower among women with patent
- 16 reproductive tracts.
- 17 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Asked and
- 18 answered.
- 19 A. How is that lower? Is it 1.01 and 1?
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. I don't think that makes any material
- 22 difference, I mean.
- Q. Okay. So Dr. O'Brien and colleagues did
- 24 not initially look at only medically confirmed
- 25 cases; is that correct?

Page 147

- 1 end of the first half of Table 3.
- A. Yeah. 1.09, .97 to 1.23.
- Q. Let's look at the bottom half of the table,
- 4 which is women with patent reproductive tracts.
- A. Sure.
- Q. Again, let's look at long-term use. What
- 7 is the adjusted hazard ratio there?
- 8 A. 1.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. .76 to 1.32.
- Q. So there's no association reported there; 11
- 12 correct?
- 13 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- A. Yeah. But the frequent users report an 14
- 15 association 1.19, 1.03 to 1.37.
- Q. Correct. But the long-term users, there's
- 17 no association reported.
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 MS. PARFITT: Objection.
- Q. That's a lower point estimate, again, than
- 21 the long-term users -- than "all women" long-term
- 22 users?
- A. I mean, I think we are talking about dose,
- 24 you know. We have to talk about what is an
- 25 appropriate measure of dose. It is, you know --

- A. Yeah. Show me the section. I have vague
- 2 recollection, but you have to point me out where.
- Q. Well, I'll point you to Table 4, which is 4 on the next page, which is a subset of medically
- 5 confirmed cases. Do you see that?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. Let's look at all medically
- 8 confirmed cases, ever use, top left column. What's
- 9 the hazard ratio there?
- 10 A. Hazard ratio -- I mean, if you want to just
- 11 have me read things, yes, it is 1.05, .96 to 1.16.
- Q. Would you agree that -- do you believe a
- 13 1.05, 0.96 to 1.16 confidence interval demonstrates
- 14 an association?
- 15 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Asked and
- 16 answered.
- 17 A. Depends on the context. You know, we have
- 18 many associations, that, you know, maybe 5 percent
- 19 is a protective effect, you know, diets, fruits,
- 20 vegetables, you know, and even a 10 percent
- 21 increased risk is -- so it really depends on the
- 22 context of, you know, and what else do we know about 23 that.
- 24 Q. So a few moments ago, you said you didn't
- 25 think there was a material difference between 1.01

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217314

Page 150

1 and 1.0.

- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. There may be a material difference between
- 4 1.05 and 1.0. How do I know where you draw the line
- 5 here?
- 6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates the 7 testimony.
- 8 A. I'm not parsing out 1 and 2 and 3. I mean,
- 9 that's not what I'm saying. I'm saying that to the
- 10 -- in terms of a material difference, it is, like,
- 11 what is the question you're trying to answer right
- 12 there. And remember, there are different set of
- 13 adjustments. So the sample size has changed.
- 14 Obviously, the point estimates will change.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. Exposure metrics have changed. You have
- 17 "ever," "long-term," "frequent."
- 18 Q. But you would agree with me that the hazard
- 19 ratio among medically confirmed cases was attenuated 19
- 20 from the 1.09 we talked about earlier.
- 21 A. Yeah. Because --
- 22 MS. PARFITT: Objection.
- 23 A. -- the numbers of cases declined. Number
- 24 of cases declined. So it went from already low,
- 25 2,168, to now even lower, 1800 cases. Of course,

Page 151

- 1 you know, these could be attenuated.
- 2 Q. Why would a smaller number of cases reduce
- 3 the hazard ratio?
- 4 A. Because of power.
- 5 Q. Okay. Power would increase the size of the
- 6 confidence interval?
- 7 A. And can lower the point estimates because
- 8 you are unable to detect an association. Either
- 9 way.
- 10 Q. Okay. Could it also raise the point
- 11 estimate?
- 12 A. Lower power?
- 13 Q. Yes.
- 14 A. How would it raise the point estimate?
- 15 Q. Is your testimony that it is impossible for
- 16 lower power to raise the point estimate?
- 17 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Asked and 18 answered.
- 19 A. If a study is statistically under power,
- 20 what it does, it gives you a more imprecise
- 21 confidence interval.
- Q. Let's look at the "discussion" section.
- 23 Let's look at page 58.
- A. The reference?
- 25 Q. This is the last paragraph before

130

- 1 "limitations," so "The strengths of this study."
- 2 A. That's 57.
- 3 Q. You're correct, and I'm wrong. Thank you.
- 4 Do you see where I intend to be?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. "The strengths of the study were
- 7 long" -- excuse me -- "large sample size and long
- 8 follow-up time." Do you agree that those are
- 9 strengths of the study?
- 10 A. I think as compared to the previous cohort
- 11 studies, yes, but not sufficient enough to detect
- 12 cancer like ovarian cancer related to talc. Yes,
- 13 compared to the initial cohorts, they have increased
- 14 the sample size.
- 15 Q. Did you mention that in your expert report?
- 16 A. I don't recall what I mentioned. But,
- 17 yeah, I mean, I did talk about their -- I'll have to
- 18 go and look at it.
  - Q. Okay.
- 20 A. Give me one second. I did not mention that
- 21 specific strength. But I also mentioned that
- 22 strengths are noted, they're less prone to recall
- 23 and recall bias remains a concern. But I did not
- 24 mention that specific strength.
- Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that one of
  - Page 153
- 1 your criticisms of the cohort studies that preceded
- 2 O'Brien was insufficient statistical power?
- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 Q. And another criticism was insufficient
- 5 follow-up time.
- 6 A. That is correct.
- 7 Q. Okay. Let's look at page 50 of O'Brien.
- 8 A. 58?
- 9 Q. 50. I apologize.
- MS. PARFITT: Let us know if you need to
- 11 take a break. Not in the middle of a question,
- 12 though.
- Q. Do you see the last paragraph of the
- 14 introduction -- last sentence of the introduction?
- 15 A. Yeah.
- 16 Q. "Incorporate additional data, including
- 17 additional cases and longer follow-up time."
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Do you know how many years of follow-up
- 20 time were added for each of the underlying cohorts
- 21 in the O'Brien 2020 data?
- A. I mean, not specifically. But I think
- 23 Table 1 provides that, you know.
- Q. Yeah.
- 25 A. If we can go there and talk about it.

39 (Pages 150 - 153)

Page 157

Page 154

- 1 MR. MARTIN: Can we take a break and
- 2 then go there and talk about it? Off the record.
- (A break was taken)
- 4 MR. MARTIN: Let's go back on the record
- 5 and mark a new exhibit, which is your 2018 expert 6 report.
- 7 (2018 report of Sonal Singh, M.D.,
- 8 M.P.H., Exhibit 18, marked)
- A. Back with O'Brien later?
- 10 Q. We're going to go back and forth. So if
- 11 you can keep O'Brien up, that would be great.
- 12 A. Sure.
- 13 MR. TISI: You mean old report, new
- 14 report or --
- 15 MR. MARTIN: Potentially all three. I'm
- 16 sorry the table is so small.
- 17 MR. TISI: No. It's okay. You had
- 18 nothing to do with the table. I just wanted to make
- 19 sure --
- 20 MR. MARTIN: I did not, no.
- 21 Q. Look at page 49 of your 2018 report.
- 22 A. Yeah.
- 23 Q. So this is the Women's Health Initiative
- 24 study reported in Houghton. Do you see where you
- 25 noted that the study had only 429 ovarian cancer

- 1 limited follow-up. And then even for WHI, if you
- 2 look at the footnote to that table, they say that
- 3 pool's baseline oophorectomies were not recorded.
- 4 So we don't know what happened to women who
- 5 got an oophorectomy after exposure and developed
- 6 ovarian cancer so -- because they were not
- 7 collecting data on ovarian cancer -- oophorectomy.
- 8 Q. They were collecting data on ovarian
- 9 cancer.
- 10 A. Yeah. But some of these oophorectomies
- 11 could be due to ovarian cancer. That is the same
- 12 case with NHSII.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. Because data were reported in two-year
- 15 cycles, we did not sense for oophorectomy that
- 16 occurred after 2013, whereas NHS and Sister did
- 17 sense it.
- Q. So let's talk about NHSII. That's entirely
- new data reported for the first time in O'Brien.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. And then NHSI, which you talked
- 22 about in your initial report on pages 47 and 48 --
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Okay. Initially, there were 307 cases of
- 25 ovarian cancer.

Page 155

A. Yes.

1 cases?

- Q. Do you see at the beginning of the second
- 4 paragraph on page 50 where you noted it as 12 --
- 5 there were 12.4 mean years of follow-up?
- A. Where is that? I'm sorry.
- 7 Q. The beginning of the first paragraph on
- 8 page 50 of your 2018 report.
- A. Okay. 50. Right?
- 10 Q. 50.
- 11 A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. So let's compare that to the nurses
- 13 -- excuse me -- the Women's Health Initiative study
- 14 in O'Brien Table 1.
- 15 A. Sure.
- Q. Now, 659 cases and 17.4 average years of
- 17 follow-up. Do you see that?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. Okay. So substantially more on both --
- 20 substantially more cases and longer follow-up.
- Yes. The number of cases has increased.
- 22 Follow-up has increased. But there is, you know, 23 obviously -- if you only look at the Women's Health
- 24 Initiatives, that's true.
- 25 But NHS is, you know -- NHSII has very

- A. Yeah. 1
- 2 Q. And now there are 1,258.
- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 Q. So about -- more than triple -- more than
- 5 quadruple, actually.
- A. Yeah. That is correct. But we have to
- 7 examine that. The analysis in that study, 2000,
- 8 page 47 -- if you go to page 48, they report there
- 9 was an increase -- all the analyses adjusted for
- 10 tubal ligation. Now here, we have analysis which
- 11 interact by, you know, age adjusted for -- after
- 12 adjusting for co-variants.
- 13 So tubal ligation, if you look at page 40
- 14 in the first line, tubal ligation and parity were
- 15 confounders in that analysis.
- MR. MARTIN: Let's go off the record. 16
- 17 There's a knock at the door.
- 18 (A break was taken)
- 19 MR. MARTIN: Back on the record.
- 20 Q. I believe I asked you about the increased
- 21 sample size in the Nurses' Health Study reported in
- 22 O'Brien. And you agreed that it was more than
- 23 quadruple the size of the initial Nurses' Health
- 24 Study in terms of cases.
- 25 A. Yes. And I also pointed out the specific

40 (Pages 154 - 157)

Page 161

Page 158

- 1 issue that the first Nurses' Health Study adjusted
- 2 for the confounder of tubal ligation, whereas here,
- 3 now they have started to look at -- in fact, all the
- 4 three studies -- Sister and WHI also -- adjusted it
- 5 as a confounder. So now, you know, there's a
- 6 separate analysis. So it's something to note, that
- 7 when we are looking at these numbers, what are the
- 8 implications of that.
- 9 Q. Those numbers wouldn't -- the -- I'm trying
- 10 to get at the power of the study. Whether it
- 11 adjusted for tubal ligation would not address the
- 12 power of the study, would it?
- 13 MS. PARFITT: Objection.
- 14 A. It would. It would. Because -- why?
- 15 Because power also depends on confounders. Right?
- 16 Power is -- you're talking about unadjusted power
- 17 versus adjusted power. Powers is -- numbers is one
- 18 issue. But what are the other issues?
- 19 You know, we've talked about confounding
- 20 and recall bias. So power is just you -- obviously
- 21 -- number is one issue. The other is etiologic
- 22 follow-up time. For example, NHSII, you add this to
- 23 million-year follow-up time. I'm not sure any of it
- 24 has etiologic relevance. 3 .8 years of follow-up,
- 25 you present that to an ovarian cancer researcher and

- 1 increased follow-up time.
  - 2 MS. PARFITT: Right. If you look at
  - 3 page 16 of your report.
  - 4 A. Yeah. So, I mean, not specifically for
  - 5 each of the studies. But if you go to page 16, I do
  - 6 talk about follow-up in the context of discussion of
  - 7 these studies, not specifically in that section on
  - 8 O'Brien.
  - 9 But this is where I'm discussing in the
  - 10 section on the Health Canada reports assessment of
  - 11 these studies where they talk about follow-up time.
  - 12 Only four years of follow-up -- the NHSII was added
  - 13 to the O'Brien analysis, and only four years of
  - 14 follow-up was added.
  - 15 Q. When you're talking about these studies --
  - 16 when you're talking about the Gonzalez study in the
  - 17 second paragraph under "cohort studies" --
  - 18 A. Sure
  - 19 Q. -- and you note a median of 6.6 years of
  - 20 follow-up, that's the initial Gonzalez study;
  - 21 correct?
  - A. That is true.
  - Q. Not the updated version, O'Brien?
  - 24 A. No.
  - Q. Similarly, when you talk about Houghton in

- 1 -- it's not relevant. So it's not just the years.
- 2 It's -- the question is what is relevant years?
- 3 And I said, you know, NHS and WHI are
- 4 informative. NHSII is really not informative. I'm
- 5 not even sure why one would include a study of
- 6 limited follow-up in the same analysis.
- 7 Q. You mentioned earlier that you thought
- 8 NHSII was not a major contributor to the pooled
- 9 estimate.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. It isn't.
- 13 Q. Okay. Did you note the increased follow-up
- 14 time in these studies anywhere in your 2023 report?
- 15 A. Let me take a look. Please give me one 16 second.
- MS. PARFITT: Can I direct him to pages?
- 18 MR. MARTIN: Yes.
- MS. PARFITT: Page 16, you're talking
- 20 about your cohort studies, Doctor, if that helps
- 21 you, page 16.
- THE WITNESS: No.
- MS. PARFITT: I think the question was
- 24 follow-up time. Is that right?
- MR. MARTIN: Whether you noted the

- 1 the next paragraph, 12.4 --
- 2 A. That's the initial. And I think those are
- 3 the references cited there.
- 4 Q. Okay. Can we skip back to page 11 of your
- 5 report?
- 6 MS. PARFITT: '23 report?
- 7 MR. MARTIN: '23 report.
- 8 MS. PARFITT: Thank you.
- 9 Q. This gets back to what we were talking
- 10 about earlier about statistical significance in
- 11 P-values. It's the second-to-last paragraph of
- 12 page 11. "The authors interpreted an HR of 1.08
- 13 with a lower bound of 0.99 as being no evidence of
- 14 an association, which is inconsistent with the
- 15 American Statistical Association statement."
- 16 So I take it that you consider a 1.08 HR
- 17 with a lower bound of .99 to represent an
- 18 association?
- 19 A. Not only I do. They do, too, in their
- 20 subsequent -- you know, in the response to the
- 21 letter. They just state that this -- and I'm going
- 22 to read it --
- MS. PARFITT: "They," you mean?
- 24 A. Dr. O'Brien, et al. Obviously, I do but --
- Q. We're going to get to the responses to the

Page 165

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217317

Page 162

- 1 letter by both Dr. O'Brien as well as Drs. Cramer
- 2 and Harlow in a little bit.
- 3 My question is whether you consider an HR
- 4 of 1.08 to be a strong association.
- 5 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 6 A. Yeah. So, again, I think of strength not,
- 7 you know -- and I know some people -- and I may have
- 8 used terms like "moderate" and "strong" in the past.
- 9 But, you know, "strong" is more in the appropriate
- 10 context. I mean, is it in the appropriate public
- 11 health context and for an individual patient?
- 12 If there is a preventible risk factors --
- 13 for example, let's say reducing cancer by eating
- 14 fruits and vegetables -- 1.09 would be very strong.
- 15 Q. In terms of evaluating whether an observed
- 16 association is causal in the first instance, do you
- 17 consider a 1.08 hazard ratio to be strong?
- 18 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 19 A. I wouldn't even -- I wouldn't even, you
- 20 know -- I don't -- I wouldn't say that that's what
- 21 -- I wouldn't start -- I would just interpret it in
- 22 the context of other data, you know. I can't look
- 23 at just one piece of information, 1.09. What's the
- 24 other context? Is there a biologic plausibility?
- 25 Is there dose response? I don't think you can look

- age 162
  - 1 population is different. We have -- you know, if
  - 2 you look at NHSII and Sister Study, these are
  - 3 younger -- you know, younger women. You know, some
  - 4 of the recruitment was for oral contraceptive use.
  - 5 Tubal ligation occurs at different ages.
  - 6 Hysterectomy occurs at different ages. So the --
  - 7 where they impact hazard ratios, you know, you can't
  - 8 compare one study to the other.
  - Q. Okay. So let's flip to page 11, the first
  - 10 full sentence, "Other epidemiologic studies have
  - 11 noted a significantly increased risk of ovarian
  - 12 cancer with no tubal ligation compared to those with
  - 13 tubal ligation." Would you agree that some studies
  - 14 have noted the opposite of that?
  - 15 A. That is correct.
  - 16 Q. Let's turn back to page 52 of the O'Brien
  - 17 study. When you get there, it's going to be the
  - 18 final paragraph of page -- of the first column.
  - 19 A. Is it, like, a text or --
  - 20 Q. Yes. It's text. It should start with the
  - 21 words "Statistical tests."
  - 22 A. The "results" section?
  - Q. No. It's right before the "results"
  - 24 section.
  - 25 A. Okay.

Page 163

- 1 at association and say "Well, it's strong or not
- 2 strong" and consistency or inconsistency.
- 3 Q. Let's go back to page 10. This is your
- 4 discussion of the O'Brien subset involving women
- 5 with intact tubes. "However, they conducted a
- 6 prespecified analysis evaluating the risk of ovarian7 cancer among women with patent reproductive tracts.
- 8 The analysis showed a statistically significant
- 9 excess risk for ever users and never users, even
- 10 after adjustment for various risk factors."
- First of all, is access risk for ever users
- 12 and never users a typo there?
- 13 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 14 A. Should be "versus."
- 15 Q. That's what I thought.
- 16 A. Yeah. "Versus never users." Yeah.
- 17 Q. How do you balance that result with the
- 18 result we talked about earlier from Cramer that
- 19 showed a higher odds ratio for women with a tubal
- 20 ligation or hysterectomy?
- 21 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Asked and
- 22 answered and covered in his 2018. But you may
- 23 answer.
- 24 A. Yeah. So, I mean, again, those are two
- 25 different populations. You know, Cramer's

- Q. "Statistical tests were two-sided, and the
- 2 P-value of less than .05 was considered
- 3 statistically significant. Because of the potential
- 4 for Type 1 errors due to multiple comparisons,
- 5 finding from subgroup and sensitivity analyses
- 6 should be interpreted as exploratory. All analyses
- 7 were conducted in SAS9.4."
- 8 What do you -- can you explain the concept
- 9 of multiple comparison testing?
- 10 A. Sure. Multiple testing is if, you know,
- 11 you conduct a study and you have or -- somehow you
- 12 have different questions you're trying to answer and
- 13 you do more than one analysis or 10 analysis or 15
- 14 analysis or 20, then you may want to do a correction
- 15 for that.
- Q. Is that because running more analyses
- 17 increases the possibility that one of them will
- 18 appear statistically significant by chance?
  - A. Yes. But in this case, the analysis that
- 20 -- was etiologically relevant and was prespecified
- 21 is not -- I know they talk about exploratory. But
- 22 they even emphasize importance -- and, again, I know
- 24 necessarily just a subgroup analysis.
- Q. So let's actually turn to what you said,

23 we're going to discuss in the letter -- is not

Page 166

- 1 the exploratory discussion, which is on page 56.
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. It's the middle paragraph of the second
- 4 column beginning "When restricted."
- 5 A. So it's the second text; right?
- Q. Yes. It is text, but I actually may have
- 7 the wrong place. I'm sorry. I guess I'm wrong. On
- 8 page 56. It's in text.
- 9 MS. PARFITT: I'm sorry, Zack. I'm not
- 10 seeing it.
- 11 MR. MARTIN: It's halfway through the
- 12 first for -- the final paragraph of page 56.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Give me a second.
- 14 MR. MARTIN: Begins "In this analysis."
- MS. PARFITT: Do you have it now?
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah. I have it.
- 17 Q. That sentence is what you mentioned
- 18 earlier. Says "This finding should be considered
- 19 only exploratory and hypothesis generating." That's
- 20 referring to the finding among women with intact
- 21 tubes; correct?
- 22 A. Yeah. No. I'm not mentioning that. I'm
- 23 going to her letter, page 2097, where she states --
- 24 Dr. O'Brien states that "Therefore, even though we
- 25 stated that findings from subgroup analysis should
  - Page 167

1

- 1 be interpreted as exploratory, we do not consider
- 2 them all equally important and agree that the
- 3 positive association among women with patent tubes
- 4 is consistent with the hypothesis that there's an
- 5 association between genital powder use and ovarian
- 6 cancer." That's in the letter that --
- 7 Q. Correct.
- 8 MS. PARFITT: Finish. That's in the
- 9 letter that what?
- 10 A. That she responded to Drs. Harlow and
- 11 others who had pointed out that.
- 12 Q. Do you believe that you are using the
- 13 subgroup analysis for patent tubes in an exploratory
- 14 and hypothesis-generating way?
- 15 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates his
- 16 testimony.
- 17 A. I am or she is?
- 18 Q. Do you believe that you are in your expert
- 19 report?
- 20 MS. PARFITT: Objection.
- 21 A. No. I'm looking at etiologically relevant
- 22 exposure. And as was done in the first three, you
- 23 know -- if you look at NHSI and, you know, WHI and 23
- 24 Sister, they were adjusting for these tubes. So
- 25 they were considering them as confounders.

- Page 168
  And now we know that the mechanisms --
  - 2 these are the mechanisms of retrograde migration of
  - 3 talc. So these are prespecified exploratory. And I
  - 4 stress the word "prespecified" because they were
  - 5 looking for specific mechanism.
  - 6 Q. Just, again, to get a clear record on my
  - 7 question, you do not believe that you are using the
  - 8 subgroup analysis in an exploratory or hypothesis-
  - 9 generating way in your report.
  - 10 A. I mean, I think it is a causal -- I'm not
  - 11 using it as an exploratory. I'm using it as a
  - 12 causal, you know, hypothesis -- causal statement
  - 13 about talc and ovarian cancer. It's not
  - 14 exploratory.
  - 15 Q. Let's look back at your report, page 11.
  - 16 A. New report; right?
  - 17 Q. New report. I'm sorry. Yes.
  - 18 A. Yeah.
  - 19 Q. Two thirds of the way down, it says
  - 20 "Inability to assess tests for patency." "The
  - 21 authors acknowledged they did not perform tests for
  - 22 patency, so women reported as being patent in this
  - 23 analysis may not have patent reproductive tracts."
  - 24 That's a concern about misclassification; right?
  - 25 A. Give me a second. Okay.

- Q. Do you know how -- do you know how the data
- 2 were collected with respect to women's patency in
- 3 the underlying studies?
- 4 A. Self-reported.
- 5 Q. Self-reported. Do you believe most women
- 6 know whether they have fallopian tubes or not --
- 7 intact fallopian tubes or not?
- 8 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. You can
- 9 answer that.
- 10 Q. If you don't know the answer, that's fine.
- 11 A. I mean, women would know that. Yeah. But
- 12 do they report it accurately? That's two different
- 13 questions, you know. "Do they know it?" Is one
- 14 thing. "Do they report it accurately?" It's two,
- 15 you know -- two levels of questions.
- 16 Q. Let's look at Gossett 2020. Are you
- 17 familiar with this? This is an editorial that
- 18 accompanied O'Brien in JAMA.
- 19 A. Yes.
- MR. MARTIN: Okay. We'll mark this as
- 21 an exhibit. Can we go off the record for less than
- 22 a minute while I look at my notes.
  - (A break was taken)
- MR. MARTIN: Back on the record. Let's
- 25 mark this as Exhibit 19.

Page 173

Page 170

- (2020 Dana R. Gossett, M.D. editorial,
- 2 Exhibit 19, marked)

1

- Q. This is the Gossett editorial we were
- 4 talking about before the break. All right. Can we
- 5 look at the second page of the editorial, which is
- 6 page 30 of JAMA, first full paragraph?
- Do you see the second sentence of that
- 8 paragraph, "It is not possible to equate a patent
- 9 reproductive tract with exposure and a nonpatent
- 10 reproductive tract with non-exposure"?
- And they go on and explain that the reason
- 12 is because women who undergo tubal ligation or
- 13 hysterectomy and use powders in the genital area
- 14 cannot be assumed to have started using the product
- 15 only after their surgery. Do you agree with that,
- 16 generally?
- 17 A. Let me read it. Which part did you
- 18 mention? "Given this" -- second paragraph?
- Q. I will just ask it as a general question.
- 20 Do you agree that women may undergo a tubal ligation
- 21 or hysterectomy after having already used talcum
- 22 powder?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. So as a result, there may be women with
- 25 nonintact genital tracts who nevertheless have

- 1 elevated. So there's different ways to interpret
- 2 the subgroup analysis.
- Q. Just to be clear --3
- 4 A. Sure.
- 5 Q. -- is what you're saying that it could be
- 6 that both groups are at risk, or it could be that
- 7 neither group is at risk?
- A. No. I'm saying that they -- what they're
- 9 interpreting is, because there is no test for
- 10 interaction that's different, the hazard ratio, that
- 11 is statistically significantly higher for the
- 12 patent. And, you know, the hazard ratio for
- 13 nonpatent is lower and not significant. They are
- 14 interpreting -- this is overall conclusion, that
- 15 there is no demonstrable (verbatim).
- 16 My interpretation is it is entirely plausible
- 17 that the nonpatent subgroups' hazard ratios and, you
- 18 know, the hazard ratios in the patent are similar.
- 19 So I have a -- not completely -- yes, it is a
- 20 completely different interpretation. If there's no
- 21 difference, .99 -- the group in .99 is the same as
- 22 the group in the patent.
- 23 Q. Okay. And would you interpret from that
- 24 that both groups are at risk?
- 25 A. Yes. I mean, that's one plausible

- 1 exposure to talcum powder.
- A. Yes.
- Q. Because they had that exposure before they
- 4 had surgery. Okay. If we can go down to the
- 5 sentence starting "The fact that."
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. "The fact that there are no significant
- 8 differences in the HRs in the patent," parentheses,
- 9 "HR, 1.13, 1.01 to 1.26, and nonpatent subgroups,
- 10 .99, .86 to 1.5, confirms the overall conclusion
- 11 that there is no demonstrable statistically
- 12 significant association between the use of powder in
- 13 the genital area and ovarian cancer risk." Do you
- 14 agree with that statement?
- A. I disagree because the authors in the
- 16 response to letters also disagree with that. And,
- 17 you know, one interpretation of their tests of
- 18 interaction would be that the nonpatent subgroups
- 19 also have an increased risk because there is no
- 20 difference.
- 21 So why are we assigning the patent to be
- 22 nonsignificant and saying that the nonpatent is
- 23 driving the results? But it could be, if there's no
- 24 difference between the two groups -- what it could
- 25 mean, that the nonpatent subgroups are also

- 1 interpretation.
- 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 A. I'm, you know -- I'm not saying that the
- 4 non -- but when you do tests for interaction, you
- 5 have to keep both plausible interpretations in mind.
- Q. Is it also plausible that neither group is 7 at risk?
- 8 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 9 A. I mean, we already know that the patent is
- 10 -- patent group was increased. It is plausible that
- 11 there are no differences between the groups.
- 12 The only -- the test for interaction only
- 13 tell us, because the numbers are so reduced, that
- 14 there are no differences. The question is now you
- 15 have to bring in your understanding of biology,
- 16 retrograde migration, other studies to interpret
- 17 this. You cannot just look at P of .15 and
- 18 interpret that.
- 19 How do we interpret this data? She's -- I
- 20 don't know he or she. But Dr. Gossett is providing
- 21 one interpretation. I'm bringing another
- 22 interpretation based on biology, based on other
- 23 studies, that it is entirely plausible -- I am, you
- 24 know -- I think the association between talcum
- 25 powder products and ovarian cancer in the patent

Page 176		
2 M. Give me one second to read this. No. I 3 Q. Is it plausible, or has it been 4 established? 5 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Asked and 6 answered. 7 A. It is plausible. I wouldn't say that for 8	_	_
3 disagree with that. 4 established? 5 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Asked and 6 answered. 7 A. It is plausible. I wouldn't say that for 8 9 Q. Okay. 10 MR. TISI: For what? I'm sorry. 11 Continue. 12 A. I would say in this study the you know, 13 they don't establish that the nonpatent subgroups 14 are they do say that the overall risk is 15 increased. They don't establish that for nonpatent. 16 Q. Okay. The sentence that runs that 17 begins the end of the first column and runs into the 18 second column, "The subgroup analysis suggesting 19 that women with intact reproductive tracts who used 20 powder in the perineal area developed ovarian cancer 21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 22 size that epidemiologists generally consider 23 important and should not be selectively highlighted 24 by the statistically unsophisticated reader as 25 evidence of a relationship."  Page 173 1 A. I'm sorry, Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Bot to the next page. 3 Q. This is the second page. If is the sentence 4 that spans the end of the first 5 MS. PARFITT: Thon kyou. Okay. page  Page 175 1 A. I'm sorry, Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood 9 the directions. 10 MS. PARFITT: Thon it goes up over here. 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Got, I. Sorry. 15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 16 MR. MARTIN: No, please. 17 THE WITNESS: Got, I. Sorry. 18 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry. 19 Q. Do you agree that the difference between women 19 Q. Do you agree that the difference between women 20 with intact reproductive tracts is below the 21 diffect size that epidemiologists generally consider 22 do year of the first 23 important and should not be selectively highlighted 3 mot have intact end evel as a developed over a selection ship.  Page 175 1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 2 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 3 MR. MARTIN: No, please. 4 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunders		
4 established?  5 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Asked and 6 answered.  7 A. It is plausible. I wouldn't say that for 8 9 Q. Okay.  10 MR. TISI: For what? I'm sorry. 11 Continue. 12 A. I would say in this study theyou know, 13 they don't establish that the nonpatent subgroups and are reflect size, they need to consider bias. 15 increased. They don't establish that for nonpatent. 16 Q. Okay. The sentence that runs that 17 begins the end of the first column and runs into the 18 second column, "The subgroup analysis suggesting 19 that women with intact reproductive tracts who used 20 powder in the perineal area developed ovarian cancer 21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 2 size that epidemiologists generally consider 2 mS. PARFITT: Thank you.  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 2 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind. 3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence 4 that spans the end of the first 5 MS. PARFITT: Task. Thank you. 4 MR. MARTIN: No, please. THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood 9 the directions. THE WITNESS: Sory. I misunderstood 9 the directions. 3 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 4 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry. 5 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 6 don't mind. MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 7 MR. MARTIN: No problem. The WITNESS: Got it. Sorry. 8 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 9 Q. Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts as below the 24 effect size take that epidemiologists should consider 5 size below who did 20 not have intact reproductive tracts is down to a specific size, they need to consider bias. A. Epidemiologists, spou know, possibility of power and 18 consider to subgroups in the predict size is just one aspect. But have a consider bias. A. Epidemiologists specally consider 15 or othat. But I have examples in my report of very 20 that the first column and runs into the 18 step as a subgroup in the part of the record, 20 that's the "23 report. 21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect size in the first colu		
5 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Asked and 6 answered. 7 A. It is plausible. I wouldn't say that for 8. It is plausible. I wouldn't say that for 8. It is plausible. I wouldn't say that for 8. It is plausible. I wouldn't say that for 9. Q. Okay. 10 MR. TISI: For what? I'm sorry. 11 Continue. 12 A. I would say in this study the — you know, 13 they don't establish that the nonpatent subgroups 14 are — they do say that the overall risk is 15 increased. They don't establish that for nonpatent. 16 Q. Okay. The sentence that runs — that 17 begins the end of the first column and runs into the 8 second column, "The subgroup analysis suggesting 19 that women with intact reproductive tracts who used 20 powder in the perineal area developed ovarian cancer 21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 22 size that epidemiologists generally consider 23 important and should not be selectively highlighted 24 by the statistically unsophisticated reader as 25 evidence of a relationship."  Page 175 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page. 3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence 4 that spans the end of the first — 5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind. MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 3 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. Good of the more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of ovarian cancer: 10 risk of ovarian cancer. 11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 1 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit immediately in the perine cancer in 10 risk of ovarian cancer." 12 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 13 report of except of sufficient enough that, even though 24 offect size that epidemiologists generally consider 24 to detect a significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit imports of the perine cancer in NHSI and some follow-up time. 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit imports of		
6 amswered. 7 A. It is plausible. I wouldn't say that for 8 9 Q. Okay. 10 MR. TISI: For what? I'm sorry. 11 Continue. 12 A. I would say in this study the you know, 13 they don't establish that the nonpatent subgroups 14 are they do say that the overall risk is 15 increased. They don't establish that for nonpatent. 16 Q. Okay. The sentence that runs that 17 begins the end of the first column and runs into the 18 second column, "The subgroup analysis suggesting 19 that women with intact reproductive tracts who used 20 powder in the perineal area developed ovarian cancer 21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 22 size that epidemiologists generally consider 23 important and should not be selectively highlighted 4 by the statistically unsophisticated reader as 25 evidence of a relationship."  Page 175  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page. 3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence 4 that spans the end of the first 5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind. 7 MR. MARTIN: No, please. 8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood 9 the directions. 10 MS. PARFITT: Thon No. No. You're 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry. 1 misunderstood 19 MR. MARTIN: No problem. 17 Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me 20 start that over. 20 you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 3n ot have intact reproductive tracts and women who did 3n ot have intact reproductive tracts and women who did 3n not have intact reproductive tracts and women who did 3n ot have intact reproductive tracts and women who did 3n ot have intact reproductive tracts and women who did 3n on that graph and the first 24 Care control studies - N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by Offsen, et al N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 1 ovarian cancer reported by Offsen, et al N equal		1
7 M. R. It is plausible. I wouldn't say that for 8 0   8 0   9 Q. Okay. 10 MR. TISI: For what? I'm sorry. 11 Continue. 12 A. I would say in this study the you know, 13 they don't establish that the nonpatent subgroups 14 are they do say that the overall risk is 15 increased. They don't establish that for nonpatent. 16 Q. Okay. The sentence that runs that 17 begins the end of the first column and runs into the 18 second column, "The subgroup analysis suggesting 19 that women with intact reproductive tracts who used 20 powder in the perineal area developed ovarian cancer 21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 22 size that epidemiologists generally consider 23 important and should not be selectively highlighted 24 by the statistically unsophisticated reader as 25 evidence of a relationship."  Page 175  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page. 3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence 4 that spans the end of the first 5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry, If you 6 don't mind. 7 MR. MARTIN: No, please. 8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood 9 the directions. 10 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 14 A. Yeah. And TI explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect 13 of causal assessment. But, you know, is it a 991? 14 Is it a point is it 1.1? I don't have an opinion 15 on that. But I have examples in my report of very 16 small effect sizes - I'm not going to be wedded to 1 10.0 or 1.1 - small effect sizes is just one aspect 13 of causal assessment. But, you know, is it a .991? 14 Is it a point is it 1.1? I don't have an opinion 15 on that. But I have examples in my report of very 16 small effect sizes below the effect size hat epidemiologists generally subscienced. 13 of causal assessment. But, you know, is it a .991? 14 Is it a point is it 1.1? I non't have an opinion 15 on that. But I have casenes. 14 Is a it a point is it 1.1? I have an opinion 15 on th		5 size below what an epidemiologist should consider
8 — MR. TISI: For what? I'm sorry. 10 MR. TISI: For what? I'm sorry. 11 Continue. 12 A. I would say in this study the — you know, 12 A. I would say in this study the — you know, 13 they don't establish that the nonpatent subgroups 14 are — they do say that the overall risk is 15 increased. They don't establish that for nonpatent. 16 Q. Okay, The sentence that runs — that 17 begins the end of the first column and runs into the 18 second column, "The subgroup analysis suggesting 19 that women with intact reproductive tracts who used 20 powder in the perineal area developed ovarian cancer 21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 23 important and should not be selectively highlighted 24 by the statistically unsophisticated reader as 25 evidence of a relationship."  Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page. 3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence 4 that spans the end of the first — 5 MS. PARFITT: Ro, No, please. 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MS. PARFITT: No, No, No, You're 14 right here. Right here. Starts here. 15 MS. PARFITT: Than kyou. 16 MS. PARFITT: Than kyou. 17 MR. MARTIN: No, please. 18 THE WITNESS: Ostay. 19 Q. Do you agree that the difference between women 19 Q. Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 23 not have intact reproductive tracts and women who did 24 offect size then even goal, so on sories registed in the period of the direct on the productive tracts and women who did 25 not near the even goal as a season. But, you know, sist an 991? 2 So, I mean, effect size is just one aspect 28 of causal assessment. But, you know, sist the same of the rector. 29 to that the vace xamples in my report of very 20 of tracts assessment. But, you know, sist the aspect of the crost size is just one aspect 21 In the work man defect size is just one aspect 21 MR. MARTIN: I don't have an opinion of the cross in this in the crown, is it a. 991? 21 MR. MARTIN: The '23 report. 22 MR. MARTIN: The '23 rep	6 answered.	6 important?
9 consider effect size, they need to consider bias, 10 MR. TISI: For what? I'm sorry. 11 Continue. 12 A. I would say in this study the you know, 13 they don't establish that the nonpatent subgroups 14 are they do say that the overall risk is 15 increased. They don't establish that for nonpatent. 16 Q. Okay. The sentence that runs that 17 begins the end of the first column and runs into the 18 second column, "The subgroup analysis suggesting 19 that women with intact reproductive tracts who used 20 powder in the perineal area developed ovarian cancer 21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 22 size that epidemiologists generally consider 23 important and should not be selectively highlighted 24 by the statistically unsophisticated reader as 25 evidence of a relationship."  Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page. 3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence 4 that spans the end of the first 5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind. 7 MR. MARTIN: No, please. 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're 14 right here. Right here. Starts here. 15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 16 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 17 Q. Do you agree may be a start that over. 18 A. What is the question? 19 Q. Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 23 not have intact reproductive tracts and women who did 24 offect size that epidemiologists generally consider 25 to control studies - N equals 13-421 - is 26 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of ovarian cancer." 18 So your position is that the lack of power 19 Q. Do you agree - 21 more over over over in the productive tracts and women who did 22 to the text and women who did 23 not have intact reproductive tracts and women who did 24 to detect a, you know, possibility of power and 25 clausal assessment. But, you know, possibility of power and 14 Is it a point is it 1.12 I don't have an opinion of the sam leftect size, in an opinion	7 A. It is plausible. I wouldn't say that for	7 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
10 MR. TISI: For what? I'm sorry. 11 Continue. 12 A. I would say in this study the you know, 13 they don't establish that the nonpatent subgroups 14 are they do say that the overall risk is 15 increased. They don't establish that for nonpatent. 16 Q. Okay. The sentence that runs that 17 begins the end of the first column and runs into the 18 second column, "The subgroup analysis suggesting. 19 that women with intact reproductive tracts who used 20 powder in the perineal area developed ovarian cancer. 21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 22 size that epidemiologists generally consider 23 important and should not be selectively highlighted by the statistically unsophisticated reader as 25 evidence of a relationship."  Page 175 1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page. 3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence 4 that spans the end of the first 5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind. 7 MR. MARTIN: No, please. 8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood 9 the directions. 10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry. 13 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 14 Is it a point is it I.1? I don't have an opinion 15 on that. But I have examples in my report of very 16 small effect sizes I'm not going to be wedded to 17 oc an wel look back at your report of very 18 MS. PARFITT: How the record, 29 it hat's the '23 report. 20 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 21 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 22 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 23 MR. MARTIN: If I say "report" without 24 qualification, I mean the 2023 report. 25 MS. PARFITT: Then with the examples in a mit be 2023 report. 26 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of ovarian cancer in 27 Og. Do you agree that the effect size let me 28 it in that over. 29 Do you agree that the difference between women 20 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 30 not have intered the difference between women 21 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 31 not	8	8 A. Epidemiologists, you know, not only
11 Sample size issues. 12 A. I would say in this study the you know, 13 they don't establish that the nonpatent subgroups 14 are they do say that the overall risk is 15 increased. They don't establish that for nonpatent. 16 Q. Okay. The sentence that runs that 17 begins the end of the first column and runs into the 18 second column, "The subgroup analysis suggesting 19 that women with intact reproductive tracts who used 20 powder in the perineal area developed ovarian cancer 21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 22 size that epidemiologists generally consider 23 important and should not be selectively highlighted 24 by the statistically unsophisticated reader as 25 evidence of a relationship."  Page 175  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page. 3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence 4 that spans the end of the first 25 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind. 4 ms. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind. 5 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Ory. I misunderstood 9 the directions. 14 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 15 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 16 MR. MARTIN: No problem. 17 Q. Do you agree hat the effect size let me 20 start that over. 18 A. What is the question? 19 Q. Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 3 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider 22 to detect a significant increase in 18 of the increase in 19 of the word of 19 of the directions. 19 of the directions 19 of the	9 Q. Okay.	9 consider effect size, they need to consider bias,
11 Sample size issues. 12 A. I would say in this study the you know, 13 they don't establish that the nonpatent subgroups 14 are they do say that the overall risk is 15 increased. They don't establish that for nonpatent. 16 Q. Okay. The sentence that runs that 17 begins the end of the first column and runs into the 18 second column, "The subgroup analysis suggesting 19 that women with intact reproductive tracts who used 20 powder in the perineal area developed ovarian cancer 21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 22 size that epidemiologists generally consider 23 important and should not be selectively highlighted 24 by the statistically unsophisticated reader as 25 evidence of a relationship."  Page 175  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page. 3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence 4 that spans the end of the first 25 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind. 4 ms. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind. 5 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Ory. I misunderstood 9 the directions. 14 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 15 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 16 MR. MARTIN: No problem. 17 Q. Do you agree hat the effect size let me 20 start that over. 18 A. What is the question? 19 Q. Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 3 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider 22 to detect a significant increase in 18 of the increase in 19 of the word of 19 of the directions. 19 of the directions 19 of the	10 MR. TISI: For what? I'm sorry.	10 confounding, you know, possibility of power and
12 A. I would say in this study the you know, 13 they don't establish that the nonpatent subgroups 14 are they do say that the overall risk is 15 increased. They don't establish that for nonpatent. 16 Q. Okay. The sentence that runs that 18 second column, "The subgroup analysis suggesting 19 that women with intact reproductive tracts who used 20 powder in the perineal area developed ovarian cancer 21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 22 size that epidemiologists generally consider 23 important and should not be selectively highlighted 24 by the statistically unsophisticated reader as 25 evidence of a relationship."  Page 175  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 26 don't mind. 37 MR. MARTIN: No, please. 38 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood 49 the directions. 39 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Oof it. Sorry. 15 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 16 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 17 Q. Do you agree that the effect size – let me 18 A. What is the question? 19 Q. Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider 25 list that epidemiologist generally consider 26 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of ovarian cancer in 27 ovarian cancer. 28 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 29 mere frequently than nonusers is below the effect 20 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 22 size that epidemiologists generally consider 29 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 20 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 22 mR. MARTIN: The '23 report. 23 mB. PARFITT: Thank you. Okay. page 24 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 25 more for a relationship." 26 mR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 27 man the account of the first		11 sample size issues.
13 they don't establish that the nonpatent subgroups 14 are — they do say that the overall risk is 15 increased. They don't establish that for nonpatent. 16 Q. Okay. The sentence that runs — that 17 begins the end of the first column and runs into the 18 second column, "The subgroup analysis suggesting 19 that women with intact reproductive tracts who used 20 powder in the perineal area developed ovarian cancer 21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 22 size that epidemiologists generally consider 23 important and should not be selectively highlighted 24 by the statistically unsophisticated reader as 25 evidence of a relationship."  Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page. 3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence 4 that spans the end of the first — 4 that spans the end of the first — 5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind. 7 MR. MARTIN: No, please. 8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood 9 the directions. 10 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Oct it. Sorry. 13 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 14 A. Yeah. And I'l explain it a little bit 15 on that. But I have examples in my report of very 16 small effect sizes that are causal. 17 l.09 or 1.1 — small effect sizes that are causal. 18 Q. Can we look back at your report, page 11? 19 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 21 MR. MARTIN: The '23 report. 22 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 23 MR. MARTIN: If I say "report" without 24 qualification, I mean the 2023 report. 25 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. Owner. 26 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 27 power." 28 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 28 power." 29 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 39 power." 30 power." 31 11? 32 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 31 power." 40 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 52 2 case control studies — N equals 13,421 — is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al. — N 8 equals 2,168 — demonstrating the limite	12 A. I would say in this study the you know,	
14 are — they do say that the overall risk is 15 increased. They don't establish that for nonpatent. 16 Q. Okay. The sentence that runs — that 17 begins the end of the first column and runs into the 18 second column, "The subgroup analysis suggesting 19 that women with intact reproductive tracts who used 20 powder in the perineal area developed ovarian cancer 21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 22 size that epidemiologists generally consider 23 important and should not be selectively highlighted 24 by the statistically unsophisticated reader as 25 evidence of a relationship."  Page 175 1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Back I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind.  MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind.  MS. PARFITT: No. No, please.  THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood 9 the directions.  MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry. 13 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 on that. But I have examples in my report of very 16 small effect sizes - I'm not going to be wedded to 17 1.09 or 1.1 - small effect sizes. I'm not going to be wedded to 17 1.09 or 1.1 - small effect sizes. I'm not going to be wedded to 17 1.09 or 1.1 - small effect sizes that are causal. 18 Q. Can we look back at your report, page 11? 19 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 21 MR. MARTIN: The '23 report. 22 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 32 MR. MARTIN: I's I don't have an opinion 15 on that. But I have examples in my report of very 16 small effect sizes. I'm not going to be wedded to 17 1.09 or 1.1 - small effect sizes that are causal. 18 Q. Can we look back at your report, page 11? 19 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 21 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 22 MR. MARTIN: I's I'don't have an opinion 15 on that. But I have examples in my report, page 11? 18 MS. PARFITT: I's achericance. 24 Whist hat peidemiologists generally consider 25 was that epidemiolopaths the effect 26 MR. MARTIN: I's I'don't have an opinion 17 One of mall effect sizes		
15 increased. They don't establish that for nonpatent. 16 Q. Okay. The sentence that runs that 17 begins the end of the first column and runs into the 18 second column, "The subgroup analysis suggesting. 19 that women with intact reproductive tracts who used 20 powder in the perineal area developed ovarian cancer. 21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 22 size that epidemiologists generally consider 23 important and should not be selectively highlighted 24 by the statistically unsophisticated reader as 25 evidence of a relationship."  Page 175  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Bo to the next page. 3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence 4 that spans the end of the first 5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind. 7 MR. MARTIN: No, please. 8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 1 misunderstood 9 the directions. 10 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 14 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry. 15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 16 MR. MARTIN: No problem. 17 Q. Do you agree 18 A. What is the question? 19 Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me 20 start that over. 21 Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider 25 widence of a relationship." 26 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 27 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 28 power." 29 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 29 power." 20 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 20 yower." 21 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. Okay. page 22 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 23 power." 24 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 24 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer." 25 voidence of a significant increase in 26 control studies to detect a significant increase in 27 ovarian cancer: "In the limited power of ovarian cancer." 28 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 29 color statistically significant? 20 Lob ovarian cancer: "In the limited power of ov		
16   Q. Okay. The sentence that runs — that   17 begins the end of the first column and runs into the   18 second column, "The subgroup analysis suggesting   19 that women with intact reproductive tracts who used   20 powder in the perineal area developed ovarian cancer   21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect   22 size that epidemiologists generally consider   22 vize that epidemiologists generally consider   21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect   22 size that epidemiologists generally consider   22 without and should not be selectively highlighted   23 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect   24 size that epidemiologists generally consider   24 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect   25 widence of a relationship."   21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect   22 size that epidemiologists generally consider   20 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect   24 more frequently than nonusers is below the use of the perineal area developed ovarian cancer   20 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect   24 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect   24 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect   25 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect   25 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect   25 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect   25 more frequently than nonusers is below the   26 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect   25 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect   25 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect   25 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect   25 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect   25 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect   26 more frequently than nonusers is below the   26 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect   27 more frequently than nonusers is below the   27 more frequently than nonusers is below the   28 more frequently than nonusers is below the   28 more frequently than nonusers is below the   29		
17 begins the end of the first column and runs into the 18 second column, "The subgroup analysis suggesting 19 that women with intact reproductive tracts who used 20 powder in the perineal area developed ovarian cancer 21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 22 size that epidemiologists generally consider 23 important and should not be selectively highlighted 24 by the statistically unsophisticated reader as 25 evidence of a relationship."  Page 175		
18 second column, "The subgroup analysis suggesting 19 that women with intact reproductive tracts who used 20 powder in the perineal area developed ovarian cancer 21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 22 size that epidemiologists generally consider 23 important and should not be selectively highlighted 24 by the statistically unsophisticated reader as 25 evidence of a relationship."  Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Too to the next page. 3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence 4 that spans the end of the first 5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind. 7 MR. MARTIN: No, please. 8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood 9 the directions. 10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 14 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 15 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 16 MR. MARTIN: No problem. 17 Q. Do you agree 18 A. What is the question? 18 A. What is the question? 19 Q. Do you agree that the difference between women 20 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did and have intact reproductive tracts is below the effect size that epidemiologists generally consider 19 don't and should not be selectively highlighted that stree field the selections are should not be selectively highlighted the first 20 the start that over. 21 Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts is below the effect size that epidemiologists generally consider 19 don't mind. 20 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect size that epidemiologists generally consider 20 the first size below the effect size that epidemiologists generally consider 20 the fifter and the record, 20 that is the feffect size that epidemiologists generally consider 20 the secant hat 23 report. 20 MR. MARTIN: The '23 report. 21 MR. MARTIN: If 1 say 'report' without 24 qualification, I mean the 2023 report. 22 MR. MARTIN: The '23 report. 23 MR. MARTIN: The '23 report.		
19 that women with intact reproductive tracts who used 20 powder in the perineal area developed ovarian cancer 21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 22 size that epidemiologists generally consider 23 important and should not be selectively highlighted 24 by the statistically unsophisticated reader as 25 evidence of a relationship."  Page 175  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. Okay. page  Page 177  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence 4 that spans the end of the first 5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind.  MR. MARTIN: No, please.  MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 3 power."  4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies - N equals 13,421 - is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 18 There were more cancers in NHSI and some follow-up 19 Q. Do you agree that the difference between women 20 start that over. 21 Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts is below the 23 that's the '23 report. 24 dualification, I mean the 2023 report. 25 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. Okay. page 26 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 3 power." 26 Omer. The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 27 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 28 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 29 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 29 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 20 Do you agree 21 Do you agree that the effect	_	
20 powder in the perineal area developed ovarian cancer 21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 22 size that epidemiologists generally consider 23 important and should not be selectively highlighted 24 by the statistically unsophisticated reader as 25 evidence of a relationship."  Page 175  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. Okay. page  Page 177  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. Okay. page  Page 177  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 3 Page 175  MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page. 3 power." 4 Q. The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer proprete by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 7 risk of ovarian cancer."  MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 4 case of ovarian cancer." 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 3 power." 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of ovarian cancer in 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 7 risk of ovarian cancer." 11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 18 There were more cancers in NHSI and some follow-up time. 19 time but that was etiologically relevant 20 then some more follow-up in WH in follow-up time. 21 But she did not get etiologically relevant 20 then some more follow-up in WH in follow-up time. 21 But she did not get etiologically relevant 20 then some more follow-up in WH in follow-up time. 21 to detect a, you know, significant risk.		, , , , ,
21 more frequently than nonusers is below the effect 22 size that epidemiologists generally consider 23 important and should not be selectively highlighted 24 by the statistically unsophisticated reader as 25 evidence of a relationship."  Page 175  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page. 3 Q. This is the sectond page. It's the sentence 4 that spans the end of the first 5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind.  MR. MARTIN: No, please. 8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood 9 the directions. 10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Oday. 13 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 MR. MARTIN: No problem. 17 Q. Do you agree 18 A. What is the question? 19 Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me 20 start that over. 21 Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 23 more transported by OBrien song follow-up 19 defect size that epidemiologists generally consider 21 But she did not get etiologically relevant 22 copolars was million, she had enough power 23 mR. MARTIN: If I say "report" without 24 qualification, I mean the 2023 report. 25 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. Okay. page  Page 177  1 11? 2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 3 power." 4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer." 11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 14 hat were more cancers in NHSII and some follow-up 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 1	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
22 size that epidemiologists generally consider 23 important and should not be selectively highlighted 24 by the statistically unsophisticated reader as 25 evidence of a relationship."  Page 175  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  MS. PARFITT: Thank you. Okay. page  Page 177  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  MS. PARFITT: Thank you. Okay. page  Page 177  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  MS. PARFITT: Thank you. Okay. page  Page 177  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  MS. PARFITT: Thank you. Okay. page  Page 177  1 1 11?  2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical power."  MR. MARTIN: No, please.  MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical power."  4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in  5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is  more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of  7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N  8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of  9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in  10 risk of ovarian cancer."  11 So your position is that the lack of power  12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line  13 results were not statistically significant?  14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit  15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get  16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there  17 Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me  20 start that over.  21 Do you agree that the difference between women  22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did  23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the  24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider  25 MR. MARTIN: If I say "report" without  26 qualification, I mean the 2023 report.  27 Q. The number of cases of ovarian cancer  11 11?  2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical  3 power."  1 11?  2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical  3 power."  1 11?  2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical  4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer  1 ovarian cancer."  11 So your position is that the lack of power  12 could be a reason that Dr. O		_
23 important and should not be selectively highlighted 24 by the statistically unsophisticated reader as 25 evidence of a relationship."  Page 175  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page. 3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence 4 that spans the end of the first 5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind. 7 MR. MARTIN: No, please. 8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood 9 the directions. 10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 14 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry. 15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 16 MR. MARTIN: No problem. 17 Q. Do you agree 18 A. What is the question? 19 Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me 20 start that over. 21 Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider  23 MR. MARTIN: If I say "report" without 24 qualification, I mean the 2023 report. 25 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. Okay. page  Page 177  MS. PARFITT: Thank you. Okay. page  Page 177  MS. PARFITT: Thank you. Okay. page  Page 177  4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer." 11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 17 were more cancers in NHSII and some follow-up 18 there were more cancers in NHSII and some follow-up 19 time but that was etiologically relevant 20 then some more follow-up in withi		T
24 by the statistically unsophisticated reader as 25 evidence of a relationship."  Page 175  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page. 3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence 4 that spans the end of the first 5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind. 7 MR. MARTIN: No, please. 8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood 9 the directions. 10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 14 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry. 15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 16 MR. MARTIN: No problem. 17 Q. Do you agree 18 A. What is the question? 19 Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me 20 start that over. 21 Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 23 not have intact reproductive tracts and women who did 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider  Page 177  MS. PARFITT: Thank you. Okay. page  Page 177  1 11?  2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 3 power."  4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer." 11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 18 There were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 20 then some more follow-up in WH in follow-up time. 21 But she did not get etiologically relevant 22 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though 23 the person years was million, she had enough power 24 to detect a, you know, signifi		,
Page 175  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page. Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence that spans the end of the first  MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you don't mind.  MR. MARTIN: No, please. THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood the directions.  MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're THE WITNESS: Okay.  MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry.  MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. MR. MARTIN: No problem.  MR. MARTIN: Mo problem.  MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical  A varian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N  equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of  pochort studies to detect a significant increase in  more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of ovarian cancer in  MR. MARTIN: No. No. No. You're  10 risk of ovarian cancer."  11 So your position is that the lack of power  12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line  13 results were not statistically significant?  14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit  15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get  16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there  Were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up ime.  18 There were more cancers in NHSI, more		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page? 2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page. 3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence 4 that spans the end of the first 5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind. 7 MR. MARTIN: No, please. 8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood 9 the directions. 10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 14 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry. 15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 16 MR. MARTIN: No problem. 17 Q. Do you agree 18 A. What is the question? 19 Q. Do you agree that the difference between women 20 start that over. 20 bo you agree that the difference between women 21 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 22 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider  10 Ill? 2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 3 power." 4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et alN 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer." 11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 18 There were more cancers in NHSI and some follow-up 19 time but that was etiologically irrelevant 2 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time. 20 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time. 21 But she did not get etiologically relevant 22 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though 23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider		
A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  that spans the end of the first  MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you  don't mind.  MR. MARTIN: No, please.  THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood  the directions.  MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're  Tright here. Right here. Starts here.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here.  THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry.  MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here.  MR. MARTIN: No problem.  MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical  power."  4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in  5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is  6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of  7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N  8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of  9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in  10 risk of ovarian cancer."  11 So your position is that the lack of power  12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line  13 results were not statistically significant?  14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit  15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get  16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there  17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time.  18 There were more cancers in NHSI and some follow-up  19 Q. Do you agree that the difference between women  20 start that over.  21 Do you agree that the difference between women  22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did  23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the  24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider  15 detect a, syou know, significant risk.	25 evidence of a relationship."	MS. PARFITT: Thank you. Okay. page
MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence that spans the end of the first MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you don't mind.  MR. MARTIN: No, please. THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood the directions.  MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're right here. Right here. Starts here. THE WITNESS: Okay.  MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry. MS. PARFITT: Thank you. MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up o	1	, , ,
4 that spans the end of the first 5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind. 7 MR. MARTIN: No, please. 8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood 9 the directions. 10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 14 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry. 15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 16 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 17 Q. Do you agree 18 A. What is the question? 19 Q. Do you agree that the difference between women 20 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 21 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider  3 power." 4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer." 11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 18 There were more cancers in NHSI and some follow-up 19 Q. Do you agree that the difference between women 20 start that over. 21 Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider		Page 177
4 that spans the end of the first 5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind. 7 MR. MARTIN: No, please. 8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood 9 the directions. 10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 14 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry. 15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 16 MR. MARTIN: No problem. 17 Q. Do you agree 18 A. What is the question? 19 Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me 20 start that over. 21 Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider	Page 175	
5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you 6 don't mind. 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood 9 the directions. 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 14 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry. 15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 16 MR. MARTIN: No problem. 17 Q. Do you agree 18 A. What is the question? 19 Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me 20 start that over. 21 Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider  15 MR. MARTIN: No problem. 16 MR. MARTIN: No problem. 17 Q. Do you agree that the difference between women 20 start that over. 21 Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts is below the 23 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider 25 Cac ace control studies N equals 13,421 is 26 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 27 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 28 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 29 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 20 risk of ovarian cancer." 21 So your position is that the lack of power 21 aresults were not statistically significant? 22 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 23 results were not statistically significant? 24 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 26 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 27 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 28 the remore cancers in NHSI, more follow-up in WHI in follow-up ime. 29 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up ime. 20 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time. 21 But she did not get etiologically relevant exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though the person years was million, s	Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?	1 11?
6 don't mind. 7 MR. MARTIN: No, please. 8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood 9 the directions. 10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 14 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry. 15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 16 MR. MARTIN: No problem. 17 Q. Do you agree 18 A. What is the question? 19 Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me 20 start that over. 21 Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider  16 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer." 11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 18 There were more cancers in NHSII and some follow-up 19 time but that was etiologically irrelevant 20 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time. 21 But she did not get etiologically relevant 22 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though 23 the person years was million, she had enough power 24 to detect a, you know, significant risk.	Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.	1 11? 2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical
6 don't mind. 7 MR. MARTIN: No, please. 8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood 9 the directions. 10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 14 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry. 15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 16 MR. MARTIN: No problem. 17 Q. Do you agree 18 A. What is the question? 19 Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me 20 start that over. 21 Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider  16 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer." 11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 18 There were more cancers in NHSII and some follow-up 19 time but that was etiologically irrelevant 20 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time. 21 But she did not get etiologically relevant 22 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though 23 the person years was million, she had enough power 24 to detect a, you know, significant risk.	Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence	1 11? 2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 3 power."
7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N  8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood  9 the directions.  10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're  11 right here. Right here. Starts here.  12 THE WITNESS: Okay.  13 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here.  14 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry.  15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  16 MR. MARTIN: No problem.  17 Q. Do you agree  18 A. What is the question?  19 Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me  20 start that over.  21 Do you agree that the difference between women  22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did  23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the  24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider  17 Ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N  8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of  9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in  10 risk of ovarian cancer."  11 So your position is that the lack of power  12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line  13 results were not statistically significant?  14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit  15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get  16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there  17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time.  18 There were more cancers in NHSI and some follow-up  19 time but that was etiologically irrelevant  20 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time.  21 But she did not get etiologically relevant  22 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though  23 the person years was million, she had enough power  24 to detect a, you know, significant risk.	Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  4 that spans the end of the first	1 11? 2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 3 power." 4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in
8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood 9 the directions. 10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 14 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry. 15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 16 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 17 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 18 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 19 With material and the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider  10 misunderstood 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer." 11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 18 There were more cancers in NHSII and some follow-up 19 time but that was etiologically irrelevant 20 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time. 21 But she did not get etiologically relevant 22 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though 23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider  25 to detect a significant increase in 26 chort studies to detect a significant increase in 27 the more cancer. 28 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 29 cohort studies to detect a significant energy. 29 the numbers are ason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 20 the numbers are ason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 21 But she did not get etiologically relevant 22 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though 23 the person years was million, she had enough power 24 to detect a you know, significant risk.	Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  4 that spans the end of the first  5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you	1 11? 2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 3 power." 4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is
9 the directions.  10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 14 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry. 15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 16 MR. MARTIN: No problem. 17 Q. Do you agree 18 A. What is the question? 19 Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me 20 start that over. 21 Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider 20 color studies to detect a significant increase in 20 risk of ovarian cancer." 21 So your position is that the lack of power 21 So your position is that the lack of power 21 So your position is that the lack of power 22 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 23 results were not statistically significant? 24 to detect a significant increase in 25 ovarian cancer." 26 the risk of ovarian cancer." 26 that the lack of power 26 the lack of power 27 (a) risk of ovarian cancer." 28 the peason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 28 the lack of power 29 to detect a significant increase in 29 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 20 risk of ovarian cancer." 21 So your position is that the lack of power 28 the lack of power 29 the lack of power 21 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 29 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 29 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 29 their but that was etiologically irrelevant 20 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time. 21 But she did not get etiologically relevant 22 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though 23 the person years was million, she had enough power 24 to detect a, you know, significant risk.	Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  4 that spans the end of the first  5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you  6 don't mind.	1 11? 2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 3 power." 4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of
10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're 11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 14 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry. 15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 16 MS. MARTIN: No problem. 17 Q. Do you agree 18 A. What is the question? 19 Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me 20 start that over. 21 Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider  10 risk of ovarian cancer." 11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 18 There were more cancers in NHSII and some follow-up 19 time but that was etiologically irrelevant 20 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time. 21 But she did not get etiologically relevant 22 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though 23 the person years was million, she had enough power 24 to detect a, you know, significant risk.	Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  4 that spans the end of the first  5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you  6 don't mind.  7 MR. MARTIN: No, please.	1 11? 2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 3 power." 4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N
11 right here. Right here. Starts here. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. 14 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry. 15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you. 16 MR. MARTIN: No problem. 17 Q. Do you agree 18 A. What is the question? 19 Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me 20 start that over. 21 Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider  11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 18 There were more cancers in NHSII and some follow-up 19 time but that was etiologically irrelevant 20 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time. 21 But she did not get etiologically relevant 22 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though 23 the person years was million, she had enough power 24 to detect a, you know, significant risk.	Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  4 that spans the end of the first  5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you  6 don't mind.  7 MR. MARTIN: No, please.  8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood	1 11? 2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 3 power." 4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of
THE WITNESS: Okay.  MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry.  MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  MR. MARTIN: No problem.  Do you agree  No you agree that the effect size let me  Do you agree that the difference between women  But she did not get etiologically relevant  Do you agree that the difference between women  But she did not get etiologically relevant  Do you agree that the difference between women  But she did not get etiologically relevant  Exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though  to detect a, you know, significant risk.	Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  4 that spans the end of the first  5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you  6 don't mind.  7 MR. MARTIN: No, please.  8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood  9 the directions.	1 11? 2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 3 power." 4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in
MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here. THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry.  MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  MR. MARTIN: No problem.  MR. MARTIN: No problem.  MR. What is the question?  Q. Do you agree  A. What is the question?  Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me  Do you agree that the difference between women  with intact reproductive tracts and women who did  not have intact reproductive tracts is below the  effect size that epidemiologists generally consider  MR. MARTIN: No problem.  It is results were not statistically significant?  A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit  It is more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get  It is more	Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  4 that spans the end of the first  5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you  6 don't mind.  7 MR. MARTIN: No, please.  8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood  9 the directions.  10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're	1 11? 2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 3 power." 4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer."
THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry.  MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  MR. MARTIN: No problem.  Do you agree  Do you agree that the difference between women 2 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 2 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider  HA. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 18 There were more cancers in NHSII and some follow-up 19 time but that was etiologically irrelevant 20 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time. 21 But she did not get etiologically relevant 22 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though 23 the person years was million, she had enough power 24 to detect a, you know, significant risk.	Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  4 that spans the end of the first  5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you  6 don't mind.  7 MR. MARTIN: No, please.  8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood  9 the directions.  10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're  11 right here. Right here. Starts here.	1 11?  2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 3 power."  4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer." 11 So your position is that the lack of power
15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  16 MR. MARTIN: No problem.  17 Q. Do you agree  18 A. What is the question?  19 Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me  20 start that over.  21 Do you agree that the difference between women  22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did  23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the  24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider  15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get  16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there  17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time.  18 There were more cancers in NHSII and some follow-up  19 time but that was etiologically irrelevant  20 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time.  21 But she did not get etiologically relevant  22 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though  23 the person years was million, she had enough power  24 to detect a, you know, significant risk.	Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  4 that spans the end of the first  5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you  6 don't mind.  7 MR. MARTIN: No, please.  8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood  9 the directions.  10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're  11 right here. Right here. Starts here.  12 THE WITNESS: Okay.	1 11? 2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 3 power." 4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer." 11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line
MR. MARTIN: No problem.  16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there  17 Q. Do you agree  18 A. What is the question?  19 Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me  20 start that over.  21 Do you agree that the difference between women  22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did  23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the  24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider  16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there  17 were more cancers in NHSI and some follow-up  18 There were more cancers in NHSII and some follow-up  19 time but that was etiologically irrelevant  20 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time.  21 But she did not get etiologically relevant  22 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though  23 the person years was million, she had enough power  24 to detect a, you know, significant risk.	Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  4 that spans the end of the first  5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you  6 don't mind.  7 MR. MARTIN: No, please.  8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood  9 the directions.  10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're  11 right here. Right here. Starts here.  12 THE WITNESS: Okay.  13 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here.	1 11?  2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 3 power."  4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer." 11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant?
17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time.  18 A. What is the question?  19 Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me 20 start that over.  21 Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider  17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time.  18 There were more cancers in NHSI and some follow-up 19 time but that was etiologically irrelevant 20 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time.  21 But she did not get etiologically relevant 22 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though 23 the person years was million, she had enough power 24 to detect a, you know, significant risk.	Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  4 that spans the end of the first  5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you  6 don't mind.  7 MR. MARTIN: No, please.  8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood  9 the directions.  10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're  11 right here. Right here. Starts here.  12 THE WITNESS: Okay.  13 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here.  14 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry.	1 11?  2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 3 power."  4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer." 11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit
A. What is the question?  Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me start that over.  Do you agree that the difference between women with intact reproductive tracts and women who did not have intact reproductive tracts is below the effect size that epidemiologists generally consider  18 There were more cancers in NHSII and some follow-up time but that was etiologically irrelevant 20 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time. 21 But she did not get etiologically relevant 22 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though 23 the person years was million, she had enough power 24 to detect a, you know, significant risk.	Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  4 that spans the end of the first  5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you  6 don't mind.  7 MR. MARTIN: No, please.  8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood  9 the directions.  10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're  11 right here. Right here. Starts here.  12 THE WITNESS: Okay.  13 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here.  14 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry.  15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you.	1 11?  2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 3 power."  4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer." 11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get
19 Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me 20 start that over. 21 Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider  19 time but that was etiologically irrelevant 20 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time. 21 But she did not get etiologically relevant 22 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though 23 the person years was million, she had enough power 24 to detect a, you know, significant risk.	Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  4 that spans the end of the first  5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you  6 don't mind.  7 MR. MARTIN: No, please.  8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood  9 the directions.  10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're  11 right here. Right here. Starts here.  12 THE WITNESS: Okay.  13 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here.  14 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry.  15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  16 MR. MARTIN: No problem.	1 11?  2 MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 3 power."  4 Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer."  11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there
20 start that over. 21 Do you agree that the difference between women 22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider 20 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time. 21 But she did not get etiologically relevant 22 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though 23 the person years was million, she had enough power 24 to detect a, you know, significant risk.	Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  4 that spans the end of the first  5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you  6 don't mind.  7 MR. MARTIN: No, please.  8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood  9 the directions.  10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're  11 right here. Right here. Starts here.  12 THE WITNESS: Okay.  13 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here.  14 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry.  15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  16 MR. MARTIN: No problem.  17 Q. Do you agree	MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical power."  Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer."  So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant?  A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time.
Do you agree that the difference between women with intact reproductive tracts and women who did not per etiologically relevant 22 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though 23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider 24 to detect a, you know, significant risk.	Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  4 that spans the end of the first  5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you  6 don't mind.  7 MR. MARTIN: No, please.  8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood  9 the directions.  10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're  11 right here. Right here. Starts here.  12 THE WITNESS: Okay.  13 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here.  14 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry.  15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  16 MR. MARTIN: No problem.  17 Q. Do you agree  18 A. What is the question?	MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical power."  Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer." 11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 18 There were more cancers in NHSII and some follow-up
22 with intact reproductive tracts and women who did 23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider 22 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though 23 the person years was million, she had enough power 24 to detect a, you know, significant risk.	Page 175  1 A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  2 MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  3 Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  4 that spans the end of the first  5 MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you  6 don't mind.  7 MR. MARTIN: No, please.  8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood  9 the directions.  10 MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're  11 right here. Right here. Starts here.  12 THE WITNESS: Okay.  13 MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here.  14 THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry.  15 MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  16 MR. MARTIN: No problem.  17 Q. Do you agree  18 A. What is the question?  19 Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me	MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical power."  Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer." 11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 18 There were more cancers in NHSII and some follow-up 19 time but that was etiologically irrelevant
23 not have intact reproductive tracts is below the 24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider 24 to detect a, you know, significant risk.	Page 175  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  that spans the end of the first  MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you  don't mind.  MR. MARTIN: No, please.  THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood  the directions.  MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're  right here. Right here. Starts here.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here.  THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry.  MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  MR. MARTIN: No problem.  Q. Do you agree  A. What is the question?  Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me  20 start that over.	MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical power."  Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer."  So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 18 There were more cancers in NHSII and some follow-up 19 time but that was etiologically irrelevant 20 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time.
24 effect size that epidemiologists generally consider 24 to detect a, you know, significant risk.	Page 175  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  that spans the end of the first  MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you  don't mind.  MR. MARTIN: No, please.  THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood  the directions.  MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're  right here. Right here. Starts here.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here.  THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry.  MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  MR. MARTIN: No problem.  Q. Do you agree  A. What is the question?  Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me  start that over.  Do you agree that the difference between women	MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical 3 power."  Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer." 11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 18 There were more cancers in NHSII and some follow-up 19 time but that was etiologically irrelevant 20 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time. 21 But she did not get etiologically relevant
	Page 175  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  that spans the end of the first  MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you  don't mind.  MR. MARTIN: No, please.  THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood  the directions.  MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're  right here. Right here. Starts here.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here.  THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry.  MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  MR. MARTIN: No problem.  Q. Do you agree  A. What is the question?  Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me  start that over.  Do you agree that the difference between women  with intact reproductive tracts and women who did	MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical power."  Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer." 11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 18 There were more cancers in NHSII and some follow-up 19 time but that was etiologically irrelevant 20 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time. 21 But she did not get etiologically relevant 22 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though
25 important?   25 Q. What do you think is a sufficient	Page 175  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  that spans the end of the first  MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you  don't mind.  MR. MARTIN: No, please.  THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood  the directions.  MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're  right here. Right here. Starts here.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here.  THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry.  MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  MR. MARTIN: No problem.  Q. Do you agree  A. What is the question?  Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me  start that over.  Do you agree that the difference between women  with intact reproductive tracts and women who did  not have intact reproductive tracts is below the	MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical power."  Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 5 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer." 11 So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 18 There were more cancers in NHSII and some follow-up 19 time but that was etiologically irrelevant 20 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time. 11 But she did not get etiologically relevant 12 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though 13 the person years was million, she had enough power
	Page 175  A. I'm sorry. Where are you? First page?  MS. PARFITT: Go to the next page.  Q. This is the second page. It's the sentence  that spans the end of the first  MS. PARFITT: Back. I'm sorry. If you  don't mind.  MR. MARTIN: No, please.  THE WITNESS: Sorry. I misunderstood  the directions.  MS. PARFITT: No. No. No. You're  right here. Right here. Starts here.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MS. PARFITT: Then it goes up over here.  THE WITNESS: Got it. Sorry.  MS. PARFITT: Thank you.  MR. MARTIN: No problem.  Q. Do you agree  A. What is the question?  Q. Do you agree that the effect size let me  output  that over.  Do you agree that the difference between women  with intact reproductive tracts and women who did  not have intact reproductive tracts is below the  effect size that epidemiologists generally consider	MR. MARTIN: Yes. "Limited statistical power."  Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer in 24 case control studies N equals 13,421 is 6 more than sixfold higher than the number of cases of 7 ovarian cancer reported by O'Brien, et al N 8 equals 2,168 demonstrating the limited power of 9 cohort studies to detect a significant increase in 10 risk of ovarian cancer."  So your position is that the lack of power 12 could be a reason that Dr. O'Brien's top-line 13 results were not statistically significant? 14 A. Yeah. And I'll explain it a little bit 15 more. So power is one aspect. But why did she get 16 their the numbers, she got more cancer there 17 were more cancers in NHSI, more follow-up time. 18 There were more cancers in NHSI and some follow-up 19 time but that was etiologically irrelevant 20 then some more follow-up in WHI in follow-up time. 21 But she did not get etiologically relevant 22 exposure periods sufficient enough that, even though 23 the person years was million, she had enough power 24 to detect a, you know, significant risk.

Page 178	Page 180
1 MR. TISI: I'm sorry. He wasn't	1 e.g., we will need to study upwards of 200,000 women
2 finished.	2 for ten years."
3 Q. I'm sorry. Please finish.	3 So the Narod article didn't just talk about
4 A. I'm sorry. I would have had to calculate	4 number of women. It also discussed follow-up time;
5 that. Post hoc power calculations are not	5 correct?
6 appropriate now that we have results of the study.	6 A. Where are you, just so that I know?
7 Q. Do you recall citing in your initial report	7 MS. PARFITT: Yeah. I've lost you, too,
8 an article by Narod, "Talc and ovarian cancer,"	8 Zack. I'm sorry.
9 2016?	9 MR. MARTIN: It's page 2.
10 A. Yes. And I remember the numbers they	10 MS. PARFITT: Got it.
11 quoted about power.	MR. MARTIN: The first full paragraph.
12 Q. Great. So let's look back let's look at	MS. PARFITT: First full.
13 your supplemental report, paragraph 7 or page 7,	13 MR. MARTIN: I'm sorry. It's the final
14 which also sites Narod, first full sentence.	14 sentence of that. Begins "In order to achieve."
15 A. Give me a second. I'm not there.	MS. PARFITT: Right there. I was
16 Q. Are you there?	16 looking at the second paragraph. I'm sorry. I'm
17 A. Yes.	17 sorry.
18 Q. Narod, et al. estimate that upward of	18 Q. "In order it achieve statistical
19 200,000 women would have to be enrolled in a cohort	19 significance in a prospective cohort study, we need
20 study to detect an effect size of 1.2.	20 a much larger cohort, e.g., we will need a study of
21 A. Sure.	21 upwards of 200,000 women for ten years." So Narod
Q. Do you agree that more than 200,000 women	22 did talk about follow-up time in addition to time;
23 were included in the O'Brien study?	23 correct?
24 A. O'Brien is not a single cohort study.	24 A. Sure.
25 O'Brien is a pooled analysis of four different	Q. Do you know the average follow-up time in
Page 179	Page 181
1 cohorts, each with different etiologically relevant	1 the O'Brien pooled analysis?
2 exposure period.	2 A. Yeah. Exactly. So what you're doing in
This assumes that these 2,000 (verbatim)	3 O'Brien is you are adding etiologically irrelevant
4 you know, you're following these it's just not a	4 follow-up time from NHSII and even short follow-up
5 number. Right? It's, like, how much follow-up time	5 in Sist to some of the relevant time. Obviously,
6 you're going to have. Power is not just about a	6 the time will look big, but you're not following the
7 cohort. It's, like, how much cancer you're going to	7 cohorts for ten years. Where is Sist follow-up for
8 have or what duration of time you're going to follow	8 ten years? Where is NHSII follow-up for ten years
9 them up. Yes, it has more than 200,000. But it's	9 I'm sorry about NHSII.
10 not a single cohort study. Didn't follow them for	10 Q. NHSI did have a follow-up of well over ten
11 enough time.	11 years.
12 Q. Let's talk about length of time followed.	12 A. Yeah. I'm talking about NHSII. You're
13 And let's talk about the Narod article, which I will	13 adding time just to inflate the denominator, but you
14 mark as Exhibit 20.	14 don't have what they're asking for. And then it's
15 (2016 study by Steven A. Narod,	15 also a question of "Where did they come up with this
16 Exhibit 20, marked)	16 1.2?" That's just their, you know I mean, I cite
17 Q. Let's look at the first the last	17 it. But they said "Well, say we want to estimate
18 sentence of the first full paragraph on page 2, "In	18 this 1.2 risk." That's, you know somebody might
19 order to achieve."	19 want to do 1.1. Others we've seen in case control,
20 A. Page 2, last sentence of the	20 they may be 1.3. So you may need, you know,
21 Q. First full paragraph.	21 different numbers. So that's one.
22 A "December" and a second of the 11 or 110	

The main thing is etiologically relevant

24 What kind of follow-up are you getting? If you add

25 NHSII follow-up, it's very early follow-up. So you

23 exposure. It's not just ten years of follow-up.

22

A. "Prospective observational studies"?

25 (verbatim) study, we need a much larger cohort,

Q. "In order to achieve statistical

24 significance in a prospective observational

22

_				
		Page 182		Page 184
		mish and mash early with late.		meta-analyses in your report; right?
	2	Q. Again, you mentioned earlier today you	2	,
		don't believe the NHSII results influenced the	3	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		pooled		this
	5	A. It is a minor influence.	5	Q. Not all of them, but yes.
	6	Q. Minor influence.	6	A. We can talk about it. I have to go to my
	7	A. Yeah. Yeah.	7	•
	8	Q. Okay.	8	MR. MARTIN: Can we go off the record
	9	A. But, you know, in the pooled hazard ratio,	9	very briefly?
- 1		because the point estimates are .81, you know, it	10	,
- 1		appears that there's a decrease. But most of it is	11	MR. MARTIN: Back on the record.
:	12	because the follow-up time is limited.	12	Q. We were discussing before the break your
- 1	13	Q. You would agree that the average follow-up		comment that none of the individual cohorts had more
	14	time across the cohorts pooled in O'Brien is greater	14	than had anywhere near 200,000 women; right?
:	15	than ten years.	15	A. That's correct.
	16	A. Yeah. But, you know, the question is "What	16	Q. Okay. Let's look at limited statistical
	17	is that follow-up time etiologically relevant?"	17	power in towards the bottom of page 11 of your
	18	Yes, based on the Narod article, they said if you	18	2023 report.
		want to detect 1.2, you would need 200,000 women.	19	MS. PARFITT: I'm sorry, Zack. What
12	20	But did they follow these 200,000 women? And when	n20	page?
12	21	they did the etiologically relevant that is the	21	MR. MARTIN: 11.
12	22	patent tract they saw it.	22	MS. PARFITT: Thank you.
1	23	See, the Narod article doesn't talk about	23	Q. "The number of cases of ovarian cancer
1	24	any confounder adjustment. So you have to follow up	24	studies in 24 case control studies, N equals
12	25	the susceptible group for that period of time to see	25	13,421." So in this case, with the case control
		Page 183		Page 185
	1	the risk.	1	studies, you are pooling or adding together the
	2	Q. So let's go back to your statement that the	2	different studies to come up with the sample size;
	3	none of the individual cohorts evaluated 200,000	3	right?
	4	women. Had you looked at did you look at	4	A. No. So, again, I'm not making comment
	5	individual cohorts because you do not believe that	5	about sample size. I'm just saying there is a
	6	the various cohorts can be productively pooled?	6	the number of cases in the case control studies
	7	A. No. They can be pooled, and they did it.	7	significantly exceeds the cases even with the
	8	But you have to look at the limitations of such	8	largest pooled analysis. That's all.
	9	pooling.	9	It's not power calculation for case
	10	Q. Okay.	10	control study is not the same as so what Narod
	11	A. They were pooled. I mean, there had been	11	points out is, in the context of cohort study, you
:	12	pooled case control studies as well. But when you	12	start out with exposure and measure outcomes. When
	13	harmonize data as we understood how they had to	13	you do a power calculation in fact, that is the
	14	make some choices about exposure and duration and	14	efficiency of case control studies is their power
:	15	frequency, the similar thing about follow-up. They	15	to detect associations between exposure such as talc
:	16	pooled it together. But they pooled etiologically	16	and so the power calculation I'm not doing a
	17	irrelevant I use that term versus with	17	power calculation. I'm just pointing out a
:	18	relevant, you know, that ten-year time they're	18	statement of fact, that the number of cases is more.
:	19	talking about. And that inflates denominator.	19	Q. My initial question was just whether the N
12	20	Q. Well, I'm glad you mentioned case control	20	equals 13,421 is pooling all 24 case control studies
12	21	studies because there are meta-analyses of case	21	that you discuss there.
12	22	control studies that also combine different	22	A. Yeah. I mean, I have to look at which
12	23	samples	23	reference that is. Penninkilampi
12	24	A. Yeah.	24	Q. Penninkilampi?
1,	35	0 40 4 1 24 64	25	A

A. -- total, name of case, number. That's

25

Q. -- correct? And you cite some of those

Page 189

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217323

Page 186

1 all.

- 2 Q. Does power increase linearly with sample 3 size?
- 4 A. Power is not only a function of, you know,
- 5 sample size. It's a function of, A, which group are
- 6 you talking about. Have you -- are you going to
- 7 adjust for confounders? Are there strata that
- 8 you're -- are etiologically relevant? So it's not
- 9 just about sample size. Power is relevant to other
- 10 kind of questions you set up.
- Q. To the extent sample size influences power,
- 12 is that relationship linear?
- A. When you say "linear," what do you mean?
- 14 Can you give an example?
- 15 Q. Sure. Is a six-times-larger sample six
- 16 times more powerful than a sample one sixth of the
- 17 size?
- A. Six times more -- no. But case control
- 19 studies are more powerful in terms of power in
- 20 detecting association. I mean, that is irrespective
- 21 of -- this number could have been only 3,000. It
- 22 didn't have to be 13,000. This is just an
- 23 observation of numbers.
- 24 This is not about -- but the comment about
- 25 power of case control studies is in the first report

- A. Yeah. 1
  - 2 Q. Can we look at page 253?
    - MS. PARFITT: If I can have a copy,
  - 4 please.

3

6

- 5 THE WITNESS: Sorry.
  - MS. PARFITT: No. It's okay.
- 7 A. 253.
- 8 Q. Can we look at the first paragraph of the
- 9 second column beginning -- well, first of all, Berge
- 10 2018 was a meta-analysis of the case controlled and
- 11 cohort studies that were existing at that time; is
- 12 that right?
- 13 A. It's 2016; right?
- 14 Q. 2018.
- 15 MS. PARFITT: The bottom, 2018.
- 16 A. Copyright but accepted 2016 was -- I'm just
- 17 trying to -- I don't have a problem --
- 18 Q. It's copyright 2018.
- 19 A. Okay. That's fine.
- 20 Q. But it was a -- but you are correct. It
- 21 looks like it was accepted in 2016.
- 22 A. Yeah.
- 23 Q. So my question is this is a meta-analysis
- 24 of the case control and cohort studies probably that
- 25 were, as you said, existing as of 2016. Right?

- 1 when I talk about study designs. Case control
- 2 studies are more powered to detect the associations.
- 3 Q. Is a -- even holding constant the number of
- 4 cases, in other words, is a case control study that 5 has 2,000 cases of ovarian cancer more powerful than
- 6 a cohort study with 2,000 cases of ovarian cancer?
- 7 A. Again, you have to do confounding, bias,
- 8 other things. But yes. I mean, you could -- in
- 9 general, case control studies are more adequately
- 10 powered, you know, holding other things constant.
- Q. And the increased power in case control
- 12 studies is not only a function of the increased
- 13 number of cases they generally have.
- A. No. Because they -- partly is a function
- 15 of the fact that they already start out with cases.
- 16 So they can, you know, recall exposure.
- 17 Q. Do you recall citing a study by Berge, 2018
- 18 in your initial report?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 MR. MARTIN: Can that be marked as
- 21 Exhibit 21?
- 22 (2018 article by Wera Berge, et al.,
- 23 Exhibit 21, marked)
- 24 Q. You're familiar with the Berge article,
- 25 2018?

- A. Sure. 1
  - Q. Okay. And looking at that paragraph I was
- 3 pointing to earlier, beginning with "It should be
- 4 noted," "It should be noted that the cohort studies
- 5 included in the meta-analysis comprised a total of
- 6 429 cases of ovarian" -- "cases of ovarian cases,"
- 7 which I assume is a typo.
- A. I'm sorry. Where are you?
- 9 Q. Sure. Middle of the first paragraph?
- 10 A. Got it.
- Q. "It should be noted that the cohort studies
- 12 included in the meta-analysis comprised a total of
- 13 429 cases of ovarian cancer (verbatim) exposed to
- 14 genital talc and 943 unexposed cases. The
- 15 statistical power of the meta-analysis of this" --
- 16 "of these cohort studies to detect a RR of 1.25,
- 17 similar to the result of the meta-analysis of the
- 18 case controlled studies, was 0.99. Thus, low power
- 19 of cohort studies cannot be invoked as explanation
- 20 of the heterogeneity of the results." Do you
- 21 disagree with that statement by --
- 22 A. Let me read it first a little bit. I
- 23 completely disagree with them. I think they are
- 24 conflating two different issues. They are saying
- 25 that there are 429 cases in the -- it should be

Page 190

- 1 noted that cohort studies included in the
- 2 meta-analysis comprise a total of 400 cases and 940
- 3 unexposed cases. What is unexposed cases? Is it
- 4 controls?
- 5 Q. I believe they mean cases of ovarian cancer
- 6 who are not -- who are not exposed to talcum powder.
- 7 A. The statistical -- I'm just trying to
- 8 unpack what are they trying to say. The statistical
- 9 power of the meta-analysis to detect an RR similar
- 10 to the results of the meta-analysis -- okay. So
- 11 they are saying "We want to do a power calculation
- 12 for 1.25." Was .99? I don't know how they come up
- 13 with that number.
- 14 Q. But you have not done a formal power
- 15 calculation of this type of your own; right?
- MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 17 A. I don't know -- I have to go back and look
- 18 at my previous report if I -- I know I talked about
- 19 power. I don't know if I did a power calculation.
- 20 Q. You did not do one in your 2023 report.
- 21 A. No. And the reason is post hoc power
- 22 calculations are, in fact, you know, frowned upon
- 23 and not statistically appropriate. Even in this
- 24 case, once they have completed the analysis, trying
- 25 to invoke power as an explanation for the
- Page 191
- 1 calculation, as in, like, .99 -- "Oh, they have a
- 2 lot of power." No. It's a design issue. You begin
- 3 -- you start to think about power before you design
- 4 the study.
- 5 Q. So is your testimony that the statistical
- 6 analysis done in this Berge study is inappropriate?
- 7 MS. PARFITT: Objection.
- 8 A. It is flawed. It's methodologically flawed
- 9 because this power calculation is post hoc.
- 10 Q. Let's look at Exhibit 22, Taher, et al.,
- 11 2019.
- 12 (2019 article by Mohamed Kadry Taher, et
- 13 al., Exhibit 22, marked)
- 14 Q. So you talk about Berge or -- excuse me.
- You talk about Taher on page 5 of your 2023
- 16 report --
- 17 A. Sure.
- 18 Q. -- Paragraph 2.
- 19 A. Let me get there. Yeah.
- 20 Q. You talk about the odds ratio and
- 21 confidence interval reported in this study. Let's
- 22 go and look at Table 2 of the Taher study, which is
- 23 all of page 93.
- Let's go to Bullet 4 in that table -- which
- 25 candidly is not the most clear presentation of data

- Page 192

  1 I've ever seen -- to tumor histology. Do you see
  - 2 where I am?
  - 3 A. Yes. Okay.
  - 4 Q. Okay.
  - 5 MS. PARFITT: Are you there?
    - THE WITNESS: Yes. I can see it.
  - 7 Q. What's the effect estimate for mucinous
  - 8 cancer?

- 9 A. 05 with wide confidence intervals, .85 to
- 10 1.29. Is that -- I mean, I'm looking at the rows
- 11 across.
- 12 Q. That's correct. That's what I see as well.
- 13 A. It's not very easy to.
- 14 Q. That's what I see as well. Do you consider
- 15 that to be data that supports an association between
- 16 mucinous cancer and talcum powder exposure?
- 17 MS. PARFITT: Objection.
- 18 A. You know, I've evaluated -- again, sort of
- 19 going back to my assessment of epithelial ovarian
- 20 cancer. I mean, here, there are data suggest --
- 21 pointing out to increase endometrial. The numbers
- 22 when you go to mucinous are so low that it is not --
- 23 you know, that the fact that they see only 1.05 is
- 24 reasonable. But my assessment is on epithelial
- 25 ovarian cancer, of which mucinous is a subtype.
  - Page 193
  - Q. So my next -- I'd like you to look at
- 2 clear-cell. You see the effect estimate there is 3 0.63 with a very wide confidence interval?
- 4 A. Yeah. But other studies have reported
- 5 elsewhere. You know, Terry's pooled analysis, you
- 5 elsewhere. Tou know, Terry's pooled analysis, yo
- 6 know, provided an increased risk of -- yes. In this
- 7 analysis, they don't report it. But I'm not going
- 8 to disaggregate -- I mean, it is relevant that these
- 9 are different histologic subtypes of cancer. But my
- 10 causality assessment applies to epithelial ovarian
- 11 cancer.
- 12 Q. Did you say -- did you mention an article
- 13 that you think shows an association between clear-
- 14 cell cancer and talc specifically?
- 15 A. Terry.
- 16 Q. Terry.
- 17 A. Yeah.
- 18 Q. That's Terry 2013?
- 19 A. Yes. We can look it up.
- 20 MS. PARFITT: No. We talked quite a bit
- 21 about Terry in the last deposition.
- Q. But just to, again, be clear, your analysis
- 23 is not broken down by histological subtype.
- 24 A. No. I'm just focusing on -- I'm pointing
- 25 out where data suggests this or that.

Page 197

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217325

Page 194

- Q. Okay. Let's look at the "duration of use"
- 2 bullet, which is about halfway up the page in the
- 3 same --
- 4 A. Table?
- Q. -- table. 5
- A. They have to do better tables.
- 7 Q. Some lines would be helpful, really.
- 8 A. Okay. Got it.
- Q. Okay. Do you see that 20-plus years
- 10 produces the lowest point estimate and the only one
- 11 that's not statistically significant?
- 12 A. Yeah. But that's only one -- that's one
- 13 aspect of exposure. We've discussed, I think, in
- 14 the past report and -- that exposure is not just
- 15 duration. It's intensity. It's frequency,
- 16 application. And then if you extend to the Table 4,
- 17 it provides several studies that provide --
- 18 Table 3 -- sorry -- several studies that provide,
- 19 you know, the right metric for those estimations,
- 20 not all of them, you know. There are some studies
- 21 that do not.
- 22 But there are some studies that provide,
- 23 based on the appropriate metric, such as Cramer,
- 24 Harlow, Mills, Whitmore, Wu, and Schildkraut. I
- 25 don't know how you spell that. It depends on the
  - Page 195
- 1 metric and the specific study.
- Q. Would you agree that, to the extent studies
- 3 are able to estimate total lifetime applications,
- 4 some of them demonstrate a dose response and some of
- 5 them do not?
- MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 7 A. I mean, I talked about in general, you
- 8 know, the whole body of evidence in dose response.
- 9 Some studies provide evidence of dose response.
- 10 And, you know, I have to go back and look at the
- 11 references in my previous report because that
- 12 clearly outlines which is frequency, which is
- 13 duration, which is frequency and duration. And, you
- 14 know, you can bring it up, and we can go through
- 15 study by study.
- 16 Taher, what he does is he -- I presume --
- 17 is he identifies five studies in the positive trend
- 18 with frequency. Two studies suggested there might
- 19 be an exposure response. Then there are other
- 20 studies that did not.
- 21 So there's, you know -- that's why in my
- 22 causality assessment, you know, I provided that
- 23 context in assessing dose response.
- Q. Let's look at -- let's go one page back or
- 25 -- two pages back. I apologize. Three pages back.

- A. Table 2 again? 1
- Q. No. I'm sorry. All the way back to
- 3 page 91, Section 3.1. First sentence of the final
- 4 paragraph, "63 percent -- N equals 19 -- of the
- 5 studies concluded the presence of a positive
- 6 association between perineal" --
  - MS. PARFITT: Do you see that?
- 8 THE WITNESS: Where is that?
- 9 MS. PARFITT: Page 91. He's on the
- 10 wrong page.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I'm on the wrong page.
- 12 MS. PARFITT: 91. Here at the bottom.
- 13 63.

7

- 14 THE WITNESS: Got it.
- 15 Q. Do you see "63 percent -- N equals 19 -- of
- 16 the studies concluded the presence of a positive
- 17 association between perineal talc -- exposure to
- 18 talc -- perineal exposure to talc powder and ovarian
- 19 cancer risk. 10 studies concluded the absence of an
- 20 association. Only one study could not reach a clear
- 21 conclusion"? Do you believe that shows consistent
- 22 literature?
- 23 A. Yeah. To go to consistency, it's not just
- 24 about "This study showed this," you know, showed a
- 25 positive -- I mean, you'd have to actually read my
- 1 section on consistency.
- 2 Consistency is about, you know -- there's
- 3 going to be different point estimates because
- 4 different study designs and populations and whether
- 5 it's case controlled or cohort, even within case
- 6 controlled. But are there confidence intervals
- 7 overlap? When they pool these estimates in the same
- 8 Table 2, they don't find evidence of statistical
- 9 heterogeneity, if you look at the I squares for case 10 control or cohort.
- 11 So consistency involves examining study
- 12 design. What does Bradford Hill talk about?
- 13 Person, place, and time. We have different persons
- 14 conducting these studies in different parts of the
- 15 world across several decades. We have no stat --
- 16 not no but very minimal statistical heterogeneity
- 17 and confidence intervals that overlap.
- 18 So yes, I agree there's consistency in this
- 19 literature. And actually, my opinion, there's
- 20 strong evidence of consistency.
- Q. So let me ask you about little evidence of
- 22 heterogeneity in the literature. Do you believe
- 23 that there's little evidence of heterogeneity
- 24 between the case control studies as a group and the
- 25 cohort studies as a group?

50 (Pages 194 - 197)

Page 201

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217326

Page 198

- 1 A. You misconstrued what I said. Little
- 2 evidence? Statistical heterogeneity in the
- 3 meta-analysis and then a specific measure of that
- 4 known as I square.
- 5 And so if you look at the I squares at --
- 6 in the hospital base or population base, usually,
- 7 you know, 50 to 70 percent are considered
- 8 significant. And all the meta-analysis never reach
- 9 that. So it's, like, 22 percent in the case
- 10 controls. And even Penninkilampi doesn't get there.
- 11 So that's an evidence of statistical, you
- 12 know -- lack of statistical heterogenic -- that
- 13 answer to the question that there's evidence of --
- 14 there's evidence of consistency, despite differences
- 15 in point estimates, despite differences in study
- 16 design. So Penninkilampi, at least at that time,
- 17 had, you know, included those.
- 18 Q. When you look at the 22 percent I-squared
- 19 statistic for case control studies in Table 2 --
- 20 A. Sure.
- 21 Q. -- do you understand that to be a statistic
- 22 referring to comparing case control studies to each

Q. 22 percent number there doesn't address

5 heterogeneity between case control and cohort

A. No. And that we can look at in the

11 will get in trouble if I look at that. So let's --

13 them, you still don't reach that level of concern

16 GRADE framework -- all capital letters -- is?

Q. Can you explain it to me?

21 know, decision-makers evidence on use of

A. Yeah. I've written chapters for the --

14 about statistical heterogeneity.

8 Penninkilampi meta-analysis. We should look at

Q. That was published in 2018. And I think I

A. No. But there are other -- when you pool

Q. Let's look -- well, do you know what the

A. GRADE frameworks is not one thing, you

20 know. GRADE framework is a way to provide, you

22 interventions that usually apply -- you know, you

24 review. Now you want to make guidelines or some

25 other decisions about using that product or using it

- 23 other or case control studies to other types of
- 24 study design?

2

3

10

15

17

18

19

1 case control studies.

Q. Okay.

A. Yeah.

6 studies; right?

25 A. No. Those are pooled estimates for the

- 1 in practice.
  - 2 Then you have to look at risk of bias, look
  - 3 at how that evidence is direct to your question, how
  - 4 precise is it, is it a publication bias. And then
  - 5 you can make a conclusion.
  - Usually, GRADE is -- just by the fact that
  - 7 data here are observational, it assigns a low GRADE
  - 8 to observational studies because it doesn't matter
  - 9 in this context or any other.
  - 10 It starts out with low for observational
  - 11 studies. An observational study can only be
  - 12 up-coded for, you know, directness or if there's a
  - 13 confounder that actually bias them towards an
  - 14 amount.
  - So yes, I'm very familiar with the GRADE
  - 16 framework. Actually, there's a publication on GRADE
  - 17 in my CV.
  - 18 Q. If you look at 4.4, Section 4.4, which is
  - 19 page 98 of this.
  - 20 A. Section 4.4. Yeah.
  - 21 Q. You can see that Taher did this GRADE
  - 22 analysis here.
  - 23 A. They did.
  - Q. And you agree they graded the evidence very
  - 25 low?

Page 199

A. Yes. Because they were looking at

- 2 observational data. But despite that, you know,
- 3 they rated the studies in that Table 2 we were
- 4 looking at as, you know -- between the high and low
- 5 risk of bias as, you know -- a lot of them as low
- 6 risk of bias, which provided an increase.
- 7 But here, they say that. But, you know,
- 8 they use the same data that -- when they go to the
- 9 full report -- which, you know, you'll probably
- 10 bring out some point in time -- they provide that
- 11 this data indicative of a causal association.
- 12 So GRADE is not necessarily a decision-
- 13 maker here. You know, GRADE is providing evidence
- 14 for decision-makers. But here, when we are making
- 15 causal determinations based on epidemiology -- which
- 16 is the only thing we have here. We're not going to
- - · · · ·
- 17 have trials.
- 18 Q. Well, let's look at what they say "very
- 19 low" means. In Footnote A to this Table 4, they
- 20 define "very low" as --
- 21 A. Yeah
- 22 Q. -- "We have very little confidence in the
- 23 have a body of evidence. You conducted a systematic 23 effect estimate. The true effect is likely to be
  - 24 substantially different from the estimate of
  - $25\,$  effect." Do you agree with that understanding of a

51 (Pages 198 - 201)

Page 202

1 very low GRADE?

- A. No. I don't think so. And I don't think
- 3 -- if that was what they had interpreted, then why
- 4 would -- why wouldn't they have said that "We
- 5 maintain our conclusion that talc is a possible
- 6 cause of human cancer in humans based on the
- 7 totality of evidence" and then gone on to write a
- 8 report which says that "We are confident in our
- 9 conclusion that there's a causal association" based
- 10 off the same data?
- Q. So let's look at the -- what you just
- 12 mentioned, which I believe is on the next page. I'm
- 13 trying to --
- 14 A. No. It's in the same -- up line -- second
- 15 paragraph.
- Q. No. I'm looking at the following paragraph
- 17 at the beginning of page 99 halfway through. I
- 18 thought that's what you were --
- A. No, I'm not.
- 20 Q. -- looking at as well because it says
- 21 "Despite the very low certainty assigned by the
- 22 GRADE evaluation, which heavily favors RCTs" ---
- 23 which you mentioned earlier, randomized control
- 24 trials --
- 25 A. Yeah.

Page 203

- Q. -- "we maintain our conclusion that talc is
- 2 a possible cause of human cancer in humans, based on
- 3 a totality of the evidence." That's what I thought
- 4 you were referring to earlier.
- A. I was. But then I also -- they use largely
- 6 the same data. They update it in the Health Canada
- 7 report and go further and say that "We are confident
- 8 that there is indicative of a causal association."
- 9 So I have done lots of grading myself. And I'm just
- 10 trying to explain how it works.
- You know, most of the observational
- 12 literature we do, we start out with low. And you
- 13 are sort of stuck with the framework. It was really
- 14 designed to assess interventions, like drug
- 15 pharmaceutical trials and randomized control trials.
- 16 It wasn't designed for this particular --
- 17 Q. So I just want to focus on the "talc is a
- 18 possible cause of human cancer" that you brought up
- 19 here in this passage. Then we can talk about Health 20 Canada.
- 21 Is it your opinion that talc is a possible
- 22 cause of human cancer, or is it your opinion that
- 23 talc is a cause of human cancer?
- A. No. I'm just quoting the statement they
- 25 state here, despite all the limitations. I believe

- 1 -- I'm of the opinion that talc is, you know, causal
- 2 and relates to the -- is a causal risk factor in the
- 3 development of ovarian cancer.
- Q. Would you agree with me that that's a step
- 5 further than the statement here in this paragraph?
- A. Yes. Which is the same statement they made 7 in Health Canada. Yeah.
- Q. You would agree with me, also, that it's --
- 9 that what -- the statement in this paragraph is
- 10 consistent with IARC's classification of talc.
- 11 A. Yeah. But that was in 2006. There was
- 12 hardly any -- there were some studies at that time.
- 13 But they didn't have all the data that they had or I
- 14 have now.
- 15 Q. I'm just trying to understand the meaning
- 16 of "possible" here.
- 17 A. Yeah. But remember, you know -- so let's
- 18 unpack that a little bit. When you say "possible"
- 19 and "probable" in that context -- IARC context --
- 20 it's not the same as here, Taher. "Possible" and
- 21 "probable" in the IARC context could mean Group 2B
- 22 to Group 2A. We're not talking about that here.
- 23 I mean, they don't even explicate are you
- 24 talking about mechanistic data, are you talking
- 25 about animal data, have you ruled out bias,

- 1 confounding. So you can't just use terms "possible"
- 2 and "probable" in the same sentence and equate this 3 to IARC.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- A. IARC is different. And I've done the work
- 6 for IARC too.
- Q. You mentioned Health Canada, which includes
- 8 some of the same --
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. -- scientists as this and, you suggested,
- 11 reached a stronger conclusion about the
- 12 carcinogenicity of talc than this article did?
- MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates the 13
- 14 testimony and the evidence.
- 15 A. I just -- my -- I'm just going to say what
- 16 conclusion they read. You interpret it as stronger.
- 17 They interpret it as confidently evidence of a
- 18 causal -- indicative of a causal association.
- 19 That's all I mean. It is strong.
- 20 Q. So we may get to the Health Canada report
- 21 later this afternoon, but I just want to ask you
- 22 now. Do you know the standard of review which
- 23 Health Canada uses under Canadian law?
- 24 A. I mean --
- 25 MS. PARFITT: Objection.

Page 209

Page 206

- A. -- they are a regulatory body. I mean, I
- 2 don't know all the specifics of -- yeah. But, I
- 3 mean, they have a 350-page supplement. I was trying
- 4 to review it. They are very detailed, very
- 5 meticulous. I am very impressed with their work.
- Q. Do you understand the standard applied in
- 7 regulatory law to be the same as the standard
- 8 applied in tort law?
- MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 10 A. I mean --
- 11 MS. PARFITT: He's not a lawyer.
- 12 O. If the answer is you don't know, that's
- 13 fine.
- 14 A. I'm not a lawyer. I mean, I provide an
- 15 epidemiologic assessment on causation. I'm familiar
- 16 with IARC. I'm familiar with GRADE. You can ask me
- 17 all questions you want about how IARC comes to
- 18 probable and possible or, you know, sufficient
- 19 evidence.
- 20 The only thing I review is the details and
- 21 the methods and how did they come to that
- 22 conclusion. And they evaluate O'Brien. In fact,
- 23 several studies have been published after Health
- 24 Canada published their report.
- 25 Q. Are you familiar with Davis 2021?

- 1 plaintiffs' expert in this litigation?
  - A. Yeah. I skimmed through her deposition.
  - 3 Q. We've asked this a couple of times. Did
  - 4 that affect the way you evaluated the Davis paper in
  - 5 your expert report?
  - MS. PARFITT: Objection. Asked and 6 7 answered.
  - A. You know, it's the same. I looked at the
  - 9 methodology. I looked at disclosures. But, you
  - 10 know, this is a, you know, consortium of
  - 11 researchers, ovarian cancer -- they've published
  - 12 many studies, not only these studies -- well-reputed
  - 13 scientists, not just Dr. Moorman but others as well.
  - 14 So, you know, it's not just her study.
  - 15 Right? It's all these people. Schildkraut has
  - 16 conducted many studies. So I have to look at the
  - 17 methodology.
  - Q. You understand one of the purposes of this
  - 19 study or the primary purpose of this study was to
  - 20 examine talc use specifically in the African
  - 21 American population; correct?
  - 22 A. Yeah.
  - 23 Q. Okay. And would you agree with me that
  - 24 some studies show a greater use of talcum powder
  - 25 among African American women than white women?

Page 207

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 MR. MARTIN: Okay. Let's mark this as
- 3 the next exhibit.
- (2021 article by Colette P. Davis, et 4
- 5 al., Exhibit 23, marked)
- Q. You talk about this in pages 8 and 9 of
- 7 your expert report, I believe.
- A. Give me one second. Let me give it to
- 9 them. You said which page?
- 10 Q. I haven't said a page yet.
- A. Okay. You said some page of your report. 11 than white cases and controls." 11
- 12 Q. Yes. I'm sorry. It's -- I was just saying
- 13 you talk about Davis on pages 8 and 9 of your
- 14 report.
- 15 A. Okay. That's all.
- 16 Q. I believe.
- 17 MS. PARFITT: Do you have the Davis? 17
- 18 May I have one copy of that?
- 20 of authors on page 1660 of the Davis article?
- 21 A. Yeah.
- 22 Q. It's the list of authors.
- 23 A. Yeah. I didn't go through all the list,
- 24 but I see it now. Yes.
- 25 Q. Are you aware that Dr. Moorman is a

- MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- A. That is correct.
- Q. Can we turn to page 1663, first paragraph,
- 4 the sentence that begins "Across most study sites"?
- 5 A. 66?

- 6 Q. 63.
- 7 A. I'm sorry.
- Q. "Across most study sites, AA cases and
- 9 controls had a higher prevalence of ever use,
- 10 frequent use, and long-term use of genital powder
- 12 So would you agree with me that African
- 13 American women not only used talcum powder at a
- 14 higher rate but use it more frequently on average
- 15 and for longer term on average?
- A. Based on this study, yes.
- Q. Okay. Let's turn to Table 3. It's 1665.
- 18 It's the top of 1665. Okay. And the all-case point
- Q. So you see Dr. Moorman's name in the list 19 estimate for African American women is 1.22 with a
  - 20 confidence interval that crosses 1. Do you see
  - 21 that?
  - 22 A. Yes.
  - 23 Q. You see that, among white women, it's 1.36,
  - 24 a higher point estimate and statistically
  - 25 significant confidence interval? Do you see that?

Page 213

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217329

Page 210

A. Give me -- yes.

- Q. Okay. Do you have a theory for why the
- 3 risk would be lower among African American users,
- 4 despite using the product more frequently and for a
- 5 longer period of time?

1

- A. I don't think it's lower. It's -- those
- 7 two estimates are -- the authors conclude that they
- 8 are no different. The tests of interaction were not
- 9 significant. The confidence intervals are not --
- 10 you know, overlapping. So I don't think they are
- 11 lower. I mean, those are -- the point estimates
- 12 appear to be lower, but.
- Q. You mentioned the confidence intervals are
- 14 overlapping, despite the fact that the point
- 15 estimates are different.
- 16 A. Are lower.
- 17 Q. The point estimate for African American
- 18 women is lower. When we were talking about the top-
- 19 line results in O'Brien, you told me that it didn't
- 20 matter that the confidence interval overlapped 1.0.
- 21 So I'm just trying to understand --
- 22 A. Sure.
- 23 Q. -- when you look at point estimates and
- 24 when you look at confidence intervals.
- A. I look at both. There's no, like, 25

1 interaction that is not significant.

- 2 So you have two where they state that both
- 3 are elevated and test of interaction was not
- 4 significant. And there's, you know, there's a lot
- 5 of overlap. They're the ones --
- Q. Can you point me to the test of
- 7 interaction, please? I'm not seeing it.
- A. Yeah. So you go to the second paragraph of
- 9 the results -- okay -- "Ever use of genital powder"
- 10 -- in page 1663.
- Q. Yes. 11
- 12 A. Second paragraph, ever use was associated
- 13 with 32 percent higher risk. Then they provide a
- 14 confidence interval. When stratified by race among
- 15 -- the 36 percent among white women pooled also
- 16 shows significant, and nonsignificant higher risk
- 17 among African American women. So, you know, again,
- 18 36, 22 (verbatim) but no evidence of heterogenic.
- 19 So that is a test of interaction. That's what I'm
- 20 basing -- it's not just confidence intervals.
- 21 There's a test of interaction.
- Q. Is it your position that, when a point 22
- 23 estimate is elevated and P equals 0.33, that does
- 24 not show a difference?
- 25 A. Where is P 0.33?

Page 211

- 1 selective looking. It's, like, when you're trying
- 2 to compare the confidence intervals to examine
- 3 consistency. So it's not like you're making a
- 4 statement about strength. It's more about "Are the
- 5 two findings consistent?" And we should say what
- 6 they stated. Give me a second, and let me see what
- 7 they state about the results.
- In this study, ever use was associated with
- 9 higher odds in both AA and white women. And the
- 10 population was similar in those groups, was --
- 11 that's it. So there's no difference between the two
- 12 groups. No evidence of heterogeneity by race was
- 13 observed.
- Q. What I'm struggling with is, if the white
- 15 results and the African American results are
- 16 consistent because their confidence intervals
- 17 overlap, why wouldn't that mean that the O'Brien
- 18 results are consistent with 1.0 because the
- 19 confidence interval overlaps that? What's the
- 20 difference?
- 21 A. With whom?
- 22 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 23 A. So the question is these -- I'm comparing
- 24 two different studies. You know, not even -- two
- 25 different analysis. And here, you have a test of

- MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form. 1
- 2 Q. "No evidence of heterogeneity by race
- 3 observed," parentheses, "P equals 0.33."
- A. Yeah. So that is their conclusion. It's
- 5 not just my conclusion. There's no evidence of --
- 6 that's a test of interaction, P-value. That's not a
- 7 test -- that's not a stratum-specific P-value.
- 8 That's, when they compare the two groups, what is
- 9 the P-value? It's a stratum, you know. It's for
- 10 the test.
- 11 Q. Understood. Understood.
- 12 A. And I do agree with the authors that
- 13 there's no evidence of heterogeneity by race.
- 14 Q. You pushed back strongly this morning on
- 15 drawing a firm line at P equals 0.05; correct?
- 16
- 17 Q. You do in your report as well.
- 18 MS. PARFITT: Object to the form. You
- 19 may continue.
- 20 Q. At what P-value do you draw the line to say
- 21 that two values are different from one another?
- A. So I wouldn't draw the line. I'm just, you
- 23 know, interpreting what they said in terms of tests
- 24 of interaction, which are notoriously underpowered. 25 So even though they're underpowered, there are no

54 (Pages 210 - 213)

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217330

Page 214

1 difference.

- I don't have a P-value which I can say
- 3 that, you know -- and sometimes authors, you know --
- 4 it depends on the context. You know, is a P of .051
- 5 not significant or P of .049 not -- P-value is just
- 6 a statement that the data you have, you know, is --
- 7 the probability of having a data as a more extreme
- 8 under the hypothesis being two. It has no direct
- 9 relevance to clinical significance other than
- 10 interpretation. You know, it's a statement of the
- 11 null hypothesis.
- 12 Q. Do you have to draw the line somewhere?
- 13 A. Yeah. I mean, you have to draw the line in
- 14 the context of what other data you have.
- Q. Can we turn to 1667? Last sentence of the
- 16 article, "Furthermore, there was not a dose-response
- 17 relationship between frequency or duration of
- 18 genital powder use in ovarian cancer risk or any
- 19 significant differences in association by
- 20 histotype." Did you consider this in your dose
- 21 response section of your report?
- 22 A. Yeah. I mean, it's in the -- noted. I'll
- 23 read it for you. There was no differences. I have
- 24 the exact statement: "By frequency of" -- page 9 --
- 25 "By frequency of genital powders" -- if you go to
  - Page 215
  - 1 page 9 of my report, it talks about "There was no
  - 2 difference in the association by frequency of
- 3 genital powder use, although both reported an
- 4 increased risk."
- Q. My question was about the section of your
- 6 report where you discuss -- you know what? Strike 7 that question.
- 8
- Can we move back to the previous column on
- 9 the same page?
- 10 A. I didn't finish answering the question.
- 11 You asked me a question.
- 12 Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done.
- A. No. You asked me a question, "Did you
- 14 discuss the data of no dose response relationship in
- 15 your report?" And I want to point out page 9 of my
- 16 report, second-to-last paragraph explicitly
- 17 discusses that.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. If you move to the
- 19 previous column on page 1667 of Davis. Right.
- 20 First full paragraph, second paragraph -- and this
- 21 is, again, talking about dose response. Do you see
- 22 where it says "We observed"?
- 23 A. Sorry. Second column?
- 24 Q. First column, second paragraph, "We
- 25 observed."

- A. Yeah.
- Q. "We observed no clear dose-response trends.
- 3 In contrast, AACES" --
  - MS. PARFITT: No. No. Read the whole
- 5 sentence. Says "We observed no clear dose-response
- 6 trends for frequency or" --
- Q. "Frequency or duration of genital powder
- 8 use and ovarian cancer risk among AA women or white
- 9 women. In contrast, AACES reported significant
- 10 trends for both frequency -- less than 30 times per
- 11 month," comma, "daily use, and duration, less than
- 12 20 years, greater than or equal to 20 years of
- 13 genital powder use respectively.
- 14 "While different than AACES's, our results"
- 15 -- meaning the no-dose-response results -- "are
- 16 consistent with most prior studies that report no
- 17 significant dose response association between
- 18 genital powder use and ovarian cancer risk." Do you
- 19 agree with Davis's summary of, quote, "most prior
- 20 studies"?
- 21 A. No. And you can go back to my previous
- 22 testimony, cites the studies that have, cites the
- 23 studies that don't. And when they say "most," you
- 24 can look at the references. They have, you know, 21
- 25 to 25. So that's five, you know -- five -- 21 --
  - Page 217
- 1 that's six studies.
- 2 We already saw, in Taher, he presented data
- 3 from seven studies. You know, five are consistent
- 4 and then two suggest. So I don't know what
- 5 interpretation they provide. But in their study,
- 6 they did not find. And that, I have included in my
- 7 report.
- 8 Q. Yeah.
- A. And most importantly, they did not
- 10 ascertain the duration and frequency -- the lifetime
- 11 applications, which is appropriate way to address
- 12 dose.
- Q. You would agree that some of the studies on
- 14 which you relied for showing of dose response also
- 15 do not ascertain --
- A. Yes. 16
- 17 Q. -- the exact lifetime use.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. Can we flip back to page 1663?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Second paragraph, "When restricted to" --
- 22 in the middle of the paragraph. Do you see that?
- 23 A. Give me a second. First column or second?
- 24 Q. First column. Thank you.
- 25 MR. TISI: Where are you?

Page 218

MR. MARTIN: Page 1663, second

2 paragraph.

1

- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. "When restricted to women with patent
- 5 reproductive tracts, the OR among all women was
- 6 1.27, 1.09 to 1.48. Among women without patent
- 7 reproductive tracts, the corresponding OR was 1.42,
- 8 1.17 to 1.72." Did you consider this study in your
- 9 evaluation of O'Brien's patent reproductive tract 10 data?
- 11 A. When you say "this study" and "that data,"
- 12 I mean, you look at the P for heterogeneity. It's,
- 13 again, nonsignificant. So it is -- I'm going to go
- 14 to my section and see what I commented or not.
- 15 Yeah. I did not comment on that.
- But when they did the stratum-specific
- 17 analysis, while they report a higher risk with --
- 18 without tracts, again, they are not different. And
- 19 that actually goes to my counterargument for
- 20 Gossett, that it is entirely plausible that women
- 21 without patent tracts have risks similar to women
- 22 with patent tracts. That's what you're seeing here.
- So yes, if we consider this, then --
- 24 although these are not different. I don't think
- 25 they are different estimates. These are overlapping

Page 219

- 1 estimates. Test of interactions are not
- 2 significant, but interpretation could be that women
- 3 with patent and nonpatent have similar estimates.
- 4 Q. Let's look at Perez 2018.
- 5 THE WITNESS: If you have several
- 6 questions, I'll take a break.
- 7 MR. MARTIN: Yes. We can take a break
- 8 now. Off the record.
- (A break was taken)
- 10 MR. MARTIN: Back on the record. Before
- 11 we went off the record, I shared with you a Perez
- 12 study but --
- 13 THE WITNESS: I don't have it.
- 14 MR. MARTIN: -- we're not going to do
- 15 it. Instead, we're going to move on to Tanha 2021,
- 16 which I'd like to have marked in place of the
- 17 previous exhibit.
- 18 (2021 article by Kiarash Tanha, et al.,
- 19 Exhibit 24, marked)
- Q. This is cited in page 6 and 7 of your
- 21 supplemental expert report.
- A. Yeah. It's a brief reference to that.
- 23 Q. Yeah. And what you say in your
- 24 supplemental -- I'm sorry. I really shouldn't --
- 25 it's page 6 of your expert report, Paragraph 3.

Page 220 1 "Tanha, et al. conducted an umbrella review of two

- 2 systematic reviews and reported that perineal talc
- 3 use was associated with a statistically significant
- 4 increase in ovarian cancer, OR 1.279" -- excuse
- 5 me -- "297, 95 percent CI, 1.242 to 1.355, P less
- 6 than .001. Without any evidence of statistical
- 7 heterogeneity among studies, I squared equals 0
- 8 percent."
- Can we look at the Tanha study we just had
- 10 marked, page 14, Table 2. Let me know when you're
- 12 So perineal talc in Table 2, it says "OR
- 13 1.297." And for that row, there's an I squared of
- 14 0.0. Says there's two studies. Then it says "RR
- 15 1.250, I squared 38.11," two studies. So would you
- 16 agree with me there's four total talc studies
- 17 included in the Tanha article?
- A. Not really. I mean, I don't understand
- 19 when you say "RR."
- 20 Q. I count four?
- 21 A. Which are the four?
- 22 Q. No. 6 -- turning back to Table 1, page 3.
- 23 A. Okay.
- 24 Q. No. 6 is Penninkilampi. Do you see that?
- 25 A. Table 1 -- okay. Table 1. Yeah.

Page 221

- Q. Then continuing in Table 1, No. 13, the 1
- 2 Berge talc use study. Do you see that?
- A. Sure.
- 4 Q. Okay. And continuing in Table 1 -- I had
- 5 these marked in my previous copy. But on page 8,
- 6 No. 247, the Taher study of perineal talc use.
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. Okay. And on page 5, No. 124, the
- 9 Huncharek study of cosmetic talc use.
- 10 A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. Now, turning back to -- so you'd
- 12 agree with me there are four talc studies included
- 13 in Table 1 here --
- 14 A. Table 1, yeah.
- 15 Q. -- that we just went over.
- 16 A. Four meta-analysis.
- 17 Q. Yes. If we go back to Table 2, you see two
- 18 meta-analyses that reported odds ratios and two that
- 19 reported risk ratios.
- A. I don't -- so that's the question I have.
- 21 Let's figure out which -- how could these meta-
- 22 analyses report risk ratios, because these are case
- 23 control studies. Let's find out which meta-analysis
- 24 reported -- let's bring out the four meta-analysis 25 and see if they reported risk ratios.

56 (Pages 218 - 221)

- 1 Q. This is the article you cited in your
- 2 expert report. I'm asking you if you know, based on
- 3 what you put in your expert report, what they're
- 4 citing here for the odds ratio and what they're
- 5 citing for the risk ratio.
- 6 A. Yeah. I'm aware of that. Yeah. And I
- 7 think odds ratio estimate is more appropriate when
- 8 that -- when the -- when you are pooling case
- 9 control studies and cohort studies. I mean, I don't
- 10 -- risk ratios are not appropriate -- not -- not
- 11 appropriate.
- But odds ratios are more appropriate for
- 13 case control studies. And I'm -- I would have to go
- 14 back and look at those four citations because Taher,
- 15 I know, reports on odds ratios. Penninkilampi also
- 16 reports on odds ratio. Let's see. You know, let's
- 17 bring out the other two. You said Berge. And what
- 18 else was it?
- MS. PARFITT: Huncharek.
- 20 Q. We're not going to do it for the sake of
- 21 time.
- 22 A. No. But you're right.
- 23 Q. I'm going to ask why you chose to include
- 24 the odds ratio but not the risk ratio in your expert
- 25 report.

- Page 223
- 1 A. Odds ratio is much more relevant for rare
- 2 outcome. I don't see any difference between the
- 3 two. I mean, it's still, you know, 1.25, still
- 4 significant. The estimates are -- I squared is
- 5 38.1, still not a concern when you think about
- 6 statistical heterogeneity.
- 7 So it doesn't make -- you know, both are
- 8 similar. I don't know how they would even estimate
- 9 risk ratios. Maybe those studies had. But then
- 10 what is -- because lot of, you know -- most of them
- 11 are case control studies. So they are using odds
- 12 ratios.
- 13 Q. So would you agree that all four of these
- 14 studies -- Penninkilampi, Berge, Huncharek, and
- 15 Taher -- were included individually either in your
- 16 2018 or 2023 report?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. So what, if anything, does the Tanha
- 19 study add to what those studies already provided?
- 20 A. I'm just updating my review. I'm adding,
- 21 you know, whatever other people have evaluated.
- 22 It's a different study design. It's an umbrella
- 23 review. So they have looked at other reviews.
- So, again, this is not -- this is not sort
- 25 of driving my -- as you asked, you know, that, okay,

- Page 224
- 1 you know, is this -- how would we know which studies
- 2 you're basing your opinion on. This is one review.
- 3 I found it and reported it.
  - 4 Q. This is not -- this Tanha article is not
- 5 just about tale; right?
- 6 A. Yeah. There's other risk factors, multiple
- 7 -- in fact, talc is a small portion.
- 8 Q. Right. So can we look at the first
- 9 paragraph of the "discussion" section on page 10?
- 10 A. Page?
- 11 Q. 10. Can you just review that paragraph?
- 12 And then we'll break it down sentence by sentence,
- 13 but can you take a moment to read all of it first.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. So the first thing they say is -- well,
- 16 they say "As regards nutritional factors, intake of
- 17 coffee, egg, and fat can significantly enhance the
- 18 risk of OC." Do you see that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And then they say "Estrogen and
- 21 progesterone therapies are also associated with
- 22 elevated risk of OC. Several diseases, as well as
- 23 some genetic polymorphisms, can significantly
- 24 increase the risk of OC. And other factors, like
- 25 obesity, overweight, smoking, and the use of
- Page 225
- 1 perineal talc, are also accompanied by an increased
- 2 risk of OC."
- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 Q. Do you see that, with regards to coffee,
- 5 eggs, and fat, they say "can significantly enhance
- 6 the risk," and with regards to "obesity, overweight,
- 7 smoking, and talc," they say "are also accompanied
- 8 by an increased risk"?
- 9 MS. PARFITT: You're referring now to
- 10 the very last sentence.
- 11 MR. MARTIN: Yes. Correct.
- 12 A. Yeah. Yeah. I did not disentangled
- 13 coffee, egg, or fat and get into the minutia of, you
- 14 know, significantly or not. I mean --
- 15 Q. I'm sorry. I didn't want to cut you off.
- 16 A. Yeah. I just want to say that, you know,
- 17 this was an umbrella review relevant to the
- 18 question. Was just cited. As you can see, I
- 19 devoted two lines to it.
- Q. So you don't have an opinion on whether
- 21 there's a difference in meaning between
- 22 "significantly enhanced the risk" and "accompanied
- 23 by an increased risk."
- 24 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 25 A. First of all, you'd have to do a causal

Page 226

- 1 analysis of coffee, ovarian cancer. I don't -- then
- 2 I would be worried about it. I'm drinking a lot of
- 3 coffee. So, I mean, that's a different, you know --
- 4 you'd have to look at it. I don't know what the
- 5 results on coffee are.
- Q. Well, that was my next question. You don't
- 7 have an opinion on eggs, coffee, or fat, do you?
- A. No. Obesity, yes, but not on eggs, coffee,
- 9 and fat.
- 10 Q. And your opinion is obesity can increase
- 11 the risk of ovarian cancer.
- 12 A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. Let's look at page 15 of this study, final
- 14 paragraph before the conclusion, so the second
- 15 column, "The ovarian carcinogenesis mechanism of
- 16 perineal talc use has remained unclear." Do you
- 17 agree with that statement?
- A. No. No.
- 19 Q. No. And why don't you agree with that
- 20 statement?
- 21 A. I mean, I think the evidence for that is
- 22 becoming much more, you know -- is as solid as
- 23 Health Canada says, and Dr. O'Brien states that in,
- 24 you know, in one of her articles, that there's, you
- 25 know -- talc is an insoluble particle and has --

Page 227

- 1 there's evidence of retrograde migration of talc.
- 2 And in animal studies, when applied, it can
- 3 cause epithelial reaction and trigger a chronic
- 4 inflammation which can lead to a series of mutagenic
- 5 changes and lead to carcinogenesis, which is further
- 6 aggravated if it contains asbestos.
- 7 Q. So you mentioned Health Canada. Let's take
- 8 a look at that.
- A. Which number is that?
- 10 Q. I don't think we introduced it yet. I have
- 11 a marked-up version of Health Canada. Where is
- 12 that?
- 13 MS. PARFITT: Hold on.
- (April 2021 Health Canada document, 14
- 15 Exhibit 25, marked)
- Q. I gave you a version. Are you looking for
- 17 yours with notes?
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. So because we were talking about
- 20 plausibility and migration, I want to turn to
- 21 page 19 of the Health Canada report.
- In fact, you do, in your report, as well as
- 23 in your testimony here, rely on Health Canada for
- 24 the idea of retrograde migration; right?
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 228

- Q. Okay. Want to look at what Health Canada
- 2 says specifically. Second to last -- well, first
- 3 full paragraph on page 19, second-to-last sentence,
- 4 "Studies specifically assessing potential movement 5 of talc particles through the human body were not
- 6 identified in the literature."
- 7 A. Where is this?
- 8 Q. Second-to-last sentence.
- 9 A. Page 19?
- 10 Q. Page 19, yes.
  - MS. PARFITT: Wait until you find it.
- A. "Translocation," blah, blah, blah. Where 12
- 13 is that?

11

- 14 Q. It's about two thirds of the way through
- 15 the first full paragraph, "Studies specifically
- 16 assessing."
- 17 A. Yeah. Yeah. Got it.
- 18 Q. "Studies specifically assessing potential
- 19 movement of talc particles through the human body
- 20 were not identified in the literature." Are you
- 21 aware of any such studies that assess the movement
- 22 of talc particles through the human body?
- A. Yes. Indirectly. I mean, and I note in 23
- 24 the, you know -- in my section on -- I mean, that --
- 25 the fact that talc has been found in ovaries, talc

1 has been found in lymph nodes -- I mean, when you

- 2 say "study specifically is assessing," if you're
- 3 talking about study putting a peroneal talc and
- 4 going up the, you know, vagina, cervix, uterus, no,
- 5 there is no study like that in humans.
- But there are studies which find talc in
- 7 ovarian tissues, talc in -- which provide indirect
- 8 evidence of retrograde migration of talc. And the
- 9 FDA concludes that they -- the findings that
- 10 potential particles do migrate is indisputable.
- 11 It's not just me. It's FDA, other researchers as
- 12 well, Dr. O'Brien as well.
- Q. Well, you'd agree that there are animal
- 14 studies in which talc has not migrated to the
- 15 ovaries; correct?
- A. Yeah. 16
- 17 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 18 Q. Well, let's look specifically at paragraph
- 19 -- the following paragraph on page 19. You'd agree
- 20 that the Henderson study cited here introduced talc
- 21 into the cervical canal and did not find it in the
- 22 ovaries of rats; correct?
- 23 A. Which Henderson?
- 24 MS. PARFITT: '77, '79?
- 25 Q. Sorry. 1986. It's the one that's

58 (Pages 226 - 229)

	D 000		D 000
1	Page 230	1	Page 232
	discussed in Paragraph 19.		paper; right?
2	A. Give me a second. This is an animal study,	2	MS. PARFITT: Objection.
	but it says talc particles were detected in ovaries	3	A. How do I know
	of all rats that received intrauterine installation	4	MS. PARFITT: Form.
	as well as rats that received intravaginal treatment	5	A if that is even correct? Like, that's
	I mean, all of this was discussed in biologic		not my, you know, determination. I'm looking at the
	plausibility last time. And I'm happy to discuss		paper disclosures. That's as much as I get into it.
	it, but it seems like	8	Q. Would you agree it's not disclosed in the
9	Q. The only thing I want to establish, that		paper?
	you'd agree there are animal studies going both ways	10	MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates the
	on this issue.	11	evidence in the case.
12	A. Yes.	12	A. If it occurred, it could have. I don't
13	MS. PARFITT: Objection. Asked and	13	know if it occurred; right? I have not looked at
14	answered.	14	her report or previous meta-analysis. I'm just
15	Q. Just wanted to address that very briefly.	15	looking at this published peer-reviewed manuscript,
16	We may return to Health Canada later today, but I	16	her disclosure, and her analysis.
17	just wanted to address the issue while we were on	17	Q. You agree it doesn't say it in this
18	it. Now I want to turn to Woolen 2022.	18	publication.
19	MS. PARFITT: Going to Woolen.	19	MS. PARFITT: Objection. Asked and
20	MR. MARTIN: Can I introduce both Woolen	20	answered.
21	as Exhibit 26 and a supplemental table to that as	21	A. No, it doesn't.
22	Exhibit 27?	22	MS. PARFITT: He already answered the
23	(2022 article by Sean A. Woolen, et al.,	23	question. Move on.
	Exhibit 26, marked)	24	THE WITNESS: Move on.
25	(Supplementary table, Exhibit 27,	25	Q. You've never read Dr. Smith-Bindman's
1	Page 231 marked)	1	Page 233 litigation reports?
2	Q. So you discussed Woolen in Paragraph 1 on	2	A. No.
	page 5, the first sentence of that page of your	3	Q. You never talked to her?
3		4	A. I don't know her.
	report, your 2023 report. "Woolen, et al. conducted	5	
	a systematic review and meta-analysis of case control and cohort studies and examined the	-	Q. Okay. If this article grew out of
			litigation work, would that affect your view of its
	relationship between frequent perineal exposure to	_	reliability?
_	talcum powder, defined as multiple applications	8	MS. PARFITT: Objection.
9	greater than or equal to two times per week, and the	9	A. I've already evaluated that. And the
	risk of ovarian cancer."		disclosure is a complete. We know that she's,
11	Let's look at this study. Let's look first		you know, an expert. So, as this question has been
	at the list of authors of this study. Woolen,		answered several times, examine the methodology,
	Lazar, and Rebecca Smith-Bindman. Do you know that	13	8 8
	Dr. Smith-Bindman is an expert for plaintiffs in		for the same studies for, you know, Lynch and other
15	this litigation?		interpretations and others.
16	A. That has been disclosed, yes.	16	So it's not just you you know it's
17	Q. Yes. And it is, in fact, listed in the	17	
18	conflict of interest at the end. Are you aware that		they're disclosed, it makes it easier for the reader
19	•	19	to interpret the studies and understand. That's
20	performed for this litigation?	20	3 3
21	MS. PARFITT: Objection.	21	"grew out of litigation."
22	A. I have no knowledge	22	Q. We don't have time to go through and
23	MS. PARFITT: Misstates the evidence.	22	compare this to Dr. Smith-Bindman's report. But
	MS. I ART III. Misstates the evidence.	23	compare this to Dr. Simin Dindman's report. Dut
24	A of that meta-analysis.		let's look at the first line of the "background"

25 section here. Let's look at the full "background"

Q. You agree that that's not mentioned in this

4 A. In the abstract.5 Q. In the abstract.

6 A. Okay.

7 Q. Okay. "Risk of ovarian cancer in women

8 with frequent perineal talcum powder product is not

9 well understood." Do you agree with that as a

10 starting point?

11 MS. PARFITT: Objection.

12 A. I mean, that is her context. There are

13 studies prior to her that suggest that, you know --

14 increased risk with frequent use, some. So I think

15 the question is, like, you know -- I think it's a

16 context to begin a review.

17 Q. Okay. And then the final sentence of the

18 "background," "The purpose of this study is to

19 estimate the association between frequent -- at

20 least two times per week -- perineal talcum powder

21 use and ovarian cancer." Do you see that?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Okay. Would you agree with me generally

24 that the reliability of a meta-analysis is

25 contingent on the selection of studies that it

Page 236

1 contacted the authors -- actually went a step ahead

2 -- and got the data to conduct their analysis.

3 Q. I was trying to avoid a compound question.

4 But the second question was they did include some of

5 the data from O'Brien's study that came out of the

6 Nurses' Health Study.

7 MS. PARFITT: Objection to the

8 characterization of O'Brien.

9 A. They included the data that was relevant to

10 the question at hand.

11 Q. Which was some of the data reported in

12 O'Brien.

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Okay. Can we look at page 2529, Table 1?

15 A. Table 1, yeah.

16 Q. Okay. What are they doing in this table?

17 A. They're providing an assessment of --

18 Table 1; right?

19 Q. Yes.

20 A. Quality assessment. Yeah.

21 Q. Okay. Using the Newcastle-Ottawa scale?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Is the Newcastle-Ottawa scale objective or

24 subjective?

25 A. I mean, any assessment is, you know -- is

Page 235

Page 234

1 includes?

A. Why a meta-analysis? A pooled analysis

3 like O'Brien's and any study is dependent on the

4 data they decided to include, the analysis they set 5 up, what was the primary hypothesis. So it's not

6 really either -- Woolen and it's Penninkilampi and

7 everybody.

8 Q. The reason why a meta-analysis is because

9 we happen to be looking at one right now. So you

10 would agree it's true of meta-analyses, as well as

11 other things.

MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.

13 A. Yes

14 Q. Can we look at page 2527, the first full

15 paragraph on the second column, "The four

16 prospective."

17 A. Yeah.

18 Q. Can you just review that paragraph quickly?

19 Then I'll ask you some questions.

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. So I think you may have noted this in your

22 report, but they did not include the pooled analysis

23 in O'Brien. But they did -- correct?

A. Yeah. So they had an etiologic question

25 about, you know, frequent use. And so they

Page 237 1 susceptible to interpretation. But here, too,

2 they've included studies that are, you know, low

3 quality, medium quality, high quality. So

4 obviously, anything is subject to interpretation.

5 And we can go through the questions and say

6 -- for example, the question on the Newcastle --

7 I'll give you an example why it is subject to

8 interpretation -- is adjusted for most reasonable

9 confounder. So I could say "Well, age or parity."

10 And someone else would say "No. I want age, parity,

11 or oral contraceptive." So that's subject to

12 interpretation.

13 Q. Dr. Singh, I'd appreciate it. I'm just

14 running short on time. I appreciate if you focus on

15 the question asked.

What study received the highest

17 Newcastle-Ottawa score here?

18 A. O'Brien.

19 Q. Okay. Do you agree with the assessment of

20 giving O'Brien a higher quality score than the case

21 control studies?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Okay.

A. And it also provides a significantly

25 increased risk. Independent of the whole analysis,

60 (Pages 234 - 237)

- 1 it reports a 40 percent risk for these.
- Q. Again, we'll get to that. Again, I'd
- 3 appreciate if you just answer the questions.
- The -- can you look back at the Taher
- 5 article that we talked about earlier, Taher 2019?
- A. What's the number?
- 7 MS. PARFITT: It's number 24.
- 8 Q. When you get there, can you look at
- 9 Table 1, which spans page 89 and 90?
- 10 A. Table 2. Yeah.
- 11 Q. Table 1 on page 90.
- 12 A. Yeah.
- 13 Q. Whittemore -- well, first of all, this
- 14 table also evaluated studies using NOS. That stands
- 15 for Newcastle-Ottawa score?
- 16 A. Sure.
- 17 Q. Look at Whittemore, which is maybe a third
- 18 of the way down on page 90. What's the score there,
- 19 the Newcastle-Ottawa score they give it?
- 20 A. 4.
- 21 Q. Let's flip back to the Woolen article. You
- 22 can see they also GRADE the Whittemore article. Can
- 23 you see what they gave it there?
- 24 A. 7. Yeah.
- 25 Q. Okay. Do you know why it was given such a

- Page 240
- 1 quality studies, which they've done. So yes, rating 2 you can have -- I don't know if, you know, if I went
- 3 through what Taher did, I don't know if I would
- 4 exactly replicate their ratings. It may be 1 point
- 5 above or 1 point below.
- Q. That was my next question. Have you
- 7 attempted to grade the qualities of the studies on
- 8 which you rely using the Newcastle-Ottawa scale?
- MS. PARFITT: Objection. That question
- 10 was asked several hours ago, quantitative --
- A. No. I did not do a Newcastle qualitative
- 12 because several of -- for example, if you go to
- 13 Penninkilampi, they graded all the studies are high
- 14 quality. So they didn't exclude any studies.
- 15 Q. Let's move to Table 2.
- 16 A. Of Taher --
- Q. Of Woolen. You can put Taher away. We can 17
- 18 do that exercise for several more studies but --
- A. This is done?
- 20 Q. Yes. We're done with that. Table 2,
- 21 Footnote 5.
- 22 A. Yeah.
- 23 Q. So you see that Dr. O'Brien provided
- 24 additional data that are included only in the
- 25 supplementary tables; right?

Page 239

- 1 higher rating in Woolen than the Taher article?
- 2 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 3 A. I mean, they provide the reasons, you know,
- 4 selection, comparatively, and outcome -- exposure;
- 5 right? And that adds up to 7.
- Q. Okay. Can you look at Wu, the Newcastle-
- 7 Ottawa score in that one in Woolen?
- A. Yes.
- 9 Q. It's an 8?
- 10 A. Yeah.
- 11 Q. Can we flip back to Taher and --
- 12 MS. PARFITT: Again, the page?
- 13 MR. MARTIN: Page 90, again.
- 14 Q. What did they give that same article?
- 15 A. 7.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. So, I mean, those ratings, as we discussed
- 18 -- I was trying to explain -- and more important is
- 19 the analysis, which excluded the low-quality
- 20 studies, particularly Booth. And then even after
- 21 they excluded Whittemore, their estimates did not
- 22 change.
- 23 So I think this issue of rating is
- 24 important. But perhaps even more important is
- 25 addressing what happens when you exclude the low-

- MS. PARFITT: Let's read what it says. 1
- 2 Let's read it into the record.
- Q. "O'Brien did not publish on daily exposure
- 4 for the National Health study participants.
- 5 However, these data were available, and O'Brien
- 6 provided these data for inclusion. The entirety of
- 7 these data were provided and are shared in the
- 8 supplementary table. We include data on women with
- 9 intact fallopian tubes to harmonize with other
- 10 publications."
- 11 MS. PARFITT: Thank you.
- 12 Q. Can we look at Supplemental Table 1, which
- 13 is in the next exhibit?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. So you can see here that, as the footnote
- 16 suggested, there are data for all women and data for
- 17 women with patent fallopian tubes; right?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. Okay. In both cases, they're broken down
- 20 into nonusers, less frequent users, and daily users;
- 21 right?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- 23 Q. And so Woolen could have used the "daily
- 24 user" category among all women, which would have had
- 25 somewhere around 1200 ovarian cancer cases; right?

Page 242

1 MS. PARFITT: Objection to form.

- 2 A. Where is that number?
- 3 Q. I'm adding 706 plus 302 plus 216.
- 4 A. Yeah.
- 5 Q. Instead, they used, as we discussed, the
- 6 women with patent fallopian tubes; right?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. Okay. And both -- looking at daily users,
- 9 you'd agree that the both crude and adjusted hazard
- 10 ratios for daily users are higher among women with
- 11 patent fallopian tubes; right?
- 12 A. That is what O'Brien reported.
- 13 Q. Okay. And so by using the women with
- 14 patent fallop -- by using data only from women with
- 15 patent fallopian tubes, Woolen used a number that
- 16 was a higher risk ratio; right?
- 17 MS. PARFITT: Objection to the form.
- 18 Misstates what Woolen did.
- A. Yeah. I mean, they selected what, you
- 20 know -- based on the question about frequency, they
- 21 selected one of the ratios that they felt was
- 22 etiologically relevant -- not felt, but --
- 23 Q. They selected the higher of the two
- 24 available odds ratios.
- 25 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates.

- Page 244
- Q. We don't have time to read all the studies. 2 Let's just strike that question.
- 3 A. I didn't really understand that.
- 4 Q. Okay. It was not meant to be critical. I
- 5 was just trying to move on.
- A. I tried to understand it too.
- 7 Q. So turning back to the Footnote No. 5 in
- 8 Woolen that we read into the record a moment ago.
- 9 "We include data on women with intact fallopian
- 10 tubes to harmonize with other publications."
- 11 Can we look at the "methodology" section of
- 12 the abstract? And can you point me anywhere that
- 13 references patency in the inclusion criteria?
- MS. PARFITT: And you're limiting him 14
- 15 just to the abstract? There's a "methods" section
- 16 on the next page as well.
- 17 A. Give me one second. Let me just read it.
- 18 Yeah. So, I mean, to answer your question, you'd
- 19 have to go and look at the methods and publication
- 20 of primary data reporting on multiple times -- I'm
- 21 at "eligibility criteria and study selection,"
- 22 second paragraph.
- 23 Q. Yes.
- 24 A. So their criteria were --
- 25 MS. PARFITT: Go slowly. She's having a

Page 243

- A. That was what was available. 1
- 2 Q. So the answer is yes?
- 3 MS. PARFITT: Objection.
- 4 A. They selected the data that was 5 etiologically relevant to the question at hand.
- Q. It is the higher of the two odds ratios;
- 7 correct?
- 8 MS. PARFITT: Objection. He's answered
- 9 the question. Please.
- 10 Q. Let's look back at that table.
- 11 A. Same table?
- 12 O. Same table.
- 13 A. Yeah.
- 14 Q. Let's look at less frequent users, hazard
- 15 ratio for all women of 0.96 and a hazard ratio for
- 16 patent tubes of 1.04. Do you see that? "Less
- 17 frequent users"?
- 18 A. Okay. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. You'd agree that, if the next
- 20 category up was "daily users," some women in the
- 21 "less frequent users" category would have met the

A. I don't -- I would have to go back and look

- 22 greater-than-twice-a-week inclusion criteria?
- 23 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 25 at how they define this. Give me a second.

- 1 hard time.
  - A. "Selection criteria included publication of
  - 3 primary data, reporting on multiple times per week
  - 4 -- greater than two times per week -- perineal
  - 5 exposure to talcum powder, including direct
  - 6 application to the perineum, application to
  - 7 underwear or sanitary napkins or on birth control
  - 8 devices like diaphragms, at risk for malignancy.
  - "And studies were also selected for
  - 10 baseline quality, requiring a multi-value risk
  - 11 adjustment, study-size cancers, and defined
  - 12 researched methods."
  - Q. Is there anything in what you just said
  - 14 that references patency or tubal ligation?
  - A. But -- yeah. I mean, it doesn't explicitly 15
  - 16 mention that. But multi-value risk adjustment, you
  - 17 know -- it doesn't even explicitly mention parity.
  - 18 Doesn't mention oral contraception.
  - When we do meta-analysis -- and I've done
  - 20 65 of them -- you have to explicate your inclusion,
  - 21 exclusion. All studies do not have the same
  - 22 confounders they control for. So their etiologic
  - 23 question was more than twice a week. And then once
  - 24 they found that data, they have to choose.
  - 25 Penninkilampi excluded many studies that,

62 (Pages 242 - 245)

24

- 1 you know, didn't meet the criteria or had different
- 2 ratios of adjustment. We wouldn't exclude
- 3 Penninkilampi just because all the studies did not
- 4 adjust for the same confounder.
- 5 Q. I'm asking what I think is a simple
- 6 question. Do you see anything in the inclusion
- 7 criteria related to patency or tubal ligation?
- 8 MS. PARFITT: Objection. He's answered
- 9 the question.
- 10 A. I see it because I see multi-value
- 11 adjustment as not explicitly but implicitly looking
- 12 at the risk factors and -- which includes patency
- 13 and hysterectomy.
- 14 Q. So do you know if the other studies other
- 15 than O'Brien that are included in this meta-analysis
- 16 were limited to women with intact genital tracts?
- 17 A. Let's discuss the studies. I went and
- 18 reviewed that.
- 19 Q. Okay. We don't have time --
- 20 MS. PARFITT: Let him -- you asked a
- 21 question. Let him --
- 22 A. I'll tell you which ones did, which ones
- 23 didn't. This goes to the -- again, the science is
- 24 evolving. Some of the newer studies -- for example,
- 25 Schildkraut did assess for patency. Cramer reported
  - Page 247
- 1 data by patency. Some of the older studies did not.
- 2 So, first of all, they have to find the
- 3 etiologically relevant exposure category. Then if
- 4 that study reported data adjusting for patency, they
- 5 harmonize. It they can't harmonize based on
- 6 patency, the primary question is exposure category.
- 7 Q. So, again, the answer to the question is
- 8 that no, not all of the case control designs were
- 9 limited to women with patent reproductive tracts?
- 10 MS. PARFITT: Objection.
- 11 A. Yeah. That is correct. Some studies did
- 12 not adjust for it, especially the earlier studies
- 13 did not. But even excluding those earlier, low-
- 14 quality studies, the ratios are similar.
- 15 Q. Again, the answer was yes?
- 16 A. Yes. But because the -- that is typical of
- 17 a meta-analysis; not all studies will have the same
- 18 multi-value adjustment.
- 19 Q. One of the studies they look at is Wu;
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. And this study is also individually
- 23 on your reliance list; right?
- 24 A. I think I discussed this last time, but I
- 25 probably may have -- I mean, we can look at it, if

- 1 you have specific questions.
- Q. I appreciate that, and the reason I'm doing
- 3 that is --
- 4 MR. MARTIN: Can we go off the record
- 5 again?
- 6 (A break was taken)
- 7 MR. MARTIN: All right. Before the
- 8 break, we were talking about Woolen and talking
- 9 about -- one of the studies that is included in the
- 10 Woolen meta-analysis is a 2008 study by Wu, et al.
- 11 So I'd like to mark that as the next exhibit.
- 12 (2008 article by Anna H. Wu, et al.,
- 13 Exhibit 28, marked)
- 14 Q. Let's look at Table 2, which is on
- 15 page 1411.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And you can see that frequency and duration
- 18 of talc use is broken down into several categories.
- 19 A. Sure.
- 20 Q. The first is -- well, the first is less
- 21 than 20 years -- excuse me -- less than or equal to
- 22 20 years and less than or equal to 10 times a month.
- 23 We'd all agree that that does not qualify for the
- 24 selection criteria in Woolen; right?
- 25 A. That is correct.

- Page 249
- 1 Q. Okay. The next one is less than or equal
- 2 to 20 years and between 10 and 30 times a month.
- 3 A. So it would be twice a week and --
- 4 Q. Does that qualify for the selection
- 5 criteria in Woolen?
- 6 A. It's one of the estimates that qualify.
- 7 There are others.
- 8 Q. Let's go to the -- what is the RR for that
- 9 one?
- 10 A. 16 -- 1.16 and 0.63 and 2.12.
- 11 Q. So there are others. Let's go to the next
- 12 one, less than 20 years and greater than 30 times a
- 13 month. That qualifies as well; right?
- 14 A. That is correct.
- 15 Q. And next one doesn't qualify -- right --
- 16 greater than 20 years but less than or equal to 10
- 17 times a month?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. Greater than 20 years and between 10 and 30
- 20 times a month?
- 21 A. That is correct.
- Q. Does that qualify?
- A. Which one? Second-to-last; right?
- Q. Second to last.
- 25 A. Greater than 20 years, greater than ten --

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217339

Page 250

- 1 yes. If it is greater than 10, yes.
- Q. What's the risk ratio for that one?
- 3 A. 1.57, .99 to 2.5.
- 4 Q. In the final category here, greater than 20
- 5 years and greater than 30 times a month, that one
- 6 qualifies?
- 7 A. That is correct.
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. And that is the one they selected.
- 10 Q. You've preempted several of my questions,
- 11 but yes. The -- and that is the only one in which
- 12 the confidence interval does not cross 1 of the
- 13 various categories here. Do you agree with that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. And that is the only one that Woolen
- 16 selected; correct?
- 17 A. Yeah. And again, you know, this goes back
- 18 to the question. What is the question at hand?
- 19 Because meta-analysis -- Woolen, me, others,
- 20 Penninkilampi -- we have to make analytic choices.
- 21 When they say twice, you know -- more than twice a
- 22 week, that is a -- they are looking at any -- my
- 23 understanding is they are looking at any etiologic
- 24 relevant human exposure levels. So that's one.
- 25 The second point is -- and I'll -- let me
- ic.
- Page 251
- 1 explain this. So, yes, these are eligible. They
- 2 select one. The second is, even, you know, if you
- 3 look at their meta-analysis forest plot, you will
- 4 see that the boxes for Woolen are -- the confidence
- 5 intervals are Y. It's a very small black box. The
- 6 biggest boxes are for NHS 2020 and Cramer.
- 7 So what -- again, that goes to the issue:
- 8 What is driving the estimates? It's Cramer and
- 9 O'Brien. So if -- even if they had selected, you
- 10 know -- say they selected a category, 1.57, this
- 11 would have only a minimal influence on their
- 12 meta-analytic estimate. And they further went ahead
- 13 and excluded Wu -- Wu, which they note in their --
- 14 you know, in the results, when excluding Wu, et al.,
- 15 which combined perineal administration of talc with
- 16 other methods, the summary pool ratio was 1.44, 95
- 17 percent CI, 29 -- 1.29 to 1.6.
- 18 So what this means is all analysts have to
- 19 make a choice. When I do a meta-analysis, I'm
- 20 comparing X drug to B. Sometimes there will be two
- 21 arms. I have to make a choice. And they made --
- 22 you're right. They selected the highest dose. But
- 23 that was etiologically relevant to human exposure.
- 24 And Wu is not contributing significant weight to
- 25 this analysis. Even if they chose -- went down, it

- 1 still will only be slightly attenuated.
  - Q. Let's unpack that a little bit. So first
  - 3 of all, you'd agree with me they could have included
  - 4 all four estimates that met their inclusion
  - 5 criteria?
  - 6 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Misstates the
  - 7 testimony.
  - 8 A. How could they have included all four? I
  - 9 don't understand. Just because there are four
  - 10 categories? Is that the understanding?
  - 11 Q. Well, each of those categories represents a
  - 12 different set of women; correct?
  - 13 A. No.
  - 14 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
  - 15 A. You cannot -- I'll explain why. Let me
  - 16 explain. Yeah. I mean, if they wanted, they could
  - 17 have, you know, done analysis by each category. But
  - 18 you never -- you would never include, like, Wu A, B,
  - 19 C, D, four different categories in one analysis
  - 20 because there is correlation, you know. All these
  - 21 studies are -- data are coming from the same
  - 22 analysis. You can't pool them together.
  - 23 You have to select -- so they could have
  - 24 done -- and we've talked that -- say 1.57 is
  - 25 relevant. They could have done that. But my answer

- 1 is that it wouldn't have -- they couldn't have
- 2 pooled all of them in that same analysis.
- 3 Q. Let's turn back to Wu -- to Table 2 in Wu.
- 4 A. Sure.
- 5 Q. And let's take, for example, the two
- 6 qualifying subsets for greater than 20 years.
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. You see that? So the first one has 51
- 9 cases, 43 controls. Second one has 67 cases and 45
- 10 controls. They couldn't have pooled those two
- 11 numbers together and done 117 cases and 98 controls?
- 12 A. No. The reason is -- if you go to the
- 13 footnote -- one adjusted for race, ethnic -- so
- 14 these are the hazard ratios or adjusted hazard
- 15 ratios.
- So, you know, within a study, if -- you try
- 17 to pool, you know, your sort of correlated data.
- 18 Across studies, you can pool from -- but within that
- 19 study what could have, you know -- one approach
- 20 would have been look at different categories of
- 21 exposure, you know, in the main meta-analysis, not
- 22 pool these two categories together in that main
- 23 meta-analysis.
- 24 Q. They could have asked Dr. Wu for the
- 25 underlying data.

- 1 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 2 A. I don't -- yeah.
- 3 Q. Could they have asked Dr. Wu for the
- 4 underlying data?
- 5 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 6 A. I mean, I don't know if that was the
- 7 approach they took. They were approaching it one
- 8 study. They want to look at one exposure category.
- 9 I don't think they were trying to disaggregate
- 10 exposure categories.
- 11 Q. Well, they did ask Dr. O'Brien for her
- 12 underlying data.
- 13 A. Yeah. Because -- they asked her because
- 14 she did not report it. Did not report it by that
- 15 exposure category. Here, they already reported.
- 16 They chose one. If they had gone one step further
- 17 and said "I'm not sure that Dr. Wu would have" --
- 18 they would have to give primary data. Then each of
- 19 them would have to be adjusted to be included in
- 20 that analysis. But looking at this table, you could
- 21 not include that.

7

22 Q. Let's -- okay.

A. That is correct.

10 is the size of the black box?

- A. No. I do this for a living, I mean.
- Q. Let's look back at the -- let's look back
- 25 at the Woolen forest plot. And when you mentioned

1 the forest plot, you mean Figure 2 on page 2530?

Q. Okay. And just so I understand your

4 opinion -- your basis for saying that Cramer and

5 O'Brien are the most important studies -- no. I'm

MS. PARFITT: Objection.

Q. Let me -- for saying that Cramer and

A. No. Yeah. That is because that is a

13 meta-analysis, but it's not only that. You can get

18 know, confidence interval as reflected in the, you

21 difference. If you look at O'Brien, it's 1.68 minus

24 ones that have wider confidence intervals are

25 contributing less weight, even though this is, as I

19 know, small box as well as -- but if you look at

For example, if you look at Wu, you're 17 talking about 1.34 to 3.23. So that's a wide, you

20 Cramer, you see it's 78 minus 20. That would be .58

So that's how weighting occurs. So the

14 even more precise because you can look at the

12 reflection of the underlying weight of the

15 difference in the confidence interval.

22 17. So it's a little more than that.

9 O'Brien contribute the most to the pooled estimate

- Page 256
  1 understand, a random meta-analysis. So the weight
- 2 is being driven by these larger studies with precise
- 3 confidence intervals. I would have liked to see
- 4 weights. You know, when I do the meta-analysis, I
- 5 report weights.
- 6 Q. And by "weights," you mean a quantitative 7 assignment to each study?
- 8 A. Exactly. How much weight was this in the
- 9 analysis.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. But I can tell you, looking at it, doing
- 12 this all the time, that that's what it is.
- 13 Q. Can we turn back to the PDQ?
- 14 A. Sure.
- 15 Q. It is Exhibit 7, just for your reference.
- 16 A. I got to find it now.
- 17 Q. Unsurprisingly, I'm going to ask you to
- 18 turn to the perineal talc exposure section of the
- 19 PDQ.
- 20 A. Let me find it. I'm going to find it.
- 21 What is it? 7?
- 22 Q. Exhibit 7.
- A. Got it. What is the page number for that?
- Q. It is not paginated.
- 25 A. Okay.

Page 257

- 1 Q. It's towards the end.
  - 2 A. Endometriosis -- hormonal replacement
  - 3 therapy, blah, blah, blah. Where is talc?
  - 4 Q. Two pages behind that.
  - 5 A. Got it.
  - 6 Q. Okay. Thank you. Can we look at the
  - 7 second paragraph of perineal talc exposure and
  - 8 particularly the sentence beginning "A meta-analysis
  - 9 of ten case control studies"?
  - 10 A. Yes.
  - 11 Q. Okay. "A meta-analysis of 10 case control
  - 12 studies and a highly selected subset analysis of one
  - 13 prospective cohort study found an association -- OR
  - 14 1.47, 95 percent confidence interval, 1.31 to 1.65
  - 15 -- among women who used perineal talc at least twice
  - 16 a week." And you see that the -- that is to
  - 17 Citation 10, which is the Woolen study; right?
  - 18 A. That is correct.
  - 19 Q. Okay. And you understand the highly
- 20 selected subset analysis of one prospective cohort
- 21 study to refer to the subset of O'Brien they used?
- A. That is correct.
- 23 Q. Okay. Next sentence, "The subset analysis
- 24 of the prospective study was inconsistent with the
- 25 main finding of the initial report." Do you agree

65 (Pages 254 - 257)

Page 258

- 1 with that statement?
- 2 A. No. And let me explain why. So, you know,
- 3 the first statement is just statement of results and
- 4 includes -- talks about O'Brien.
- 5 The second statement is "The subset was
- 6 inconsistent with the main findings of the original
- 7 report." Well, the subset analysis of the
- 8 prospective study was the only one which actually
- 9 provided data on frequency by more than two times
- 10 per week. The original analysis provided data --
- 11 they define "frequency" as weekly. So you can't
- 12 compare twice a week versus weekly.
- So, you know, there's, like, apples to
- 14 oranges. O'Brien did not provide this data at the
- 15 time of the publication. So this is a different set
- 16 of data. So what are they comparing? When they say 16
- 17 "The subset analysis of this prospective study was
- 18 inconsistent with the main" -- I mean, this whole --
- 19 this issue is about frequency. And the frequency as
- 20 defined here is twice a week, which was not the
- 21 analysis in O'Brien.
- Q. So let me ask two questions to follow up on
- 23 that. You said in your answer, I believe, that the
- 24 subset used in the Woolen meta-analysis was the only 24
- 25 one that satisfied the frequency inclusion criteria.
  - Page 259
  - A. Two of them. Sister did. And Sister
- 2 had -- you know, they provided that Sister had,
- 3 like, what, two cases.
- 4 Q. I'm sorry. Yes. That's true. But -- that
- 5 is true. But in addition, Dr. O'Brien provided the
- 6 all-women data that also met the inclusion criteria;
- 7 right?

1

- 8 A. Which one? Where did you see that?
- 9 MS. PARFITT: Let's go back to that.
- 10 Q. The all-women data we talked about earlier
- 11 as opposed to the women with intact tubes data?
- 12 A. Yes. Yes. Yeah. But -- let me clarify.
- 13 I don't think that this interpretation,
- 14 Reference 11, when they're talking about -- I think
- 15 they are referring to -- they have -- I don't think
- 16 this refers to that table of the Woolen report.
- 17 I think this refers to the subset analysis
- 18 of the prospective cohort study was inconsistent
- 19 with the main findings of the original report, which
- 20 is when they go back to O'Brien.
- 21 Q. Right.
- A. But O'Brien's frequency is weekly. So how
- 23 are you going to compare?
- Q. I was simply asking a follow-up question to
- 25 something you said in your answer.

- 1 Do you know what percentage of the O'Brien
- 2 sample was ultimately included in Woolen?
- 3 A. I didn't calculate the percentage. I mean,
- 4 whatever the sample was, you know, frequency was
- 5 relevant.
- Q. Can we turn back to your report?
- 7 A. Page?
- 8 Q. 6. Final full paragraph, starting about
- 9 half to two thirds of the way through that
- 10 paragraph, "Among the five."
- 11 A. Final -- Woolen?
- 12 Q. No. It's page 6.
- 13 A. Okay. 6. Yeah. My report, new report?
- 14 Q. Of your new report?
- 15 A. Yeah. Go ahead.
  - Q. Okay. "Among the five cohort studies
- 17 included in Health Canada's review, they reported no
- 18 statistically significant associations between
- 19 genital talcum powder use and risk of epithelial
- 20 ovarian cancer. But the majority of cohort studies
- 21 also reported an elevated risk consistent with the
- 22 case control studies." By "elevated risk," do you
- 23 mean a point estimate above 1.0?
  - 4 A. That is correct.
- 25 Q. Okay. Do you consider any point estimate

- 1 above 1.0 to represent an elevated risk?
- 2 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Asked and
- 3 answered many hours ago exhaustively.
- 4 A. I think we had this discussion. Is it 1.2,
- 5 1.3? I don't have -- you know, I think I sort of
- 6 take an opinion on what the American Statistical
- 7 Association has said. It's really explained in
- 8 detail in my previous report.
- 9 Q. You're on the editorial board of two new
- 10 journals since your previous report, Frontiers in
- 11 Drug Safety and Frontiers in Primary Care and Family
- 12 Medicine.
- 13 A. That is correct.
- 14 Q. Do you know if those journals require
- 15 submissions of P values or confidence intervals?
- 16 A. All journals require.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. Submission does not mean, you know -- they
- 19 also require cautious interpretation. And so
- 20 submission of P-values is -- what does that P-value
- 21 mean? And P-values are just a statement that your
- 22 data -- the probability of obtaining data as a more
- 23 extreme when your null hypothesis is true.
- Q. Just to be clear, the answer to my question 25 is yes, they do?

Page 262

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Can we turn back to Health Canada?
- 3 A. Which one is that? 25.
- 4 MS. PARFITT: Absolutely. Right.
- 5 Q. Let's look at the biological plausibility
- 6 discussion in Health Canada. Which is on page --
- 7 MR. MARTIN: Can we go off the record
- 8 for a moment.
- 9 (A break was taken)
- 10 MR. MARTIN: Back on the record.
- Q. Do you see the final -- well, do you see
- 12 the final paragraph of that section, the second of
- 13 two, "Collectively, there is significant exposure
- 14 information lacking to permit a fulsome assessment
- 15 of biological gradient"?
- A. Yes. But in the Taher report, they already
- 17 provided the studies that provide evidence of, you
- 18 know --
- 19 Q. So do you agree --
- 20 MS. PARFITT: Wait. Wait. Please let
- 21 him finish.
- 22 Q. I apologize.
- A. That is why my weight on dose response was,
- 24 you know, was qualified. It doesn't mean there
- 25 cannot be an assessment. You have -- in fact, if

- Page 264
- A. I will provide you examples. I mean, if
- 2 you look at a tobacco litigation, that has produced
- 3 hundreds of manuscripts. If you look at the opioid
- 4 litigation, there's an archive at UCSF that has
- 5 produced at least -- I wouldn't say "hundreds."
- So, you know, I would recommend that J&J
- 7 come to agreement with -- whenever this matter is
- 8 settled to make these documents available. And I'm
- 9 happy to facilitate that. Exactly.
- 10 Q. My question is not related to
- 11 confidentiality. My question is have you in your
- 12 academic work cited litigation reports as support
- 13 for -- as scientific support?
- 14 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Different
- 15 question.
- A. Not me personally. You asked is there --16
- 17 you know, the first question prior to that -- maybe
- 18 you can read that -- the question.
- 19 Q. I agree. It's a different question. It is
- 20 a different question.
- 21 A. You asked, you know, "Are there citations
- 22 to litigation?" And I provided you many examples:
- 23 Vioxx, of, you know, tobacco, of opioids. So as
- 24 long as -- you know, again, you have to evaluate.
- 25 Both reports were considered.

Page 263

- 1 one had not performed a fulsome assessment, then I
- 2 would not -- you know, I think that report should
- 3 not -- you know, report would be improper because
- 4 you have to perform -- so there is enough data to
- 5 perform an assessment. But whether you have a
- 6 gradient or not, you have to explain.
- 7 You know, there are some studies that
- 8 provide exposure response based on how these are
- 9 measured, other studies that don't. So I think this
- 10 sentence is not incompatible with their own, you
- 11 know, report -- I mean, in the study.
- 12 Q. I'd like to move to the previous paragraph
- 13 and, in particular, draw your attention to several
- 14 of the citations there, particularly in the first
- 15 parenthetical, Ballman 2019, Diette 2019, and -- in
- 16 the last large parenthetical -- Moorman 2018,
- 17 Siemiatycki 2018, Singh 2018, Smith-Bindman 2018,
- 18 Wolf 2018. Do you know what those citations are?
- A. Yeah. They are citations to expert reports
- 20 in previous -- some of them. I mean, I don't know
- 21 if all of them are. Seems like it is.
- Q. That is correct. Are you -- is it typical
- 23 in scientific literature to cite litigation expert
- 24 reports?
- 25 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.

- Q. So can you answer the question that I 1 2 asked?
- 3 A. Me? No, I have not. No. But, you know,
- 4 in this report I have. In my report I have.
- 5 Q. Correct. But in your academic work you 6 have not.
- 7 A. No.

9

- 8 Q. Can we turn to page 17?
  - MS. PARFITT: Health Canada?
- 10 MR. MARTIN: Health Canada.
- 11 A. Okay. Health Canada. Yeah. I'm there.
- 12 Q. Second half of the page.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 O. Second sentence of the second-to-last
- 15 paragraph, "There are a number of different tumor
- 16 types with characteristic histological (verbatim)
- 17 features, distinctive molecular signatures, and
- 18 disease trajectories. Moreover, these tumors are
- 19 heterogeneous and can arise from different tissues
- 20 of the female reproductive tract, including the
- 21 fallopian tube epithelium."
- 22 Do you agree that different subtypes of
- 23 ovarian cancers have different molecular signatures?
- 24 A. Right. I'm not a molecular scientist. I
- 25 know that different -- what I know is that different

- 1 types of epithelial ovarian cancer can arise. For
- 2 example, endometrioid can arise from different;
- 3 clear-cell can arise from different; mesotheliomas
- 4 can arise from different; you know, serous ovarian
- 5 cancers can arise from different.
- 6 I don't know what they mean by "molecular
- 7 signatures." I don't really understand. That's not
- 8 my area of expertise.
- 9 Q. Can we turn to page 45 of Health Canada?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Final sentence of the first paragraph --
- 12 this is sort of where they sum things up -- "While
- 13 there may not be consensus within the scientific
- 14 community regarding the" --
- MS. PARFITT: One second.
- 16 A. Where is that? Page 45; right?
- 17 Q. Yes.

4

5

7

A. Yes.

- 18 A. Final sentence of the --
- 19 Q. First paragraph.
- MS. PARFITT: Up here.
- 21 A. Okay. Yeah. Go ahead.
- 22 Q. "While there may not be consensus within
- 23 the scientific community regarding the

3 consistent with your conclusion?

6 within the scientific community?

- 24 interpretation of the epidemiological information,
- 25 after weighing the available lines of evidence, the

1 assessment determined that the current data are

Q. Do you agree that there is not consensus

MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.

8 A. Well, that's what they, you know,9 interpreted. But after reviewing the whole body of

10 evidence, they conclude and I conclude that the

11 current data are indicative. There's, you know,

Q. Understood. I'm just trying to get youropinion on the state of scientific opinion. Do you

A. The scientific -- I don't really -- I mean,

16 believe there's a scientific consensus about these

19 you know, everybody has their opinions. I think

21 cancer? It could be talc and endometrial cancer. I

I mean, talc and -- you know, if it's

24 smoking, a lung cancer -- obviously, it's not to

25 that level. But, you know, there is enough

20 that -- why make it specific to talc and ovarian

22 think that's not relevant to causality.

12 different scientific consensus about lots of

13 different things. But that's what they state.

2 indicative of a causal effect." Is that sentence

- Page 268
- 1 established scientific consensus. I mean, you look
- 2 at the OCAC consortiums. You look at, you know, the
- 3 studies published.
- 4 So it's like "What is the threshold" when
- 5 you say there's scientific consensus. How many
- 6 scientists do you need? Hundred? Five hundred?
- 7 We've had 30 studies. Do you need a hundred? I
- 8 don't know. I don't have a level when I can say
- 9 there's scientific consensus.
- 10 Q. Are you aware of any -- are you aware of
- 11 any regulator in the United States that has found
- 12 talc -- peroneal talc use to constitute -- cause
- 13 ovarian cancer?
- 14 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Which one?
- 17 A. The EPA.
- 18 Q. Is that --
- 19 A. Not -- yeah. EPA. The recent update.
- 20 Q. Your opinion is that this document
- 21 represents the EPA's opinion that talc use causes
- 22 ovarian cancer?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. And we can read that if you want.

Page 267

- Q. We have 12 minutes left. I don't think we
- 2 can.

1

14

- 3 A. You asked a question.
- 4 Q. I understand.
- 5 A. Got to get it in the record.
- 6 O. Let's talk about Fletcher 2019. We have to
- 7 mark it.
- 8 MR. MARTIN: It's Harper, Harper 2019.
- 9 MS. PARFITT: As we're going on the
- 10 track here, final track, you're picking up speed.
- 11 She is going to be losing speed. We'll have a
- 12 disconnect here. You're fired up.
- 13 THE WITNESS: I'm trying to --
  - MS. PARFITT: I know. It's hard for her
- 15 to get your words.
- 16 (2019 article by Amy K. Harper, et al.,
- 17 Exhibit 29, marked)
- 18 Q. This is published in a journal called
- 19 Edizioni Minerva Medica. Do you see that?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Prior to including this article in your
- 22 expert report, had you ever heard of that journal?
- A. Actually, I've heard of the Minerva
- 24 journals. I don't know about this Edizioni Minerva
- 25 Medica, but I know about the Minerva group of

68 (Pages 266 - 269)

17 issues?

Document 33013-12

PageID: 217344

- 1 journals. I don't know specifically this journal.
- Q. Can we look at the final page?
- 3 A. Okay.
- Q. "Funding" section, "A portion of Ghassan M.
- 5 Saed's time conducting this research was paid for by
- 6 the lawyers representing plaintiffs in the talcum
- 7 powder litigation." We've talked about this a lot.
- 8 That doesn't affect your view of the reliability of
- 9 the article?
- 10 MS. PARFITT: Objection.
- A. You know, to the extent that I talked about
- 12 it, I didn't say that it doesn't. I said it's an
- 13 issue of interpretation. Obviously, I have to look
- 14 at the methods. And, you know, I'm aware that they
- 15 were the authors in this.
- Q. Can we look back on the first page in the
- 17 abstract? Do you see where it says "72 hours of
- 18 treatment"?
- A. Yes. 19
- 20 Q. Okay. And do you see where it says that
- 21 "exposure to talcum powder induces malignant
- 22 transformation" in the "conclusion" section?
- 23 A. In the abstract?
- Q. In the "conclusion" section of the 24
- 25 abstract. Yes.

Page 271

24

- A. Yes. 1
- Q. Okay. Does 72 hours strike you as a short
- 3 period of time in which to observe malignant
- 4 transformation?
- 5 MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.
- A. Yeah. So, again, I'm not, you know -- I
- 7 can interpret the studies. And I have, you know,
- 8 looked at them. And they are -- experiments seem
- 9 reasonable. And whether these occur -- malignant
- 10 transformations occur in 72 hours or takes 400
- 11 hours, I don't know that. I mean, it seems, you
- 12 know, reasonable on the surface. And I relied on
- 13 that to make my assessment.
- 14 Q. But it's not your area of expertise?
- A. Yeah. I wouldn't say that this, you know
- 16 -- how long does it take to induce malignant
- 17 transformation.
- Q. I just want to do some final housekeeping
- 19 the last few minutes. Can you look at your new
- 20 reliance list at the end of your 2023 report?
- 21 A. Yeah.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 A. Which is which page? Yeah.
- 24 Q. Are the 75 articles on this reliance list
- 25 everything that you -- do the 75 articles on this

1 reliance list reflect everything that you relied

- 2 upon in the drafting of your 2023 report? A. Drafting, yes. But then I have
- 4 subsequently reviewed other things that was provided
- 5 to -- you know, since November.
- Q. Those were the documents that were provided
- 7 to us on Monday?
- A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. Is every document that you have
- 10 reviewed in forming your opinions included in one of
- 11 the following three places: The 2019 reliance list
- 12 -- excuse me -- the 2018 reliance list, the 2023
- 13 reliance list, and the documents produced on Monday?
- A. Yeah. I cannot think of any other
- 15 document.
- 16 Q. Can we return to the Narod paper very
- 17 briefly?
- 18 A. Which is number?
- 19 Q. That is -- I can give you an unmarked copy
- 20 if you can't find it.
- 21 MS. PARFITT: It's 22.
- 22 A. It's probably there somewhere.
- 23 Q. Do you mind just referencing my copy?
  - MS. PARFITT: I've got it right here.
- 25 Q. Great. Thank you. Can you look at the
  - Page 273

- 1 last paragraph?
- 2 A. Taher or Narod?
- 3 O. Narod.
- 4 MS. PARFITT: I'm sorry.
- 5 Q. I got it here. Can you just look at the
- 6 last paragraph there?
- 7 A. Last -- second page, last paragraph?
- Q. Second page, last paragraph. Do you see
- 9 the third sentence, "I don't think we should try to
- 10 ascribe any particular case of ovarian cancer to
- 11 prior talc use"? See that sentence?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Do you agree with that sentence?
- 14 A. I mean, just --
- 15 MS. PARFITT: Objection to the form.
- 16 Please go ahead.
- 17 A. Just prior to that, they say "In the
- 18 interest of public health, I believe we should
- 19 caution women against using genital talcum powder."
- 20 But then they, you know -- so I -- that, to me,
- 21 means that they're ascribing risk to genital talcum
- 22 powder so -- but then they get into this particular
- 23 case -- any particular case.
- 24 So that would depend on how you -- what is
- 25 in that case, what is the age, what is the sex, what

Page 274

- 1 is oral contraceptive use, what is the family
- 2 history, what is the genetic markers.
- I mean, blanket, you cannot say that --
- 4 this case. But I think someone with more experience
- 5 as a gynecologic oncologist could look at the data
- 6 and can ascribe or can refute that. This does not
- 7 occur.
- 8 Q. So you don't agree with that sentence?
- A. Yeah.
- 10 O. As a --
- 11 A. I don't think we should to ascribe -- I
- 12 think they are -- you know, they should have been
- 13 much more nuanced in this, that this requires
- 14 contextual considerations.
- Q. Do you know who any of the bellwether
- 16 plaintiffs in the federal MDL are?
- 17 A. No.
- Q. Do you know who any of the trial plaintiffs
- 19 in the New Jersey MCL are?
- 20 A. I don't know.
- 21 Q. The New Jersey state court proceedings.
- 22 A. No, I don't. I don't think so.
- 23 Q. You're not offering an opinion on the
- 24 causation of any individual person's ovarian cancer?
- 25 A. No. That's not my area of expertise.
- Page 275
- Q. You don't intend to do so in this
- 2 litigation?

- 3 A. No. That's not my area of expertise.
- MR. MARTIN: Can we go off the record? 4
- 5 I'll review my notes.
- (A break was taken)
- 7 MR. MARTIN: Back on the record.
- 8 Nothing further from me at this point, Dr. Singh.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 10 MS. PARFITT: Dr. Singh, I just have a
- 11 few questions as part of the follow-up.
- 12 **EXAMINATION**
- 13 BY MS. PARFITT:
- Q. Dr. Singh, you were asked questions within
- 15 the last hour with regard to any regulatory body
- 16 here in the United States that has addressed the
- 17 issue of asbestos in talc and ovarian cancer. Do
- 18 you remember that series of questions?
- 19 A. That is correct.
- Q. All right. And I believe you referenced
- 21 the EPA; is that correct?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- Q. Let me show you what we will have marked as
- 24 Exhibit No. 30.
- 25 ("EPA Asbestos Part 1; chrysotile

- Page 276 1 asbestos; regulation of certain conditions of use
  - 2 under the Toxic Substance Control Act, TSCA,"
  - 3 document, Exhibit 30, marked)
  - Q. It's entitled "EPA Asbestos Part 1;
  - 5 chrysotile asbestos; regulation of certain
  - 6 conditions of use under the Toxic Substance Control
  - 7 Act, TSCA." Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. The agency is the Environmental Protection
  - 10 Agency. Do you see?
  - 11 A. Yes.
  - 12 Q. You see it says "Final rule" at the top?
  - 13 A. Yes.
  - 14 Q. Okay. Want you to turn first to the
  - 15 summary. I'm going to reference a couple of
  - 16 sections here. Go to the summary on page 1.
  - 17 A. That is correct.
  - Q. It states "The Environmental Protection
  - 19 Agency -- EPA or the agency -- is issuing this final
  - 20 rule under the Toxic Substance Control Act to
  - 21 address to the extent necessary the unreasonable
  - 22 risk of injury to health presented by chrysotile
  - 23 asbestos based on the risks posed by certain
  - 24 conditions of use.
  - 25 "The injuries to human health include
- Page 277
- 1 mesothelioma and lung, ovarian, laryngeal cancers
- 2 resulting from chronic inhalation exposure to
- 3 chrysotile asbestos."
- 4 Is this the -- one of the sections of the
- 5 EPA report that you were referring to earlier when
- 6 counsel asked you what you were relying on for
- 7 purposes of your opinion that the EPA had made a
- 8 final rule regarding causation and asbestos and
- 9 talc?
- 10 MR. MARTIN: Objection.
- A. No. Yeah. That was one of the segments 11
- 12 but sort of another pertinent section is on page 14.
- 13 Q. Tell us about that.
- 14 A. The last -- at the bottom of page 14 we
- 15 have -- so the first one you presented is summary.
- 16 Talks about asbestos as a cause of, you know, risk
- 17 factors, various cancers including mesothelioma,
- 18 lung, and ovarian.
- But here at the end of page 14, the EPA
- 20 says, additionally, some talc deposits and articles
- 21 containing talc have been shown to contain asbestos.
- 22 Thus, EPA recognizes that use of talc may present
- 23 the potential for asbestos exposure.
- 24 Q. What significance, if any, does that have
- 25 to your opinions in this case?

Page 281

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217346

Page 278

- A. Well, it's, you know -- my opinions are --1
- 2 overall causal opinion is that, you know, talc is --
- 3 talc is causally related to development of ovarian
- 4 cancer, not predicated on -- necessarily on the
- 5 basis of asbestos. But now, more and more evidence
- 6 is emerging such as -- you know, this was published
- 7 after I submitted my report -- that further enhances
- 8 the evidence on biologic plausibility segment on --
- 9 as one more further in the chain of evidence about
- 10 talc and ovarian cancer.
- Q. All right. If you would turn as well to
- 12 page 20 of the report.
- 13 A. My report?
- 14 Q. The EPA report.
- 15 A. Okay. Yes.
- Q. Subsection 1, it's called "Description of 16
- 17 unreasonable risk."
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. If you would read -- let me just ask the
- 20 question -- read to yourself the first half of that
- 21 paragraph?
- 22 A. Yes. "The health endpoint driving EPA's
- 23 assessment" -- "determination" -- I'm sorry -- "of
- 24 unreasonable risk for chrysotile asbestos under the
- 25 conditions of use is cancer from inhalation

- 1 the potential additional exposure to PFAS that might 2 result from this action."
- Do you have an opinion to a reasonable
- 4 degree of scientific certainty that indeed
- 5 chrysotile asbestos is a known human carcinogen that
- 6 causes mesothelioma, lung, ovarian, and laryngeal
- 7 cancers?
- 8 A. I mean, I think that is indisputable, that,
- 9 you know, asbestos is a carcinogen and specifically
- 10 for ovarian cancer. I mean, you know, did I do the
- 11 causal assessment? No. But IARC has done it. EPA
- 12 has done it. Others have found it. I would say the
- 13 fact that asbestos is an ovarian carcinogen is, you
- 14 know -- as we were talking about scientific
- 15 consensus, I mean, that is beyond dispute.
- Q. And your opinions today with regard to
- 17 talcum powder, do they consider the fact -- are they
- 18 based upon the fact that talc contains asbestos?
- A. Yeah. I mean, as I noted in my report --
- 20 earlier report that, you know, studies have
- 21 described that, you know, investigators have found
- 22 and FDA has found and testing by Drs. Longo and
- 23 others have found the presence, that talc, you know,
- 24 contains asbestos.
- 25 Q. But your opinion in this case is that

- 1 exposure. Unreasonable risk includes the risk of
- 2 mesothelioma and lung, ovarian, and laryngeal
- 3 cancers from chronic inhalation exposure."
- Q. Similarly, what, if any, significance do 5 you attach to that ruling by the EPA as to the
- 6 opinions you've given in this case?
- A. Yeah. So, as I stated in my earlier report
- 8 in '18, that this is one other, you know, one --
- 9 another pathway -- a plausible pathway. If talc
- 10 contaminated with asbestos is inhaled, that may be
- 11 another potential pathway by which there's an
- 12 increased causal risk of ovarian cancer.
- Q. Okay. And lastly, if you'll turn to
- 14 page 92 and 93 of the EPA report that you've
- 15 referenced earlier as a source supporting your
- 16 statement that a governmental regulatory agency has
- 17 opined on these issues. If you go to the bottom of
- 18 that page, if you will.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Bottom of 92, it starts "EPA believes that
- 21 the benefits" -- "EPA believes the benefits of
- 22 removing chrysotile asbestos, a known human
- 23 carcinogen that causes cancer, mesothelioma, lung,
- 24 ovarian, and laryngeal cancer, from continued use in 25 the United States are significant enough to outweigh

- 1 talcum powder can cause ovarian cancer based upon
- 2 the fact that talc can contain asbestos or may not
- 3 contain asbestos; correct?
- A. Yeah. My causal opinion is, you know, I --
- 5 to the extent I did, I mirrored that I'm not
- 6 predicating my opinion on the presence of asbestos.
- 7 But I'm, you know -- there are studies that -- and I
- 8 cited those studies. But now with the EPA ruling
- 9 and -- it is becoming more and more likely that that
- 10 is one more pathway that, you know, is suggesting 11 causal risk between talc and ovarian cancer.
- 12 Q. All right, Dr. Singh. You were -- let me
- 13 show you, if I will, Exhibit No. 24, the Tanha
- 14 article entitled "Investigation on factors
- 15 associated with ovarian cancer: An umbrella review
- 16 of systematic review and meta-analysis." That
- 17 was --
- 18 MR. MARTIN: Which exhibit is this? I'm
- 19 sorry.
- 20 MS. PARFITT: Exhibit 24.
- 21 Q. Let's pull yours here. Tanha?
- 22 A. That's the umbrella review?
- 23 Q. Yes. Here we go? Let me show you Exhibit
- 24 No. 24, Tanha. If I can direct your attention,
- 25 please, to page 15 of 17. Let me know when you get

Page 284

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217347

Page 282

1 there.

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. All right. You'll recall that counsel
- 4 asked you a question with regard to whether or not
- 5 you agreed or disagreed with the statement that
- 6 states "The ovarian carcinogenesis mechanism of
- 7 perineal talc use has remained unclear." Do you
- 8 remember being asked that question?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. What counsel did not read to you is the
- 11 following: "Based on a hypothesis, however, as an
- 12 external stimulus, talc can ascend from the vagina
- 13 to the uterine tubes and trigger a chronic
- 14 inflammatory response, further promoting the OC" -
- 15 ovarian cancer -- "development, cellular injuries,
- 16 and oxidative stress, and local elevation of
- 17 inflammatory mediators -- for example, cytokines and 17
- 18 prostaglandins -- could be mutagenic, thus
- 19 encouraging carcinogenesis." Do you agree or
- 20 disagree with that statement?
- 21 A. Yeah. And I have cited a slight different
- 22 version in my testimony about Dr. O'Brien providing
- 23 exactly -- if it's a slight difference on nuance,
- 24 you know -- the same suggestion of mechanism of
- 25 carcinogenesis.

Page 283

- 1 Q. Let me show you that. I'm not sure it was 2 marked. It's Ogunsina and O'Brien.
- 3 MS. PARFITT: If it was not marked.
- 4 we'll mark it as Exhibit 31.
- 5 (Article by Kemi Ogunsina, M.D., et al.,
- 6 Exhibit 31, marked)
- 7 MR. MARTIN: Do you have a copy of it?
- 8 MS. PARFITT: We do. I think we have
- 9 three.
- 10 Q. Let me show you what we've marked
- 11 Exhibit 31. It's the Ogunsina and Dr. Katie O'Brien
- 12 article and some others.
- 13 A. Yeah.
- 14 Q. You referenced in speaking about the Tanha
- 15 article that -- the position that the Tanha editors
- 16 had taken as it relates to how talc can migrate and
- 17 cause this chronic inflammatory response, promoting
- 18 these cellular injuries, oxidative stress, and local
- 19 reason of inflammatory mediators. What is the
- 20 position of the O'Brien and Ogunsina article with
- 21 regard to that same opinion?
- A. They cannot be more explicit than they are
- 23 here in page 1 -- 665 E1: "Talc is a poorly soluble
- 24 particle" --
- MR. MARTIN: What page?

1 A. It's the first page, "introduction."

- 2 "Introduction," third column, third line, "Talc is a
- 3 poorly soluble particle. And animal models have
- 4 shown that, once deposited onto epithelial cells, it
- 5 can cause chronic inflammation, leading to a series
- 6 of mutagenic events" -- exactly the same that we
- 7 were seeing in the other -- "and this effect is
- 8 worse in talc contaminated with asbestos, a known
- 9 carcinogen" and the cite reference 19, which is the
- 10 IARC working group.
- 1 Not only that, you know, we had a
- 12 discussion earlier about "Well, you know, there's no
- 13 evidence of migration in Health Canada." But that
- 14 is not entirely correct, because if you look at
- 15 page 22 of -- going to page 22 of --
- 6 Q. Health Canada?
- 7 A. -- Health Canada, the first paragraph they
- 18 discuss the studies. And they say that "This lends
- 19 support to the idea that externally applied talc can
- 20 migrate from the perineal and, therefore, the
- 21 Johnson study." So it's not that Health Canada is
- 22 saying it cannot migrate.
- 23 MR. MARTIN: Was this produced to us on
- 24 Monday?
- 25 MS. PARFITT: It was.

Page 285

- 1 MR. MARTIN: I do not see it anywhere.
- 2 MS. PARFITT: Should be in the Dropbox.
- 3 A. The Health Canada, I'm sure, is there.
- 4 MS. PARFITT: What I'd like to do next
- 5 is have marked as Exhibit No. 32 an article entitled
- 6 "The association between douching, genital talc use,
- 7 and the risk of prevalent and incident cervical
- 8 cancer" by Katie O'Brien, Weinberg, D'Aloisio --
- 9 D-a-l-o-i-s-i-o -- Moore, and Sandler. Counsel,
- 10 here's a copy.
- 11 (Article by Katie M. O'Brien, et al.,
- 12 Exhibit 32, marked)
- 13 Q. All right, Dr. Singh. Is Exhibit 32 an
- 14 article that you've previously reviewed for purposes
- 15 of your opinions in this case?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. And what, if any, significance does
- 18 this article, O'Brien, Sandler, et al., have on your
- 19 opinions of your -- excuse me -- talcum powder
- 20 exposure can cause ovarian cancer?
- 21 A. So if you go to the second page of the
- 22 article, 2211114836, they are write in the top just
- 23 above the methods, "Genital talc use could also
- 24 plausibly contribute to cervical cancer risk. Talc 25 applied to underwear, sanitary napkins, diaphragms,

72 (Pages 282 - 285)

Page 288

Page 286

- 1 directly" -- "can enter the vagina and travel up the
- 2 reproductive tract. Talc particles may act as
- 3 irritants, inciting an inflammatory response and
- 4 potentially affect individuals' susceptibility and
- 5 response to HPV. Additionally, more severe
- 6 effects" --
- 7 Q. Excuse me. You missed a word.
- 8 A. "Or more severe effects could occur" --
- 9 Q. I'm sorry. You missed another word. Just
- 10 so the record's correct, did you say "adverse"?
- 11 A. Yeah. "Adverse affects could occur through
- 12 the talc containing asbestos, a known carcinogen
- 13 sometimes mined in the same locations."
- 14 Q. Continue.
- 15 A. And the epidemiological literature supports
- 16 a possible positive association between genital talc
- 17 use and ovarian cancer, in which they cite their own
- 18 study without any qualification about patent or
- 19 nonpatent tubes. So that's -- Reference 35 is
- 20 O'Brien, et al., the pooled analysis we've been
- 21 discussing.
- 22 Q. The 2020 article?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. I believe I asked you what the
- 25 significance, if any, of this article was to your

- 1 fibroids; correct?
  - 2 A. Yes.
  - 3 Q. It does not evaluate the relationship -- it
  - 4 does not directly evaluate the relationship between
  - 5 genital talc use and cancer; correct?
  - 6 A. Yeah. And this is a contextual study. And
  - 7 partly why I looked at it and -- I looked at it was
  - 8 I was interested in Dr. O'Brien's interpretation of
  - 9 statistical significance. In fact, one of his other
  - 10 studies, which was the Sister Study in which he
  - 11 thought -- you know, she interprets positive
  - 12 associations for hazard ratios that are not
  - 13 significant, you know, the update of the Sister
  - 14 Study by Chang.
  - 15 So I wanted to -- I was interested in how
- 16 does she think about other cancers. So here, I see,
- 17 you know -- in fact, this goes to uterine fibroids.
- 18 There's knowing that -- it is not specific to talc
- 19 and ovarian cancer. But it provides context.
- 20 That's all.
- 21 O. It does not measure cancer outcomes.
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Can we look at the EPA document,
- 24 Exhibit 30, and can we look at the first page?
- 25 A. Page?

- 1 opinions.
- 2 A. Yeah. The significance is, first of all,
- 3 Dr. O'Brien, you know -- I was shown an article.
- 4 She talks about an experimental model. She has in
- 5 multiple places, you know, mechanisms and models of 5
- 6 how talc migrates, causes -- we're talking about
- 7 migration, inflammation, contamination with asbestos
- 8 causing -- she has a real outline of the model.
- 9 She couldn't have described it better than
- 10 myself and also interprets her own article without
- 11 any qualification for patent tubes as a possible
- 12 positive association.
- 13 MS. PARFITT: I don't have any further
- 14 questions, subject to counsel.
- 15 MR. MARTIN: Let me just review a couple
- 16 of things. I'll see if I have another question.
- 17 (A break was taken)
- MR. MARTIN: Back on the record.
- 19 Q. Can I direct your attention to Ogunsina,
- 20 Exhibit 31?
- 21 MR. MARTIN: And I do just want to put
- 22 on the record that, as a result of a syncing error,
- 23 that was not produced to us on Monday. So I have
- 24 read it during a break.
- Q. The outcome of interest in that study is

- Page 289
- 1 Q. Page 1, title. Do you see where it says 2 "Asbestos Part 1; chrysotile asbestos; regulation of
- 3 certain conditions of use"?
- 4 A. Yeah.
- 5 Q. Okay. Can we flip down to page 15? And I
- 6 think this might be a -- excuse me -- the end of
- 7 page 14. I think this might be a passage that you
- 8 read with Michelle earlier. Let me know when you're
- 9 there.
- 10 MS. PARFITT: Bottom of 14.
- 11 A. Yes
- 12 Q. And I think this is the passage that you
- 13 read: "Additionally, some talc deposits and
- 14 articles containing talc has been shown to contain
- 15 asbestos. The EPA recommends that certain uses of
- 16 talc may present the potential for asbestos
- 17 exposure. Where EPA identifies reasonably available
- 18 information demonstrating the presence of asbestos
- 19 in talc and where such talc applications fall under
- 20 Toxic Substances Control Act authority, those
- 21 applications of asbestos-containing talc conditions
- 22 will be evaluated in Part 2 of the risk evaluation
- 23 for asbestos."
- So would you agree with me this Part 1 of
- 25 the risk evaluation was not focused on talc that may

	Page 290	Page 292
1	contain asbestos?	1 WITNESS: Sonal Sing, M.D., M.P.H [Volume I]
2	MS. PARFITT: Objection. Form.	2 DATE: April 4, 2024
3	A. No. They, you know they say they'll do	3 CASE: IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER
4	future evaluations. But they also say that talc,	4 PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND
5	you know, as they state here some talc deposits	5 PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION
6	and particles containing talc have been shown to	6
7	contain asbestos.	7
8	Q. Would you agree with me that exposure to	8 DISTRIBUTION TO COUNSEL The original signature
9	talcum powder was not the focus of this portion of	9 page/errata sheet was sent to Michelle A. Parfitt,
	the EPA's review?	10 Esq., to obtain signature from the deponent. When
11	A. No.	11 signed, please send original to Zachary W. Martin,
12	MS. PARFITT: Objection.	12 Esq., who will supply a copy of the signed errata
13	Q. You would not agree with that?	13 sheet to other counsel present at the deposition.
14	A. No. I'm saying that this EPA review is	14
	focusing on asbestos and ovarian cancer and noting	15 WITNESS INSTRUCTIONS After reading the transcript
	when that talc can occur. But they're not	16 of your deposition, please note any change or
17		17 correction and the reason for it on the errata
	talc.	18 sheet. DO NOT make any notations on the transcript
19	MR. MARTIN: I don't have anything	19 itself. Use additional sheets if necessary.
20	further.	20
21	MS. PARFITT: Nothing. Thank you very	21 SIGN AND DATE THE ERRATA SHEET under the pains and
22		22 penalties of perjury and return it, along with the
23	(Deposition concluded at 5:45 p.m.)	23 transcript, to your counsel.
24	1 /	24
25		25
		D 202
1	Page 291 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	Page 293 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1 2	Page 291 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	=
2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY 2
2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional	1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY 2 IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM
2 3 4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the	1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY 2
2 3 4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, certify;	1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY 2 IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM 3 POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, MDL NO.
2 3 4 5 6	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the	1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  2 IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM  3 POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, MDL NO. SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS 16-2738(MAS)(RLS)  4 LIABILITY LITIGATION
2 3 4 5 6 7	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, certify; That the foregoing proceedings were taken	1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  2 IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM  3 POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, MDL NO. SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS 16-2738(MAS)(RLS)  4 LIABILITY LITIGATION  5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, certify; That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth,	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM  POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, MDL NO. SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS 16-2738(MAS)(RLS)  LIABILITY LITIGATION  I, SONAL SINGH, M.D., M.P.H., do hereby  certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, certify; That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was properly identified and put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM  POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, MDL NO. SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS 16-2738(MAS)(RLS)  LIABILITY LITIGATION  I, SONAL SINGH, M.D., M.P.H., do hereby  certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, certify; That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was properly identified and put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM  POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, MDL NO. SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS 16-2738(MAS)(RLS)  LIABILITY LITIGATION  I, SONAL SINGH, M.D., M.P.H., do hereby  certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate,  to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, certify; That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was properly identified and put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM  POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, MDL NO. SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS 16-2738(MAS)(RLS)  LIABILITY LITIGATION  I, SONAL SINGH, M.D., M.P.H., do hereby  certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate,  to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, certify; That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was properly identified and put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM  POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, MDL NO. SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS 16-2738(MAS)(RLS)  LIABILITY LITIGATION  I, SONAL SINGH, M.D., M.P.H., do hereby  certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate,  to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:  Page/ Line/ Change/Correction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, certify; That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was properly identified and put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed;	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM  POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, MDL NO. SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS 16-2738(MAS)(RLS)  LIABILITY LITIGATION  I, SONAL SINGH, M.D., M.P.H., do hereby  certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate,  to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:  Page/ Line/ Change/Correction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, certify; That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was properly identified and put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM  POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, MDL NO. SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS 16-2738(MAS)(RLS)  LIABILITY LITIGATION  I, SONAL SINGH, M.D., M.P.H., do hereby  certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate,  to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:  Page/ Line/ Change/Correction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, certify; That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was properly identified and put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken.	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM  POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, MDL NO. SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS 16-2738(MAS)(RLS)  LIABILITY LITIGATION  I, SONAL SINGH, M.D., M.P.H., do hereby  certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate,  to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:  Page/ Line/ Change/Correction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, certify; That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was properly identified and put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM  POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, MDL NO. SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS 16-2738(MAS)(RLS)  LIABILITY LITIGATION  I, SONAL SINGH, M.D., M.P.H., do hereby  certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate,  to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:  Page/ Line/ Change/Correction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, certify; That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was properly identified and put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM  POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, MDL NO. SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS 16-2738(MAS)(RLS)  LIABILITY LITIGATION  I, SONAL SINGH, M.D., M.P.H., do hereby  certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate,  to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:  Page/ Line/ Change/Correction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, certify; That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was properly identified and put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor financially interested in the action.	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM  POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, MDL NO. SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS 16-2738(MAS)(RLS)  LIABILITY LITIGATION  I, SONAL SINGH, M.D., M.P.H., do hereby  certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate,  to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:  Page/ Line/ Change/Correction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, certify; That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was properly identified and put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury that the	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM  POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, MDL NO. SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS 16-2738(MAS)(RLS)  LIABILITY LITIGATION  I, SONAL SINGH, M.D., M.P.H., do hereby  certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate,  to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:  Page/ Line/ Change/Correction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, certify; That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was properly identified and put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM  POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, MDL NO. SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS 16-2738(MAS)(RLS)  LIABILITY LITIGATION  I, SONAL SINGH, M.D., M.P.H., do hereby  certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate,  to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:  Page/ Line/ Change/Correction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, certify; That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was properly identified and put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM  POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, MDL NO. SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS 16-2738(MAS)(RLS)  LIABILITY LITIGATION  I, SONAL SINGH, M.D., M.P.H., do hereby  certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate,  to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:  Page/ Line/ Change/Correction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, certify; That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was properly identified and put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM  POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, MDL NO. SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS 16-2738(MAS)(RLS)  LIABILITY LITIGATION  I, SONAL SINGH, M.D., M.P.H., do hereby  certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate,  to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:  Page/ Line/ Change/Correction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, certify; That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was properly identified and put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.  April, 2024.	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM  POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, MDL NO. SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS 16-2738(MAS)(RLS)  LIABILITY LITIGATION  I, SONAL SINGH, M.D., M.P.H., do hereby  certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate,  to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:  Page/ Line/ Change/Correction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, SONYA LOPES, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, certify; That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was properly identified and put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM  POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, MDL NO. SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS 16-2738(MAS)(RLS)  LIABILITY LITIGATION  I, SONAL SINGH, M.D., M.P.H., do hereby  certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate,  to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:  Page/ Line/ Change/Correction

[**& - 12**] Page 1

	T	T	
&	221:1,4,13,14	<b>1.16.</b> 149:11	<b>1.47</b> 257:14
<b>&amp;</b> 1:6 2:3,19	231:2 236:14	<b>1.17</b> 218:8	<b>1.48.</b> 218:6
8:7,10 19:8	236:15,18	<b>1.17.</b> 141:5	<b>1.5</b> 171:10
48:7 68:23	238:9,11 240:4	<b>1.19</b> 147:15	<b>1.57</b> 250:3
292:3 293:2	240:5 241:12	<b>1.2</b> 181:16,18	251:10 252:24
0	250:12 275:25	182:19 261:4	<b>1.6.</b> 251:17
	276:4,16	<b>1.2.</b> 178:20	<b>1.65</b> 257:14
0 220:7	278:16 283:23	<b>1.22</b> 209:19	<b>1.68</b> 255:21
<b>0.0.</b> 220:14	289:1,2,24	<b>1.23.</b> 147:2	<b>1.72.</b> 218:8
<b>0.05</b> 213:15	<b>1,258</b> 157:2	<b>1.242</b> 220:5	<b>10</b> 4:23 23:3
<b>0.33</b> 212:23,25	<b>1-293</b> 1:1	<b>1.25</b> 189:16	44:22,23 52:8
<b>0.33.</b> 213:3	<b>1-32</b> 1:2	223:3	52:10 56:22
<b>0.63</b> 193:3	<b>1.0</b> 128:5,10	<b>1.25.</b> 144:16	118:9,10
249:10	143:4 211:18	190:12	144:23 145:7
<b>0.96</b> 149:13	260:23 261:1	<b>1.250</b> 220:15	146:2 149:20
243:15	<b>1.0.</b> 142:5,9,13	<b>1.26</b> 171:9	163:3 165:13
<b>0.99</b> 161:13	150:1,4 210:20	<b>1.27</b> 218:6	196:19 224:9
<b>0.99.</b> 189:18	<b>1.01</b> 144:16	<b>1.279</b> 220:4	224:11 248:22
<b>001</b> 220:6	146:5,9 148:19	<b>1.29</b> 251:17	249:2,16,19
<b>01605</b> 1:21	149:25 171:9	<b>1.29.</b> 192:10	250:1 257:11
<b>02116</b> 2:22	<b>1.01.</b> 145:21	<b>1.297.</b> 220:13	257:17
<b>049</b> 214:5	<b>1.03</b> 147:15	<b>1.3</b> 261:5	<b>101</b> 124:19,23
<b>05</b> 165:2 192:9	<b>1.04.</b> 243:16	<b>1.3.</b> 181:20	<b>104</b> 5:9
<b>051</b> 214:4	<b>1.05</b> 149:11,13	<b>1.31</b> 257:14	11 5:4 44:22,23
1	150:4 192:23	<b>1.32.</b> 147:10	47:21 57:7,9
<b>1</b> 4:10 6:19 9:7	<b>1.08</b> 161:12,16	<b>1.33</b> 127:24	59:13 161:4,12
18:17 48:19	162:4,17	130:24	164:9 168:15
49:25 56:23	<b>1.09</b> 147:2	<b>1.33.</b> 131:16	176:18 177:1
63:1 123:2	150:20 162:14	<b>1.34</b> 255:17	184:17,21
131:19 134:18	176:17 218:6	<b>1.355</b> 220:5	259:14
141:20 147:8	<b>1.09.</b> 162:23	<b>1.36</b> 209:23	<b>11353</b> 291:23
148:19 150:8	<b>1.1</b> 176:14,17	<b>1.37.</b> 147:15	<b>117</b> 5:11 253:11
153:23 155:14	<b>1.1.</b> 181:19	<b>1.42</b> 131:14	<b>12</b> 5:6 85:18
165:4 209:20	<b>1.13</b> 171:9	218:7	86:17 106:7,23
220:22,25,25	<b>1.16</b> 149:13	<b>1.44</b> 251:16	127:9,9 144:23
220.22,23,23	249:10		145:10 146:2

#### [12 - 2018] Page 2

155:4 269:1	43:15 133:8,10	170:2 196:4,15	194:9 216:12
<b>12.4</b> 155:5	137:1 159:19	227:21 228:3,9	216:12 248:21
161:1	159:21 160:3,5	228:10 229:19	248:22 249:2
<b>1200</b> 241:25	249:10	230:1 284:9	249:12,16,19
<b>124</b> 221:8	<b>16-2738</b> 1:8	<b>191</b> 6:5	249:25 250:4
<b>127</b> 5:13	293:3	<b>194</b> 126:18	253:6 255:20
<b>13</b> 5:8 30:11	<b>1660</b> 207:20	<b>196</b> 137:15	278:12
43:23 44:1 52:7	<b>1663</b> 209:3	<b>1986</b> 229:25	<b>20,000</b> 98:9
68:19 104:2,4	212:10 217:19	<b>19th</b> 61:18,22	<b>200,000</b> 16:13
129:14 144:23	218:1	2	178:19,22
145:7 146:2	<b>1665</b> 209:17,18	<b>2</b> 4:11 5:4 13:23	179:9 180:1,21
221:1	<b>1667</b> 214:15	14:1 56:23 57:8	182:19,20
<b>13,000</b> 186:22	215:19		183:3 184:14
<b>13,421</b> 177:5	<b>17</b> 5:16 66:12	63:1 66:12 133:24 134:1	<b>2000</b> 157:7
184:25 185:20	121:11 138:5,7	137:4 140:15	<b>20006</b> 2:6
<b>133</b> 5:15 127:24	255:22 265:8	150:8 179:18	<b>2006</b> 204:11
<b>138</b> 5:17	281:25	179:20 180:9	<b>2008</b> 6:15
<b>14</b> 4:11 5:10	<b>17.4</b> 155:16		248:10,12
43:25 44:1	<b>170</b> 5:21	191:18,22 196:1 197:8	<b>2013</b> 61:10,14
52:12 74:18	<b>1734</b> 122:1	198:19 201:3	156:16 193:18
110:14 111:18	<b>179</b> 5:23		<b>2014</b> 52:15
117:12,15	<b>17th</b> 291:22	220:10,12 221:17 238:10	<b>2016</b> 5:12,22
220:10 277:12	<b>18</b> 4:12 5:18		23:4 91:16
277:14,19	122:1 127:15	240:15,20 248:14 253:3	117:24 118:10
289:7,10	127:17 128:1		126:16,19
<b>1411</b> 248:15	131:2,3,5 154:8	255:1 289:22	127:2 132:17
<b>15</b> 5:12 20:17	279:8	<b>2,000</b> 179:3 187:5,6	135:3 178:9
42:17 57:6	<b>1800</b> 150:25	<b>2,168</b> 150:25	179:15 188:13
127:3,6 137:3,3	<b>1825</b> 2:5	177:8	188:16,21,25
165:13 173:17	<b>1835</b> 118:6	<b>2.12.</b> 249:10	<b>2018</b> 5:18,24
226:13 281:25	<b>1837</b> 123:3	<b>2.12.</b> 249.10 <b>2.5.</b> 250:3	13:1 16:1 35:1
289:5	<b>1843</b> 118:19		61:25 64:3 65:6
<b>15,000</b> 142:19	<b>1844</b> 121:21	<b>20</b> 5:22 121:11	65:7 66:4 76:14
<b>15th</b> 14:25	<b>187</b> 5:25	144:21,25	76:14,15 77:15
<b>16</b> 4:19 5:14	<b>19</b> 5:20 13:1	145:3 165:14	154:5,7,21
28:24 35:1 42:7	29:6 169:25	179:14,16	155:8 163:22
	1	1	

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217352

[2018 - 30] Page 3

187:17,22,25	219:15,18	<b>219</b> 6:9	<b>269</b> 6:18
188:10,14,15	227:14	<b>22</b> 6:4 48:12,15	<b>27</b> 6:14 85:8,14
188:18 199:10	<b>2022</b> 6:12 18:20	191:10,13	106:8,19,24
219:4 223:16	20:19 37:20	198:9,18 199:4	230:22,25
263:16,17,17	230:18,23	212:18 272:21	<b>275</b> 4:7
263:17,18	<b>2023</b> 5:4,8	284:15,15	<b>276</b> 6:24
272:12	14:25 16:20	2211114836	<b>28</b> 6:15 25:13
<b>2019</b> 4:19 5:10	43:15 57:8	285:22	106:12 108:24
6:4,17 12:7	61:14,25 62:7	<b>227</b> 6:11	109:13 248:13
14:17 15:24	64:15 65:5,10	<b>23</b> 6:6 49:9 80:3	291:25
16:2,3 25:2,4,8	66:4,20 77:1,25	83:22 102:24	<b>283</b> 7:5 59:9
25:11 26:16	78:20 80:5	161:6,7 176:20	<b>285</b> 7:7
28:22 29:8,15	83:13 102:23	176:21 207:5	<b>29</b> 6:17 26:24
30:6,18 42:7,18	102:25 103:4,8	<b>230</b> 6:13,14	83:14,16 84:20
61:13 66:3	104:1,3 115:12	<b>23rd</b> 61:19	251:17 269:17
68:12 70:18,22	117:18 126:24	<b>24</b> 6:8 134:25	<b>29,000</b> 15:6
85:12 117:12	159:14 176:24	177:5 184:24	<b>297</b> 220:5
117:14,20	184:18 190:20	185:20 219:19	<b>2a</b> 204:22
126:8,20	191:15 223:16	238:7 281:13	<b>2b</b> 204:21
137:16 191:11	231:4 271:20	281:20,24	3
191:12 238:5	272:2,12	<b>247</b> 221:6	<b>3</b> 4:12 18:9
263:15,15	<b>2024</b> 1:18 5:14	<b>248</b> 6:16	19:19 48:19
269:6,8,16	14:4 48:10	<b>25</b> 6:10 42:11	79:11 81:10
272:11	133:6,7,12	59:14 216:25	
202.783.6400	291:22 292:2	227:15 262:3	135:13 144:5,6
2:7	293:19	<b>252</b> 140:6	147:1 150:8 158:24 194:18
<b>2020</b> 5:16,20	<b>2027</b> 291:25	<b>2527</b> 235:14	209:17 219:25
51:22 83:23,24	<b>207</b> 6:7	<b>2529</b> 236:14	209.17 219.23
84:20 136:23	<b>2097</b> 166:23	<b>253</b> 188:2,7	
138:3,6 153:21	<b>21</b> 5:24 67:1	<b>2530</b> 255:1	<b>3,000</b> 186:21 <b>3.1.</b> 196:3
169:16 170:1	106:10,19	<b>26</b> 6:12 25:7	<b>3.23.</b> 255:17
251:6 286:22	187:21,23	124:10 230:21	<b>3.23.</b> 233:17 <b>3.8</b> 140:14
<b>2021</b> 5:6 6:6,8	216:24,25	230:24	142:15 143:24
6:10 37:20	<b>216</b> 242:3	<b>26.2</b> 123:18	<b>30</b> 6:19 16:23
86:16,20	<b>2168</b> 142:17	125:17	48:19 131:15
206:25 207:4			40.17 131.13

[**30 - 81**] Page 4

170:6 216:10	<b>383</b> 105:13,18	221:8 231:3	<b>67</b> 253:9
249:2,12,19	105:19 110:22	240:21 244:7	7
250:5 268:7	<b>39</b> 91:15	<b>50</b> 153:7,9	<b>7</b> 4:17 21:1,11
275:24 276:3	4	155:4,8,9,10	21:12 22:17,21
288:24	<b>4</b> 1:18 4:13	198:7	22:21 41:9,13
<b>30,000</b> 32:3	30:25 31:1 62:6	<b>500</b> 2:21	41:16 43:2
33:1,14	62:7 98:21	<b>51</b> 253:8	62:25 74:21
<b>30.7</b> 123:12,15		<b>52</b> 4:24 71:6	
125:16	135:13 149:3	164:16	178:13,13
<b>302</b> 242:3	191:24 194:16	<b>53</b> 140:16,20	219:20 238:24
<b>307</b> 156:24	201:19 238:20	<b>541</b> 124:18	239:5,15
<b>31</b> 4:13 7:4	292:2	<b>55</b> 144:5	256:15,21,22
283:4,6,11	<b>4.1</b> 98:23	<b>56</b> 166:1,8,12	<b>70</b> 198:7
287:20	<b>4.4</b> 200:18,18	<b>57</b> 152:2	<b>70,000</b> 15:10
<b>310</b> 124:19,23	<b>4.4.</b> 200:20	<b>58</b> 151:23 153:8	<b>700</b> 2:5
<b>316</b> 2:13	<b>40</b> 15:1 157:13	255:20	<b>706</b> 242:3
<b>32</b> 7:6 212:13	238:1	<b>5:45</b> 290:23	<b>72</b> 59:10 270:17
285:5,12,13	<b>400</b> 190:2	6	271:2,10
<b>33</b> 29:1,6	271:10	_	<b>745</b> 140:6
106:13 108:25	<b>41</b> 4:18	<b>6</b> 4:16 37:11,12	<b>75</b> 271:24,25
109:13	<b>42</b> 15:8	219:20,25	<b>76</b> 147:10
<b>337</b> 137:17	<b>429</b> 154:25	220:22,24	<b>77</b> 84:16,16
<b>338</b> 137:18	189:6,13,25	260:8,12,13	229:24
<b>341</b> 127:22	<b>43</b> 253:9	<b>6.6</b> 160:19	<b>78</b> 255:20
	<b>43,000</b> 15:8	<b>600</b> 13:1	<b>79</b> 229:24
128:15,17	<b>440</b> 124:18	617.573.4862	<b>796</b> 52:11
<b>35</b> 286:19	<b>45</b> 15:1,6 253:9	2:23	8
<b>350</b> 206:3	266:9,16	<b>62</b> 84:14	<b>8</b> 4:6,19 22:17
<b>35202</b> 2:14	<b>47</b> 4:22 156:22	<b>63</b> 196:4,13,15	41:9 42:8 74:20
<b>36</b> 212:15,18	157:8	209:6	88:18,22 89:3
<b>36.5</b> 124:10	<b>48</b> 156:22 157:8	<b>65</b> 245:20	158:24 207:6
<b>363</b> 1:20	<b>49</b> 154:21	<b>650</b> 13:3,4 15:6	207:13 221:5
<b>37</b> 4:16	5	<b>659</b> 155:16	239:9
<b>376</b> 104:13		<b>66</b> 209:5	<b>80</b> 131:21
<b>38.1</b> 223:5	5 4:14 34:16,18	<b>665</b> 283:23	
<b>38.11</b> 220:15	61:8,9 135:13 149:18 191:15		<b>81</b> 182:10
	149 18 19111 <b>)</b>	1	

### [82 - adds] Page 5

	I		
<b>82</b> 128:1 131:1	172:21 190:12	225:22	157:5 165:25
134:16 144:16	191:1 202:17	accordance	166:6 196:25
<b>85</b> 192:9	250:3	122:1	197:19 200:13
850.435.7000	<b>991</b> 176:13	account 43:20	200:16 218:19
2:15	<b>9:03</b> 1:18	127:16	236:1 258:8
<b>86</b> 5:7 171:10	a	accurate 293:6	269:23
<b>89</b> 238:9	<b>a.f.</b> 118:21	accurately	add 158:22
9	<b>a.m.</b> 1:18	104:9 128:20	181:24 223:19
9 4:10,21 41:11	aa 209:8 211:9	169:12,14	<b>added</b> 153:20
47:21,23	216:8	ace 95:25	160:12,14
131:19 207:6	aaces 76:12	<b>achieve</b> 179:19	adding 181:3
207:13 214:24	216:3,9	179:23 180:14	181:13 185:1
215:1,15	aaces's 216:14	180:18	223:20 242:3
<b>90</b> 238:9,11,18	able 70:12	acknowledge	addition 180:22
239:13	76:24 195:3	117:2,4,7	259:5 293:7
<b>91</b> 196:3,9,12	<b>above</b> 240:5	233:13	additional
<b>92</b> 86:11 279:14	260:23 261:1	acknowledged	10:10 11:9
279:20	285:23	69:4 168:21	50:10 65:9
<b>93</b> 191:23	<b>absence</b> 196:19	acknowledg	78:22 81:18
279:14	absolute 97:22	121:22 122:5	153:16,17
<b>94</b> 42:9,10	absolutely	acog 4:16 37:12	240:24 280:1
<b>940</b> 190:2	262:4	acog's 38:8	292:19
<b>943</b> 189:14	<b>abstract</b> 140:3	act 6:23 276:2,7	additionally
<b>95</b> 141:20 142:4	234:4,5 244:12	276:20 286:2	277:20 286:5
220:5 251:16	244:15 270:17	289:20	289:13
257:14	270:23,25	action 280:2	address 29:8
<b>96</b> 149:11	academic 25:17	291:19	158:11 199:4
<b>97</b> 52:12 147:2	26:5 27:6 63:11	active 21:25	217:11 230:15
<b>98</b> 130:19		22:1,9	230:17 276:21
200:19 253:11	264:12 265:5	activities 19:20	addressed
	accepted	<b>actual</b> 42:13	113:24 275:16
99 128:21	188:16,21	actually 19:17	addressing
130:12,19	access 57:16,23	37:16 41:11	127:22 128:18
134:15,16	163:11	42:4 60:3 64:20	239:25
141:5 161:17	accompanied	92:6 93:5	adds 239:5
171:10 172:21	169:18 225:1,7	136:24 143:15	
	Collegy To		

### [adequately - al]

Page 6

			- 3.83
adequately	advised 77:16	45:2 46:8 48:17	237:19 242:9
187:9	<b>affect</b> 100:2	48:22 49:15,23	243:19 248:23
adjust 90:21	135:3 208:4	50:3 52:21	250:13 252:3
135:14 186:7	233:6 270:8	53:25 54:4,23	257:25 262:19
246:4 247:12	286:4	54:24 65:18	264:19 265:22
adjusted 96:25	affects 286:11	66:20 89:14	267:5 273:13
126:10 131:13	<b>affirm</b> 109:12	90:4 91:18	274:8 282:19
144:11,15	affirmatively	92:18 93:17	289:24 290:8
147:7 157:9,11	40:9	95:2 98:2 99:3	290:13
158:1,4,11,17	<b>african</b> 208:20	99:5,22 104:25	<b>agreed</b> 157:22
237:8 242:9	208:25 209:12	120:5 121:19	282:5
253:13,14	209:19 210:3	124:13 126:7	agreement
254:19	210:17 211:15	134:19 136:15	264:7
adjusting	212:17	138:18 139:4	agrees 92:23
157:12 167:24	afternoon	139:11 141:6	<b>ahead</b> 68:15
247:4	46:19 205:21	141:19 142:3,7	108:6 236:1
adjustment	<b>age</b> 49:14,17,21	142:11 146:8	251:12 260:15
125:5 135:19	49:24,24	148:14 149:12	266:21 273:16
163:10 182:24	110:14 131:13	150:18 152:8	<b>al</b> 5:7,9,11,13
245:11,16	146:2 157:11	152:25 164:13	5:15,17,25 6:5
246:2,11	237:9,10	167:2 170:15	6:7,9,13,16,18
247:18	273:25	170:20 171:14	7:5,7 74:22
adjustments	agency 276:9	175:17,19,21	83:18 85:10
150:13	276:10,19,19	176:4 178:22	86:17 104:2
administration	279:16	182:13 195:2	117:15 127:3
251:15	ages 144:23	197:18 200:24	127:15 133:8
admitted 32:10	145:7 164:5,6	201:25 204:4,8	133:10 138:7
53:22 57:17	aggravated	208:23 209:12	161:24 177:7
advance 9:17	227:6	213:12 216:19	178:18 187:22
12:5 77:25	<b>aging</b> 21:18	217:13 220:16	191:10,13
adverse 286:10	<b>ago</b> 69:21	221:12 223:13	207:5 219:18
286:11	149:24 240:10	226:17,19	220:1 230:23
advertisement	244:8 261:3	229:13,19	231:4 248:10
121:25 122:7	<b>agree</b> 28:7 34:9	230:10 231:25	248:12 251:14
122:23	36:20 38:4,23	232:8,17 234:9	269:16 283:5
	39:2,21 44:9,17	234:23 235:10	285:11,18
	·		1

#### [al - appears] Page 7

286:20	133:11 134:24	257:23 258:7	238:3 243:2
alcohol 131:23	135:8,13,15,17	258:10,17,21	244:18 247:7
132:2	136:16 143:12	258:24 259:17	247:15 252:25
allen 118:23,24	143:19 145:23	281:16 286:20	258:23 259:25
119:3,18 120:3	157:7,10,15	analysts 251:18	261:24 265:1
american 4:21	158:6 159:6	analytic 250:20	answered 46:1
36:19,25 47:22	160:13 163:6,8	251:12	46:24 71:24
47:24 50:16,22	165:13,13,14	<b>analyze</b> 136:13	75:5 97:11,14
50:25 51:4	165:19,24	<b>angle</b> 17:18	112:14 116:9
161:15 208:21	166:14,25	<b>animal</b> 62:15	148:18 149:16
208:25 209:13	167:13 168:8	92:7,8,18 93:2	151:18 163:22
209:19 210:3	168:23 172:2	93:18,21	174:6 208:7
210:17 211:15	174:18 178:25	204:25 227:2	230:14 232:20
212:17 261:6	181:1 185:8	229:13 230:2	232:22 233:12
amount 112:2	188:10,23	230:10 284:3	243:8 246:8
200:14	189:5,12,15,17	<b>anna</b> 6:15	261:3
amphibole 75:1	190:2,9,10,24	248:12	answering
<b>amy</b> 6:17	191:6 193:5,7	annually 98:10	215:10
269:16	193:22 198:3,8	<b>answer</b> 9:1,5	answers 8:20
analyses 26:10	199:8 200:22	17:15,16,19,21	8:24 59:22
26:11 157:9	211:25 218:17	18:4 30:17	anticancer
165:5,6,16	221:16,23,24	33:21 42:18	32:18
183:21 184:1	226:1 231:5,19	45:21 47:3	anticipated
221:18,22	231:24 232:14	56:18 59:21	81:3
235:10	232:16 234:24	61:20 68:13	anyway 9:2
analysis 44:24	235:2,2,4,8,22	71:8,9 74:2	apologize 37:16
44:25,25 45:1,7	236:2 237:25	75:12 77:10	39:18 92:13
45:8,14,15,17	239:19 245:19	84:15 89:24	103:6 127:5
45:23,24 46:3	246:15 247:17	91:4 97:7 116:7	146:25 153:9
46:13,22 53:23	248:10 250:19	121:6 122:12	195:25 262:22
54:1 65:5,9,21	251:3,19,25	139:18 142:2	<b>appear</b> 165:18
65:24 81:16,16	252:17,19,22	142:24 143:2	210:12
84:5,11 85:7,7	253:2,21,23	148:10 150:11	appearances
85:23 100:3,4	254:20 255:13	163:23 165:12	2:1 3:1
101:25 125:4	256:1,4,9 257:8	169:9,10	appears 54:11
127:24 128:19	257:11,12,20	198:13 206:12	74:4 182:11

### [appendix - asbestos]

Page 8

appendix 79:18	approaching	<b>article</b> 5:6,8,10	269:21 270:9
80:1,5,22 83:13	254:7	5:12,14,16,24	281:14 283:5
83:16 86:11	appropriate	6:4,6,8,12,15	283:12,15,20
113:12,18	67:4 74:3,4	6:17 7:4,6	285:5,11,14,18
<b>apples</b> 258:13	85:6 147:25	24:12 25:25	285:22 286:22
application	162:9,10 178:6	55:2 80:17,21	286:25 287:3
194:16 245:6,6	190:23 194:23	80:24 81:4	287:10
applications	217:11 222:7	82:19 86:16	articles 23:14
148:1 195:3	222:10,11,12	88:9 98:22	24:7,9,24 25:19
217:11 231:8	approximate	100:21 101:24	25:24 79:13,16
289:19,21	71:5,7	102:8 104:1,3	79:24 81:18,24
applied 43:22	approximately	104:15 109:16	81:25 83:3 87:9
44:2 54:11 60:6	14:23 15:6,8	112:20,21	103:13 113:13
105:9 206:6,8	16:16 17:9	113:4,6,12	120:12 226:24
227:2 284:19	20:14 25:3	116:3 117:14	271:24,25
285:25	32:21 78:4	118:10,14	277:20 289:14
<b>applies</b> 193:10	112:8	121:23,24	asbestos 6:19
<b>apply</b> 60:2,22	approximations	122:3 123:1	6:20 24:6 25:1
60:23 145:8	71:6	126:19 127:2	29:10 30:9 68:3
199:22	<b>april</b> 1:18 6:10	129:17 130:2,3	68:5,8 69:1,7
applying 65:3	21:20 227:14	130:8 133:7,17	72:5,13,22
appraised	291:22 292:2	133:20 138:6	73:13,23 75:21
64:23,23,24	archive 264:4	138:25 140:21	76:3,22 93:11
appreciate	<b>area</b> 68:11	178:8 179:13	227:6 275:17
70:11 97:5	72:12 73:19	180:3 182:18	275:25 276:1,4
110:24 126:25	95:1 105:10	182:23 187:22	276:5,23 277:3
142:22,23	170:13 171:13	187:24 191:12	277:8,16,21,23
237:13,14	174:20 266:8	193:12 205:12	278:5,24
238:3 248:2	271:14 274:25	207:4,20	279:10,22
approach 53:24	275:3	214:16 219:18	280:5,9,13,18
54:5 62:8,10	argument 56:9	220:17 222:1	280:24 281:2,3
63:16,17,19,21	arms 251:21	224:4 230:23	281:6 284:8
63:25 65:3	<b>arps</b> 2:19	231:19 233:5	286:12 287:7
253:19 254:7	arriving 66:9	238:5,21,22	289:2,2,15,16
approaches	<b>artery</b> 32:16	239:1,14	289:18,21,23
63:20		248:12 269:16	290:1,7,15

### [ascend - attention]

Page 9

<b>ascend</b> 282:12	asking 12:14	assigned 66:21	203:8 205:18
ascertain	17:13 40:12	202:21	214:19 215:2
217:10,15	51:3 71:2 79:4	assigning	216:17 234:19
ascertainment	90:12 125:11	171:21	257:13 261:7
49:3,5	146:5 148:11	assignment	285:6 286:16
ascribe 273:10	181:14 222:2	256:7	287:12
274:6,11	246:5 259:24	assigns 200:7	associations
ascribing	asks 21:12	associate 18:18	90:14 91:12
273:21	145:7	associated 41:1	94:22 99:2
ashcraft 2:3	<b>aspect</b> 176:12	41:2 54:13	149:18 185:15
ashcraftlaw.c	177:15 194:13	55:22 94:24	187:2 260:18
2:8	aspects 24:22	99:20 100:2	288:12
<b>aside</b> 51:10	assess 132:9,21	105:7 123:21	assume 24:23
110:19 114:4	168:20 203:14	131:14 211:8	47:25 50:15
<b>asked</b> 17:3 21:7	228:21 246:25	212:12 220:3	51:15 91:4
24:9,21 34:25	assessed 132:20	224:21 281:15	130:12 133:13
37:4 45:22,25	assessing	association	189:7
46:23 55:16	195:23 228:4	44:10 50:13	assumed
65:14,15 71:23	228:16,18	55:10 56:1,5	128:19 170:14
75:4 77:15 79:5	229:2	59:23 76:21	assumes 179:3
79:7 92:1 97:10	assessment	81:13 89:10,15	assuming 58:13
112:13 137:15	45:9 47:14	89:21 90:1	120:13 134:15
141:17 145:5	50:20 54:21	91:19 95:3,9	assumption
148:17 149:15	56:11 65:1	96:18,20 118:2	128:23 129:4
151:17 157:20	66:11 67:18,19	133:12 135:21	129:23 130:10
163:21 174:5	67:22,25 75:8	141:13 143:14	130:14,22,23
208:3,6 215:11	75:11,19 85:5	145:22 146:6	assumptions
215:13 223:25	92:24 160:10	147:11,15,17	82:16 131:10
230:13 232:19	176:13 192:19	149:14 151:8	134:17,21
237:15 240:10	192:24 193:10	161:14,15,18	atherosclerosis
246:20 253:24	195:22 206:15	162:4,16 163:1	32:15
254:3,13 261:2	236:17,20,25	167:3,5 171:12	attach 279:5
264:16,21	237:19 262:14	173:24 186:20	attempted
265:2 269:3	262:25 263:1,5	192:15 193:13	240:7
275:14 277:6	267:1 271:13	196:6,17,20	attention 59:1
282:4,8 286:24	278:23 280:11	201:11 202:9	263:13 281:24

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217358

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

### [attention - beginning]

Page 10

287:19	37:3 45:22	169:24 175:5	173:22,22
attenuated	46:21 48:6 49:4	176:18 178:12	182:18 194:23
134:22 150:19	51:22,25 68:7	183:2 184:11	201:15 202:6,9
151:1 252:1	88:3 93:21	190:17 192:19	203:2 209:16
attorney	102:8,16	195:10,24,25	222:2 242:20
291:18	103:14 119:5,9	195:25 196:2	247:5 263:8
attributed	121:8,11	213:14 215:8	276:23 280:18
48:23	126:21 132:10	216:21 217:19	281:1 282:11
attrition 109:11	132:16 139:15	219:10 220:22	baseline 156:3
109:11	139:19 207:25	221:11,17	245:10
<b>author</b> 115:21	222:6 228:21	222:14 238:4	<b>bases</b> 112:11
116:3,16	231:18 268:10	238:21 239:11	basing 131:3
118:14	268:10 270:14	243:10,24	212:20 224:2
authority	b	244:7 250:17	<b>basis</b> 81:4
289:20	<b>b</b> 31:2 251:20	253:3 254:24	255:4 278:5
authors 91:5	252:18	254:24 256:13	baylen 2:13
109:15 112:20		259:9,20 260:6	beasley 118:22
114:5 126:7	<b>baby</b> 35:3 71:15	262:2,10	118:24 119:3
134:20 139:12	<b>back</b> 21:6 24:21	270:16 275:7	119:18 120:3
144:6 161:12		287:18	<b>beat</b> 8:25
168:21 171:15	26:15,17 28:24 31:10 34:20	background	becoming 49:3
207:20,22	37:16 41:24	233:24,25	114:15 226:22
210:7 213:12	42:25 44:7,7	234:3,18	281:9
214:3 231:12	53:15 58:4 61:5	<b>balance</b> 163:17	beechwood
236:1 270:15		<b>ballman</b> 263:15	1:19
available 241:5	70:12 79:11 80:8,10 83:12	ballpark 15:3	<b>began</b> 108:2
242:24 243:1	84:20 85:21	16:5	beginning 42:9
264:8 266:25	86:11 98:20	<b>barred</b> 10:6	74:21 97:18
289:17	99:17 101:16	<b>base</b> 198:6,6	104:19 105:4
average 155:16	110:12 115:25	<b>based</b> 23:10	105:14 106:18
180:25 182:13	117:11 123:24	25:19 30:16	111:1,2 128:15
209:14,15	138:2 154:4,9	42:23 50:15	134:1 155:3,7
avoid 39:24	154:10 157:19	57:1 62:15	166:4 188:9
40:4 236:3	161:4,9 163:3	73:24,25 75:8	189:3 202:17
<b>aware</b> 10:1,5	164:16 168:15	75:19 89:20	257:8
23:13,16,17,25	104.10 100.13	99:15 125:5	
	l .	1	1

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

### [begins - bottom]

<b>begins</b> 42:15	benefit 96:1	<b>biased</b> 87:11,13	<b>blah</b> 228:12,12
59:11 166:14	benefits 279:21	<b>biases</b> 87:22	228:12 257:3,3
174:17 180:14	279:21	<b>big</b> 181:6	257:3
209:4	berge 5:25	biggest 251:6	blanket 274:3
behalf 8:7	187:17,22,24	binders 47:21	<b>board</b> 23:5,9,12
belabor 8:19	188:9 191:6,14	52:7 57:7	24:8,17,18
<b>belief</b> 293:7	221:2 222:17	bindman	261:9
believe 11:23	223:14	231:13,14	<b>bodies</b> 64:22
18:11 22:18	<b>best</b> 8:23 9:15	263:17	<b>body</b> 45:18
26:20 39:22	65:2 93:6 148:1	bindman's	47:13 53:8,11
40:25 43:25	293:7	232:25 233:23	62:12,13 65:16
47:6,10 53:1	<b>better</b> 49:3,5	biologic 55:23	66:7,9 75:8
54:12 55:4,11	73:16,17 82:22	56:9,10,24	85:21,22 86:18
55:14 58:1 60:1	148:5,6 194:6	62:25 76:13	94:4,9,12,13,16
61:1 64:14	287:9	93:3 162:24	94:25 104:7,17
72:24 87:10	<b>beyond</b> 12:20	230:6 278:8	116:23 123:2
90:13 91:17	13:6 21:24	biological 66:22	195:8 199:23
97:7 108:4,7	280:15	81:19 89:20,24	206:1 228:5,19
113:25 138:9	<b>bias</b> 20:7 85:5	89:25 90:7,10	228:22 267:9
145:21,23	87:14,14,17,18	90:13,19 92:10	275:15
146:5 149:12	88:1,2,3 104:9	125:10 262:5	<b>books</b> 25:8,10
157:20 167:12	104:23,25	262:15	<b>booth</b> 239:20
167:18 168:7	110:6 111:11	<b>biology</b> 173:15	borderline
169:5 182:3	112:1,3 113:2	173:22	36:12
183:5 190:5	113:24 114:23	<b>birth</b> 38:25	boston 2:22
196:21 197:22	114:25,25	245:7	<b>bot</b> 70:24 76:20
202:12 203:25	116:15 127:16	<b>bit</b> 13:3,19	<b>bottle</b> 76:23
207:7,16	127:23 128:18	23:20 64:4	bottles 70:25
258:23 267:16	133:10,21	68:10 106:16	71:2
273:18 275:20	134:12 135:7	118:16 162:2	<b>bottom</b> 43:6
286:24	152:23 158:20	177:14 189:22	123:3 137:17
believes 44:9	176:9 187:7	193:20 204:18	140:24 147:3
279:20,21	200:2,4,13	252:2	184:17 188:15
bellwether	201:5,6 204:25	<b>black</b> 251:5	196:12 277:14
274:15	233:13	255:10	279:17,20
			289:10
L		1	

### [bound - cancer]

Page 12

<b>bound</b> 161:13	69:23 173:15	canada 6:10	89:11,16,22
161:17	195:14 201:10	45:8 63:16	91:13 93:10
<b>box</b> 79:12 251:5	221:24 222:17	64:16,20	94:24 95:16
255:10,19	bringing	160:10 203:6	96:19,24 97:23
boxes 251:4,6	173:21	203:20 204:7	97:25 98:23
boylston 2:21	brings 15:9	205:7,20,23	99:6,13 100:2
bradford 53:22	<b>broke</b> 83:14	206:24 226:23	100:11 101:1,6
53:25 54:13,16	90:17	227:7,11,14,21	101:18,22
56:21 62:22	broken 36:8	227:23 228:1	105:14 106:5
64:5 65:4,9,21	193:23 241:19	230:16 262:2,6	106:12 107:10
65:24 100:4,21	248:18	265:9,10,11	107:15,23
197:12	brought 19:14	266:9 284:13	108:17,18,21
<b>break</b> 9:3,5,6	203:18	284:16,17,21	108:23 109:3
21:5 41:17,20	<b>buffer</b> 127:16	285:3	110:7 111:10
41:23 58:3 69:8	127:18 131:3	canada's	111:20,22,23
70:4 83:9,11	<b>bullet</b> 127:13	260:17	112:9 113:1,4,5
98:19,22	191:24 194:2	canadian	113:11,22
115:22,24	<b>bullets</b> 127:12	205:23	114:13 117:13
117:8,10 138:1	c	canal 229:21	118:3 123:8
153:11 154:1,3	c 28:3 252:19	cancer 4:17,21	131:14,23
157:18 169:23	calculate 126:8	12:24 22:6,13	132:1 133:11
170:4 184:10	126:11 178:4	22:13 23:1	138:24 141:13
184:12 219:6,7	260:3	29:12,15,19,22	142:16,18
219:9 224:12	calculated	30:5,19,22	143:11 152:12
248:6,8 262:9	137:7	31:21 35:5,6,10	152:12 154:25
275:6 287:17	calculation	35:15,18,21,25	156:6,7,9,11,25
287:24	185:9,13,16,17	36:4,6,9,12,16	158:25 162:13
<b>breast</b> 49:15,22	190:11,15,19	37:5,24 38:10	163:7 164:12
50:4,5 131:13	191:1,9	40:7,19 41:1,2	167:6 168:13
131:23	calculations	41:15 42:1,17	171:13 173:25
<b>brief</b> 219:22	178:5 190:22	44:13 45:4 46:9	174:20 177:4,7
briefly 59:7	call 25:16 27:2	47:22,24 48:7	177:10,16
109:21 184:9	27:21 55:12	49:15,22 50:5	178:8 179:7
230:15 272:17	called 140:7	50:14,16,23	184:23 187:5,6
<b>bring</b> 10:10	269:18 278:16	51:4 76:4 84:1	189:13 190:5
59:1 60:11	207.10 270.10	85:11 86:19	192:8,16,20,25

#### [cancer - causal]

193:9,11,14				8
203:2,18,22,23         280:13 284:9         98:1,3 117:20         148:25 149:5,8           204:3 208:11         286:12         134:8 135:16         150:19,23,24           214:18 216:8         92:9,19 93:18         142:18 156:12         150:25 151:2           216:18 220:4         92:9,19 93:18         142:18 156:12         153:17 155:1           226:1,11         94:6 226:15         165:19 177:5         155:16,20,21           231:10 234:7         227:5 282:6,19         181:19 183:12         156:24 157:24           234:21 241:25         282:25         183:20,21         177:4,6 184:23           260:20 266:1         93:15         185:6,9,14,20         187:4,5,6,13,15           268:13,22         2arcinogenicity         185:25 186:18         189:6,6,6,13,14           273:10 274:24         92:7 94:7,9         186:25 187:1,4         189:25 190:2,3           275:17 278:4         205:12         187:9,11         190:3,5 209:8           281:11,15         111:4         189:18 190:24         241:25 253:9,9           282:15 285:8         2arrying         199:1,5 209:18         241:25 253:9,9           285:20,24         137:17         221:22 222:8         248:18 250:13           286:17 288:5         asee 4:24 8:18         222:13 223:11         253:20,	193:9,11,14	carcinogen	88:24 89:9	128:24 130:11
204:3 208:11         286:12         134:8 135:16         150:19,23,24           214:18 216:8         92:9,19 93:18         136:8 137:6         150:25 151:2           216:18 220:4         92:9,19 93:18         142:18 156:12         153:17 155:1           226:1,11         94:6 226:15         165:19 177:5         155:16,20,21           231:10 234:7         227:5 282:6,19         181:19 183:12         156:24 157:24           234:21 241:25         282:25         183:20,21         177:4,6 184:23           260:20 266:1         2arcinogenic         184:24,25,25         185:6,7,18           267:21,21,24         93:15         185:55 186:18         187:4,56,13,15           268:13,22         carcinogenicity         185:55 186:18         187:4,56,13,15           275:17 278:4         205:12         187:9,11         190:3,5 209:8           279:12,23,24         261:11         189:18 190:24         209:11 241:19           280:10 281:1         carries 26:5,6         197:5,5,9,24         253:11 259:3           281:11,15         111:4         198:9,19,22,23         2atch 130:2           282:15 285:8         carrying         199:1,5 209:18         222:13 223:11         253:0,22           285:10;13         323:14:3,24         237:20 247:8	196:19 202:6	279:23 280:5,9	91:11 96:20	134:16 143:8
214:18 216:8         carcinogenesis         136:8 137:6         150:25 151:2           216:18 220:4         92:9,19 93:18         142:18 156:12         153:17 155:1           226:1,11         94:6 226:15         165:19 177:5         155:16,20,21           231:10 234:7         227:5 282:6,19         181:19 183:12         156:24 157:24           234:21 241:25         282:25         183:20,21         177:4,6 184:23           260:20 266:1         267:21,21,24         93:15         185:69,14,20         187:4,5,6,13,15           268:13,22         2crcinogenicity         185:25 186:18         189:6,6,6,13,14           273:10 274:24         92:7 94:7,9         186:25 187:1,4         189:25 190:2,3           275:17 278:4         205:12         187:9,11         190:3,5 209:8           279:12,23,24         261:11         189:18 190:24         209:11 241:19           281:11,15         111:4         198:9,19,22,23         24t1:25 253:9,9           285:20,24         137:17         221:22 222:8         248:18 250:13           286:17 288:5         2ase 4:24 8:18         222:13 223:11         253:20,22           290:15         13:23 14:3,24         237:20 247:8         255:10,11,19           299:10,16,18,23         27:19 28:3,8,15         275:9,11 <td>203:2,18,22,23</td> <td>280:13 284:9</td> <td>98:1,3 117:20</td> <td>148:25 149:5,8</td>	203:2,18,22,23	280:13 284:9	98:1,3 117:20	148:25 149:5,8
216:18 220:4         92:9,19 93:18         142:18 156:12         153:17 155:1           226:1,11         94:6 226:15         165:19 177:5         155:16,20,21           231:10 234:7         227:5 282:6,19         181:19 183:12         156:24 157:24           234:21 241:25         282:25         183:20,21         177:4,6 184:23           260:20 266:1         carcinogenic         184:24,25,25         185:6,7,18           267:21,21,24         93:15         185:6,9,14,20         187:4,5,6,13,15           268:13,22         carcinogenicity         185:25 186:18         189:6,6,6,13,14           273:10 274:24         92:7 94:7,9         186:25 187:1,4         189:25 190:2,3           275:17 278:4         205:12         187:9,11         190:3,5 209:8           279:12,23,24         261:11         188:10,24         209:11 241:19           280:10 281:1         carries 26:5,6         197:5,5,9,24         253:11 259:3           281:11,15         111:4         198:9,19,22,23         catch 130:2           288:19,21         8:25 11:13         231:5 232:11         253:20,22           288:19,21         8:25 11:13         231:5 232:11         253:20,22           290:15         13:23 14:3,24         237:20 247:8         248:18 250:13      <	204:3 208:11	286:12	134:8 135:16	150:19,23,24
226:1,11         94:6 226:15         165:19 177:5         155:16,20,21           231:10 234:7         227:5 282:6,19         181:19 183:12         156:24 157:24           234:21 241:25         282:25         183:20,21         177:4,6 184:23           260:20 266:1         carcinogenic         184:24,25,25         185:6,7,18           267:21,21,24         93:15         185:6,9,14,20         187:4,5,6,13,15           268:13,22         carcinogenicity         185:25 186:18         189:6,6,6,13,14           273:10 274:24         92:7 94:7,9         186:25 187:1,4         189:25 190:2,3           275:17 278:4         205:12         187:9,11         190:3,5 209:8           278:10,25         care 23:7         188:10,24         209:11 24:19           280:10 281:1         carries 26:5,6         197:5,5,9,24         253:11 259:3           281:11,15         111:4         198:9,19,22,23           285:20,24         137:17         221:22 222:8         248:18 250:13           285:19,21         8:25 11:13         231:5 232:11         253:20,22           288:19,21         8:25 11:13         231:5 232:11         253:20,22           290:15         13:23 14:3,24         237:20 247:8         254:10           cancers 35:13	214:18 216:8	carcinogenesis	136:8 137:6	150:25 151:2
231:10 234:7         227:5 282:6,19         181:19 183:12         156:24 157:24           234:21 241:25         282:25         183:20,21         177:4,6 184:23           260:20 266:1         carcinogenic         184:24,25,25         185:6,7,18           267:21,21,24         93:15         185:6,9,14,20         187:4,5,6,13,15           268:13,22         carcinogenicity         185:25 186:18         189:6,6,6,13,14           273:10 274:24         92:7 94:7,9         186:25 187:1,4         189:25 190:2,3           275:17 278:4         205:12         187:9,11         190:3,5 209:8           278:10,25         care 23:7         188:10,24         209:11 241:19           279:12,23,24         261:11         189:18 190:24         241:25 253:9,9           281:11,15         111:4         198:9,19,22,23         catch 130:2           282:15 285:8         carrying         199:1,5 209:18         248:18 250:13           285:20,24         137:17         221:22 222:8         248:18 250:13           288:19,21         8:25 11:13         231:5 232:11         253:20,22           290:15         13:23 14:3,24         237:20 247:8         254:10           cancers 35:13         20:6 26:25 27:1         260:22 273:10         43:10 80:18	216:18 220:4	92:9,19 93:18	142:18 156:12	153:17 155:1
234:21 241:25         282:25         183:20,21         177:4,6 184:23           260:20 266:1         carcinogenic         184:24,25,25         185:6,7,18           267:21,21,24         93:15         185:6,9,14,20         187:4,5,6,13,15           268:13,22         carcinogenicity         185:25 186:18         189:6,6,6,13,14           273:10 274:24         92:7 94:7,9         186:25 187:1,4         189:25 190:2,3           275:17 278:4         205:12         187:9,11         190:3,5 209:8           278:10,25         care 23:7         188:10,24         209:11 241:19           279:12,23,24         261:11         189:18 190:24         241:25 253:9,9           280:10 281:1         carries 26:5,6         197:5,5,9,24         253:11 259:3         catch 130:2           281:11,15         111:4         198:9,19,22,23         catch 130:2         categories           285:20,24         137:17         221:22 222:8         248:18 250:13         252:10,11,19           288:19,21         8:25 11:13         231:5 232:11         253:20,22         248:18           280:17 288:5         case 4:24 8:18         222:13 223:11         253:20,22         254:10           290:15         13:23 14:3,24         237:20 247:8         254:10         254:10 </td <td>226:1,11</td> <td>94:6 226:15</td> <td>165:19 177:5</td> <td>155:16,20,21</td>	226:1,11	94:6 226:15	165:19 177:5	155:16,20,21
260:20 266:1         carcinogenic         184:24,25,25         185:6,7,18           267:21,21,24         93:15         185:6,9,14,20         187:4,5,6,13,15           268:13,22         carcinogenicity         185:25 186:18         189:6,6,6,13,14           273:10 274:24         92:7 94:7,9         186:25 187:1,4         189:25 190:2,3           275:17 278:4         205:12         187:9,11         190:3,5 209:8           278:10,25         care 23:7         188:10,24         209:11 241:19           279:12,23,24         261:11         189:18 190:24         241:25 253:9,9           280:10 281:1         carries 26:5,6         197:5,5,9,24         253:11 259:3           281:11,15         111:4         198:9,19,22,23         catch 130:2           282:15 285:8         carrying         199:1,5 209:18         catch 130:2           285:19,21         8:25 11:13         231:5 232:11         252:10,11,19           288:19,21         8:25 11:13         231:5 232:11         253:20,22           290:15         13:23 14:3,24         237:20 247:8         254:10           cancers 35:13         20:6 26:25 27:1         257:9,11         category 43:8           36:13 98:9         27:9,14,15,16         260:22 273:10         43:10 80:18	231:10 234:7	227:5 282:6,19	181:19 183:12	156:24 157:24
267:21,21,24         93:15         185:6,9,14,20         187:4,5,6,13,15           268:13,22         carcinogenicity         185:25 186:18         189:6,6,6,13,14           273:10 274:24         92:7 94:7,9         186:25 187:1,4         189:25 190:2,3           275:17 278:4         205:12         187:9,11         190:3,5 209:8           278:10,25         care 23:7         188:10,24         209:11 241:19           279:12,23,24         261:11         189:18 190:24         241:25 253:9,9           280:10 281:1         carries 26:5,6         197:5,5,9,24         253:11 259:3           281:11,15         111:4         198:9,19,22,23         catch 130:2           282:15 285:8         carrying         199:1,5 209:18         catch 130:2           285:19,24         137:17         221:22 222:8         248:18 250:13           286:17 288:5         case 4:24 8:18         222:13 223:11         252:10,11,19           288:19,21         8:25 11:13         231:5 232:11         253:20,22           290:15         13:23 14:3,24         237:20 247:8         254:10           cancers 35:13         20:6 26:25 27:1         257:9,11         category 43:8           36:13 98:9         27:9,14,15,16         260:22 273:10         43:10 80:18	234:21 241:25	282:25	183:20,21	177:4,6 184:23
268:13,22         carcinogenicity         185:25 186:18         189:6,6,6,13,14           273:10 274:24         92:7 94:7,9         186:25 187:1,4         189:25 190:2,3           275:17 278:4         205:12         187:9,11         190:3,5 209:8           278:10,25         care 23:7         188:10,24         209:11 241:19           279:12,23,24         261:11         189:18 190:24         241:25 253:9,9           280:10 281:1         carries 26:5,6         197:5,5,9,24         253:11 259:3           281:11,15         111:4         198:9,19,22,23         catch 130:2           282:15 285:8         carrying         199:1,5 209:18         248:18 250:13           285:20,24         137:17         221:22 222:8         248:18 250:13           286:17 288:5         case 4:24 8:18         222:13 223:11         253:20,22           288:19,21         8:25 11:13         231:5 232:11         253:20,22           290:15         3:23 14:3,24         237:20 247:8         254:10           cancers 35:13         20:6 26:25 27:1         257:9,11         43:10 80:18           99:10,16,18,23         27:19 28:3,8,15         273:23,23,25         241:24 243:20           105:8 177:17         30:1 31:7,18,24         279:6 280:25         250:4 251:10	260:20 266:1	carcinogenic	184:24,25,25	185:6,7,18
273:10 274:24         92:7 94:7,9         186:25 187:1,4         189:25 190:2,3           275:17 278:4         205:12         187:9,11         190:3,5 209:8           278:10,25         care 23:7         188:10,24         209:11 241:19           279:12,23,24         261:11         189:18 190:24         241:25 253:9,9           280:10 281:1         carries 26:5,6         197:5,5,9,24         253:11 259:3           281:11,15         111:4         198:9,19,22,23         catch 130:2           282:15 285:8         carrying         199:1,5 209:18         248:18 250:13           285:20,24         137:17         221:22 222:8         248:18 250:13           286:17 288:5         case 4:24 8:18         222:13 223:11         252:10,11,19           288:19,21         8:25 11:13         231:5 232:11         253:20,22           290:15         13:23 14:3,24         237:20 247:8         254:10           cancers 35:13         20:6 26:25 27:1         257:9,11         43:10 80:18           99:10,16,18,23         27:19 28:3,8,15         273:23,23,25         241:24 243:20           105:8 177:17         30:1 31:7,18,24         279:6 280:25         250:4 251:10           265:23 266:5         34:23 35:20         285:15 292:3         252:17 254:8	267:21,21,24	93:15	185:6,9,14,20	187:4,5,6,13,15
275:17 278:4         205:12         187:9,11         190:3,5 209:8           278:10,25         care 23:7         188:10,24         209:11 241:19           279:12,23,24         261:11         189:18 190:24         241:25 253:9,9           280:10 281:1         carries 26:5,6         197:5,5,9,24         253:11 259:3           281:11,15         111:4         198:9,19,22,23         catch 130:2           282:15 285:8         carrying         199:1,5 209:18         categories           285:20,24         137:17         221:22 222:8         248:18 250:13           286:17 288:5         case 4:24 8:18         222:13 223:11         252:10,11,19           288:19,21         8:25 11:13         231:5 232:11         253:20,22           290:15         13:23 14:3,24         237:20 247:8         254:10           cancers 35:13         20:6 26:25 27:1         257:9,11         category 43:8           36:13 98:9         27:9,14,15,16         260:22 273:10         43:10 80:18           99:10,16,18,23         27:19 28:3,8,15         273:23,23,25         241:24 243:20           105:8 177:17         30:1 31:7,18,24         274:4 277:25         243:21 247:3,6           277:1,17 279:3         45:19 47:2 49:6         cases 33:18         254:15      <	268:13,22	carcinogenicity	185:25 186:18	189:6,6,6,13,14
278:10,25         care         23:7         188:10,24         209:11 241:19           279:12,23,24         261:11         189:18 190:24         241:25 253:9,9           280:10 281:1         carries         26:5,6         197:5,5,9,24         253:11 259:3           281:11,15         111:4         198:9,19,22,23         catch         130:2           282:15 285:8         carrying         199:1,5 209:18         248:18 250:13           285:20,24         137:17         221:22 222:8         248:18 250:13           286:17 288:5         case         4:24 8:18         222:13 223:11         252:10,11,19           288:19,21         8:25 11:13         231:5 232:11         253:20,22           290:15         13:23 14:3,24         237:20 247:8         254:10           cancers         35:13         20:6 26:25 27:1         257:9,11         category         43:8           36:13 98:9         27:9,14,15,16         260:22 273:10         43:10 80:18         24:124 243:20           105:8 177:17         30:1 31:7,18,24         274:4 277:25         243:21 247:3,6           177:18 245:11         32:13,22 34:22         279:6 280:25         250:4 251:10           265:23 266:5         34:23 35:20         285:15 292:3         252:17 254:8	273:10 274:24	92:7 94:7,9	186:25 187:1,4	189:25 190:2,3
279:12,23,24         261:11         189:18 190:24         241:25 253:9,9           280:10 281:1         carries 26:5,6         197:5,5,9,24         253:11 259:3           281:11,15         111:4         198:9,19,22,23         catch 130:2           282:15 285:8         carrying         199:1,5 209:18         248:18 250:13           285:20,24         137:17         221:22 22:8         248:18 250:13           286:17 288:5         case 4:24 8:18         222:13 223:11         252:10,11,19           288:19,21         8:25 11:13         231:5 232:11         253:20,22           290:15         13:23 14:3,24         237:20 247:8         254:10           cancers 35:13         20:6 26:25 27:1         257:9,11         category 43:8           36:13 98:9         27:9,14,15,16         260:22 273:10         43:10 80:18           99:10,16,18,23         27:19 28:3,8,15         273:23,23,25         241:24 243:20           105:8 177:17         30:1 31:7,18,24         274:4 277:25         243:21 247:3,6           277:1,17 279:3         45:19 47:2 49:6         cases 33:18         254:15           280:7 288:16         51:13,24 52:9         57:3 60:21         53:8 56:7,11           191:25         57:10 61:6,12         74:25 79:9 85:5         63:15 64:4 65:8 </td <td>275:17 278:4</td> <td>205:12</td> <td>187:9,11</td> <td>190:3,5 209:8</td>	275:17 278:4	205:12	187:9,11	190:3,5 209:8
280:10 281:1         carries         26:5,6         197:5,5,9,24         253:11 259:3           281:11,15         111:4         198:9,19,22,23         catch 130:2           282:15 285:8         carrying         199:1,5 209:18         categories           285:20,24         137:17         221:22 222:8         248:18 250:13           286:17 288:5         case 4:24 8:18         222:13 223:11         252:10,11,19           288:19,21         8:25 11:13         231:5 232:11         253:20,22           290:15         13:23 14:3,24         237:20 247:8         254:10           cancers 35:13         20:6 26:25 27:1         257:9,11         category 43:8           36:13 98:9         27:9,14,15,16         260:22 273:10         43:10 80:18           99:10,16,18,23         27:19 28:3,8,15         273:23,23,25         241:24 243:20           105:8 177:17         30:1 31:7,18,24         274:4 277:25         243:21 247:3,6           177:18 245:11         32:13,22 34:22         279:6 280:25         250:4 251:10           265:23 266:5         34:23 35:20         285:15 292:3         252:17 254:8           277:1,17 279:3         45:19 47:2 49:6         cases 33:18         254:15           280:7 288:16         51:3,24 52:9         57:3 60:21	278:10,25	care 23:7	188:10,24	209:11 241:19
281:11,15         111:4         198:9,19,22,23         catch 130:2           282:15 285:8         137:17         221:22 222:8         248:18 250:13           286:17 288:5         case 4:24 8:18         222:13 223:11         252:10,11,19           288:19,21         8:25 11:13         231:5 232:11         253:20,22           290:15         13:23 14:3,24         237:20 247:8         254:10           cancers 35:13         20:6 26:25 27:1         257:9,11         category 43:8           36:13 98:9         27:9,14,15,16         260:22 273:10         43:10 80:18           99:10,16,18,23         27:19 28:3,8,15         273:23,23,25         241:24 243:20           105:8 177:17         30:1 31:7,18,24         274:4 277:25         243:21 247:3,6           177:18 245:11         32:13,22 34:22         279:6 280:25         250:4 251:10           265:23 266:5         34:23 35:20         285:15 292:3         252:17 254:8           277:1,17 279:3         45:19 47:2 49:6         cases 33:18         254:15           280:7 288:16         51:13,24 52:9         34:6,10 55:22         causal 12:15           capital 199:16         74:24 75:3,10         74:25 79:9 85:5         62:9 63:2,8,12           capital 199:16         75:24 76:4         124:10,18 <td< td=""><td>279:12,23,24</td><td>261:11</td><td>189:18 190:24</td><td>241:25 253:9,9</td></td<>	279:12,23,24	261:11	189:18 190:24	241:25 253:9,9
282:15 285:8         carrying         199:1,5 209:18         categories           285:20,24         137:17         221:22 222:8         248:18 250:13           286:17 288:5         case 4:24 8:18         222:13 223:11         252:10,11,19           288:19,21         8:25 11:13         231:5 232:11         253:20,22           290:15         13:23 14:3,24         237:20 247:8         254:10           cancers 35:13         20:6 26:25 27:1         257:9,11         category 43:8           36:13 98:9         27:9,14,15,16         260:22 273:10         43:10 80:18           99:10,16,18,23         27:19 28:3,8,15         273:23,23,25         241:24 243:20           105:8 177:17         30:1 31:7,18,24         274:4 277:25         243:21 247:3,6           177:18 245:11         32:13,22 34:22         279:6 280:25         250:4 251:10           265:23 266:5         34:23 35:20         285:15 292:3         252:17 254:8           277:1,17 279:3         45:19 47:2 49:6         cases 33:18         254:15           280:7 288:16         51:13,24 52:9         57:3 60:21         53:8 56:7,11           191:25         57:10 61:6,12         74:25 79:9 85:5         62:9 63:2,8,12           capital 199:16         74:24 75:3,10         123:7,15 124:3	280:10 281:1	<b>carries</b> 26:5,6	197:5,5,9,24	253:11 259:3
285:20,24       137:17       221:22 222:8       248:18 250:13         286:17 288:5       case 4:24 8:18       222:13 223:11       252:10,11,19         288:19,21       8:25 11:13       231:5 232:11       253:20,22         290:15       13:23 14:3,24       237:20 247:8       254:10         cancers 35:13       20:6 26:25 27:1       257:9,11       category 43:8         36:13 98:9       27:9,14,15,16       260:22 273:10       43:10 80:18         99:10,16,18,23       27:19 28:3,8,15       273:23,23,25       241:24 243:20         105:8 177:17       30:1 31:7,18,24       274:4 277:25       243:21 247:3,6         177:18 245:11       32:13,22 34:22       279:6 280:25       250:4 251:10         265:23 266:5       34:23 35:20       285:15 292:3       252:17 254:8         277:1,17 279:3       45:19 47:2 49:6       cases 33:18       254:15         280:7 288:16       51:13,24 52:9       34:6,10 55:22       causal 12:15         candidly 53:21       57:10 61:6,12       74:25 79:9 85:5       62:9 63:2,8,12         capital 199:16       74:24 75:3,10       123:7,15 124:3       63:15 64:4 65:8         capture 39:7       75:24 76:4       124:10,18       65:13 66:6,6,9	281:11,15	111:4	198:9,19,22,23	<b>catch</b> 130:2
286:17 288:5         case 4:24 8:18         222:13 223:11         252:10,11,19           288:19,21         8:25 11:13         231:5 232:11         253:20,22           290:15         13:23 14:3,24         237:20 247:8         254:10           cancers 35:13         20:6 26:25 27:1         257:9,11         category 43:8           36:13 98:9         27:9,14,15,16         260:22 273:10         43:10 80:18           99:10,16,18,23         27:19 28:3,8,15         273:23,23,25         241:24 243:20           105:8 177:17         30:1 31:7,18,24         274:4 277:25         243:21 247:3,6           177:18 245:11         32:13,22 34:22         279:6 280:25         250:4 251:10           265:23 266:5         34:23 35:20         285:15 292:3         252:17 254:8           277:1,17 279:3         45:19 47:2 49:6         cases 33:18         254:15           280:7 288:16         51:13,24 52:9         57:3 60:21         53:8 56:7,11           191:25         57:10 61:6,12         74:25 79:9 85:5         62:9 63:2,8,12           capital 199:16         74:24 75:3,10         123:7,15 124:3         63:15 64:4 65:8           capture 39:7         75:24 76:4         124:10,18         65:13 66:6,6,9	282:15 285:8	carrying	199:1,5 209:18	categories
288:19,21       8:25 11:13       231:5 232:11       253:20,22         290:15       13:23 14:3,24       237:20 247:8       254:10         cancers 35:13       20:6 26:25 27:1       257:9,11       category 43:8         36:13 98:9       27:9,14,15,16       260:22 273:10       43:10 80:18         99:10,16,18,23       27:19 28:3,8,15       273:23,23,25       241:24 243:20         105:8 177:17       30:1 31:7,18,24       274:4 277:25       243:21 247:3,6         177:18 245:11       32:13,22 34:22       279:6 280:25       250:4 251:10         265:23 266:5       34:23 35:20       285:15 292:3       252:17 254:8         277:1,17 279:3       45:19 47:2 49:6       cases 33:18       254:15         280:7 288:16       51:13,24 52:9       34:6,10 55:22       causal 12:15         candidly 53:21       53:2,7 55:13,20       57:3 60:21       53:8 56:7,11         191:25       57:10 61:6,12       74:25 79:9 85:5       62:9 63:2,8,12         capital 199:16       74:24 75:3,10       123:7,15 124:3       63:15 64:4 65:8         capture 39:7       75:24 76:4       124:10,18       65:13 66:6,6,9	285:20,24	137:17	221:22 222:8	248:18 250:13
290:15         13:23 14:3,24         237:20 247:8         254:10           cancers         35:13         20:6 26:25 27:1         257:9,11         category         43:8           36:13 98:9         27:9,14,15,16         260:22 273:10         43:10 80:18           99:10,16,18,23         27:19 28:3,8,15         273:23,23,25         241:24 243:20           105:8 177:17         30:1 31:7,18,24         274:4 277:25         243:21 247:3,6           177:18 245:11         32:13,22 34:22         279:6 280:25         250:4 251:10           265:23 266:5         34:23 35:20         285:15 292:3         252:17 254:8           277:1,17 279:3         45:19 47:2 49:6         cases 33:18         254:15           280:7 288:16         51:13,24 52:9         34:6,10 55:22         causal 12:15           candidly 53:21         53:2,7 55:13,20         57:3 60:21         53:8 56:7,11           191:25         57:10 61:6,12         74:25 79:9 85:5         62:9 63:2,8,12           capital 199:16         74:24 75:3,10         123:7,15 124:3         63:15 64:4 65:8           capture 39:7         75:24 76:4         124:10,18         65:13 66:6,6,9	286:17 288:5	case 4:24 8:18	222:13 223:11	252:10,11,19
cancers         35:13         20:6 26:25 27:1         257:9,11         category         43:8           36:13 98:9         27:9,14,15,16         260:22 273:10         43:10 80:18           99:10,16,18,23         27:19 28:3,8,15         273:23,23,25         241:24 243:20           105:8 177:17         30:1 31:7,18,24         274:4 277:25         243:21 247:3,6           177:18 245:11         32:13,22 34:22         279:6 280:25         250:4 251:10           265:23 266:5         34:23 35:20         285:15 292:3         252:17 254:8           277:1,17 279:3         45:19 47:2 49:6         cases 33:18         254:15           280:7 288:16         51:13,24 52:9         34:6,10 55:22         causal 12:15           candidly 53:21         53:2,7 55:13,20         57:3 60:21         53:8 56:7,11           191:25         57:10 61:6,12         74:25 79:9 85:5         62:9 63:2,8,12           capital 199:16         74:24 75:3,10         123:7,15 124:3         63:15 64:4 65:8           capture 39:7         75:24 76:4         124:10,18         65:13 66:6,6,9	288:19,21	8:25 11:13	231:5 232:11	253:20,22
36:13 98:9       27:9,14,15,16       260:22 273:10       43:10 80:18         99:10,16,18,23       27:19 28:3,8,15       273:23,23,25       241:24 243:20         105:8 177:17       30:1 31:7,18,24       274:4 277:25       243:21 247:3,6         177:18 245:11       32:13,22 34:22       279:6 280:25       250:4 251:10         265:23 266:5       34:23 35:20       285:15 292:3       252:17 254:8         277:1,17 279:3       45:19 47:2 49:6       cases 33:18       254:15         280:7 288:16       51:13,24 52:9       34:6,10 55:22       causal 12:15         candidly 53:21       53:2,7 55:13,20       57:3 60:21       53:8 56:7,11         191:25       57:10 61:6,12       74:25 79:9 85:5       62:9 63:2,8,12         capital 199:16       74:24 75:3,10       123:7,15 124:3       63:15 64:4 65:8         capture 39:7       75:24 76:4       124:10,18       65:13 66:6,6,9	290:15	13:23 14:3,24	237:20 247:8	254:10
99:10,16,18,23       27:19 28:3,8,15       273:23,23,25       241:24 243:20         105:8 177:17       30:1 31:7,18,24       274:4 277:25       243:21 247:3,6         177:18 245:11       32:13,22 34:22       279:6 280:25       250:4 251:10         265:23 266:5       34:23 35:20       285:15 292:3       252:17 254:8         277:1,17 279:3       45:19 47:2 49:6       cases 33:18       254:15         280:7 288:16       51:13,24 52:9       34:6,10 55:22       causal 12:15         candidly 53:21       53:2,7 55:13,20       57:3 60:21       53:8 56:7,11         191:25       57:10 61:6,12       74:25 79:9 85:5       62:9 63:2,8,12         capital 199:16       74:24 75:3,10       123:7,15 124:3       63:15 64:4 65:8         capture 39:7       75:24 76:4       124:10,18       65:13 66:6,6,9	cancers 35:13	20:6 26:25 27:1	257:9,11	category 43:8
105:8 177:17       30:1 31:7,18,24       274:4 277:25       243:21 247:3,6         177:18 245:11       32:13,22 34:22       279:6 280:25       250:4 251:10         265:23 266:5       34:23 35:20       285:15 292:3       252:17 254:8         277:1,17 279:3       45:19 47:2 49:6       cases 33:18       254:15         280:7 288:16       51:13,24 52:9       34:6,10 55:22       causal 12:15         candidly 53:21       53:2,7 55:13,20       57:3 60:21       53:8 56:7,11         191:25       57:10 61:6,12       74:25 79:9 85:5       62:9 63:2,8,12         capital 199:16       74:24 75:3,10       123:7,15 124:3       63:15 64:4 65:8         capture 39:7       75:24 76:4       124:10,18       65:13 66:6,6,9	36:13 98:9	27:9,14,15,16	260:22 273:10	43:10 80:18
177:18 245:11       32:13,22 34:22       279:6 280:25       250:4 251:10         265:23 266:5       34:23 35:20       285:15 292:3       252:17 254:8         277:1,17 279:3       45:19 47:2 49:6       cases 33:18       254:15         280:7 288:16       51:13,24 52:9       34:6,10 55:22       causal 12:15         candidly 53:21       53:2,7 55:13,20       57:3 60:21       53:8 56:7,11         191:25       57:10 61:6,12       74:25 79:9 85:5       62:9 63:2,8,12         capital 199:16       74:24 75:3,10       123:7,15 124:3       63:15 64:4 65:8         capture 39:7       75:24 76:4       124:10,18       65:13 66:6,6,9	99:10,16,18,23	27:19 28:3,8,15	273:23,23,25	241:24 243:20
265:23 266:5       34:23 35:20       285:15 292:3       252:17 254:8         277:1,17 279:3       45:19 47:2 49:6       cases 33:18       254:15         280:7 288:16       51:13,24 52:9       34:6,10 55:22       causal 12:15         candidly 53:21       53:2,7 55:13,20       57:3 60:21       53:8 56:7,11         191:25       57:10 61:6,12       74:25 79:9 85:5       62:9 63:2,8,12         capital 199:16       74:24 75:3,10       123:7,15 124:3       63:15 64:4 65:8         capture 39:7       75:24 76:4       124:10,18       65:13 66:6,6,9	105:8 177:17	/ /	274:4 277:25	243:21 247:3,6
277:1,17 279:3       45:19 47:2 49:6       cases 33:18       254:15         280:7 288:16       51:13,24 52:9       34:6,10 55:22       causal 12:15         candidly 53:21       53:2,7 55:13,20       57:3 60:21       53:8 56:7,11         191:25       57:10 61:6,12       74:25 79:9 85:5       62:9 63:2,8,12         capital 199:16       74:24 75:3,10       123:7,15 124:3       63:15 64:4 65:8         capture 39:7       75:24 76:4       124:10,18       65:13 66:6,6,9	177:18 245:11	32:13,22 34:22	279:6 280:25	250:4 251:10
280:7 288:16       51:13,24 52:9       34:6,10 55:22       causal 12:15         candidly 53:21       53:2,7 55:13,20       57:3 60:21       53:8 56:7,11         191:25       57:10 61:6,12       74:25 79:9 85:5       62:9 63:2,8,12         capital 199:16       74:24 75:3,10       123:7,15 124:3       63:15 64:4 65:8         capture 39:7       75:24 76:4       124:10,18       65:13 66:6,6,9	265:23 266:5	34:23 35:20	285:15 292:3	252:17 254:8
candidly       53:21       53:2,7 55:13,20       57:3 60:21       53:8 56:7,11         191:25       57:10 61:6,12       74:25 79:9 85:5       62:9 63:2,8,12         capital       199:16       74:24 75:3,10       123:7,15 124:3       63:15 64:4 65:8         capture       39:7       75:24 76:4       124:10,18       65:13 66:6,6,9	277:1,17 279:3	45:19 47:2 49:6	<b>cases</b> 33:18	254:15
191:25       57:10 61:6,12       74:25 79:9 85:5       62:9 63:2,8,12         capital 199:16       74:24 75:3,10       123:7,15 124:3       63:15 64:4 65:8         capture 39:7       75:24 76:4       124:10,18       65:13 66:6,6,9	280:7 288:16	51:13,24 52:9	34:6,10 55:22	causal 12:15
capital       199:16       74:24 75:3,10       123:7,15 124:3       63:15 64:4 65:8         capture       39:7       75:24 76:4       124:10,18       65:13 66:6,6,9	_	, , ,		·
<b>capture</b> 39:7 75:24 76:4 124:10,18 65:13 66:6,6,9		· ·		
• ' '	_	<u>'</u>	,	
81:15 85:22   126:15 128:20   75:2,6,11 81:20	capture 39:7		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
		81:15 85:22	126:15 128:20	75:2,6,11 81:20

168:12,12         caution 273:19         changed 150:13         2:12           176:17 201:11         cc 293:25         changes 18:15         227:3 277:2           201:15 202:9         cdc 50:25 51:1         227:5 293:7         279:3 282:13           203:8 204:1,2         cell 35:25 36:5         chapters         283:17 284:5           205:18,18         193:2,14 266:3         199:17         chrysotile 6:20           225:25 267:2         cells 93:8 284:4         characteristic         276:22 277:3           280:11 281:4         283:18         center 33:8         characteristics         276:22 277:3           280:11 281:4         283:18         center 33:8         characteristics         276:22 277:3           280:11 281:4         283:18         characteristics         276:22 277:3           280:13 109:12         280:5 289:2         112:6,18         chu 3:3 41:12           281:11         center 33:8         characteristics         chu 3:3 41:12           281:19         57:3 60:7,21         c63:23 276:1,5         characterized         ci 220:5 251:17           282:19 13:10         23:24 278:2         289:15         charged 13:8         citations 42:20           20:2,3 206:15         276:23 289:3         98:24         citations 42:20	1.02.1.01.00.10	• 207.0	202.0	
174:1 176:13	162:16 168:10	causing 287:8	293:9	christopher
176:17 201:11	'			
201:15 202:9         cdc         50:25 51:1         227:5 293:7         279:3 282:13           203:8 204:1,2         cell         35:25 36:5         chapters         283:17 284:5           205:18,18         193:2,14 266:3         199:17         chrysotile         6:20           227:25 267:2         cells         93:8 284:4         characteristic         275:25 276:5           278:2 279:12         cellular         282:15         265:16         276:22 277:3           280:11 281:4         283:18         characteristic         275:25 276:5           281:11         center         33:8         characteristics         278:24 279:22           281:11         center         33:8         characteristics         278:24 279:22           281:11         center         33:8         characteristics         278:24 279:22           281:11         57:3 60:7,21         236:8         characterizati         ci 220:5 251:17           29:25 193:10         63:23 276:1,5         charge 13:2         citations         42:20           24:24 278:3         certainly         charge 13:2         citations         42:20           23:4:24 277:8         200:23 206:15         200:21 280:4         charging 13:1         264:21           24:24				
203:8 204:1,2   205:18,18   193:2,14 266:3   199:17   275:25 276:5   276:22 277:3   280:11 281:4   283:18   281:11   281:14   282:15   283:18   281:11   281:14   281:15   281:14   281:15   281:14   281:15   281:14   281:15   281:14   281:15   281:14   281:14   281:14   281:14   281:14   281:14   281:14   281:14   281:14   281:14   281:14   281:14   281:14   281:14   281:17   2				
205:18,18         193:2,14 266:3         199:17         chrysotile         6:20           225:25 267:2         cells         93:8 284:4         characteristic         275:25 276:5         276:22 277:3           280:11 281:4         283:18         characteristics         276:22 277:3         278:24 279:22           281:11         center         33:8         108:13 109:12         280:5 289:2         chu 3:3 41:12           causality         45:9         ecrtain         6:21         112:6,18         chu 3:3 41:12         ci 220:5 251:17           68:4 75:7,19         57:3 60:7,21         236:8         characterizati         ci 220:5 251:17         circulated         43:1           195:22 267:22         276:23 289:3         98:24         91:15 257:17         circulated         43:1           195:22 267:22         276:23 289:3         98:24         91:15 257:17         citations         42:20           201:3 29:18         causation         55:24         139:18         charges         13:7         222:14 263:14           290:2,3 206:15         202:21 280:4         charges         13:1         264:21         264:21           297:19 98:14         291:1         291:1         check         70:15         75:18 117:18				
225:25 267:2         cells         93:8 284:4         characteristic         275:25 276:5           278:2 279:12         280:11 281:4         283:18         265:16         276:22 277:3           280:11 281:4         283:18         characteristics         278:24 279:22           281:11         center 33:8         108:13 109:12         280:5 289:2           47:14 50:20         9:15 24:9 47:19         characterizati         ci 220:5 251:17           68:4 75:7,19         57:3 60:7,21         236:8         characterized         citation 84:18           195:22 267:22         276:23 289:3         98:24         91:15 257:17           causally 32:14         289:15         charge 13:2         citations 42:20           35:4 278:3         certainly         charge 13:2         citations 42:20           70:7,74:1         20:2,3 206:15         20:21 280:4         charges 13:7         222:14 263:14           90:2,3 206:15         20:21 280:4         charging 13:1         cite 74:14 75:17           271:9 98:14         291:1         check 70:15         75:18 117:18           100:25 202:6         certify 291:5,17         78:12 118:17         183:25 263:23           227:3 268:12         293:6         chief 24:17,18         183:25 263:23	1		_	
278:2 279:12         cellular         282:15         265:16         276:22 277:3           280:11 281:4         283:18         characteristics         278:24 279:22           281:11         center         33:8         108:13 109:12         280:5 289:2           causality         45:9         certain         6:21         112:6,18         chu         3:3 41:12           68:4 75:7,19         57:3 60:7,21         236:8         characterizati         ci         220:5 251:17           68:4 75:7,19         57:3 60:7,21         236:8         characterized         circulated         43:1           195:22 267:22         276:23 289:3         98:24         91:15 257:17           causally         32:14         289:15         charge         13:2         citations         42:20           35:4 278:3         certainly         charge         13:2         citations         42:20           79:23 206:15         202:21 280:4         charges         13:7         222:14 263:14           291:1         202:21 280:4         charging         13:1         cite 74:14 75:17           203:2,18,22,23         293:6         certificate         chart         80:11         cite 74:14 75:17           203:2,18,22,23         226:12 </td <td>· ·</td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td> </td>	· ·	,		
280:11 281:4         283:18         characteristics         278:24 279:22           281:11         center         33:8         108:13 109:12         280:5 289:2           causality         45:9         certain         6:21         112:6,18         chu         3:3 41:12           47:14 50:20         9:15 24:9 47:19         57:3 60:7,21         236:8         characterizati         ci 220:5 251:17           68:4 75:7,19         57:3 60:7,21         236:8         characterized         citation         84:18           92:25 193:10         63:23 276:1,5         characterized         citation         84:18           195:22 267:22         276:23 289:3         98:24         91:15 257:17           causally         32:14         289:15         charge         13:2         citations         42:20           35:4 278:3         certainly         charge         13:7         222:14 263:14         200:2,3 206:15         certainty         121:24         263:18,19         264:21           cause         46:9 76:3         certificate         chart         80:11         cite         74:14 75:17         75:18 117:18           100:25 202:6         certify         291:1         check         70:15         75:18 117:18         131:7 181:16				
281:11         center         33:8         108:13 109:12         280:5 289:2           causality         45:9         certain         6:21         112:6,18         chu         3:3 41:12           47:14 50:20         9:15 24:9 47:19         57:3 60:7,21         236:8         characterizati         ci 220:5 251:17           68:4 75:7,19         57:3 60:7,21         236:8         characterized         citation         84:18           92:25 193:10         63:23 276:1,5         characterized         citation         84:18           195:22 267:22         276:23 289:3         98:24         91:15 257:17           causally         32:14         289:15         charge         13:2         citations         42:20           35:4 278:3         certainly         charge         13:8         222:14 263:14         220:21           35:4 278:3         certainty         12:24         263:18,19         264:21           274:24 277:8         202:21 280:4         charging         13:1         264:21           cause         46:9 76:3         certificate         chart         80:11         75:18 117:18           97:19 98:14         291:1         check         70:15         75:18 117:18           203:2,18,22,23				
causality         45:9         certain         6:21         112:6,18         chu         3:3 41:12           47:14 50:20         9:15 24:9 47:19         characterizati         ci         220:5 251:17           68:4 75:7,19         57:3 60:7,21         236:8         circulated         43:1           92:25 193:10         63:23 276:1,5         characterized         citation         84:18           195:22 267:22         276:23 289:3         98:24         91:15 257:17           causally         32:14         289:15         charge         13:2         citations         42:20           35:4 278:3         certainly         charge         13:8         70:7 74:1         20:22:14 263:14         20:21 280:4         20:21 280:4         20:21 280:4         20:31 24         263:18,19         222:14 263:14         263:18,19         264:21         264:21         264:21         263:18,19         264:21         263:18,19         222:14:17:18         264:21		283:18		
47:14 50:20         9:15 24:9 47:19         characterizati         ci 220:5 251:17           68:4 75:7,19         57:3 60:7,21         236:8         circulated 43:1           92:25 193:10         63:23 276:1,5         characterized         91:15 257:17           causally 32:14         289:15         charge 13:2         citations 42:20           35:4 278:3         certainly         charge 13:2         citations 42:20           90:2,3 206:15         certainty         121:24         263:18,19           274:24 277:8         202:21 280:4         charges 13:7         264:21           cause 46:9 76:3         certificate         chart 80:11         cite 74:14 75:17           97:19 98:14         291:1         check 70:15         75:18 117:18           100:25 202:6         certify 291:5,17         78:12 118:17         131:7 181:16           203:2,18,22,23         293:6         chief 24:17,18         183:25 263:23           227:3 268:12         cervical 229:21         choice 251:19         284:9 286:17           277:16 281:1         285:7,24         251:21         cited 47:6 68:22           285:20         chain 278:9         250:20         82:8,10,10 87:2           causes 35:24         chance 58:17         choose 20:22         87:4 91:24	281:11	center 33:8		
68:4 75:7,19         57:3 60:7,21         236:8         circulated 43:1           92:25 193:10         63:23 276:1,5         characterized         citation 84:18           195:22 267:22         276:23 289:3         98:24         91:15 257:17           causally 32:14         289:15         charge 13:2         citations 42:20           35:4 278:3         certainly         charged 13:8         70:7 74:1           causation 55:24         139:18         charges 13:7         222:14 263:14           90:2,3 206:15         certainty         121:24         263:18,19           274:24 277:8         202:21 280:4         charging 13:1         264:21           cause 46:9 76:3         certificate         chart 80:11         cite 74:14 75:17           97:19 98:14         291:1         check 70:15         75:18 117:18           100:25 202:6         certify 291:5,17         78:12 118:17         131:7 181:16           203:2,18,22,23         293:6         chief 24:17,18         183:25 263:23           227:3 268:12         cervical 229:21         251:21         cited 47:6 68:22           285:20         chain 278:9         250:20         82:8,10,10 87:2           causes 35:24         chance 58:17         245:24         161:3 219:20	_		·	
92:25 193:10         63:23 276:1,5         characterized         citation         84:18           195:22 267:22         276:23 289:3         98:24         91:15 257:17           causally         32:14         289:15         charge         13:2         citations         42:20           35:4 278:3         certainly         charged         13:8         70:7 74:1         202:214 263:14           90:2,3 206:15         certainty         121:24         263:18,19         222:14 263:14           274:24 277:8         202:21 280:4         charging         13:1         264:21         cite         74:14 75:17           271:9 98:14         291:1         check         70:15         75:18 117:18         131:7 181:16         131:7 181:16         183:25 263:23         227:3 268:12         293:6         certify         291:5,17         78:12 118:17         131:7 181:16         183:25 263:23         284:9 286:17         251:21         284:9 286:17         284:9 286:17         251:21         284:9 286:17         250:20         82:8,10,10 87:2         82:8,10,10 87:2         82:8,10,10 87:2         82:8,10,10 87:2         82:8,10,10 87:2         82:8,10,10 87:2         245:24         161:3 219:20         222:1 225:18         222:1 225:18         222:1 225:18         222:1 225:18         222:1 225:18			characterizati	ci 220:5 251:17
195:22 267:22         276:23 289:3         98:24         91:15 257:17           causally         32:14         289:15         charge         13:2         citations         42:20           35:4 278:3         certainly         charged         13:8         70:7 74:1         222:14 263:14           eausation         55:24         139:18         charges         13:7         222:14 263:14           90:2,3 206:15         certainty         121:24         263:18,19           274:24 277:8         202:21 280:4         charging         13:1           cause         46:9 76:3         certificate         chart         80:11         cite         74:14 75:17           97:19 98:14         291:1         check         70:15         75:18 117:18         131:7 181:16           100:25 202:6         certify         291:5,17         78:12 118:17         131:7 181:16         183:25 263:23           227:3 268:12         cervical         229:21         choice         251:19         284:9 286:17         286:17         cited         47:6 68:22           277:16 281:1         285:7,24         250:20         82:8,10,10 87:2         79:23 82:1,5,7         282:8,10,10 87:2         250:20         82:8,10,10 87:2         79:23 82:1,5,7         222:1 225:18 </td <td>68:4 75:7,19</td> <td>57:3 60:7,21</td> <td>236:8</td> <td>circulated 43:1</td>	68:4 75:7,19	57:3 60:7,21	236:8	circulated 43:1
causally         32:14         289:15         charge         13:2         citations         42:20           35:4 278:3         certainly         charged         13:8         70:7 74:1         70:7 74:1           90:2,3 206:15         certainty         121:24         263:18,19         222:14 263:14           274:24 277:8         202:21 280:4         charging         13:1         264:21           cause         46:9 76:3         certificate         chart         80:11         60:26:21           291:1         check         70:15         75:18 117:18         13:7 181:16           100:25 202:6         certify         291:5,17         78:12 118:17         13:7 181:16           203:2,18,22,23         293:6         choice         251:19         284:9 286:17           277:16 281:1         285:7,24         251:21         cited         47:6 68:22           285:20         chain         278:9         250:20         82:8,10,10 87:2           causes         35:24         chance         58:17         245:24         161:3 219:20           29:10 101:9,11         chang         145:13         choosing         65:15         222:1 225:18           268:21 279:23         change         12:11         222:	92:25 193:10	<u> </u>	characterized	citation 84:18
35:4 278:3         certainly         charged         13:8         70:7 74:1           causation         55:24         139:18         charges         13:7         222:14 263:14           90:2,3 206:15         certainty         121:24         263:18,19         264:21           274:24 277:8         202:21 280:4         charging         13:1         cite         74:14 75:17           cause         46:9 76:3         certificate         chart         80:11         cite         74:14 75:17           97:19 98:14         291:1         check         70:15         75:18 117:18           100:25 202:6         certify         291:5,17         78:12 118:17         131:7 181:16           203:2,18,22,23         293:6         chief         24:17,18         183:25 263:23           227:3 268:12         cervical         229:21         choice         251:21         cited         47:6 68:22           277:16 281:1         285:7,24         251:21         cited         47:6 68:22         79:23 82:1,5,7           285:20         chain         278:9         250:20         82:8,10,10 87:2           causes         35:24         chance         58:17         choose         20:22         87:4 91:24           36:3		276:23 289:3	98:24	91:15 257:17
causation         55:24         139:18         charges         13:7         222:14 263:14           90:2,3 206:15         certainty         121:24         263:18,19           274:24 277:8         202:21 280:4         charging         13:1           cause         46:9 76:3         certificate         chart         80:11         cite         74:14 75:17           97:19 98:14         291:1         check         70:15         75:18 117:18         131:7 181:16           203:2,18,22,23         293:6         chief         24:17,18         183:25 263:23           227:3 268:12         cervical         229:21         choice         251:19         284:9 286:17           277:16 281:1         285:7,24         251:21         cited         47:6 68:22           285:20         chain         278:9         250:20         82:8,10,10 87:2           causes         35:24         chance         58:17         choose         20:22         87:4 91:24           36:3,7 49:1         133:18 165:18         245:24         161:3 219:20         222:1 225:18           99:10 101:9,11         chang         145:13         chose         135:25         229:20 264:12           268:21 279:23         change         12:11	causally 32:14	289:15	charge 13:2	citations 42:20
90:2,3 206:15         certainty         121:24         263:18,19           274:24 277:8         202:21 280:4         charging 13:1         264:21           cause 46:9 76:3         certificate         chart 80:11         cite 74:14 75:17           97:19 98:14         291:1         check 70:15         75:18 117:18           100:25 202:6         certify 291:5,17         78:12 118:17         131:7 181:16           203:2,18,22,23         293:6         chief 24:17,18         183:25 263:23           227:3 268:12         cervical 229:21         choice 251:19         284:9 286:17           277:16 281:1         285:7,24         251:21         cited 47:6 68:22           283:17 284:5         cervix 229:4         choices 183:14         79:23 82:1,5,7           285:20         chain 278:9         250:20         82:8,10,10 87:2           causes 35:24         chance 58:17         choose 20:22         87:4 91:24           36:3,7 49:1         133:18 165:18         245:24         161:3 219:20           99:10 101:9,11         chang 145:13         choose 135:25         222:1 225:18           268:21 279:23         change 12:11         222:23 251:25         281:8 282:21           280:6 287:6         131:17 150:14         254:16         cites 216:22,22	35:4 278:3	certainly	charged 13:8	70:7 74:1
274:24 277:8         202:21 280:4         charging         13:1         264:21           cause         46:9 76:3         certificate         chart         80:11         cite         74:14 75:17           97:19 98:14         291:1         check         70:15         75:18 117:18           100:25 202:6         certify         291:5,17         78:12 118:17         131:7 181:16           203:2,18,22,23         293:6         chief         24:17,18         183:25 263:23           227:3 268:12         cervical         229:21         choice         251:19         284:9 286:17           277:16 281:1         285:7,24         251:21         cited         47:6 68:22           283:17 284:5         cervix         229:4         choices         183:14         79:23 82:1,5,7           285:20         chain         278:9         250:20         82:8,10,10 87:2           causes         35:24         chance         58:17         245:24         161:3 219:20           99:10 101:9,11         chang         145:13         245:24         161:3 219:20           99:10 101:9,11         chang         145:13         222:23 251:25         229:20 264:12           268:21 279:23         change         12:11         222:23 25	causation 55:24	139:18	charges 13:7	222:14 263:14
cause         46:9 76:3         certificate         chart         80:11         cite         74:14 75:17           97:19 98:14         291:1         check         70:15         75:18 117:18           100:25 202:6         certify         291:5,17         78:12 118:17         131:7 181:16           203:2,18,22,23         293:6         chief         24:17,18         183:25 263:23           227:3 268:12         cervical         229:21         choice         251:19         284:9 286:17           277:16 281:1         285:7,24         251:21         cited         47:6 68:22           283:17 284:5         cervix         229:4         choices         183:14         79:23 82:1,5,7           285:20         chain         278:9         250:20         82:8,10,10 87:2         87:4 91:24           36:3,7 49:1         133:18 165:18         245:24         161:3 219:20         161:3 219:20           99:10 101:9,11         chang         145:13         choose         25:15         222:1 225:18           101:13,17,18         288:14         chose         135:25         229:20 264:12           268:21 279:23         change         12:11         222:23 251:25         281:8 282:21           280:6 287:6         131:17 150:14	90:2,3 206:15	certainty	121:24	263:18,19
97:19 98:14         291:1         check 70:15         75:18 117:18           100:25 202:6         291:5,17         78:12 118:17         131:7 181:16           203:2,18,22,23         293:6         chief 24:17,18         183:25 263:23           227:3 268:12         29:21         choice 251:19         284:9 286:17           277:16 281:1         285:7,24         251:21         cited 47:6 68:22           283:17 284:5         cervix 229:4         choices 183:14         79:23 82:1,5,7           285:20         chain 278:9         250:20         82:8,10,10 87:2           causes 35:24         chance 58:17         250:20         87:4 91:24           133:18 165:18         245:24         161:3 219:20           99:10 101:9,11         chang 145:13         choosing 65:15         222:1 225:18           101:13,17,18         288:14         chose 135:25         229:20 264:12           268:21 279:23         change 12:11         222:23 251:25         281:8 282:21           280:6 287:6         131:17 150:14         254:16         cites 216:22,22	274:24 277:8	202:21 280:4	charging 13:1	264:21
100:25 202:6         certify         291:5,17         78:12 118:17         131:7 181:16           203:2,18,22,23         293:6         chief         24:17,18         183:25 263:23           227:3 268:12         cervical         229:21         choice         251:19         284:9 286:17           277:16 281:1         285:7,24         251:21         cited         47:6 68:22           283:17 284:5         cervix         229:4         choices         183:14         79:23 82:1,5,7           285:20         chain         278:9         250:20         82:8,10,10 87:2           causes         35:24         chance         58:17         choose         20:22         87:4 91:24           36:3,7 49:1         133:18 165:18         245:24         161:3 219:20         222:1 225:18           99:10 101:9,11         chang         145:13         chose         135:25         229:20 264:12           268:21 279:23         change         12:11         222:23 251:25         281:8 282:21           280:6 287:6         131:17 150:14         254:16         cites         216:22,22	<b>cause</b> 46:9 76:3	certificate	<b>chart</b> 80:11	cite 74:14 75:17
203:2,18,22,23         293:6         chief         24:17,18         183:25 263:23           227:3 268:12         cervical         229:21         choice         251:19         284:9 286:17           277:16 281:1         285:7,24         251:21         cited         47:6 68:22           283:17 284:5         cervix         229:4         choices         183:14         79:23 82:1,5,7           285:20         chain         278:9         250:20         82:8,10,10 87:2           causes         35:24         chance         58:17         choose         20:22         87:4 91:24           36:3,7 49:1         133:18 165:18         245:24         161:3 219:20           99:10 101:9,11         chang         145:13         choosing         65:15         222:1 225:18           101:13,17,18         288:14         chose         135:25         229:20 264:12           268:21 279:23         change         12:11         222:23 251:25         281:8 282:21           280:6 287:6         131:17 150:14         254:16         cites         216:22,22	97:19 98:14	291:1	<b>check</b> 70:15	75:18 117:18
227:3 268:12         cervical         229:21         choice         251:19         284:9 286:17           277:16 281:1         285:7,24         251:21         cited         47:6 68:22           283:17 284:5         cervix         229:4         choices         183:14         79:23 82:1,5,7           285:20         chain         278:9         250:20         82:8,10,10 87:2           causes         35:24         chance         58:17         245:24         161:3 219:20           99:10 101:9,11         chang         145:13         choosing         65:15         222:1 225:18           101:13,17,18         288:14         chose         135:25         229:20 264:12           268:21 279:23         change         12:11         222:23 251:25         281:8 282:21           280:6 287:6         131:17 150:14         254:16         cites         216:22,22	100:25 202:6	<b>certify</b> 291:5,17	78:12 118:17	131:7 181:16
277:16 281:1       285:7,24       251:21       cited 47:6 68:22         283:17 284:5       cervix 229:4       choices 183:14       79:23 82:1,5,7         285:20       chain 278:9       250:20       82:8,10,10 87:2         causes 35:24       chance 58:17       choose 20:22       87:4 91:24         36:3,7 49:1       133:18 165:18       245:24       161:3 219:20         99:10 101:9,11       chang 145:13       choosing 65:15       222:1 225:18         101:13,17,18       288:14       chose 135:25       229:20 264:12         268:21 279:23       change 12:11       222:23 251:25       281:8 282:21         280:6 287:6       131:17 150:14       254:16       cites 216:22,22	203:2,18,22,23	293:6	<b>chief</b> 24:17,18	183:25 263:23
283:17 284:5         cervix 229:4         choices 183:14         79:23 82:1,5,7           285:20         chain 278:9         250:20         82:8,10,10 87:2           causes 35:24         chance 58:17         choose 20:22         87:4 91:24           36:3,7 49:1         133:18 165:18         245:24         161:3 219:20           99:10 101:9,11         chang 145:13         choosing 65:15         222:1 225:18           101:13,17,18         288:14         chose 135:25         229:20 264:12           268:21 279:23         change 12:11         222:23 251:25         281:8 282:21           280:6 287:6         131:17 150:14         254:16         cites 216:22,22	227:3 268:12	cervical 229:21	<b>choice</b> 251:19	284:9 286:17
285:20         chain         278:9         250:20         82:8,10,10 87:2           causes         35:24         chance         58:17         choose         20:22         87:4 91:24           36:3,7 49:1         133:18 165:18         245:24         161:3 219:20           99:10 101:9,11         chang         145:13         choosing         65:15         222:1 225:18           101:13,17,18         288:14         chose         135:25         229:20 264:12           268:21 279:23         change         12:11         222:23 251:25         281:8 282:21           280:6 287:6         131:17 150:14         254:16         cites         216:22,22	277:16 281:1	285:7,24	251:21	<b>cited</b> 47:6 68:22
causes         35:24         chance         58:17         choose         20:22         87:4 91:24           36:3,7 49:1         133:18 165:18         245:24         161:3 219:20           99:10 101:9,11         chang         145:13         245:24         222:1 225:18           101:13,17,18         288:14         288:14         222:23 251:25         229:20 264:12           268:21 279:23         280:6 287:6         131:17 150:14         254:16         254:16         216:22,22	283:17 284:5	<b>cervix</b> 229:4	choices 183:14	79:23 82:1,5,7
36:3,7 49:1       133:18 165:18       245:24       161:3 219:20         99:10 101:9,11       chang 145:13       choosing 65:15       222:1 225:18         101:13,17,18       288:14       chose 135:25       229:20 264:12         268:21 279:23       change 12:11       222:23 251:25       281:8 282:21         280:6 287:6       131:17 150:14       254:16       cites 216:22,22	285:20	<b>chain</b> 278:9	250:20	82:8,10,10 87:2
99:10 101:9,11         chang         145:13         choosing         65:15         222:1 225:18           101:13,17,18         288:14         chose         135:25         229:20 264:12           268:21 279:23         change         12:11         222:23 251:25         281:8 282:21           280:6 287:6         131:17 150:14         254:16         cites         216:22,22	<b>causes</b> 35:24	chance 58:17	choose 20:22	87:4 91:24
101:13,17,18       288:14       chose 135:25       229:20 264:12         268:21 279:23       change 12:11       222:23 251:25       281:8 282:21         280:6 287:6       131:17 150:14       254:16       cites 216:22,22	36:3,7 49:1	133:18 165:18	245:24	161:3 219:20
268:21 279:23       change       12:11       222:23 251:25       281:8 282:21         280:6 287:6       131:17 150:14       254:16       cites       216:22,22	99:10 101:9,11	<b>chang</b> 145:13	choosing 65:15	222:1 225:18
280:6 287:6 131:17 150:14 254:16 <b>cites</b> 216:22,22	101:13,17,18	288:14	<b>chose</b> 135:25	229:20 264:12
	268:21 279:23	change 12:11	222:23 251:25	281:8 282:21
/.19'././ /9/.'ID	280:6 287:6	131:17 150:14 239:22 292:16	254:16	cites 216:22,22

### [citing - compare]

Page 15

<b>citing</b> 178:7	<b>coates</b> 31:14	colleagues	264:7
187:17 222:4,5	<b>coded</b> 200:12	148:23	<b>comes</b> 17:10
clarification	<b>coffee</b> 224:17	<b>collect</b> 110:14	24:21 54:15
58:12	225:4,13 226:1	111:16,17	116:24 206:17
clarify 55:16	226:3,5,7,8	146:17	comfortable
122:18 148:13	coherence	collected	58:7
259:12	67:20	145:25 169:2	coming 27:8
class 20:15,19	cohort 20:6	collecting 26:12	77:23 142:20
20:20,23	45:19 46:22	111:13 156:7,8	252:21
classification	47:1,3 81:14	collectively	<b>comma</b> 216:11
129:18 131:11	88:25 89:9	262:13	comment 92:1
145:12 204:10	118:5,5 142:14	college 36:19	184:13 185:4
classified 128:1	152:10 153:1	36:25	186:24 218:15
128:21,25	159:20 160:17	<b>column</b> 52:15	commented
130:12 131:1	177:9 178:19	52:21 53:16,18	218:14
classroom	178:24 179:7	110:19,25	commission
19:20	179:10,25	111:1 121:22	291:24
<b>clause</b> 130:7	180:19,20	134:1,5,6,7	common 28:5
<b>clear</b> 18:24	185:11 187:6	136:1 149:8	28:14
20:9 35:25 36:5	188:11,24	164:18 166:4	commonly
36:11 54:22	189:4,11,16,19	174:17,18	106:7,24
67:18 80:20	190:1 197:5,10	188:9 215:8,19	commonwealth
132:2 140:18	197:25 199:5	215:23,24	291:5
146:4 168:6	222:9 231:6	217:23,24	community
172:3 191:25	257:13,20	226:15 235:15	19:8 266:14,23
193:2,13,22	259:18 260:16	284:2	267:6
196:20 216:2,5	260:20	columns 80:11	company 114:9
261:24 266:3	cohorts 142:9	80:12,13	comparable
<b>clearly</b> 195:12	142:12 152:13	combine	41:1 124:23
cleavage 75:23	153:20 179:1	183:22	comparatively
<b>clinic</b> 40:12	181:7 182:14	combined	239:4
clinical 19:24	183:3,5,6	27:16 251:15	compare
20:21 63:5	184:13	<b>come</b> 50:21	107:13 109:8
214:9	coincided 20:21	82:18 132:17	155:12 164:8
<b>cml</b> 32:20	colette 6:6	181:15 185:2	211:2 213:8
	207:4	190:12 206:21	233:23 258:12
	1	1	1

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

#### [compare - consensus]

Page 16

250.22		2022 - 1 16.12	
259:23	concerning	<b>conduct</b> 46:13	confidently
compared	30:5	65:9 133:2	205:17
106:5 131:16	<b>concerns</b> 46:16	165:11 236:2	<b>confined</b> 91:13
152:10,13	116:15	conducted	confirm 58:2
164:12	conclude 210:7	29:14 42:20	confirmation
comparing	267:10,10	65:4,6 127:23	87:18
109:9 198:22	concluded	128:19 134:12	confirmed
211:23 251:20	141:12 196:5	163:5 165:7	148:24 149:5,8
258:16	196:16,19	199:23 208:16	150:19
comparison	290:23	220:1 231:4	confirms
165:9	concludes 46:9	conducting	171:10
comparisons	46:12,16 229:9	197:14 270:5	conflating
165:4	concluding	confidence	189:24
complete 31:3	44:3	136:12,17	conflict 114:18
233:10	conclusion	141:3,20 142:4	121:4 231:18
completed	50:19,21 63:15	142:4,8 143:17	conflicts 114:6
22:16 190:24	65:8,13 66:13	143:22 144:12	118:17,19
completely	75:6 88:20 89:4	146:16,22	119:12 121:11
141:23 172:19	92:4 171:10	149:13 151:6	confounder
172:20 189:23	172:14 196:21	151:21 191:21	158:2,5 182:24
components	200:5 202:5,9	192:9 193:3	200:13 237:9
76:25 94:2,4,9	203:1 205:11	197:6,17	246:4
compound	205:16 206:22	201:22 209:20	confounders
236:3	213:4,5 226:14	209:25 210:9	135:14 157:15
comprehensive	267:3 270:22	210:13,20,24	158:15 167:25
28:18 39:5,10	270:24	211:2,16,19	186:7 245:22
39:13,20 44:5	conclusions	212:14,20	confounding
47:7,10,12	63:2,12 69:1	250:12 251:4	20:7 94:21 95:3
comprise 190:2	75:2 109:13	255:15,18,24	95:6,10,11,19
comprised	112:20	256:3 257:14	96:3,5,6,17,22
189:5,12	conditions 6:22	261:15	96:24 97:1,4
<b>concept</b> 104:22	85:11 94:24	confident 202:8	135:16,20
165:8	276:1,6,24	203:7	158:19 176:10
<b>concern</b> 152:23	278:25 289:3	confidentiality	187:7 205:1
168:24 199:13	289:21	57:15,22	consensus
223:5		264:11	266:13,22

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

#### [consensus - control]

Page 17

267:5,12,16	196:23 197:1,2	290:1,7	continue 59:18
268:1,5,9	197:11,18,20	containing	174:11 213:19
280:15	198:14 211:3	76:22 277:21	286:14
consider 26:11	consistent	286:12 289:14	continued
47:13,16 95:9	109:14 121:7	289:21 290:6	279:24
95:10 99:12	123:20 124:5	contains 68:2	continuing
100:16 114:20	167:4 196:21	73:23 79:12	59:16 221:1,4
116:3 121:3,12	204:10 211:5	86:1 100:11,14	contraception
121:20 161:16	211:16,18	100:16 227:6	245:18
162:3,17 167:1	216:16 217:3	280:18,24	contraceptive
174:22 175:24	260:21 267:3	contaminated	48:23 164:4
176:5,9,9	consistently	69:7 279:10	237:11 274:1
192:14 214:20	55:22	284:8	contracts 22:17
218:8,23	consortium	contamination	contradicting
260:25 280:17	45:11,11 47:1,1	287:7	110:17
consideration	208:10	contends 53:24	contrary 54:9
21:17 81:23	consortiums	<b>content</b> 77:9,11	54:10
90:1,2 96:4,4	268:2	context 62:20	contrast 216:3
100:24,25	constant 28:8	68:14 79:23	216:9
116:10,13	187:3,10	82:2,5,10 84:14	contribute
121:13 126:5	constitute	84:18 97:6	55:24 255:9
considerations	268:12	142:23 143:1,2	285:24
121:16 135:6	consultants	146:7 149:17	contributing
274:14	115:2	149:22 160:6	143:18 251:24
considered	consultation	162:10,11,22	255:25
27:20 95:4,7	78:16	162:24 185:11	contributor
102:6 116:8	consulted	195:23 200:9	159:8
165:2 166:18	120:21	204:19,19,21	control 6:23
198:7 264:25	consulting	214:4,14	20:6 38:25
considering	114:9	234:12,16	45:19 47:2 53:7
167:25	<b>contact</b> 43:10	288:19	81:15 85:22
consistence	contacted	contexts 60:7	88:25 89:9
129:22	236:1	contextual	91:11 117:20
consistency	contain 61:11	274:14 288:6	131:10 134:8
56:23 59:23	68:8 277:21	contingent	135:16 142:18
67:19 163:2	281:2,3 289:14	234:25	177:5 181:19
	1	1	

### [control - covid]

			_
183:12,20,22	copyright	208:21 209:2	corresponding
184:24,25	188:16,18	213:15 225:3	218:7
185:6,10,14,20	cornstarch	225:11 226:12	cosmetic 68:2
186:18,25	94:5,12,16	229:15,22	132:3 221:9
187:1,4,9,11	<b>correct</b> 8:12,15	232:5 235:23	290:17
188:24 197:10	8:16 9:9,13,19	241:18,22	<b>cost</b> 122:19
197:24 198:19	10:9 11:5 15:12	242:7 243:7	<b>costs</b> 121:22
198:22,23	15:20 19:23	247:11,20,21	122:25
199:1,5 202:23	23:7,8,11,23	248:25 249:14	<b>cote</b> 12:1
203:15 221:23	25:7 26:23	249:18,21	counsel 10:7
222:9,13	36:10,23 37:21	250:7,16	11:1,3,4 13:8
223:11 231:6	38:7 42:24	252:12 255:2	21:9 39:23 40:4
237:21 245:7	43:16,20 46:10	257:18,22	77:9 79:2 277:6
245:22 247:8	47:8 48:1 50:11	260:24 261:13	282:3,10 285:9
257:9,11	51:14,18 52:24	263:22 265:5	287:14 292:8
260:22 276:2,6	54:3 58:11,25	275:19,21,22	292:13,23
276:20 289:20	59:15 61:14	276:17 281:3	<b>count</b> 29:1
controlled	62:3 76:5 84:5	284:14 286:10	220:20
188:10 189:18	84:6 86:23	288:1,5 291:15	counterargu
197:5,6	105:2 117:19	291:21	218:19
controls 123:18	117:22 123:8	correction	couple 18:15
124:4,11,18	123:22 124:5	165:14 292:17	69:20 208:3
126:15 128:1	124:11 126:11	293:9	276:15 287:15
128:20 131:1	132:4 134:10	corrections	<b>course</b> 19:21,25
131:18 134:17	136:6 140:20	293:7	20:2 67:12
190:4 198:10	141:2 142:6,10	correctly	75:17 77:17
209:9,11 253:9	144:1,4,15	127:25 128:24	150:25
253:10,11	145:15 147:12	130:11 131:1	<b>court</b> 1:3 4:23
<b>copy</b> 69:25 70:1	147:16 148:25	131:18	8:21 52:9,21
70:10,12	152:3 153:3,6	correlated	77:16 129:25
103:17,18	155:18 157:3,6	253:17	274:21 293:1
138:11 188:3	160:21 164:15	correlation	covered 57:15
207:18 221:5	166:21 167:7	252:20	57:21 163:22
272:19,23	180:5,23	correspondence	<b>covid</b> 25:25
283:7 285:10	183:25 184:15	28:20,21	51:1
292:12	188:20 192:12		

#### [cramer - day] Page 19

	I		I
cramer 5:13	criticism 153:4	<b>daily</b> 216:11	203:6 204:13
91:16,18	criticisms	241:3,20,23	204:24,25
117:24 118:10	42:21 43:18	242:8,10	214:6,7,14
118:13,23	153:1	243:20	215:14 217:2
119:5,18 121:3	<b>cross</b> 250:12	<b>dana</b> 5:20	218:10,11
126:15,16,16	<b>crosses</b> 141:20	170:1	235:4 236:2,5,9
126:19 127:2	142:5,9 209:20	daniel 5:12	236:11 240:24
127:15 128:14	<b>crude</b> 242:9	127:2	241:5,6,7,8,16
129:23 130:8	csr 1:24	<b>data</b> 25:20	241:16 242:14
130:10 133:21	<b>ctisi</b> 2:16	26:13 44:9 57:2	243:4 244:9,20
134:11,21	cumulative	67:10 80:25	245:3,24 247:1
135:3 136:25	53:11 62:13	81:12,13,18,25	247:4 252:21
137:1 138:23	current 18:11	82:4 84:7,8,10	253:17,25
162:1 163:18	18:12 267:1,11	84:17 85:6 86:1	254:4,12,18
194:23 246:25	currently 29:23	88:24 89:8	258:9,10,14,16
251:6,8 255:4,8	40:4 92:8,18	91:11 92:7 94:7	259:6,10,11
255:20	curriculum	96:12 97:12	261:22,22
cramer's	4:12 18:9	101:25 102:3	263:4 267:1,11
118:25 163:25	<b>curve</b> 136:14	105:5 112:16	274:5
creation 18:25	<b>cut</b> 225:15	112:16 113:1,3	<b>date</b> 14:18,24
credibility 17:9	<b>cv</b> 18:8,10	113:11,21	45:3,5 46:4,6
18:6	21:11 25:6	117:23 118:2	47:10,15 57:13
criteria 53:22	26:15 29:5	121:14 129:11	292:2,21
53:25 54:8,14	200:17	129:13 130:16	<b>dated</b> 291:22
54:17 55:13,19	<b>cycles</b> 156:15	130:20 131:15	<b>dates</b> 46:2
61:3 64:5 81:8	cytokines	136:4,13	61:23 62:5 66:3
85:4 96:17	282:17	144:16,18	85:2
243:22 244:13	d	145:25 146:17	daubert 32:10
244:21,24	<b>d</b> 4:1 5:1 6:1	153:16,21	57:18
245:2 246:1,7	7:1 135:13	156:7,8,14,19	davis 6:7 98:12
248:24 249:5	252:19 285:9	162:22 169:1	206:25 207:4
252:5 258:25	d'aloisio 285:8	173:19 183:13	207:13,17,20
259:6	<b>d.c.</b> 2:6	191:25 192:15	208:4 215:19
criterion 100:3	<b>d.w.</b> 118:23	192:20 193:25	<b>davis's</b> 216:19
critical 83:25	<b>u.w.</b> 110.23	200:7 201:2,8	<b>day</b> 291:22
84:23,25 244:4		201:11 202:10	293:19

### [days - determined]

7 20 24	1.01 1.02.10	3 44	7 4 20 40
days 20:21	<b>defined</b> 63:18	deposition 1:16	<b>design</b> 28:19
<b>deals</b> 27:14	144:20,22,25	4:10 9:7,18,22	81:22 191:2,3
decades 53:5,6	145:3 146:20	10:20 11:14,22	197:12 198:16
197:15	231:8 245:11	11:25 12:5,6,10	198:24 223:22
december 29:6	258:20	14:4,21 15:11	designed
102:25 103:3	defrayed	15:19,24 16:3	203:14,16
decide 47:17	121:23 122:20	18:16 19:22	designs 20:5
60:20	<b>degree</b> 131:20	22:10,19,20	28:6,9 44:4
decided 235:4	132:9,21 280:4	23:4 29:1 31:7	81:14 187:1
decision 38:8	demonstrable	32:10 34:8 37:1	197:4 247:8
40:13 56:4,12	171:11 172:15	37:5 39:22	despite 198:14
199:21 201:12	demonstrate	41:14 42:1,5,10	198:15 201:2
201:14	195:4	42:22 51:23	202:21 203:25
decisions	demonstrates	57:10,12 59:9	210:4,14
199:25	149:13	64:13 68:12	detail 261:8
<b>declare</b> 291:20	demonstrating	69:13 75:22	detailed 106:9
declared 33:25	177:8 289:18	76:1 77:23	206:4
declined 48:18	denominator	79:14 126:20	details 118:8
150:23,24	181:13 183:19	126:22 133:2	206:20
declining 49:8	denotes 80:23	137:16 138:4	<b>detect</b> 143:10
decrease	department	184:3 193:21	151:8 152:11
135:20 182:11	19:8,9 21:20	208:2 290:23	177:9,24
decreased	<b>depend</b> 273:24	292:13,16	178:20 182:19
48:24 107:24	dependent	depositions	185:15 187:2
decreases 113:4	235:3	11:17 58:9	189:16 190:9
deemed 82:1	depending 88:1	78:23	detected 230:3
defendants	depends 55:25	deposits 277:20	detecting
2:25 120:21	149:17,21	289:13 290:5	186:20
defense 72:14	158:15 194:25	derived 102:4	determination
72:21 116:16	214:4	describe 28:2	56:7 232:6
116:20	deponent	described 85:21	278:23
<b>define</b> 62:1,9	292:10	118:8 280:21	determinations
82:5 144:19	deposed 8:17	287:9	63:8 201:15
201:20 243:25	19:13 34:12	description	determined
258:11	deposited 284:4	115:19 278:16	267:1

### [developed - discussed]

Page 21

developed         differences         265:15,19,22         171:15,16           156:5 174:20         99:2 111:12         265:23,25,25         176:3 189:21           development         171:8 173:11         266:2,3,4,5         189:23 282:2           32:15 204:3         173:14 198:14         267:12,13         disagreed         51           278:3 282:15         198:15 214:19         282:21         disagreed         51           devices 245:8         214:23         differential         disclaimer           devoted 225:19         different 17:18         63:6         43:10 122:2,           diagnosed         27:7,18 81:22         differently         122:19           107:9,15,22         87:15,22 94:15         63:18 88:16         disclose         114:           112:8         101:9,11,13,21         144:20         120:16,17         disclosed         231:16 232:8           106:12 108:21         108:10 112:3         difficult 43:14         231:16 232:8         233:18           diagnosing 49:7         125:19 132:24         dig 92:2         115:9 118:18           diagnosis 63:7         134:20 136:17         dig 92:2         115:9 118:18           diocosure         119:12 120:1         119:12 120:1
development         171:8 173:11         266:2,3,4,5         189:23 282:2           32:15 204:3         173:14 198:14         267:12,13         disagreed 51           278:3 282:15         198:15 214:19         282:21         282:5           devices 245:8         214:23         differential         disclaimer           devoted 225:19         different 17:18         63:6         43:10 122:2,           diagnosed         27:7,18 81:22         differently         122:19           107:9,15,22         87:15,22 94:15         63:18 88:16         disclose 114:           112:8         101:9,11,13,21         144:20         120:16,17           diagnoses         102:8 106:22         differs 104:11         disclosed           108:24         112:11,12         77:14 139:23         233:18           diagnosing 49:7         125:19 132:24         diffraction 73:1         disclosure           diagnosis 63:7         134:20 136:17         dig 92:2         115:9 118:18
32:15 204:3       173:14 198:14       267:12,13       disagreed 51         278:3 282:15       198:15 214:19       282:21       282:5         devices 245:8       214:23       differential       disclaimer         devoted 225:19       different 17:18       63:6       43:10 122:2,         diagnosed       27:7,18 81:22       differently       122:19         107:9,15,22       87:15,22 94:15       63:18 88:16       disclose 114:         112:8       101:9,11,13,21       144:20       120:16,17         diagnoses       102:8 106:22       differs 104:11       disclosed         108:24       112:11,12       77:14 139:23       233:18         diagnosing 49:7       125:19 132:24       diffraction 73:1       disclosure         diagnosis 63:7       134:20 136:17       dig 92:2       115:9 118:18
278:3 282:15       198:15 214:19       282:21       282:5         devices 245:8       214:23       differential       disclaimer         devoted 225:19       different 17:18       63:6       43:10 122:2,         diagnosed       27:7,18 81:22       differently       122:19         107:9,15,22       87:15,22 94:15       63:18 88:16       disclose 114:         112:8       101:9,11,13,21       144:20       120:16,17         diagnoses       102:8 106:22       differs 104:11       disclosed         106:12 108:21       108:10 112:3       difficult 43:14       231:16 232:8         108:24       112:11,12       77:14 139:23       233:18         diagnosing 49:7       125:19 132:24       diffraction 73:1       disclosure         diagnosis 63:7       134:20 136:17       dig 92:2       115:9 118:18
devices245:8214:23differentialdisclaimerdevoted225:19different17:1863:643:10 122:2,diagnosed27:7,18 81:22differently122:19107:9,15,2287:15,22 94:1563:18 88:16disclose114:112:8101:9,11,13,21144:20120:16,17diagnoses102:8 106:22differs104:11disclosed106:12 108:21108:10 112:3difficult43:14231:16 232:8108:24112:11,1277:14 139:23233:18diagnosing49:7125:19 132:24diffraction73:1disclosurediagnosis63:7134:20 136:17dig92:2115:9 118:18
devoted         225:19         different         17:18         63:6         43:10 122:2,           diagnosed         27:7,18 81:22         differently         122:19           107:9,15,22         87:15,22 94:15         63:18 88:16         disclose         114:           112:8         101:9,11,13,21         144:20         120:16,17           diagnoses         102:8 106:22         differs         104:11         disclosed           108:12 108:21         108:10 112:3         difficult         43:10 122:2,           disclosed         120:16,17         disclosed         231:16 232:8           diagnosing         49:7         125:19 132:24         diffraction         73:1         disclosure           diagnosis         63:7         134:20 136:17         dig         92:2         115:9 118:18
diagnosed         27:7,18 81:22         differently         122:19           107:9,15,22         87:15,22 94:15         63:18 88:16         disclose 114:           112:8         101:9,11,13,21         144:20         120:16,17           diagnoses         102:8 106:22         differs 104:11         disclosed           108:12 108:21         108:10 112:3         difficult 43:14         231:16 232:8           108:24         112:11,12         77:14 139:23         233:18           diagnosing 49:7         125:19 132:24         diffraction 73:1         disclosure           diagnosis 63:7         134:20 136:17         dig 92:2         115:9 118:18
107:9,15,22       87:15,22 94:15       63:18 88:16       disclose 114:         112:8       101:9,11,13,21       144:20       120:16,17         diagnoses       102:8 106:22       differs 104:11       disclosed         106:12 108:21       108:10 112:3       difficult 43:14       231:16 232:8         108:24       112:11,12       77:14 139:23       233:18         diagnosing 49:7       125:19 132:24       diffraction 73:1       disclosure         diagnosis 63:7       134:20 136:17       dig 92:2       115:9 118:18
112:8       101:9,11,13,21       144:20       120:16,17         diagnoses       102:8 106:22       differs 104:11       disclosed         106:12 108:21       108:10 112:3       difficult 43:14       231:16 232:8         108:24       112:11,12       77:14 139:23       233:18         diagnosing 49:7       125:19 132:24       diffraction 73:1       disclosure         diagnosis 63:7       134:20 136:17       dig 92:2       115:9 118:18
diagnoses       102:8 106:22       differs       104:11       disclosed         106:12 108:21       108:10 112:3       difficult       43:14       231:16 232:8         108:24       112:11,12       77:14 139:23       233:18         diagnosing       49:7       125:19 132:24       diffraction       73:1       disclosure         diagnosis       63:7       134:20 136:17       dig       92:2       115:9 118:18
106:12 108:21       108:10 112:3       difficult 43:14       231:16 232:8         108:24       112:11,12       77:14 139:23       233:18         diagnosing 49:7       125:19 132:24       diffraction 73:1       disclosure         diagnosis 63:7       134:20 136:17       dig 92:2       115:9 118:18
108:24       112:11,12       77:14 139:23       233:18         diagnosing 49:7       125:19 132:24       diffraction 73:1       disclosure         diagnosis 63:7       134:20 136:17       dig 92:2       115:9 118:18
diagnosing         49:7         125:19 132:24         diffraction         73:1         disclosure           diagnosis         63:7         134:20 136:17         dig         92:2         115:9 118:18
<b>diagnosis</b> 63:7   134:20 136:17   <b>dig</b> 92:2   115:9 118:18
109:3,4 136:18,21 <b>direct</b> 113:1 119:12 120:1
<b>diaphragms</b> 144:7 145:4 159:17 200:3 120:13,14,15
245:8 285:25
<b>diets</b> 149:19 164:1,5,6 281:24 287:19 233:10
<b>diette</b> 11:22,23   165:12 169:12   <b>direction</b>   <b>disclosures</b>
11:24 263:15
difference 178:25 179:1 directions disconnect
25:14 27:1 181:21 183:22 175:9 269:12
84:22,24 185:2 189:24 <b>directly</b> 92:10 <b>discuss</b> 8:9 1
101:10 124:14
124:14,24 197:13,14 <b>directness</b> 142:14 165:2
125:1,11,16 201:24 205:5 200:12 184:3 185:21
148:22 149:25 210:8,15 <b>disaggregate</b> 215:6,14 230
150:3,10 211:24,25 76:24 99:19 246:17 284:1
171:20,24 213:21 216:14 193:8 254:9 <b>discussed</b>
172:21 175:21 218:18,24,25 <b>disaggregating</b> 113:23 126:2
211:11,20 223:22 226:3 76:21 132:19 135:1
212:24 214:1 246:1 252:12 <b>disagree</b> 38:8 142:17 146:1
215:2 223:2 252:19 253:20 50:15,23 51:3 180:4 184:2
225:21 255:15   258:15 264:14   97:1 98:2,4,5   194:13 230:1
255:21 282:23 264:19,20 110:9 112:19 231:2 239:17

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217370

> Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

### [discussed - driving]

Page 22

242:5 247:24	distinctive	135:7 181:2	162:1 166:24
discusses 41:5	265:17	185:16 236:16	173:20 177:12
113:2 215:17	distinguish	248:2 256:11	207:19,25
discussing	25:18	dollars 16:9	208:13 226:23
98:22 160:9	distribution	<b>door</b> 157:17	229:12 231:14
184:12 286:21	134:14 135:25	<b>dose</b> 60:21,23	232:25 233:23
discussion	136:1,2,3,9	61:1 63:1 66:21	237:13 240:23
77:20 78:3,8,10	292:8	67:4,14,14,16	253:24 254:3
78:17 90:13	distributions	67:21,24	254:11,17
105:21,23	136:9,11,14	147:23,25	259:5 275:8,10
112:25 126:19	district 1:3,4	162:25 195:4,8	275:14 281:12
127:20,22	293:1,1	195:9,23	282:22 283:11
151:22 160:6	division 18:18	214:16,20	285:13 287:3
163:4 166:1	18:25	215:14,21	288:8
224:9 261:4	doctor 9:8	216:2,5,15,17	dr.singh. 8:6
262:6 284:12	26:22 29:5	217:12,14	drafted 77:1,7
discussions	159:20	251:22 262:23	78:2
77:6,9,12 78:5	document 6:11	doses 60:25	drafting 77:25
disease 26:1	6:24 9:8,11	douching 85:10	102:14 272:2,3
28:5,8,13,15	37:14 42:3,11	102:10 103:9	<b>draw</b> 63:2,12
32:16 95:21	42:15,16 43:9	105:12 117:12	63:14 75:2
265:18	48:7,10 59:10	285:6	150:4 213:20
diseases 28:14	59:25 60:10	<b>dr</b> 11:22,25	213:22 214:12
28:14 137:22	85:20 88:18	12:1 44:25	214:13 263:13
224:22	117:16 138:9	52:17 53:19,21	drawing 75:6
disentangled	227:14 268:20	54:7,16,24 55:4	82:9 213:15
225:12	272:9,15 276:3	59:1 68:16,24	<b>drawn</b> 112:20
<b>dispute</b> 280:15	288:23	87:8,8 91:5	drinking 226:2
distinct 26:8	documents	100:7 118:13	<b>drive</b> 75:11
27:13	9:15,16,23	118:25 119:5	<b>driven</b> 53:23
distinction 26:2	10:15 62:18,18	119:18 121:3,4	54:1 143:21
27:6,9 70:24	78:19,20,22	129:23,24	256:2
82:9,14	102:7 264:8	130:10 134:21	driving 56:11
distinctions	272:6,13	138:17,18,23	67:18 111:11
27:5	<b>doing</b> 19:5	141:11 142:22	114:24 143:23
	21:25 114:9	148:23 161:24	144:2 146:18

### [driving - enhanced]

171.02.002.05	040.17	- 1:4: - 1 - 25 - 20	260.22.261.1
171:23 223:25	248:17	editorials 25:22	260:22 261:1
251:8 278:22	e	editors 283:15	elevation
<b>drop</b> 131:19	<b>e</b> 4:1 5:1,14 6:1	edizioni 269:19	282:16
dropbox 10:17	7:1 28:3,3	269:24	eligibility 81:8
70:9,14 103:13	133:7	educational	244:21
103:14 285:2	<b>e.g.</b> 180:1,20	25:15,23 26:7	eligible 251:1
<b>dropout</b> 108:13	e1 283:23	26:16	emerging 278:6
108:15	earlier 51:17	<b>effect</b> 104:10	emphasis 52:22
dropouts	90:21 99:9	111:12 149:19	emphasize
108:12	103:2 110:8	174:21 175:19	165:22
dropped 108:5	121:6 138:18	175:24 176:4,9	emphasized
108:8	146:10 150:20	176:12,16,17	53:9
dropping	159:7 161:10	178:20 192:7	empirically
108:19	163:18 166:18	193:2 201:23	129:5,9
drs 72:7 74:6		201:23,25	employee
162:1 167:10	182:2 189:3	267:2 284:7	291:18
280:22	202:23 203:4	effects 92:11	employees
drug 23:6,13,18	238:5 247:12	96:2 133:21	139:15
32:17,18 60:22	247:13 259:10	286:6,8	encephalopathy
60:25 203:14	277:5 279:7,15	efficacy 95:24	28:3
251:20 261:11	280:20 284:12	efficiency	encouraging
<b>drugs</b> 25:25	289:8	185:14	282:19
95:24	early 181:25	<b>egg</b> 224:17	<b>ended</b> 74:10
<b>due</b> 156:11	182:1	225:13	endometrial
165:4	easier 233:18	eggs 225:5	192:21 267:21
<b>duly</b> 8:2	eastern 118:4	226:7,8	endometrioid
duplicate 80:25	easy 8:21	either 10:16	266:2
118:1	192:13	24:4 56:8 57:2	endometriosis
duplicated	<b>eating</b> 162:13	57:24 81:20	257:2
52:16	editor 24:1,5,15	87:7 113:5	endpoint
<b>duration</b> 144:9	24:16,18,20	114:8 120:15	278:22
179:8 183:14	editorial 5:21	139:13 151:8	english 129:8
	23:5,9,12 24:8	223:15 235:6	enhance 224:17
194:1,15	24:17,18 25:23		
195:13,13	26:2 169:17	elevated 128:10	225:5
214:17 216:7	170:1,3,5 261:9	172:1 212:3,23	enhanced
216:11 217:10	Golkow Te	224:22 260:21	225:22

### [enhances - et]

enhances 278:7	289:17 290:14	213:15 220:7	195:3 201:23
enrolled 178:19	<b>epa's</b> 268:21	equate 170:8	201:24 209:19
enrollment	278:22 290:10	205:2	209:24 210:17
106:7,8,13,24	epidemiologic	errata 292:9,12	212:23 222:7
107:20 108:25	62:16 81:8 95:9	292:17,21	223:8 234:19
110:5,13,16	164:10 206:15	<b>error</b> 104:9	251:12 255:9
111:9,18 118:8	epidemiologi	287:22	260:23,25
entail 20:2	86:20 88:24	<b>errors</b> 165:4	estimates
entails 20:4	89:8 105:1	especially	104:10 107:13
<b>enter</b> 286:1	266:24 286:15	104:10 247:12	108:17 111:12
entirely 117:25	epidemiologist	esq 2:4,12,20	134:13 146:18
125:7 126:1	176:5	292:10,12	150:14 151:7
136:6 156:18	epidemiologi	293:25	182:10 197:3,7
172:16 173:23	69:3 174:22	essentially	198:15,25
174:1 218:20	175:24 176:8	24:12	210:7,11,15,23
284:14	epidemiology	establish	218:25 219:1,3
entirety 15:17	19:24 201:15	174:13,15	223:4 239:21
241:6	epithelial 35:14	230:9	249:6 251:8
entitled 83:25	35:15,17,21	established	252:4
84:21,23	36:4,6,7,13	87:25 97:8	estimation
102:10 276:4	93:8 99:6,10,23	101:15 174:4	17:22
281:14 285:5	100:11 192:19	268:1	estimations
environmental	192:24 193:10	estimate 14:23	194:19
276:9,18	227:3 260:19	15:22 16:4	estrogen
<b>epa</b> 6:19 68:25	266:1 284:4	98:12 131:6	224:20
69:10,15,15,19	epithelium	136:19 137:11	et 5:7,9,11,13
74:1,9,16 78:24	265:21	137:12 140:25	5:15,17,25 6:5
78:24 268:17	<b>equal</b> 116:14	141:4 142:12	6:7,9,13,16,18
268:19 275:21	216:12 231:9	143:4 144:3	7:5,7 74:22
275:25 276:4	248:21,22	145:21 146:9	83:18 85:9
276:19 277:5,7	249:1,16	146:10,22	86:16 104:1
277:19,22	equally 167:2	147:20 148:15	117:14 127:2
278:14 279:5	<b>equals</b> 177:5,8	151:11,14,16	127:15 133:7
279:14,20,21	184:24 185:20	159:9 178:18	133:10 138:6
280:11 281:8	196:4,15	181:17 192:7	161:24 177:7
288:23 289:15	212:23 213:3	193:2 194:10	178:18 187:22

#### [et - excluding] Page 25

191:10,12	evaluating	213:2,5,13	example 28:2
207:4 219:18	100:17 114:20	220:6 226:21	40:18,19 41:4
220:1 230:23	121:5 162:15	227:1 229:8	56:10 60:21
231:4 248:10	163:6	231:23 232:11	66:11 67:8 69:5
248:12 251:14	evaluation	262:17 266:25	76:11 78:22
269:16 283:5	116:2 202:22	267:10 278:5,8	80:24 82:15,17
285:11,18	218:9 289:22	278:9 284:13	82:21,22 84:13
286:20	289:25	evolving 246:24	93:6 99:17
<b>ethnic</b> 253:13	evaluations	<b>exact</b> 15:2 29:2	107:8 110:12
etiologic 101:2	290:4	46:6 53:6 101:5	145:25 158:22
101:8,11,20,22	evening 11:1	137:15 214:24	162:13 186:14
158:21,24	events 93:9	217:17	237:6,7 240:12
235:24 245:22	284:6	exactly 19:2	246:24 253:5
250:23	everybody	39:14 53:14	255:16 266:2
etiologically	235:7 267:19	56:3 58:19 64:1	282:17
142:16 143:9	evidence 23:10	64:19 71:4 82:6	examples
165:20 167:21	45:19 47:13	84:13 91:2	176:15 264:1
177:19,21	50:13,19 53:3,8	120:7 131:4	264:22
179:1 181:3,22	53:11 57:4 62:8	181:2 240:4	exceeds 185:7
182:17,21	62:10,11,12,14	256:8 264:9	excess 163:9
183:16 186:8	62:24 63:17,19	282:23 284:6	exclude 47:17
242:22 243:5	64:22 65:3,7,12	examination	80:12,13,18
247:3 251:23	65:17 66:8,9	4:5 8:4 275:12	101:24 239:25
etiology 100:25	67:20 68:7 69:3	291:12	240:14 246:2
<b>eval</b> 94:18	69:5 74:13 75:8	<b>examine</b> 93:1,2	excluded 47:18
evaluate 116:25	86:20 89:20	115:8 143:21	51:24 52:1,21
133:20 206:22	141:10 161:13	157:7 208:20	79:19,22 80:14
264:24 288:3,4	174:25 195:8,9	211:2 233:12	80:19,22 81:23
evaluated	197:8,20,21,23	examined 8:3	83:3 84:16,19
43:22 50:21	198:2,11,13,14	68:11 81:17	102:6 113:13
66:2 67:14	199:21,23	105:5 113:20	113:18 239:19
94:11,13,16	200:3,24	231:6	239:21 245:25
99:11 183:3	201:13 202:7	examining 62:8	251:13
192:18 208:4	203:3 205:14	62:19,21 76:20	excluding 81:4
223:21 233:9	205:17 206:19	197:11	116:22 247:13
238:14 289:22	211:12 212:18		251:14

### [exclusion - exposure]

Page 26

exclusion 85:5	187:21,23	77:1 87:5	199:18 203:10
245:21	191:10,13	102:14 114:7,9	239:18 251:1
excuse 95:6	207:3,5 219:17	114:15 115:1	252:15,16
152:7 155:13	219:19 227:15	115:12 116:2,4	258:2 263:6
191:14 220:4	230:21,22,24	116:16 118:22	explained 80:21
248:21 272:12	230:25 241:13	118:23,25	261:7
285:19 286:7	248:11,13	119:6 120:17	explains 82:20
289:6	256:15,22	121:5 126:24	explanation
exercise 240:18	269:17 275:24	127:10 133:14	189:19 190:25
exhaustively	276:3 281:13	141:24 152:15	explicate
261:3	281:18,20,23	154:5 167:18	204:23 245:20
<b>exhibit</b> 4:9,10	283:4,6,11	207:7 208:1,5	explicit 120:19
4:11,12,13,14	285:5,12,13	219:21,25	135:12 283:22
4:16,17,19,21	287:20 288:24	222:2,3,24	explicitly 117:7
4:23 5:3,4,6,8	<b>exhibits</b> 1:2 7:9	231:14 233:11	120:5 215:16
5:10,12,14,16	72:16	263:19,23	245:15,17
5:18,20,22,24	exist 77:12	269:22	246:11
6:3,4,6,8,10,12	existence	expertise 266:8	exploratory
6:14,15,17,19	132:17	271:14 274:25	165:6,21 166:1
7:3,4,6 9:7,10	existing 20:23	275:3	166:19 167:1
13:23 14:1 18:9	188:11,25	experts 11:17	167:13 168:3,8
30:25 31:1,2,3	<b>expect</b> 123:21	11:20 72:14	168:11,14
34:15,18 37:11	experience	74:2 116:13,20	exposed 130:11
37:12 41:13,16	114:5 274:4	116:21 139:12	189:13 190:6
42:6,8,17 47:20	experimental	expire 112:9	exposure 44:11
47:23 52:8,10	67:10 92:6,9,19	<b>expired</b> 107:10	50:14 74:25
57:6,7,9 61:8	93:2,7,12,13	112:17	76:3 95:13
85:16 86:17	287:4	<b>expires</b> 291:24	96:20 98:7
88:9 104:2,4	experiments	explain 21:13	102:11 103:11
114:2 117:12	67:9 271:8	23:20 25:14	111:13 124:4
117:15 127:3,4	<b>expert</b> 4:14 8:9	26:25 41:5	129:3 140:10
129:14 133:8,9	12:15,21 25:24	82:13,22 84:18	143:9 145:12
136:22 138:5,7	31:2 32:9 33:17	84:21,24 94:21	146:20 150:16
154:5,8 169:21	33:25 34:5,11	101:10 124:25	156:5 167:22
169:25 170:2	34:17,21,22,25	143:3 165:8	170:9,10 171:1
179:14,16	51:11 72:12,22	170:11 177:14	171:3 177:22

## Document 33013-12 PageID: 217376

### [exposure - final]

_			_
179:2 181:23	f	246:12 277:17	features 265:17
183:14 185:12	facilitate 264:9	281:14	february 5:4
185:15 187:16	fact 69:3 70:9	<b>facts</b> 48:7	57:8
192:16 194:13	95:22 100:1,10	<b>fair</b> 21:23 30:16	federal 52:11
194:14 195:19	100:14,16	40:15 42:21	274:16
196:17,18	101:4,7 115:21	47:5 65:22 78:8	<b>feel</b> 65:8 73:11
231:7 239:4	118:13 119:11	<b>fall</b> 289:19	<b>fell</b> 128:1 131:1
241:3 245:5	120:8 121:14	<b>fallop</b> 242:14	<b>felt</b> 65:23 66:5
247:3,6 250:24	120.8 121.14	fallopian 169:6	242:21,22
251:23 253:21	121.14,17	169:7 241:9,17	<b>female</b> 39:23
254:8,10,15	124:25 145:11	242:6,11,15	40:4 265:20
256:18 257:7	158:3 171:5,7	244:9 265:21	feminine 105:7
262:13 263:8	185:13,18	familiar 47:24	129:19
270:21 277:2	187:15 190:22	75:23 133:16	<b>fewer</b> 116:14
277:23 279:1,3	192:23 200:6	139:1,3 169:17	<b>fiber</b> 75:1
280:1 285:20	206:22 210:14	187:24 200:15	fibroids 69:6
289:17 290:8	224:7 227:22	206:15,16,25	93:6 288:1,17
exposures	228:25 231:17	family 19:8	<b>fibrous</b> 76:17
94:23 107:2	262:25 280:13	23:7 40:22	76:22
<b>extend</b> 194:16	280:17,18	49:14,22 50:4	<b>figure</b> 221:21
extent 10:6	281:2 288:9,17	261:11 274:1	255:1
12:2,9 33:20	factor 38:9	<b>far</b> 10:19 34:8	figures 48:7
35:21 63:2,11	49:14,16 66:21	87:16 105:25	<b>file</b> 9:24 10:5
72:11 115:6	67:5 96:14 99:1	139:14	<b>filed</b> 9:22
129:2 186:11	114:22,23,24	<b>fast</b> 130:1	<b>filing</b> 58:24
195:2 270:11	114.22,23,24 115:3,3 204:2	<b>fat</b> 224:17	<b>final</b> 37:18 43:5
276:21 281:5	factors 37:24	225:5,13 226:7	74:20,21
external 132:8	39:7,7,17 40:14	226:9	104:19 128:16
132:11,11,16	41:5 49:11	<b>favors</b> 202:22	164:18 166:12
282:12	50:10 66:23	<b>fda</b> 68:22 70:17	180:13 196:3
externally	67:5,13,18	70:18,21 71:15	226:13 234:17
284:19	98:15 101:6,15	74:14,15	250:4 260:8,11
extreme 214:7	114:22 125:6	120:16 229:9	262:11,12
261:23	162:12 163:10	229:11 280:22	266:11,18
		<b>fda's</b> 73:18	269:10 270:2
	224:6,16,24		271:18 276:12
L	Collegy To	1	

### [final - footnote]

Page 28

276:19 277:8	<b>first</b> 18:17 20:4	248:20 252:2	106:19 109:1
finally 56:7	20:9,10,11	253:8 258:3	110:5,16 111:9
financial 88:2,3	21:12 25:7,13	263:14 264:17	112:10 140:11
financially	26:25 30:15	266:11,19	142:15 143:3
87:15 291:19	34:24 44:8	270:16 276:14	143:10,24
<b>find</b> 55:7 68:17	49:13 52:14,20	277:15 278:20	152:8 153:5,17
81:17 82:22	54:24 71:3 95:2	284:1,17 287:2	153:19 155:5
88:15 197:8	95:18 97:16,20	288:24	155:17,20,22
217:6 221:23	98:23 104:13	<b>five</b> 145:13,14	156:1 158:22
228:11 229:6	104:14 108:8	195:17 216:25	158:23,24
229:21 247:2	110:20 111:3	216:25 217:3	159:6,13,24
256:16,20,20	118:6 125:14	260:10,16	160:1,6,11,12
272:20	126:19,22	268:6	160:14,20
<b>finding</b> 125:25	134:6 135:5	<b>fixing</b> 61:15	177:17,18,20
165:5 166:18	136:1 140:2,3	<b>flawed</b> 145:24	177:20 179:5,8
166:20 257:25	140:24 147:1	191:8,8	179:10 180:4
findings 70:18	155:7 156:19	fletcher 269:6	180:22,25
87:19,20 115:5	157:14 158:1	<b>flip</b> 21:1 28:24	181:4,4,7,8,10
125:9 166:25	162:16 163:11	38:17 164:9	181:23,24,25
211:5 229:9	164:9,18	217:19 238:21	181:25 182:12
258:6 259:19	166:12 167:22	239:11 289:5	182:13,17,20
<b>fine</b> 15:3 109:9	170:6 174:17	flipping 86:12	182:24 183:15
169:10 188:19	175:1,4 178:14	<b>flom</b> 2:19	258:22 259:24
206:13	179:17,18,21	florida 2:14	275:11
<b>finer</b> 86:5	180:11,12	<b>focus</b> 93:17	followed
<b>finish</b> 8:23,24	186:25 188:8,9	113:6 141:16	179:12
109:25 124:21	189:9,22 196:3	142:23 145:18	<b>following</b> 41:10
124:22 167:8	209:3 215:20	203:17 237:14	105:4 107:17
178:3 215:10	215:24 217:23	290:9	179:4 181:6
262:21	217:24 224:8	focused 35:21	202:16 229:19
finished 178:2	224:13,15	122:4,5 125:4	272:11 282:11
<b>finken</b> 3:5 21:7	225:25 228:2	289:25	293:7
<b>fired</b> 269:12	228:15 231:3	focusing 82:3	<b>follows</b> 8:3 80:6
<b>firm</b> 118:23,24	231:11 233:24	193:24 290:15	91:11
213:15	235:14 238:13	<b>follow</b> 46:2	footnote 156:2
	247:2 248:20	58:5 106:9,15	201:19 240:21

## Document 33013-12 PageID: 217378

### [footnote - further]

241:15 244:7	190:16 195:6	221:12,16,24	frequently 37:4
253:13	206:9 209:1	222:14 223:13	145:9 174:21
<b>foregoing</b> 291:6	211:22 213:1	235:15 252:4,8	209:14 210:4
291:15,21	213:18 225:24	252:9,19	<b>front</b> 34:19
293:6	229:17 232:4	<b>fourth</b> 105:15	48:9 86:7,14
<b>forest</b> 251:3	235:12 239:2	106:9 107:18	<b>frontiers</b> 23:6,6
254:25 255:1	242:1,17	107:19,21	23:13,17,21
<b>forget</b> 148:5	243:23 252:14	108:8 127:12	261:10,11
<b>form</b> 12:12	254:1,5 263:25	foutch 33:7	<b>frowned</b> 190:22
16:6,10,14,18	267:7 268:14	fragments	<b>fruits</b> 149:19
16:24 17:6,24	271:5 273:15	75:24	162:14
25:9 26:21	290:2	framework	<b>fulfill</b> 96:16
27:22 28:10,17	<b>formal</b> 18:25	199:16,20	<b>full</b> 39:5 52:3
30:7 31:25 32:4	190:14	200:16 203:13	62:1 78:25
32:23 33:5,15	formation	frameworks	106:6,10,17
35:19 36:17,22	115:16	199:19	107:14,18
40:10,17 44:12	<b>forming</b> 272:10	frequency	110:18 164:10
44:14 46:11,23	<b>forms</b> 73:13	45:18 144:8	170:6 178:14
47:11 48:25	87:15	145:16,17	179:18,21
50:1,24 52:25	<b>forth</b> 154:10	148:7 183:15	180:11,12
54:2 55:1 56:15	291:7	194:15 195:12	201:9 215:20
64:17 65:11	forthcoming	195:13,18	228:3,15
67:6 71:17	29:18 30:21	214:17,24,25	233:25 235:14
87:12 88:5	forward 38:17	215:2 216:6,7	260:8
89:17 90:16	<b>found</b> 75:9	216:10 217:10	<b>fulsome</b> 262:14
91:21 92:20	131:13 224:3	242:20 248:17	263:1
96:11 100:5	228:25 229:1	258:9,11,19,19	function 186:4
107:4 116:5	245:24 257:13	258:25 259:22	186:5 187:12
117:5 119:15	268:11 280:12	260:4	187:14
120:10,22	280:21,22,23	frequent	<b>funded</b> 21:19
123:23 128:11	<b>four</b> 22:18 31:4	147:14 150:17	funding 21:24
129:1,7 130:15	33:18 51:15	209:10 231:7	114:25 120:15
146:11 147:13	53:5,5 74:25	234:8,14,19	233:13 270:4
162:5,18	106:1 160:12	235:25 241:20	further 80:10
163:13 169:8	160:13 178:25	243:14,17,21	134:22 203:7
173:8 176:1,7	220:16,20,21		204:5 227:5

### [further - going]

Page 30

251:12 254:16	89:22 94:14,19	143:1 152:20	154:10 157:8
275:8 278:7,9	95:1 102:10	159:15 166:13	157:16 160:5
282:14 287:13	103:9 105:9	168:25 176:2	163:3 169:21
290:20 291:17	106:4,13,14	178:15 186:14	170:11 171:4
furthermore	108:24 109:1	207:8,8 210:1	175:2 183:2
214:16	111:9,13	211:6 217:23	184:6,8 190:17
<b>future</b> 290:4	123:15 124:4	230:2 237:7	191:22,24
g	124:11 125:3	238:19 239:14	192:22 195:10
gabriel 5:11	129:19 137:8	243:25 244:17	195:14,24
85:9,14 117:12	167:5 170:13	254:18 272:19	196:23 201:8
117:14 122:3	170:25 171:13	<b>given</b> 11:17	203:7 207:23
126:7	189:14 209:10	30:4,4,12 31:4	212:8 214:25
gathered 63:22	212:9 214:18	60:22,25 65:23	216:21 218:13
gathering 03.22	214:25 215:3	97:23,24	221:17 222:13
62:12	216:7,13,18	170:18 238:25	233:22 237:5
general 31:18	246:16 260:19	279:6	240:12 243:24
64:18 66:18	273:19,21	<b>gives</b> 151:20	244:19,25
170:19 187:9	285:6,23	<b>giving</b> 51:11	248:4 249:8,11
195:7	286:16 288:5	64:2,7 68:14,15	253:12 259:9
generally 15:21	gerel 2:3	237:20	259:20 260:15
20:3 22:14	getting 21:2	<b>glad</b> 183:20	262:7 266:21
27:19 36:20	54:16 98:12	<b>go</b> 26:17 31:10	273:16 275:4
48:2,5 97:2	108:18 130:5	33:2 41:22	276:16 279:17
113:25 170:16	135:8,9 181:24	42:25 53:15	281:23 285:21
174:22 175:24	ghassan 270:4	55:9 58:1 68:15	<b>goes</b> 27:23
187:13 234:23	<b>give</b> 8:19 11:9	74:14,15,15	40:14 175:13
generating	31:6 38:1 43:8	86:11 96:21	218:19 246:23
166:19 167:14	56:22 61:12	98:17,18 99:17	250:17 251:7
168:9	66:12 68:10	101:16 103:16	288:17
genetic 98:25	70:10,12 82:25	108:6 109:23	<b>going</b> 9:24 10:4
224:23 274:2	88:21 97:6 98:8	110:12 115:23	22:17 26:9 29:6
genital 35:2	103:16 106:20	117:3 120:2	40:11 59:6
38:5,9 39:24	109:18 123:24	125:25 127:21	63:14 64:2 66:5
40:5 50:14	136:11,14,15	131:7,9 132:5	67:10 73:22
85:11 89:11,15	136:17,18	137:23 152:18	75:11 76:7,24
05.11 07.11,15	138:15 142:23	153:25 154:2,4	84:20 85:20
<u> </u>			

#### [going - hazard] Page 31

	I		1
86:7 89:18 91:7	<b>gotten</b> 123:5	<b>group</b> 23:18,21	278:20
109:22 137:14	government	108:10 109:2	halfway 88:19
139:17,23	139:14	112:4,4 144:11	93:22 166:11
154:10 161:21	governmental	172:7,21,22	194:2 202:17
161:25 164:17	279:16	173:6,10 174:1	hampshire
165:23 166:23	<b>grade</b> 199:16	182:25 186:5	118:4
176:16 179:6,7	199:19,20	197:24,25	<b>hand</b> 27:24
179:8 186:6	200:6,7,15,16	204:21,22	84:10,12
192:19 193:7	200:21 201:12	269:25 284:10	120:18 136:4
197:3 201:16	201:13 202:1	<b>groups</b> 171:24	236:10 243:5
205:15 218:13	202:22 206:16	172:6,24	250:18 293:19
219:14,15	238:22 240:7	173:11 174:2	handling 24:15
222:20,23	<b>graded</b> 200:24	211:10,12	24:20
229:4 230:10	240:13	213:8	<b>handy</b> 70:2
230:19 256:17	gradient 66:22	<b>guess</b> 31:22	<b>happen</b> 235:9
256:20 259:23	262:15 263:6	63:10 66:18	happened
269:9,11	grading 203:9	68:10 90:12	146:3 156:4
276:15 284:15	<b>grams</b> 131:15	91:8,9 125:11	happens 111:21
gonzalez	<b>grand</b> 15:9	128:3 166:7	239:25
160:16,20	grant 22:4,9	guidelines	<b>happy</b> 109:21
<b>good</b> 8:6 92:8	<b>grants</b> 21:12,14	199:24	184:3 230:7
92:18 93:18,21	21:15,22 22:9	<b>guys</b> 21:1	264:9
95:22 126:25	22:16,23	<b>gyn</b> 38:16	<b>hard</b> 245:1
129:20,21	<b>great</b> 131:20	gynecologic	269:14
138:16	154:11 178:12	86:22,24 274:5	<b>harlow</b> 162:2
goodman 5:15	272:25	gynecological	167:10 194:24
83:18,21,23	greater 146:21	105:8	harmful 96:2
84:20 132:23	182:14 208:24	gynecology	harmonize
133:5,7,10	216:12 231:9	36:20,25	183:13 241:9
goodmans	243:22 245:4	h	244:10 247:5,5
83:21	249:12,16,19	<b>h</b> 6:15 248:12	harper 6:18
gossett 5:20	249:25,25	half 107:9,22	269:8,8,16
169:16 170:1,3	250:1,4,5 253:6	108:22 112:8	<b>hate</b> 116:18
173:20 218:20	<b>grew</b> 231:19	140:24 147:1,3	<b>hazard</b> 143:16
gotcha 41:7	233:5,21	260:9 265:12	144:12,14,15
60:17		200.7 203.12	146:5 147:7

# Document 33013-12 PageID: 217381

### [hazard - human]

149:9,10	hearing 53:19	239:1 242:10	<b>hoc</b> 178:5
150:18 151:3	53:21	242:16,23	190:21 191:9
162:17 164:7	heavily 52:18	243:6	<b>hold</b> 227:13
172:10,12,17	53:12 101:4	highest 40:16	<b>holding</b> 28:7,13
172:18 182:9	202:22	237:16 251:22	187:3,10
242:9 243:14	height 39:8	highlighted	<b>hope</b> 78:14
243:15 253:14	<b>help</b> 77:17	174:23	139:4
253:14 288:12	helpful 24:23	highlighting	hormonal
<b>head</b> 8:20,21	194:7	59:11	38:25 94:23
70:23	<b>helps</b> 159:20	highlighting's	257:2
health 6:10	henderson	59:3	hormone 48:24
18:19,25 19:8,9	229:20,23	highlights	91:15,20
19:12,14 36:15	heterogeneity	58:15,20	hospital 198:6
45:8 63:15	98:24 101:2,8	<b>highly</b> 111:23	<b>hotel</b> 1:19
64:16,20 98:1,3	101:12,21,22	257:12,19	13:16
98:16 154:23	189:20 197:9	hill 53:22,25	houghton
155:13,23	197:16,22,23	54:13,24 56:21	154:24 160:25
157:21,23	198:2 199:5,14	62:22 64:5 65:5	<b>hour</b> 13:2
158:1 160:10	211:12 213:2	65:9,21,24	275:15
162:11 203:6	213:13 218:12	100:4,7,21	hourly 13:7
203:19 204:7	220:7 223:6	197:12	<b>hours</b> 11:1
205:7,20,23	heterogeneous	<b>hill's</b> 54:16	14:24 15:1
206:23 226:23	99:12 265:19	histologic 35:22	240:10 261:3
227:7,11,14,21	heterogenic	193:9	270:17 271:2
227:23 228:1	198:12 212:18	histological	271:10,11
230:16 236:6	<b>hide</b> 31:12	35:17 36:9	housekeeping
241:4 260:17	<b>high</b> 201:4	193:23 265:16	271:18
262:2,6 265:9	237:3 240:13	histology 192:1	hpv 286:5
265:10,11	higher 40:22	historic 104:8	<b>hr</b> 161:12,16
266:9 273:18	106:18 124:24	histories 40:22	162:3 171:9
276:22,25	125:1 137:12	history 49:15	<b>hrs</b> 171:8
278:22 284:13	163:19 172:11	49:22 50:4,5	<b>huh</b> 104:24
284:16,17,21	177:6 209:9,14	71:16,21 110:7	118:20 123:9
285:3	209:24 211:9	274:2	<b>human</b> 62:16
<b>heard</b> 78:24	212:13,16	histotype	202:6 203:2,18
269:22,23	218:17 237:20	214:20	203:22,23

## Document 33013-12 PageID: 217382

### [human - inconsistent]

Page 33

228:5,19,22	idea 227:24	255:5	127:17 178:23
250:24 251:23	284:19	importantly	189:5,12 190:1
276:25 279:22	<b>ideal</b> 136:3	135:24 217:9	198:17 217:6
280:5	identified 75:1	impossible	220:17 221:12
<b>humans</b> 202:6	79:9 80:14	110:15 151:15	223:15 236:9
203:2 229:5	228:6,20 291:8	imprecise	237:2 240:24
huncharek	identifies	151:20	245:2 246:15
221:9 222:19	195:17 289:17	impressed	248:9 252:3,8
223:14	identify 78:18	206:5	254:19 260:2
<b>hundred</b> 16:9	79:13 129:16	improper 263:3	260:17 272:10
33:4 268:6,6,7	<b>ignore</b> 55:14	inability 168:20	includes 46:3
hundreds 264:3	impact 49:6	inadequate	80:11 205:7
264:5	164:7	44:10	235:1 246:12
hygiene 105:7	implication	inappropriate	258:4 279:1
hypothesis	49:16	191:6	including 8:18
166:19 167:4	implications	incidence 48:18	62:16,17 94:5
167:14 168:8	158:8	incident 49:8	153:16 245:5
168:12 214:8	implicitly	98:9 285:7	265:20 269:21
214:11 235:5	246:11	inciting 286:3	277:17
261:23 282:11	implies 49:24	include 18:1	inclusion 85:4
hysterectomy	importance	39:11,11 80:12	241:6 243:22
91:1 137:7,11	54:9,13,19,25	81:21 85:9	244:13 245:20
137:20 163:20	55:3,5,19	90:24 118:3	246:6 252:4
164:6 170:13	115:11 165:22	159:5 222:23	258:25 259:6
170:21 246:13	important 26:7	235:4,22 236:4	<b>income</b> 16:17
i	27:11 49:14,16	241:8 244:9	16:25 17:10,13
<b>i.e.</b> 35:2 110:6	49:21,25 50:4	252:18 254:21	17:23,25 18:3
128:21	55:8,13,20	276:25	incompatible
iarc 74:8	59:22 60:2,7	included 35:22	125:9 263:10
204:19,21	61:3 65:24	47:18 61:12	inconclusive
205:3,5,6	73:21 102:1	70:7 76:14	92:8
206:16,17	114:20 115:9	78:19 79:17,20	inconsistency
280:11 284:10	115:16 117:7	81:8,13,14,19	163:2
iarc's 204:10	167:2 174:23	85:3,25 99:16	inconsistent
iaics 204.10	175:25 176:6	102:2,5 112:24	161:14 257:24
	239:18,24,24	115:12 127:15	258:6,18
	•	•	

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

### [inconsistent - intact]

Page 34

259:18   independent   97:18 237:25   283:19 286:3   155:24   155:24   injuries 276:25   inflates 181:13   injuries 276:25   inflates 183:19   282:15 283:18   influence 49:6   182:5, 6251:11   influenced 182:3   induiry 33:22   insignificant 128:6,9   insoluble   123:22 124:5   267:11   information   installation   226:2 135:20   indirect 229:7   indirectly 102:23 262:14   instance 35:25   162:16   individual   143:14 159:4,4   instance 35:25   162:16   individual   143:14 159:4,4   19:15 21:18   109:23 110:5   184:13 274:24   278:25 279:3   individuals   155:21,22   286:4   individuals   155:21,22   236:4   248:24   278:25 279:3   instructing instructing   17:19   173:10   induce 271:16   induces 270:21   induces 270:23   individual   122:3   instructions   153:2,4   interce 46:15   inflammation   161:2 178:7   162:9 137:8   interce 46:15   inflammation   225:23 234:14   85:12 93:8 94:6   237:25 279:12   increases 36:6   287:7   inflammatory   154:23 155:13   246:16 259:11   intitatives   241:9 244:9   241	_	-		C
19:7 153:16   indicate   110:6   111:10 122:2   indication   94:21 95:6,11   95:19,21 96:3,6   182:2   19:4   indicative   182:3   influence   49:6   182:5,6 251:11   influence   182:3   influence   186:11   information   110:14 143:18   instance   35:25   influence   186:11   information   110:14 143:18   instance   35:25   influence   220:4 224:24   226:20   individual   informative   220:4 224:24   229:10 280:8   influence   28:4,6,9,16   18:23 19:12,13   19:15 21:18   influence   279:10   influence   27	259:18	independent	282:17 283:17	initiatives
incorporates         111:10 122:2 indication         inflates 183:19 inflation 13:5 influence 49:6 182:5,6 251:11 influence 49:6 182:5,6 251:11 influence 49:6 182:5,6 251:11 influence 49:6 182:5,6 251:11 influenced 182:3 126:25 instance 35:25 instance	incorporate	97:18 237:25	283:19 286:3	155:24
19:4   indication   94:21 95:6,11   95:19,21 96:3,6   182:5,6 251:11   influence   49:6   182:5,6 251:11   influenced   182:3   insignificant   128:6,9   insoluble   128:6,9	19:7 153:16	indicate 110:6	<b>inflate</b> 181:13	injuries 276:25
incorporation         94:21 95:6,11         influence         49:6         inquiries         34:4           18:22         96:23,23         indicative         182:5,6 251:11         inquiry         33:22           145:11         indicative         182:3         128:6,9         insoluble           10:20 111:6,8         205:18 267:2         186:11         226:25           123:22 124:5         267:11         information         installation           151:5 157:9         indirect 229:7         indirect 19:223 262:14         instance 35:25           177:9 186:2         228:23         indirectly         162:23 262:14         instance 35:25           177:9 186:2         228:23         indirectly         162:23 262:14         instance 35:25           177:9 186:2         228:23         informative         institute 4:17           220:12 201:6         individual         individual         143:14 159:4,4         19:15 21:18           increased 35:4         142:9,12         inhalation 76:9         41:15 42:1,17           44:13 48:23         162:11 183:3,5         90:10 277:2         instruct 17:14           109:13 110:5         184:13 274:24         individuals         17:19           155:21,22         286:4         152:13 156:22	incorporates	111:10 122:2	<b>inflates</b> 183:19	282:15 283:18
18:22         95:19,21 96:3,6         182:5,6 251:11         inquiry         33:22           incorrect         96:23,23         influenced         insignificant           145:11         indicative         182:3         128:6,9           110:20 111:6,8         205:18 267:2         186:11         226:25           123:22 124:5         267:11         information         110:14 143:18         230:4           126:2 135:20         indirect 229:7         indirectly         228:23         266:24 289:18         162:16           177:9 186:2         228:23         266:24 289:18         162:16         installation           192:21 201:6         indisputable         229:10 280:8         284,6,9,16         18:23 19:12,13           192:21 201:6         individual         143:14 159:4,4         19:15 21:18           226:10         individual         143:14 159:4,4         19:15 21:18           107:25 108:1         184:13 274:24         278:25 279:3         17:20           109:13 110:5         184:13 274:24         278:25 279:3         17:20           17:19 173:10         induce 271:16         induce 271:16         induce 271:16         152:13 156:22         instructs 9:2           17:19 173:10         inducing 94:6         161:2 178:7	19:4	indication	inflation 13:5	<b>injury</b> 276:22
incorrect         96:23,23         influenced         insignificant           145:11         201:11 203:8         182:3         128:6,9           110:20 111:6,8         205:18 267:2         186:11         226:25           123:22 124:5         267:11         information         230:4           151:5 157:9         indirect 229:7         101:14 143:18         230:4           177:9 186:2         228:23         266:24 289:18         162:16           192:21 201:6         indisputable         229:10 280:8         162:16         institute 4:17           226:10         individual         143:14 159:4,4         19:15 21:18           increased 35:4         142:9,12         162:11 183:3,5         184:13 274:24         19:15 21:18           109:13 110:5         184:13 274:24         160:1 277:2         17:20         instruct 17:14           17:20 159:13         160:1 164:11         152:13 156:22         instructions         17:19           174:15 187:11         induce 271:16         161:2 178:7         185:19 187:18         126:9 137:8           187:12 193:6         64:4         257:25         185:19 187:18         163:5 166:20           225:23 234:14         227:4 284:5         148:24 156:24         169:7 174:19           <	incorporation	94:21 95:6,11	influence 49:6	inquiries 34:4
145:11         indicative         182:3         128:6,9           increase         97:23         201:11 203:8         influences         insoluble           110:20 111:6,8         205:18 267:2         186:11         226:25           123:22 124:5         267:11         information         230:4           151:5 157:9         indirectly         162:23 262:14         installation           177:9 186:2         228:23         266:24 289:18         instance 35:25           192:21 201:6         indisputable         266:24 289:18         162:16           192:21 201:6         229:10 280:8         28:4,6,9,16         18:23 19:12,13           226:10         individual         143:14 159:4,4         19:15 21:18           increased 35:4         142:9,12         inhalation 76:9         18:23 19:12,13           107:25 108:1         184:13 274:24         278:25 279:3         17:20           109:13 110:5         184:13 274:24         278:25 279:3         17:20           149:21 152:13         individuals         95:25         instructing           157:20 159:13         induce 271:16         induces 270:21         157:23 160:20         instructions           174:15 187:11         indeen 46:15         257:25         126:9 137:8	18:22	95:19,21 96:3,6	182:5,6 251:11	inquiry 33:22
increase         97:23         201:11 203:8         influences         insoluble           110:20 111:6,8         205:18 267:2         186:11         226:25           123:22 124:5         267:11         information         230:4           151:5 157:9         indirect 229:7         162:23 262:14         installation           177:9 186:2         228:23         266:24 289:18         162:16           192:21 201:6         indisputable         266:24 289:18         162:16           220:4 224:24         229:10 280:8         28:4,6,9,16         18:23 19:12,13           226:10         individual         143:14 159:4,4         19:15 21:18           increased 35:4         142:9,12         inhalation 76:9         41:15 42:1,17           44:13 48:23         162:11 183:3,5         90:10 277:2         instruct 17:14           109:13 110:5         184:13 274:24         278:25 279:3         17:20           199:13 110:5         individuals         95:25         instructing           155:21,22         286:4         initial 11:21         292:15           17:19 173:10         induce 271:16         152:13 156:22         instruct 9:2           174:15 187:11         inference 46:15         257:25         126:9 137:8	incorrect	96:23,23	influenced	insignificant
110:20 111:6,8         205:18 267:2         186:11         226:25           123:22 124:5         267:11         information         230:4           151:5 157:9         indirect 229:7         110:14 143:18         230:4           151:5 157:9         indirectly         162:23 262:14         instance 35:25           177:9 186:2         228:23         266:24 289:18         162:16           192:21 201:6         indisputable         229:10 280:8         162:11           220:4 224:24         229:10 280:8         28:4,6,9,16         18:23 19:12,13           226:10         individual         143:14 159:4,4         19:15 21:18           increased 35:4         142:9,12         inhalation 76:9         41:15 42:1,17           107:25 108:1         184:13 274:24         278:25 279:3         instruct 17:14           109:13 110:5         184:13 274:24         inhaled 279:10         instructing           121:15 125:6,7         223:15 247:22         inhibitors         17:19           149:21 152:13         160:1 164:11         16uce 271:16         152:13 156:22         instructions           157:20 159:13         induce 271:16         157:23 160:20         insurficient           17:19 173:10         indece 270:21         157:23 160:20         in	145:11	indicative	182:3	128:6,9
123:22 124:5   267:11   information   110:14 143:18   230:4   151:5 157:9   indirectly   228:23   266:24 289:18   162:16   indisputable   220:4 224:24   229:10 280:8   143:14 159:4,4   19:15 21:18   individual   143:14 159:4,4   19:15 21:18   individual   143:14 159:4,4   19:15 21:18   162:11 183:3,5   109:13 110:5   184:13 274:24   278:25 279:3   17:20   individuals   121:15 125:6,7   123:15 247:22   individuals   155:21,22   286:4   induce 271:16   induces 270:21   171:19 173:10   inducing 94:6   161:2 178:7   153:2,4   inflammation   230:4   instance 35:25   162:16   institute 4:17   18:23 19:12,13   19:15 21:18   1	increase 97:23	201:11 203:8	influences	insoluble
126:2 135:20         indirect 229:7         indirectly         126:23 262:14         230:4           151:5 157:9         indirectly         228:23         266:24 289:18         instance 35:25           177:9 186:2         228:23         266:24 289:18         instance 35:25           192:21 201:6         indisputable 229:10 280:8         229:10 280:8         informative 28:4,6,9,16         institute 4:17           220:4 224:24         229:10 280:8         143:14 159:4,4         19:15 21:18           increased 35:4         142:9,12         162:11 183:3,5         19:15 21:18           107:25 108:1         184:13 274:24         278:25 279:3         17:20           109:13 110:5         184:13 274:24         278:25 279:3         17:20           109:13 110:5         184:13 247:22         278:25 279:3         17:20           149:21 152:13         286:4         279:10         292:15           157:20 159:13         160:1 164:11         152:13 156:22         157:23 160:20         157:23 160:20           174:15 187:11         16ce 46:15         161:2 178:7         153:2,4           174:15 187:11         16merence 46:15         185:19 187:18         163:5 166:20           154:23 23:414         227:4 284:5         148:24 156:24         169:7 174:19	110:20 111:6,8	205:18 267:2	186:11	226:25
151:5 157:9         indirectly         162:23 262:14         instance         35:25           177:9 186:2         228:23         266:24 289:18         162:16           192:21 201:6         indisputable         229:10 280:8         162:16           220:4 224:24         229:10 280:8         28:4,6,9,16         18:23 19:12,13           226:10         individual         143:14 159:4,4         19:15 21:18           increased 35:4         142:9,12         inhalation 76:9         41:15 42:1,17           44:13 48:23         162:11 183:3,5         90:10 277:2         instruct 17:14           109:13 110:5         184:13 274:24         278:25 279:3         17:20           109:13 110:5         individually         inhibitors         17:19           149:21 152:13         induce 271:16         intial 11:21         292:15           157:20 159:13         induce 271:16         152:13 156:22         instructs 9:2           160:1 164:11         induces 270:21         157:23 160:20         insufficient           17:19 173:10         inducing 94:6         161:2 178:7         153:2,4           174:15 187:11         inflammation         257:25         126:9 137:8           225:23 234:14         227:4 284:5         148:24 156:24         169:7 174:19	123:22 124:5	267:11	information	installation
177:9 186:2       228:23       266:24 289:18       162:16         192:21 201:6       indisputable       229:10 280:8       28:4,6,9,16       18:23 19:12,13         226:10       individual       143:14 159:4,4       19:15 21:18         increased 35:4       142:9,12       inhalation 76:9       41:15 42:1,17         44:13 48:23       162:11 183:3,5       90:10 277:2       instruct 17:14         107:25 108:1       184:13 274:24       inhaled 279:10       instructing         109:13 110:5       individually       inhaled 279:10       instructing         121:15 125:6,7       223:15 247:22       inhibitors       17:19         149:21 152:13       induce 271:16       152:13 156:22       instructions         155:21,22       286:4       initial 11:21       292:15         157:20 159:13       induce 271:16       152:13 156:22       instructs 9:2         160:1 164:11       inducing 94:6       161:2 178:7       153:2,4         171:19 173:10       inference 46:15       64:4       257:25       126:9 137:8         187:12 193:6       64:4       257:25       163:5 166:20         225:23 234:14       85:12 93:8 94:6       148:24 156:24       169:7 174:19         237:25 279:12       227:4 284:5 <td>126:2 135:20</td> <td>indirect 229:7</td> <td>110:14 143:18</td> <td>230:4</td>	126:2 135:20	indirect 229:7	110:14 143:18	230:4
192:21 201:6         indisputable         informative         institute         4:17           220:4 224:24         229:10 280:8         143:14 159:4,4         19:15 21:18           226:10         142:9,12         143:14 159:4,4         19:15 21:18           44:13 48:23         162:11 183:3,5         90:10 277:2         instruct         17:14           109:13 110:5         184:13 274:24         278:25 279:3         instructing         17:20           109:13 110:5         individually         223:15 247:22         inhibitors         17:19           149:21 152:13         286:4         157:20 159:13         160:1 164:11         152:13 156:22         instructions           157:20 159:13         induce 271:16         157:23 160:20         157:23 160:20         insufficient           171:19 173:10         indeces 270:21         157:23 160:20         insufficient           174:15 187:11         inference 46:15         185:19 187:18         126:9 137:8           215:4 225:1,8         inflammation         257:25         126:9 137:8           225:23 234:14         227:4 284:5         148:24 156:24         169:7 174:19           237:25 279:12         227:4 284:5         initially 122:3         169:7 174:19           175:22,23         101:17 113:5	151:5 157:9	indirectly	162:23 262:14	instance 35:25
220:4 224:24       229:10 280:8       28:4,6,9,16       18:23 19:12,13         226:10       individual       143:14 159:4,4       19:15 21:18         increased       35:4       142:9,12       inhalation       76:9       41:15 42:1,17         44:13 48:23       162:11 183:3,5       90:10 277:2       instruct       17:14         109:13 110:5       184:13 274:24       278:25 279:3       instructing         121:15 125:6,7       223:15 247:22       inhibitors       17:19         149:21 152:13       286:4       intial       11:21         155:21,22       286:4       induce       271:16       152:13 156:22       instructions         155:21,22       286:4       157:23 160:20       insufficient         171:19 173:10       induces       270:21       157:23 160:20       insufficient         174:15 187:11       inderence       46:15       185:19 187:18       153:2,4         187:12 193:6       64:4       257:25       126:9 137:8         215:4 225:1,8       inflammation       148:24 156:24       169:7 174:19         225:23 234:14       227:4 284:5       initiate       93:9       175:22,23         increases       36:6       287:7       initiative       241:9 244:9	177:9 186:2	228:23	266:24 289:18	162:16
226:10       individual       143:14 159:4,4       19:15 21:18         increased       35:4       142:9,12       inhalation       76:9       41:15 42:1,17         44:13 48:23       162:11 183:3,5       90:10 277:2       instruct       17:14         109:13 110:5       184:13 274:24       278:25 279:3       17:20         109:13 110:5       individually       inhaled       279:10       instructing         121:15 125:6,7       223:15 247:22       inhibitors       17:19         143:14 159:4,4       19:15 21:18         41:15 42:1,17       instruct       17:14         170:25 108:1       184:13 274:24       278:25 279:3       instructing         184:13 274:24       inhibitors       17:20       instructions         159:25       initial       11:21       292:15         157:20 159:13       induce       271:16       152:13 156:22       instructs       9:2         160:1 164:11       inducing       94:6       161:2 178:7       153:2,4         174:15 187:11       inference       46:15       185:19 187:18       intact       125:3         187:12 193:6       64:4       257:25       163:5 166:20       169:7 174:19         237:25 279:12       227:4 2	192:21 201:6	indisputable	informative	institute 4:17
increased         35:4         142:9,12         inhalation         76:9         41:15 42:1,17           44:13 48:23         162:11 183:3,5         90:10 277:2         instruct         17:14           107:25 108:1         184:13 274:24         278:25 279:3         17:20           109:13 110:5         individually         inhaled         279:10         instructing           121:15 125:6,7         223:15 247:22         inhibitors         17:19           149:21 152:13         286:4         initial         11:21           157:20 159:13         induce         271:16         152:13 156:22         instructions           160:1 164:11         induces         270:21         157:23 160:20         insufficient           171:19 173:10         inducing         94:6         161:2 178:7         153:2,4           174:15 187:11         inference         46:15         185:19 187:18         intact         125:3           187:12 193:6         64:4         257:25         126:9 137:8           215:4 225:1,8         inflammation         148:24 156:24         169:7 174:19           237:25 279:12         227:4 284:5         initiative         241:9 244:9           101:17 113:5         inflammatory         154:23 155:13         246:16	220:4 224:24	229:10 280:8	28:4,6,9,16	18:23 19:12,13
44:13 48:23       162:11 183:3,5       90:10 277:2       instruct 17:14         107:25 108:1       184:13 274:24       278:25 279:3       17:20         109:13 110:5       individually       inhaled 279:10       instructing         121:15 125:6,7       223:15 247:22       inhibitors       17:19         149:21 152:13       individuals       95:25       instructions         155:21,22       286:4       initial 11:21       292:15         157:20 159:13       induce 271:16       152:13 156:22       instructs 9:2         160:1 164:11       inducing 94:6       161:2 178:7       153:2,4         174:15 187:11       inference 46:15       185:19 187:18       153:2,4         187:12 193:6       64:4       257:25       126:9 137:8         215:4 225:1,8       inflammation       148:24 156:24       169:7 174:19         225:23 234:14       227:4 284:5       148:24 156:24       169:7 174:19         237:25 279:12       227:4 284:5       initiative       241:9 244:9         101:17 113:5       inflammatory       154:23 155:13       246:16 259:11	226:10	individual	143:14 159:4,4	19:15 21:18
107:25 108:1       184:13 274:24       278:25 279:3       17:20         109:13 110:5       individually       inhaled 279:10       instructing         121:15 125:6,7       223:15 247:22       inhibitors       17:19         149:21 152:13       individuals       95:25       instructions         155:21,22       286:4       initial 11:21       292:15         157:20 159:13       induce 271:16       152:13 156:22       instructs 9:2         160:1 164:11       induces 270:21       157:23 160:20       insufficient         171:19 173:10       indeces 270:21       161:2 178:7       153:2,4         174:15 187:11       inference 46:15       185:19 187:18       intact 125:3         187:12 193:6       64:4       257:25       126:9 137:8         215:4 225:1,8       inflammation       148:24 156:24       169:7 174:19         237:25 279:12       227:4 284:5       initiate 93:9       175:22,23         increases 36:6       287:7       initiative       241:9 244:9         101:17 113:5       inflammatory       154:23 155:13       246:16 259:11	increased 35:4	142:9,12	inhalation 76:9	41:15 42:1,17
109:13 110:5         individually         inhaled         279:10         instructing           121:15 125:6,7         223:15 247:22         inhibitors         17:19           149:21 152:13         286:4         95:25         instructions           155:21,22         286:4         152:13 156:22         instructs           160:1 164:11         induce         271:16         152:13 156:22         instructs           171:19 173:10         inducing         94:6         161:2 178:7         153:2,4           174:15 187:11         inference         46:15         185:19 187:18         intact         125:3           187:12 193:6         64:4         257:25         126:9 137:8           215:4 225:1,8         inflammation         148:24 156:24         169:7 174:19           237:25 279:12         227:4 284:5         initiate         93:9         175:22,23           increases         36:6         287:7         initiative         241:9 244:9           101:17 113:5         inflammatory         154:23 155:13         246:16 259:11	44:13 48:23	162:11 183:3,5	90:10 277:2	instruct 17:14
121:15 125:6,7       223:15 247:22       inhibitors       17:19         149:21 152:13       286:4       95:25       instructions         155:21,22       286:4       initial 11:21       292:15         157:20 159:13       induce 271:16       152:13 156:22       instructs 9:2         160:1 164:11       induces 270:21       157:23 160:20       insufficient         171:19 173:10       inducing 94:6       161:2 178:7       153:2,4         174:15 187:11       inference 46:15       185:19 187:18       intact 125:3         187:12 193:6       64:4       257:25       126:9 137:8         215:4 225:1,8       inflammation       initially 122:3       163:5 166:20         225:23 234:14       85:12 93:8 94:6       148:24 156:24       169:7 174:19         237:25 279:12       227:4 284:5       initiate 93:9       175:22,23         increases 36:6       287:7       initiative       241:9 244:9         101:17 113:5       inflammatory       154:23 155:13       246:16 259:11	107:25 108:1	184:13 274:24	278:25 279:3	17:20
149:21 152:13       individuals       95:25       instructions         155:21,22       286:4       initial       11:21       292:15         157:20 159:13       induce       271:16       152:13 156:22       instructs       9:2         160:1 164:11       induces       270:21       157:23 160:20       insufficient         171:19 173:10       inducing       94:6       161:2 178:7       153:2,4         174:15 187:11       inference       46:15       185:19 187:18       intact       125:3         187:12 193:6       64:4       257:25       126:9 137:8       163:5 166:20         215:4 225:1,8       inflammation       148:24 156:24       169:7 174:19       175:22,23         237:25 279:12       227:4 284:5       initiate       93:9       175:22,23         increases       36:6       287:7       initiative       241:9 244:9         101:17 113:5       inflammatory       154:23 155:13       246:16 259:11	109:13 110:5	individually	<b>inhaled</b> 279:10	instructing
155:21,22       286:4       initial       11:21       292:15         157:20 159:13       induce       271:16       152:13 156:22       instructs       9:2         160:1 164:11       induces       270:21       157:23 160:20       insufficient         171:19 173:10       inducing       94:6       161:2 178:7       153:2,4         174:15 187:11       inference       46:15       185:19 187:18       intact       125:3         187:12 193:6       64:4       257:25       126:9 137:8         215:4 225:1,8       inflammation       initially       122:3       163:5 166:20         225:23 234:14       85:12 93:8 94:6       148:24 156:24       169:7 174:19         237:25 279:12       227:4 284:5       initiate       93:9       175:22,23         increases       36:6       287:7       initiative       241:9 244:9         101:17 113:5       inflammatory       154:23 155:13       246:16 259:11	121:15 125:6,7	223:15 247:22	inhibitors	17:19
157:20 159:13       induce       271:16       152:13 156:22       instructs       9:2         160:1 164:11       induces       270:21       157:23 160:20       insufficient         171:19 173:10       inducing       94:6       161:2 178:7       153:2,4         174:15 187:11       inference       46:15       185:19 187:18       intact       125:3         187:12 193:6       64:4       257:25       126:9 137:8       126:9 137:8       163:5 166:20         215:4 225:1,8       inflammation       148:24 156:24       169:7 174:19       175:22,23         237:25 279:12       227:4 284:5       initiate       93:9       175:22,23         increases       36:6       287:7       initiative       241:9 244:9         101:17 113:5       inflammatory       154:23 155:13       246:16 259:11	149:21 152:13	individuals	95:25	instructions
160:1 164:11       induces       270:21       157:23 160:20       insufficient         171:19 173:10       inducing       94:6       161:2 178:7       153:2,4         174:15 187:11       inference       46:15       185:19 187:18       intact       125:3         187:12 193:6       64:4       257:25       126:9 137:8         215:4 225:1,8       inflammation       initially       122:3       163:5 166:20         225:23 234:14       85:12 93:8 94:6       148:24 156:24       169:7 174:19         237:25 279:12       227:4 284:5       initiate       93:9       175:22,23         increases       36:6       287:7       initiative       241:9 244:9         101:17 113:5       inflammatory       154:23 155:13       246:16 259:11	155:21,22	286:4	initial 11:21	292:15
171:19 173:10       inducing 94:6       161:2 178:7       153:2,4         174:15 187:11       inference 46:15       185:19 187:18       intact 125:3         187:12 193:6       64:4       257:25       126:9 137:8         215:4 225:1,8       inflammation       initially 122:3       163:5 166:20         225:23 234:14       85:12 93:8 94:6       148:24 156:24       169:7 174:19         237:25 279:12       227:4 284:5       initiate 93:9       175:22,23         increases 36:6       287:7       initiative       241:9 244:9         101:17 113:5       inflammatory       154:23 155:13       246:16 259:11	157:20 159:13	<b>induce</b> 271:16	152:13 156:22	instructs 9:2
174:15 187:11       inference       46:15       185:19 187:18       intact       125:3         187:12 193:6       64:4       257:25       126:9 137:8         215:4 225:1,8       inflammation       initially       122:3       163:5 166:20         225:23 234:14       85:12 93:8 94:6       148:24 156:24       169:7 174:19         237:25 279:12       227:4 284:5       initiate       93:9       175:22,23         increases       36:6       287:7       initiative       241:9 244:9         101:17 113:5       inflammatory       154:23 155:13       246:16 259:11	160:1 164:11	<b>induces</b> 270:21	157:23 160:20	insufficient
187:12 193:6       64:4       257:25       126:9 137:8         215:4 225:1,8       inflammation       initially       122:3       163:5 166:20         225:23 234:14       85:12 93:8 94:6       148:24 156:24       169:7 174:19         237:25 279:12       227:4 284:5       initiate       93:9       175:22,23         increases       36:6       287:7       initiative       241:9 244:9         101:17 113:5       inflammatory       154:23 155:13       246:16 259:11	171:19 173:10	inducing 94:6	161:2 178:7	153:2,4
215:4 225:1,8       inflammation       initially       122:3       163:5 166:20         225:23 234:14       85:12 93:8 94:6       148:24 156:24       169:7 174:19         237:25 279:12       227:4 284:5       initiate 93:9       175:22,23         increases 36:6       287:7       initiative       241:9 244:9         101:17 113:5       inflammatory       154:23 155:13       246:16 259:11	174:15 187:11	inference 46:15	185:19 187:18	<b>intact</b> 125:3
225:23 234:14       85:12 93:8 94:6       148:24 156:24       169:7 174:19         237:25 279:12       227:4 284:5       initiate 93:9       175:22,23         increases 36:6       287:7       initiative       241:9 244:9         101:17 113:5       inflammatory       154:23 155:13       246:16 259:11	187:12 193:6	64:4		126:9 137:8
237:25 279:12       227:4 284:5       initiate 93:9       175:22,23         increases 36:6       287:7       initiative       241:9 244:9         101:17 113:5       inflammatory       154:23 155:13       246:16 259:11	215:4 225:1,8	inflammation	initially 122:3	163:5 166:20
increases       36:6       287:7       initiative       241:9 244:9         101:17 113:5       inflammatory       154:23 155:13       246:16 259:11	225:23 234:14	85:12 93:8 94:6	148:24 156:24	169:7 174:19
101:17 113:5 <b>inflammatory</b> 154:23 155:13 246:16 259:11	237:25 279:12	227:4 284:5	initiate 93:9	175:22,23
	increases 36:6	287:7	initiative	241:9 244:9
165:17 94:23 282:14	101:17 113:5	inflammatory	154:23 155:13	246:16 259:11
	165:17	94:23 282:14		

### [intake - jama] Page 35

<b>intake</b> 224:16	172:20 173:1	212:20 251:5	involvement
<b>intend</b> 152:4	173:21,22	255:24 256:3	139:19
275:1	214:10 217:5	261:15	involves 120:8
intended 60:16	219:2 237:1,4,8	intervening	197:11
intensity	237:12 259:13	65:23 106:11	involving
194:15	261:19 266:24	108:21,23	126:14 163:4
interact 157:11	270:13 288:8	109:2	irrelevant
interaction	interpretations	interventions	177:19 181:3
171:18 172:10	141:12 173:5	199:22 203:14	183:17
173:4,12 210:8	233:15	intrauterine	irrespective
212:1,3,7,19,21	interpreted	230:4	186:20
213:6,24	110:11 161:12	intravaginal	irritants 286:3
interactions	165:6 167:1	230:5	irritation 95:17
125:17 219:1	202:3 267:9	introduce	isolation 60:11
interest 114:7	interpreting	85:15 230:20	issue 28:8 31:18
114:18 118:19	172:9,14	introduced	51:8 58:5
119:12 121:4	213:23	227:10 229:20	135:16 140:12
231:18 273:18	interprets	introduction	158:1,18,21
287:25	287:10 288:11	153:14,14	191:2 230:11
interested	interval 141:3	234:2 284:1,2	230:17 239:23
288:8,15	141:20 142:4,5	invasive 36:12	251:7 258:19
291:19	142:8 144:12	investigation	270:13 275:17
interesting	146:23 149:13	281:14	<b>issues</b> 113:24
111:15,16	151:6,21	investigators	116:12 121:9
interpose	191:21 193:3	132:13 134:14	121:13 135:11
139:16	209:20,25	280:21	158:18 176:11
interpret 69:5	210:20 211:19	invoice 4:11	189:24 267:17
107:11,24	212:14 250:12	14:1,2,9,18,25	279:17
109:10 162:21	255:15,18	15:17 78:9	<b>issuing</b> 276:19
172:1,23	257:14	invoices 14:11	<b>iwona</b> 5:10
173:16,18,19	intervals	17:3	117:14
205:16,17	136:18 143:17	<b>invoke</b> 190:25	$oldsymbol{j}$
233:19 271:7	146:16 192:9	<b>invoked</b> 189:19	j&j 71:15 264:6
interpretation	197:6,17 210:9	<b>involve</b> 108:21	jama 138:25
36:4 115:4	210:13,24	involved 119:13	169:18 170:6
171:17 172:16	211:2,16	119:22	10,101,010

### [january - know]

Page 36

january 4:19	<b>katie</b> 5:8,16 7:6	47:16 48:8 49:3	99:25 100:19
12:7 14:4,17	91:7 104:1	49:20 50:17	100:21 101:3,6
16:3 29:8 42:7	138:6 283:11	53:4,6,7,10	101:8,14,14,15
42:17	285:8,11	54:20 55:9,14	101:17,19
<b>jersey</b> 1:4 8:14	<b>keep</b> 17:2 24:7	55:21,21 56:7	102:1,2,4,6,16
274:19,21	34:19 43:3	56:11,20 57:16	102:16,20
293:1	126:22 154:11	59:4 60:11,20	107:7,23 108:2
<b>job</b> 24:12,22	173:5	60:20 61:16	108:11,15,17
<b>johnson</b> 1:6,6	<b>kemi</b> 7:4 283:5	62:11,18,25	108:19 109:9
8:7,7,10,10	kiarash 6:8	63:21,24 64:3	109:10 110:11
68:23,23	219:18	64:19,22,23,23	111:23 112:7
284:21 292:3,3	kidney 25:25	65:2,3,17,18	112:15 113:20
293:2,2	<b>kind</b> 43:7 57:15	66:4,7,7,10	113:23,23
johnson's 35:3	87:14 95:10	67:15,23 69:6	114:8,25 115:4
<b>joined</b> 23:5,12	114:8 181:24	70:21 71:11,14	115:7,8 116:12
24:6	186:10	71:18,20 72:10	116:21,23
journal 23:16	<b>kinds</b> 87:22	72:15,16 73:1,8	117:2 118:1,4,5
24:8,14 86:25	knock 157:17	73:16,18 74:1,2	120:9,16,20,23
104:14 139:1,5	<b>know</b> 8:17 9:4	74:3,16 75:9,10	120:24,25
269:18,22	10:13,19,25	75:21 76:12,13	121:2,8,8
270:1	12:2,4,13 16:8	76:20,22 78:22	122:21 124:19
journals 23:5	16:12,15,25	78:23 79:6,22	125:5,8,17
23:19,21,22	17:1 18:2,21	79:22 81:1,14	128:23 129:5
24:1,5 114:11	19:3,11 20:7,16	82:2,3,7,16,18	129:11,14,20
261:10,14,16	21:20,21,21	82:20 84:14,19	130:6,13,19,21
269:24 270:1	24:4,16,16	84:19 85:1,3	130:23 131:5,7
judgment	25:17,19,24	87:7,13,14,16	131:9,10
111:25	26:1 27:4,8,9	87:18,21 89:2	132:20,25
<b>julie</b> 5:14 133:7	27:17,23,24	89:19 90:8,11	133:1 134:24
133:10	34:4 35:22 36:5	90:21 91:23	135:5,17,19,22
k	36:13 39:8 40:2	92:24,25 93:14	135:22,22
k 2:5 6:17 28:3	40:6,11,12,13	93:14,16 95:8	136:8 138:20
269:16	40:18,19,21	95:15,17,23,24	138:21,22
<b>kadry</b> 6:5	44:2,3,5 45:6,8	96:13,13,13,14	139:15,23
191:12	45:10,14,16,18	96:14,19 97:17	140:10 143:8,9
1/1.12	46:5,6 47:15,16	98:4,5,7 99:14	143:13 144:8

### [know - lawyers]

Page 37

_	<i>v</i> -			
	145:9 146:14	195:22 196:24	253:16,17,19	<b>known</b> 65:19
	146:17 147:24	197:2 198:7,12	253:21 254:6	78:2 135:25
	147:25 148:3	198:17 199:15	255:18,19	198:4 279:22
	149:17,18,19	199:20,21,22	256:4 258:2,13	280:5 284:8
	149:20,22,22	200:12 201:2,4	259:2 260:1,4	286:12
	150:4 151:1	201:5,7,9,13	261:5,14,18	<b>knows</b> 17:13
	153:10,19,23	203:11 204:1	262:18,24	l
	155:22,25	204:17 205:22	263:2,3,7,11,18	1 285:9
	156:4 157:11	206:2,12,18	263:20 264:6	lab 27:8
	158:5,19 159:3	208:8,10,10,14	264:17,21,23	lack 137:21
	161:20 162:7,7	210:10 211:24	264:24 265:3	177:11 198:12
	162:9,20,22	212:4,17 213:9	265:25,25	lacking 94:8
	163:25 164:1,3	213:23 214:3,3	266:4,6 267:8	262:14
	164:3,7 165:10	214:4,6,10	267:11,19,23	language 68:21
	165:21,22	215:6 216:24	267:25 268:2,8	large 91:11
	167:23,23	216:25 217:3,4	269:14,24,25	152:7 263:16
	168:1,12 169:1	220:10 222:2	270:1,11,14	largely 91:13
	169:1,6,10,11	222:15,16	271:6,7,11,12	203:5
	169:13,13,15	223:3,7,8,10,21	271:15 272:5	larger 124:13
	171:17 172:12	223:25 224:1,1	273:20 274:12	125:12 179:25
	172:18 173:3,9	225:14,16	274:15,18,20	180:20 186:15
	173:20,24	226:3,4,22,24	277:16 278:1,2	256:2
	174:12 176:8	226:25 228:24	278:6 279:8	largest 185:8
	176:10,13	229:4 231:13	280:9,10,14,20	laryngeal 277:1
	177:24 179:4	232:3,6,13	280:21,23	279:2,24 280:6
	180:6,25	233:4,10,11,14	281:4,7,10,25	lastly 279:13
	181:16,18,20	233:16 234:13	282:24 284:11	late 182:1
	182:9,10,16	234:15 235:25	284:12 287:3,5	law 118:23,24
	183:18 186:4	236:25 237:2	288:11,13,17	205:23 206:7,8
	187:10,16	238:25 239:3	289:8 290:3,5	lawyer 15:5
	190:12,17,18	240:2,2,3	knowing	206:11,14
	190:19,22	242:20 245:17	288:18	lawyers 33:22
	192:18,23	246:1,14	knowledge 9:16	77:7 133:15,16
	193:5,6 194:19	250:17,21	65:2 139:12	270:6
	194:20,25	251:2,10,14	231:22 293:7	270.0
	195:8,10,14,21	252:17,20		
		Collroy To		

## [lazar - little] Page 38

		I	
<b>lazar</b> 231:13	lifetime 195:3	111:15 131:14	lists 50:9 79:20
<b>lead</b> 93:9 227:4	217:10,17	150:4 157:14	literature 27:17
227:5	ligation 90:25	177:12 202:14	27:21 28:16
leading 284:5	123:4,14 124:8	210:19 213:15	67:3,9,24 80:14
<b>leeway</b> 68:11	124:15 125:2,8	213:20,22	87:23,25 90:14
68:15	125:12 126:2	214:12,13	114:6,19 115:5
<b>left</b> 23:9 149:8	137:12,20	233:24 284:2	116:23,25
269:1	157:10,13,14	293:9	122:9 196:22
<b>lends</b> 284:18	158:2,11	linear 186:12	197:19,22
<b>length</b> 145:17	163:20 164:5	186:13	203:12 228:6
145:18 179:12	164:12,13	linearly 186:2	228:20 263:23
lens 62:21	170:12,20	<b>lines</b> 69:3 106:1	286:15
65:19	245:14 246:7	194:7 225:19	litigation 1:9
lesser 67:22	<b>light</b> 73:7	266:25	8:14 11:18
<b>lethal</b> 111:23	liked 256:3	<b>linked</b> 61:16	13:13 15:14,18
<b>letter</b> 161:21	<b>likely</b> 90:19	<b>list</b> 4:13 10:17	15:21,23 16:17
162:1 165:23	94:25 96:9 97:9	31:1,3,9 38:9	17:10,23,25
166:23 167:6,9	136:5 201:23	39:6,10 44:20	29:15,22 30:4
<b>letters</b> 171:16	281:9	44:23 51:16,19	32:7,8 33:22
199:16	<b>likes</b> 21:21	55:10 78:20	54:10 114:7,8
leukemia 32:20	limitations	79:21 80:6 83:3	116:4 118:17
<b>level</b> 98:11	53:14 115:19	101:16 102:2,7	119:6,11,22
199:13 267:25	152:1 183:8	102:14,19	139:13 208:1
268:8	203:25	112:25 113:18	231:15,20
levels 144:7	limited 89:12	127:12 207:19	233:1,6,21
169:15 250:24	90:5,11,15	207:22,23	263:23 264:2,4
<b>levin</b> 2:11	91:19 92:7	231:12 247:23	264:12,22
levinlaw.com	94:18 98:1,3	271:20,24	270:7 275:2
2:16	107:15 142:24	272:1,11,12,13	292:5 293:4
<b>li</b> 52:15 53:10	143:3 156:1	listed 22:2	<b>little</b> 13:3,19
liability 1:9	159:6 177:2,8	26:19 29:7,16	23:20 64:2
32:6 292:5	182:12 184:16	33:19 38:6	68:15 72:20
293:4	246:16 247:9	55:18 80:17	106:16 118:16
library 79:7	<b>limiting</b> 244:14	231:17	143:18 162:2
lifestyle 38:24	<b>line</b> 59:13 66:12	listing 34:7	177:14 189:22
132:1	73:4 105:15	59:24	197:21,23

#### [little - looks] Page 39

198:1 201:22	44:19 55:10	162:25 164:2	262:5 264:2,3
204:18 252:2	57:13 58:17,18	167:23 168:15	268:1,2 270:2
255:22	60:5,9,10,15,19	169:16,22	270:13,16
<b>live</b> 13:19	60:23 61:5	170:5 173:17	271:19 272:25
<b>living</b> 254:23	65:16,19 66:14	176:18 178:12	273:5 274:5
llc 8:8	66:19,24 70:3	178:12 179:17	284:14 288:23
<b>llp</b> 2:3,19	74:16 79:11	181:6 183:4,8	288:24
local 282:16	81:7 82:21 83:3	184:16 185:22	<b>looked</b> 12:4,6
283:18	83:14 84:14	188:2,8 190:17	36:24 37:7
locations	85:8,17 86:6	191:10,22	45:13 53:11
286:13	88:18 97:12	193:1,19 194:1	122:10,16
<b>long</b> 48:10	98:13 101:5,16	195:10,24	129:14 133:22
86:14 109:22	102:20 104:3,6	197:9 198:5,18	144:7,8 183:4
144:10,14,17	105:3,14 107:8	199:7,8,11,15	208:8,9 223:23
144:19,20,22	108:11,14,20	200:2,2,18	232:13 271:8
144:24 145:1	109:15 110:3	201:18 202:11	288:7,7
145:24,24	110:19 111:24	208:16 210:23	looking 42:16
146:1 147:6,16	115:18 118:6	210:24,25	44:3 56:2,5
147:21,21	120:12,13	216:24 218:12	64:12 66:8
148:14 150:17	123:2,4,7 124:7	219:4 220:9	83:13 107:10
152:7,7 209:10	124:17 125:15	222:14 224:8	112:6 113:10
233:17 264:24	126:16 127:9	226:4,13 227:8	123:13,13
271:16	128:14 130:7	228:1 229:18	125:15 129:13
<b>longer</b> 153:17	131:10 133:25	231:11,11	144:5,10 158:7
155:20 209:15	136:25 137:4	233:24,25	167:21 168:5
210:5	140:2 142:19	235:14 236:14	180:16 189:2
<b>longo</b> 68:24	142:20 143:15	238:4,8,17	192:10 201:1,4
72:7 74:6,15	145:6,13 146:1	239:6 241:12	202:16,20
280:22	146:21 147:3,6	243:10,14,24	211:1 227:16
longo's 78:23	148:24 149:7	244:11,19	232:6,15 235:9
look 12:17 18:8	151:22,23	247:19,25	242:8 246:11
25:2 26:17,22	152:18 153:7	248:14 251:3	250:22,23
28:1,20 29:4	154:21 155:23	253:20 254:8	254:20 256:11
30:11 31:10,19	156:2 157:13	254:24,24	290:17
34:24 40:2,3	158:3 159:15	255:14,16,19	looks 18:12
42:4,4,9 44:6,8	160:2 162:22	255:21 257:6	19:20 23:4

### [looks - marked]

Page 40

24:17 106:17	<b>luis</b> 3:3 41:9,11	m.p.h42	maker 201:13
188:21	47:20 52:7 57:6	4:20	<b>makers</b> 199:21
<b>lopes</b> 1:24	<b>lunch</b> 117:8	<b>m.p.h57</b> 5:5	201:14
291:3,24	118:16	<b>made</b> 36:25	<b>makes</b> 43:14
lose 39:4	<b>lung</b> 40:19 41:2	91:9 146:4	52:5 129:23
<b>losing</b> 107:13	267:24 277:1	204:6 251:21	130:10 148:21
269:11	277:18 279:2	277:7 291:12	233:18
<b>lost</b> 146:16	279:23 280:6	magnitude	making 56:7
180:7	<b>lymph</b> 229:1	124:24 125:1	92:25 120:14
<b>lot</b> 26:10 43:3	lynch 46:5,8,12	126:3,3	185:4 201:14
51:1 64:21,24	46:13 47:17	<b>main</b> 79:24	211:3
86:12 87:9	233:14	181:22 253:21	malignancy
191:2 201:5	lyons 3:4	253:22 257:25	245:8
212:4 223:10	m	258:6,18	malignant
226:2 270:7	<b>m</b> 5:8,10,16 7:6	259:19	270:21 271:3,9
<b>lots</b> 203:9	104:1 117:14	maintain 202:5	271:16
267:12	138:6 270:4	203:1	management
low 96:7 97:21	285:11	<b>major</b> 121:12	8:8
97:22 150:24	<b>m.d.</b> 1:17 4:3	159:8	mandate 24:10
189:18 192:22		majority 64:22	manufacturer
200:7,10,25	4:15,20 5:5,19 5:20 7:5 8:1	78:21 99:23	115:1
201:4,5,19,20	34:18 42:8 57:9	260:20	manuscript
202:1,21	154:7 170:1	<b>make</b> 8:21	232:15
203:12 237:2	283:5 292:1	13:16 25:18	manuscripts
239:19,25		27:6 54:22 56:4	264:3
247:13	293:5,23 <b>m.p.h</b> 1:17 4:3	56:8 63:8 64:4	mark 9:24 10:4
<b>lower</b> 146:9	8:1 34:18 42:8	70:16 78:12	34:15 42:5 88:8
147:20 148:15	57:9 292:1	82:16 86:6 91:7	103:21 117:12
148:19 150:25	293:23	91:25 93:1,23	133:5 138:4
151:7,12,16		111:25 154:18	154:5 169:20
161:13,17	<b>m.p.h.</b> 154:8 293:5	183:14 199:24	169:25 179:14
172:13 210:3,6		200:5 223:7	207:2 248:11
210:11,12,16	<b>m.p.h</b> 5:19	250:20 251:19	269:7 283:4
210:18		251:21 264:8	marked 9:7
lowest 194:10	<b>m.p.h34</b> 4:15	267:20 271:13	14:1 18:9 31:1
	4.13	292:18	34:18 37:12
	College To		

### [marked - mean]

Page 41

			$\mathcal{E}$
41:8,16 42:8	83:17,23 85:15	290:19 292:11	53:3,4 54:15
47:23 52:7,10	88:8,14 92:22	293:25	55:2,9 60:6,10
57:5,9 84:3	98:17,20 103:4	mas 1:8 293:3	60:23 61:17
86:17 104:2,4	103:9,18,21,24	mash 182:1	62:10 63:14,15
117:15 121:25	105:19,22	massachusetts	63:20 64:18
127:3 133:8,9	106:1 110:22	1:21 2:22 13:20	67:13 69:11,20
138:7 154:8	115:22,25	17:5 118:4	73:5,8,16,25
170:2 179:16	117:8,11 120:1	291:5	74:3,14 75:7,16
187:20,23	124:22 126:21	material	76:10,19 78:24
191:13 207:5	127:6 129:25	148:21 149:25	81:7 82:6,13
219:16,19	130:4 132:15	150:3,10	83:2 84:13
220:10 221:5	133:5 136:24	materially	87:13 89:18
227:11,15	137:23 138:2	125:19	90:3,7,17 91:4
230:24 231:1	138:14 140:1	materials 10:11	91:23 94:17
248:13 269:17	140:20 146:25	11:10 69:16	99:7 101:13
275:23 276:3	154:1,4,15,20	79:2 118:7	102:1,3 107:9
283:2,3,6,10	157:16,19	<b>math</b> 15:4	108:1,11 109:9
285:5,12	159:18,25	<b>matter</b> 35:18	110:10,12
markers 274:2	161:7 166:11	35:23 120:18	111:16 112:5,7
marketing 1:7	166:14 169:20	200:8 210:20	112:15 113:7
292:4 293:3	169:24 175:7	264:7	113:19 114:10
martin 2:20 4:6	175:16 176:21	maximal 136:4	114:22,23,24
7:9 8:5,7 10:1,4	176:23 177:2	136:7	115:18 116:6,8
14:7 17:8,16	180:9,11,13	mcl 274:19	116:18,19,24
18:5,8 21:4,6	184:8,11,21	<b>mdl</b> 1:7 8:11	117:6,25 120:2
30:24 34:15	187:20 207:2	15:14 58:10	120:15,23,25
37:11 39:18	218:1 219:7,10	274:16 293:3	122:4,10,20
41:8,13,19,22	219:14 225:11	meagher 2:19	124:17 125:4
41:24 47:20	230:20 239:13	mean 16:1 17:2	125:24,24
52:6 57:5,19,25	248:4,7 262:7	20:10 21:13	127:18,19
58:4,14,18,22	262:10 265:10	27:4 30:13 31:8	128:4,5,7,8
58:25 59:6,15	269:8 275:4,7	31:8 34:7,9	129:2,20
59:18 61:24	277:10 281:18	37:8 40:11	130:16,19,22
64:6,9 69:16	283:7,25	42:19 45:6 46:2	132:19,23
70:2,13 77:14	284:23 285:1	46:25 47:12	136:20 137:16
77:24 83:9,12	287:15,18,21	49:2,7,20 51:21	139:14 143:12

### [mean - meta] Page 42

144:14 145:25	260:3,23	287:5	126:6 145:2
146:14 147:23	261:18,21	mechanistic	152:16,21
148:7,22	262:24 263:11	64:25 204:24	159:7 166:17
149:10 150:8	263:20 264:1	median 136:7	182:2 183:20
152:17 153:22	266:6 267:18	142:15 160:19	202:12,23
154:13 155:5	267:23 268:1	mediating	205:7 210:13
160:4 161:23	271:11 273:14	96:21	227:7 231:25
162:10 163:24	274:3 280:8,10	mediators	254:25
168:10 169:11	280:15,19	282:17 283:19	mentioning
171:25 172:25	meaning 39:16	<b>medica</b> 269:19	166:22
173:9 176:12	204:15 216:15	269:25	mercifully
176:24 181:16	225:21	medical 19:13	48:11
183:11 184:3	means 93:14,16	19:21,24 20:10	mesothelioma
185:22 186:13	95:20 98:14	20:12 33:7	35:8 277:1,17
186:20 187:8	128:8 201:19	62:14	279:2,23 280:6
190:5 192:10	251:18 273:21	medically	mesotheliomas
192:20 193:8	meant 41:6	148:24 149:4,7	266:3
195:7 196:25	77:24 244:4	150:19	<b>met</b> 11:1 91:9
204:21,23	measure	medicine 18:23	243:21 252:4
205:19,24	147:25 148:5,6	19:8 23:7,10	259:6
206:1,3,10,14	185:12 198:3	261:12	meta 26:10
210:11 211:17	288:21	<b>medium</b> 237:3	44:24,25 45:7,8
214:13,22	measured	<b>meet</b> 246:1	45:14,15,16,23
218:12 220:18	146:20 148:8	meeting 11:10	45:24 46:13
222:9 223:3	263:9	<b>member</b> 24:18	81:16 84:5,11
225:14 226:3	measurement	memorializing	85:7,23 101:24
226:21 228:23	104:9	21:9	183:21 184:1
228:24 229:1	measures 106:4	mental 15:4	188:10,23
230:6 233:17	mechanism	mention 76:6	189:5,12,15,17
233:20 234:12	76:16 89:25,25	152:15,20,24	190:2,9,10
236:25 239:3	90:8,13,20	170:18 193:12	198:3,8 199:8
239:17 242:19	168:5 226:15	245:16,17,18	221:16,18,21
244:18 245:15	282:6,24	mentioned 22:8	221:23,24
247:25 252:16	mechanisms	28:14 65:4	231:5,19,24
254:6,23 255:1	81:20 89:21	69:10 74:8,9	232:14 234:24
256:6 258:18	90:10 168:1,2	88:10 89:24	235:2,8,10

### [meta - months]

Page	43
$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}$	

_			
245:19 246:15	michelle 2:4	minus 255:20	<b>models</b> 92:9,19
247:17 248:10	289:8 292:9	255:21	93:2,3,18,21
250:19 251:3	microscopy	minute 38:1	284:3 287:5
251:12,19	73:7	109:18 169:22	moderate 162:8
253:21,23	<b>middle</b> 153:11	minutes 269:1	modifiable
255:13 256:1,4	166:3 189:9	271:19	40:14,21,23,24
257:8,11	217:22	<b>minutia</b> 225:13	41:5
258:24 281:16	<b>migrate</b> 229:10	mirrored 281:5	mohamed 6:4
<b>method</b> 135:24	283:16 284:20	<b>mis</b> 148:8	191:12
methodologic	284:22	misclassificat	molecular 99:1
121:13	migrated	131:2,6,20	265:17,23,24
methodological	229:14	132:10 168:24	266:6
121:9	migrates 287:6	misclassificat	<b>moment</b> 82:25
methodologic	migration 76:7	132:24	98:18 101:19
191:8	93:7 168:2	misconstrued	137:24 224:13
methodology	173:16 227:1	198:1	244:8 262:8
43:21 44:2 63:4	227:20,24	<b>mish</b> 182:1	moments
63:13 64:14,15	229:8 284:13	<b>missed</b> 104:12	149:24
74:3,16 114:24	287:7	286:7,9	<b>monday</b> 10:18
116:11 117:1,3	<b>million</b> 140:14	misstates 28:11	13:25 18:11
208:9,17	158:23 177:23	36:1 44:15	69:17 103:13
233:12 244:11	mills 194:24	49:18 50:6 60:3	133:15 272:7
methods 72:11	<b>mind</b> 83:1,21	65:25 75:14	272:13 284:24
110:12 113:10	93:12 173:5	92:21 93:20	287:23
115:8 118:7	175:6 272:23	122:16 141:9	monetary 18:3
206:21 244:15	<b>mined</b> 286:13	141:24 150:6	money 15:22
244:19 245:12	mineralogist	167:15 205:13	15:23
251:16 270:14	69:2	231:23 232:10	monographs
285:23	<b>minerva</b> 269:19	242:18,25	25:8,10
meticulous	269:23,24,25	252:6	<b>month</b> 18:12
206:5	<b>mini</b> 42:11	mistaken 103:1	145:13 216:11
<b>metric</b> 194:19	minimal 136:4	misunderstood	248:22 249:2
194:23 195:1	136:7 197:16	175:8	249:13,17,20
<b>metrics</b> 150:16	251:11	<b>mode</b> 136:8	250:5
meyers 18:22	<b>minor</b> 182:5,6	<b>model</b> 93:7,13	<b>months</b> 106:7
19:12		93:13 287:4,8	106:23 144:23
	Collrow To		

#### [months - nodes] Page 44

145:10 146:2	245:3 287:5	near 184:14	118:1,4 121:14
<b>moore</b> 285:9	mutagenic 93:9	necessarily	154:5,13
moorman	227:4 282:18	30:15 43:19	156:19 168:16
11:25 207:25	284:6	45:6 55:20 63:7	168:17 260:13
208:13 263:16	mutations 99:1	67:23 90:17	260:14 261:9
moorman's	myeloid 32:20	96:5 165:24	271:19 274:19
207:19	n	201:12 278:4	274:21 293:1
morning 8:6	<b>n</b> 4:1 5:1 6:1	necessary 76:3	newcastle
12:20 213:14	7:1 28:3 177:5	276:21 292:19	236:21,23
morphologic	177:7 184:24	<b>need</b> 26:22 40:3	237:6,17
99:2	185:19 196:4	59:18 65:9	238:15,19
<b>move</b> 18:6		88:14 92:2	239:6 240:8,11
30:24 92:2	196:15	142:20,22	newer 246:24
136:22 138:3	<b>n.w.</b> 2:5	153:10 176:9	<b>nhs</b> 143:21
215:8,18	name 8:6 19:25	179:25 180:1	144:16 155:25
219:15 232:23	73:8 91:7	180:19,20	156:16 159:3
232:24 240:15	185:25 207:19	181:20 182:19	251:6
244:5 263:12	<b>napkins</b> 245:7	268:6,7	nhsi 156:21
movement	285:25	neighborhood	167:23 177:17
228:4,19,21	narod 5:23	15:10	181:10
moving 73:18	178:8,14,18	neither 172:7	nhsii 143:22,25
mparfitt 2:8	179:13,15	173:6	144:19,21
mucinous	180:3,21	neutral 116:15	155:25 156:12
192:7,16,22,25	182:18,23	never 13:20	156:18 158:22
muffled 21:3	185:10 272:16	34:11 56:21	159:4,8 160:12
multi 245:10,16	273:2,3	110:16 163:9	164:2 177:18
246:10 247:18	narrative 81:24	163:12,16	181:4,8,9,12,25
multicase 27:21	national 4:17	198:8 232:25	182:3
multicausal	21:18 41:15,25	233:3 252:18	nicolas 5:6
101:6	42:16 241:4	252:18	86:16
multicounty	<b>nature</b> 31:13	nevertheless	niehs 138:21
8:14	32:12 99:13	170:25	nih 21:16,17
multiple 60:25	137:21	new 1:4 8:14	120:16
_	<b>nci</b> 87:16	19:2 21:22	nod 8:20
80:9,22 165:4,9	120:16		
165:10 224:6		42:25 65:23,24	<b>nodes</b> 229:1
231:8 244:20	College To	66:4 79:13	

### [noise - o'brien]

Page 45

[Holse oblien]			1 480 13
noise 125:21,22	251:13 292:16	140:5,12 143:8	0
125:24	<b>noted</b> 68:5	145:18,19	o 285:9,9
<b>non</b> 17:22,25	75:10 78:6,9,10	148:1,2,4	<b>o'brien</b> 5:9,17
29:21 35:6 94:9	152:22 154:25	150:23 151:2	7:7 44:25 45:1
111:25 112:4	155:4 159:25	155:21 158:21	46:21,22 64:24
170:10 173:4	164:11,14	177:4,6 179:5	69:5 86:18 87:8
nonexposed	189:4,4,11	180:4 184:23	88:9 91:5
128:20,24	190:1 214:22	185:6,18,25	101:23 102:9
129:3	235:21 280:19	186:21 187:3	104:1,3 129:17
nonintact 137:8	<b>notes</b> 98:18	187:13 190:13	129:18 136:23
170:25	169:22 227:17	199:4 227:9	138:3,6,17
nonobese 96:9	275:5 291:16	238:6,7 242:2	141:11 148:23
nonpatent	<b>notice</b> 4:10 9:7	242:15 256:23	153:2,7,21
141:14 170:9	9:22 122:2,6	265:15 272:18	154:9,11
171:9,18,22,25	<b>noting</b> 290:15	numbers	155:14 156:19
172:13,17	notoriously	107:12,24	157:22 160:8
174:2,13,15	213:24	109:10,16	160:13,23
219:3 286:19	<b>novel</b> 27:11	123:24 124:16	161:24 162:1
nonrelevant	november	129:21 135:8	163:4 164:16
81:5	14:25 35:1	142:20 150:23	166:24 169:18
nonsignificant	37:20 61:10	158:7,9,17	177:7 178:23
171:22 212:16	272:5	173:13 177:16	178:24,25
218:13	<b>nuance</b> 282:23	178:10 181:21	181:1,3 182:14
nonusers	nuanced	186:23 192:21	206:22 210:19
174:21 241:20	274:13	253:11	211:17 226:23
nos 238:14	<b>null</b> 214:11	numerical	229:12 235:23
notable 99:16	261:23	115:20	236:8,12
notary 8:2	nullified 127:25	<b>nurses</b> 155:12	237:18,20
291:4,25	128:4 130:25	157:21,23	240:23 241:3,5
notations	<b>nullify</b> 131:21	158:1 236:6	242:12 246:15
292:18	nullifying	nursing 20:13	251:9 254:11
<b>note</b> 21:3 99:17	127:16	nutritional	255:5,9,21
99:18 114:16	number 15:2	224:16	257:21 258:4
121:17 126:17	16:5 42:13		258:14,21
158:6 159:13	49:25 50:9		259:5,20 260:1
160:19 228:23	112:7 137:2,16		,

Page 46

### [o'brien - odds]

[			
282:22 283:2	52:25 54:2 55:1	231:21 232:2	<b>obtain</b> 292:10
283:11,20	56:15 64:17	232:10,19	obtaining
285:8,11,18	65:11,25 67:6	233:8 234:11	261:22
286:20 287:3	71:17,23 75:4	235:12 236:7	obviously 28:4
o'brien's	75:14 77:8	239:2 240:9	39:10 44:4 60:7
177:12 218:9	87:12 88:5	242:1,17,25	62:23 68:24
235:3 236:5	89:17 90:16	243:3,8,23	74:13 112:24
259:22 288:8	91:21 92:20	246:8 247:10	115:8 116:12
oath 291:9	93:19 95:5	252:6,14 254:1	120:19 133:14
<b>ob</b> 38:16	96:11 97:10	254:5 255:7	150:14 155:23
<b>obese</b> 96:8,16	100:5 107:4	261:2 263:25	158:20 161:24
97:9	112:13 116:5	264:14 267:7	181:5 237:4
obesity 96:14	116:17 117:5	268:14 270:10	267:24 270:13
96:18,19,21	119:15,24	271:5 273:15	oc 224:18,22,24
101:17,20	120:10,22	277:10 290:2	225:2 282:14
224:25 225:6	121:1 123:23	290:12	ocac 45:11
226:8,10	128:11 129:1,7	objections 9:22	46:25 268:2
<b>object</b> 8:25 9:1	130:15 132:18	10:2,7 21:8	occur 94:22
17:11,13 93:19	139:17 141:9	291:11	131:18 271:9
122:15 137:14	141:23 146:11	<b>objective</b> 56:13	271:10 274:7
139:17 213:18	147:13,19	56:14,19	286:8,11
<b>objection</b> 12:12	148:17 149:15	236:23	290:16
15:25 16:6,10	150:6,22	observation	occurred
16:14,18,21,24	151:17 158:13	186:23	156:16 232:12
17:6,24 25:9	162:5,18	observational	232:13
26:21 27:22	163:13,21	179:22,24	occurs 164:5,6
28:10,17 30:7	167:15,20	200:7,8,10,11	255:23
31:25 32:4,23	169:8 173:8	201:2 203:11	october 18:20
33:5,15,20	174:5 176:1,7	observe 271:3	43:15 291:25
35:19 36:1,17	190:16 191:7	observed 94:22	ocwaa 45:11
36:22 37:6	192:17 195:6	98:25 110:20	47:1
40:10,17 41:3	205:13,25	111:1,6,8,12	<b>odds</b> 126:8
44:12,14 45:25	206:9 208:6	162:15 211:13	127:24 128:8
46:11,23 47:11	209:1 211:22	213:3 215:22	137:7 163:19
48:25 49:18	213:1 225:24	215:25 216:2,5	191:20 211:9
50:1,6,24 51:6	229:17 230:13		221:18 222:4,7

### [odds - oophorectomies]

Page 47

- 1	-		$\mathcal{E}$
222:12,15,16	38:12,15,20,23	128:18 129:5	234:6,7,17,23
222:24 223:1	39:2,15,22	130:18,24	236:14,16,21
223:11 242:24	41:12 42:4,25	131:5,25 132:5	237:19,23
243:6	43:4,17 44:19	132:22 134:11	238:25 239:6
<b>offer</b> 62:2	46:8,20 47:5,9	136:22 137:10	239:16 241:19
73:22	47:20 48:2,6,14	138:16 139:21	242:8,13
offering 8:13	48:17,22 49:9	140:7,15,23	243:18,19
35:5,12 68:1	49:13 50:2,3,9	142:7,11	244:4 246:19
76:2,7 274:23	50:12 51:10,13	144:10 146:8	247:22 249:1
<b>office</b> 58:21	51:15,20 53:1	147:9 148:23	250:8,15
ogunsina 7:5	54:7 55:4,7	149:7 150:15	254:22 255:3
102:9 283:2,5	56:4 58:16,16	151:5,10 152:6	256:10,25
283:11,20	61:10 62:4 67:3	152:19,25	257:6,11,19,23
287:19	70:21 71:8,14	153:7 154:17	260:13,16,25
<b>oh</b> 191:1	71:25 72:24	155:9,12,19	261:17 265:11
okay 8:17 9:10	73:3,15 76:1,6	156:13,21,24	266:21 268:24
9:14,20 10:10	77:6,10,19,21	159:11,13	270:3,20 271:2
11:9,12,16,20	79:16 80:7,17	161:4 164:9,25	271:22 272:9
12:9,17 13:4,12	84:8,9 85:19,25	168:25 169:20	276:14 278:15
13:18,23 14:16	86:14 88:7 89:6	171:4 172:23	279:13 285:17
14:20,23 15:8	90:4 92:17 94:4	173:2 174:9,16	288:23 289:5
15:13,16,21	97:5,20 100:1	175:12 176:25	<b>old</b> 154:13
16:5,23 18:15	100:10 101:10	182:8 183:10	<b>older</b> 247:1
18:19,24 19:7	101:23 102:13	184:16 188:6	once 190:24
20:2,14 22:8	102:18,24	188:19 189:2	245:23 284:4
23:1,3,12 24:4	104:18,22	190:10 192:3,4	oncologist
24:19 25:1,6,13	105:3,12,24	194:1,8,9 199:2	274:5
26:15,24 28:24	106:25 113:15	205:4 207:2,11	oncology 86:22
29:10,18,21,25	114:4,18	207:15 208:23	86:24
30:3,11,21,24	115:14 118:13	209:17,18	ones 22:1 79:19
31:6,11,20,23	118:16 119:5	210:2 212:9	79:23 143:15
32:19,25 33:2,7	119:21 120:5	215:18 217:19	212:5 246:22
33:11,17 34:3	122:14,24	220:23,25	246:22 255:24
34:14 35:8,12	123:7,10 124:7	221:4,8,11	oophorectom
35:15 36:11,24	124:8,13,20,25	223:18,25	156:3,10
37:3,10,22 38:4	125:23 126:14	228:1 233:5,17	

### [oophorectomy - ovarian]

Page 48

oophorectomy	102:4 135:1,3,4	81:25,25 84:7,8	ovarian 12:23
156:5,7,15	141:25 267:19	84:10,17 86:1	22:6,13 29:12
<b>open</b> 74:10	272:10 277:25	101:25 102:3	29:15,19,22
operational	278:1 279:6	113:10 145:14	30:5,18,22 35:4
87:23 95:12,23	280:16 285:15	258:6,10	35:6,13,15,18
96:1	285:19 287:1	259:19 292:8	35:21 36:4,6,15
<b>opined</b> 279:17	<b>opioid</b> 264:3	292:11	37:5,24 38:10
<b>opinion</b> 12:15	<b>opioids</b> 264:23	originally	40:7,25 44:13
31:16 32:12	opportunity	26:13	45:4 46:9 49:15
35:5,12,24 36:3	148:12	ors 134:15	50:5,14 76:4
51:11,23 52:2	opposed 53:9	<b>os</b> 143:21	84:1 85:10
52:22 53:8 57:1	108:9 259:11	144:19	86:19 89:11,16
57:3 60:18 65:6	opposite 164:14	ottawa 236:21	89:22 91:13
65:22 66:6,6,7	optional 114:11	236:23 237:17	92:9,19 93:18
66:10 68:2	options 145:8	238:15,19	94:24 96:23
73:22,24,25	<b>oral</b> 48:23	239:7 240:8	97:23,25 98:9
76:2,8,13,17,19	164:4 237:11	<b>ou</b> 33:7	98:23 99:6,10
81:24 82:3 94:8	245:18 274:1	outcome 27:25	99:13,23
94:18 99:9,13	oranges 258:14	53:23 54:1	100:11 101:5
99:14 115:17	order 4:23 52:1	95:13 101:1	101:18 105:14
146:4 176:14	52:3,9 54:8,12	104:11 136:5	106:5,12
197:19 203:21	54:18,25 55:3,5	223:2 239:4	107:10,15,23
203:22 204:1	55:19 57:15,22	287:25	108:16,18,21
224:2 225:20	179:19,23	outcomes	108:23 109:3
226:7,10 255:4	180:14,18	185:12 288:21	110:7 111:10
261:6 267:15	ordinary 40:16	outline 88:16	111:20,22
267:15 268:20	87:24	287:8	112:8 113:1,3,5
268:21 274:23	organization	outlined 113:10	113:11,22
277:7 278:2	36:21 38:13	outlines 195:12	114:12 117:13
280:3,25 281:4	48:3,4	output 27:7	118:3 123:8
281:6 283:21	organizations	outreach 36:14	133:11 138:24
opinion's 68:4	36:15	outside 13:20	141:13 142:16
<b>opinions</b> 8:9,13	<b>origin</b> 98:25	15:14 29:14	142:18 143:10
12:22 30:1	original 25:20	30:3	152:12 154:25
31:13 35:20	25:21 26:5,11	outweigh	156:6,7,8,11,25
61:11 62:1	28:25 80:25	279:25	158:25 163:6

### [ovarian - page]

Page 49

168:13 171:13       2         173:25 174:20       ove         177:4,7,10       1         178:8 184:23       2         187:5,6 189:6,6       ove         189:13 190:5       2         192:19,25       ove         193:10 196:18       2         204:3 208:11       2         216:18 216:8       ove         216:18 220:4       ove         229:7 231:10       ove         234:7,21       9         241:25 260:20       ow         265:23 266:1,4       1         267:20 268:13       2         268:22 273:10       oxi         274:24 275:17       2	72:14 174:14 278:2 erlap 197:7 197:17 211:17 212:5 erlapped 210:20 erlapping 210:10,14 218:25	22:17,17,21,21 23:3 25:7,13 26:24,24 28:21 30:11 37:14,17 37:18,22 42:9 42:10,10,13 43:5,9 48:12,15 49:9,10 52:11	168:15 170:5,6 175:1,2,3 176:18,25 178:13 179:18 179:20 180:9 184:17,20
234:7,21 9 241:25 260:20 ow 265:23 266:1,4 1 267:20 268:13 2 268:22 273:10 oxi 274:24 275:17 2	erlaps 211:19 erweight 224:25 225:6 erwhelming	52:12 53:18 59:9,10,12,19 62:6,7 66:12,14 66:17 67:1 68:19 74:18 79:11 81:10	188:2 191:15 191:23 194:2 195:24 196:3,9 196:10,11 200:19 202:12 202:17 206:3 207:9,10,11,20 209:3 212:10
	99:23 n 65:15 190:15 263:10 286:17 287:10 idative	85:18 88:18,22 89:3 97:17,24 98:21 104:12 104:13,13,14 105:13,16,17	214:24 215:1,9 215:15,19 217:19 218:1 219:20,25 220:10,22
279:12,24 280:6,10,13 281:1,11,15 282:6,15 285:20 286:17 288:19 290:15 ovaries 76:8 228:25 220:15	<b>p</b> 6:6 161:11 65:2 173:17 207:4 212:23 212:25 213:3,6 213:7,9,15,20 214:2,4,5,5 218:12 220:5 261:15,20,20 261:21	110:21,22,23 118:7,18 121:21,24 123:3 127:8,9 128:15 133:24 134:1 136:2 137:15,16,17 140:3,16,20 144:5 149:4 151:23 153:7 154:21 155:4,8 157:8,8,13 159:19,21	221:5,8 224:9 224:10 226:13 227:21 228:3,9 228:10 229:19 231:3,3 235:14 236:14 238:9 238:11,18 239:12,13 244:16 248:15 255:1 256:23 260:7,12 262:6 265:8,12 266:9 266:16 270:2 270:16 271:23

### [page - parfitt] Page 50

279:18 281:25				
284:1,15,15         49:10 52:14,20         273:7,8 278:21         74:8 75:4,14           285:21 288:24         74:20,21 88:19         284:17         76:15 77:8,13           289:7 292:9         92:4 93:22         48:14 134:3,6         81:10 82:25           293:9         97:16,20 104:7         parentheses         83:16,20,24           43:13 44:7,7         105:23 107:9         171:8 213:3         85:14 87:12           121:11 126:18         110:20 111:2,3         263:15,16         89:17 90:16           156:22 159:17         111:3 128:16         parenthetical         88:5,17,22           195:25,25         151:25 153:13         8:25 9:21 10:3         93:19,25 95:5           207:6,13 257:4         155:4,7 160:17         10:17 12:12         96:11 97:10,14           paginated         161:1,11         13:25 15:25         100:5 103:12           37:13 43:14         164:18 166:3         16:6,10,14,18         105:18,20,25           48:11 88:10,15         166:12 170:6,8         16:21,24 17:6         107:4 108:6           256:24         170:18 178:13         17:11,20,24         109:20,25           pagination         179:18,21         18:7 25:9 26:21         110:21,24           104:14         180:11,16         27:22 28:10,17         112:13 116:5,7 <th>279:18 281:25</th> <th><b>par</b> 98:10</th> <th>265:15 266:11</th> <th>69:18,22 70:8</th>	279:18 281:25	<b>par</b> 98:10	265:15 266:11	69:18,22 70:8
285:21 288:24 288:25 289:1,5 289:7 292:9         74:20,21 88:19 92:4 93:22 97:16,20 104:7 104:8,17 105:4 110:20 111:2,3 126:12,125         284:17 48:14 134:3,6 177:22 80:3 48:14 134:3,6 177:22 80:3 48:14 134:3,6 177:8 213:3 85:14 87:12 171:8 213:3 85:14 87:12 171:12 24 4:7 91:21 92:20 18:25 9:21 10:3 93:19,25 95:5 100:5 103:12 96:11 97:10,14 10:17 12:12 96:11 97:10,14 10:21 29:10,25 110:21,24 1	283:23,25	paragraph 44:8	266:19 273:1,6	71:17,23 72:19
288:25 289:1,5         89:3,4,6 91:10         paragraphs         77:22 80:3           289:7 292:9         92:4 93:22         paragraphs         77:22 80:3           293:9         97:16,20 104:7         parentheses         81:10 82:25           pages         1:1 38:17         104:8,17 105:4         17:8 213:3         85:14 87:12           43:13 44:7,7         105:23 107:9         parenthetical         88:5,17,22           121:11 126:18         110:20 111:2,3         parenthetical         88:5,17,22           195:25,25         151:25 153:13         263:15,16         89:17 90:16           207:6,13 257:4         155:4,7 160:17         10:17 12:12         96:11 97:10,14           paginated         16:1,11         13:25 15:25         100:5 103:12           37:13 43:14         164:18 166:3         16:61,0,14,18         105:18,20,25           48:11 88:10,15         166:12 170:6,8         16:21,24 17:6         107:4 108:6           256:24         170:18 178:13         17:11,20,24         109:20,25           pagination         179:18,21         18:7 25:9 26:21         110:21,24           104:14         180:11,16         27:22 28:10,17         112:13 116:5,7           paid         31:24         188:8 189:2,9         29:4 30:7 31:25	284:1,15,15	49:10 52:14,20	273:7,8 278:21	74:8 75:4,14
289:7 292:9         92:4 93:22         48:14 134:3,6         81:10 82:25           293:9         97:16,20 104:7         parentheses         83:16,20,24           pages 1:1 38:17         104:8,17 105:4         171:8 213:3         85:14 87:12           43:13 44:7,7         105:23 107:9         parenthetical         88:5,17,22           121:11 126:18         110:20 111:2,3         263:15,16         89:17 90:16           195:25,25         151:25 153:13         263:15,16         89:17 90:16           195:25,25         155:4,7 160:17         10:17 12:12         96:11 97:10,14           19aginated         161:1,11         13:25 15:25         100:5 103:12           37:13 43:14         164:18 166:3         16:6,10,14,18         105:18,20,25           48:11 88:10,15         166:12 170:6,8         16:21,24 17:6         107:4 108:6           255:24         170:18 178:13         17:11,20,24         109:20,25           pagination         179:18,21         18:7 25:9 26:21         110:21,24           104:14         180:11,16         27:22 28:10,17         112:13 116:5,7           paid 31:24         188:8 189:2,9         29:4 30:7 31:25         116:17 117:5           32:22 116:3         191:18 196:4         32:4,23 33:5,15         19:15,24 </th <td>285:21 288:24</td> <td>74:20,21 88:19</td> <td>284:17</td> <td>76:15 77:8,13</td>	285:21 288:24	74:20,21 88:19	284:17	76:15 77:8,13
293:9         97:16,20 104:7         parentheses         83:16,20,24           pages         1:1 38:17         104:8,17 105:4         171:8 213:3         85:14 87:12           43:13 44:7,7         105:23 107:9         parenthetical         88:5,17,22           121:11 126:18         110:20 111:2,3         263:15,16         89:17 90:16           156:22 159:17         111:3 128:16         parfitt         2:4 4:7         91:21 92:20           195:25,25         151:25 153:13         8:25 9:21 10:3         93:19,25 95:5           207:6,13 257:4         155:4,7 160:17         10:17 12:12         96:11 97:10,14           paginated         161:1,11         13:25 15:25         100:5 103:12           37:13 43:14         164:18 166:3         16:6,10,14,18         105:18,20,25           48:11 88:10,15         166:12 170:6,8         16:21,24 17:6         107:4 108:6           256:24         170:18 178:13         17:11,20,24         109:20,25           paimation         179:18,21         18:7 25:9 26:21         10:21,24           104:14         180:11,16         27:22 28:10,17         112:13 116:5,7           paims         292:21         204:5,9 209:3         36:1,17,22 37:6         121:1 122:15           32:22 116:3         19:18 196:4 <td>288:25 289:1,5</td> <td>89:3,4,6 91:10</td> <td>paragraphs</td> <td>77:22 80:3</td>	288:25 289:1,5	89:3,4,6 91:10	paragraphs	77:22 80:3
pages         1:1 38:17         104:8,17 105:4         171:8 213:3         85:14 87:12           43:13 44:7,7         105:23 107:9         parenthetical         88:5,17,22           121:11 126:18         110:20 111:2,3         263:15,16         89:17 90:16           156:22 159:17         111:3 128:16         parfitt 2:4 4:7         91:21 92:20           195:25,25         151:25 153:13         8:25 9:21 10:3         93:19,25 95:5           207:6,13 257:4         155:4,7 160:17         10:17 12:12         96:11 97:10,14           paginated         161:1,11         13:25 15:25         100:5 103:12           37:13 43:14         164:18 166:3         16:6,10,14,18         105:18,20,25           48:11 88:10,15         166:12 170:6,8         16:21,24 17:6         107:4 108:6           256:24         170:18 178:13         17:11,20,24         109:20,25           pagination         179:18,21         18:7 25:9 26:21         110:21,24           104:14         180:11,16         27:22 28:10,17         112:13 116:5,7           pains         292:21         204:5,9 209:3         36:1,17,22 37:6         120:10,22           pains         292:21         204:5,9 209:3         36:1,7,22 37:6         121:1 122:15           paper 54:16         217:22	289:7 292:9	92:4 93:22	48:14 134:3,6	81:10 82:25
43:13 44:7,7	293:9	97:16,20 104:7	parentheses	83:16,20,24
121:11 126:18	<b>pages</b> 1:1 38:17	104:8,17 105:4	171:8 213:3	85:14 87:12
156:22 159:17         111:3 128:16         parfitt         2:4 4:7         91:21 92:20           195:25,25         151:25 153:13         8:25 9:21 10:3         93:19,25 95:5           207:6,13 257:4         155:47, 160:17         10:17 12:12         96:11 97:10,14           paginated         161:1,11         13:25 15:25         100:5 103:12           37:13 43:14         164:18 166:3         16:6,10,14,18         105:18,20,25           48:11 88:10,15         166:12 170:6,8         16:21,24 17:6         107:4 108:6           256:24         170:18 178:13         17:11,20,24         109:20,25           pagination         179:18,21         18:7 25:9 26:21         110:21,24           104:14         180:11,16         27:22 28:10,17         112:13 116:5,7           paid 31:24         188:8 189:2,9         29:4 30:7 31:25         116:17 117:5           32:22 116:3         191:18 196:4         32:4,23 33:5,15         119:15,24           270:5         202:15,16         33:20,24 35:19         120:10,22           pains 292:21         204:5,9 209:3         36:1,17,22 37:6         121:1 122:15           293:6         212:8,12         38:2 39:16         123:23 124:21           paper 54:16         217:22 218:2         44:12,14 45:25         1	43:13 44:7,7	105:23 107:9	parenthetical	88:5,17,22
195:25,25         151:25 153:13         8:25 9:21 10:3         93:19,25 95:5           207:6,13 257:4         155:4,7 160:17         10:17 12:12         96:11 97:10,14           paginated         161:1,11         13:25 15:25         100:5 103:12           37:13 43:14         164:18 166:3         16:6,10,14,18         105:18,20,25           48:11 88:10,15         166:12 170:6,8         16:21,24 17:6         107:4 108:6           256:24         170:18 178:13         17:11,20,24         109:20,25           pagination         179:18,21         18:7 25:9 26:21         110:21,24           104:14         180:11,16         27:22 28:10,17         112:13 116:5,7           paid 31:24         188:8 189:2,9         29:4 30:7 31:25         116:17 117:5           32:22 116:3         191:18 196:4         32:4,23 33:5,15         119:15,24           270:5         202:15,16         33:20,24 35:19         120:10,22           pains 292:21         204:5,9 209:3         36:1,17,22 37:6         121:1 122:15           293:6         212:8,12         38:2 39:16         123:23 124:21           papantonio         215:16,20,20         40:10,17 41:3         126:17,25           2:11         215:24 217:21         42:12 43:7,12         128:11 129:1,7	121:11 126:18	110:20 111:2,3	263:15,16	89:17 90:16
207:6,13 257:4         155:4,7 160:17         10:17 12:12         96:11 97:10,14           paginated         161:1,11         13:25 15:25         100:5 103:12           37:13 43:14         164:18 166:3         16:6,10,14,18         105:18,20,25           48:11 88:10,15         166:12 170:6,8         16:21,24 17:6         107:4 108:6           256:24         170:18 178:13         17:11,20,24         109:20,25           pagination         179:18,21         18:7 25:9 26:21         110:21,24           104:14         180:11,16         27:22 28:10,17         112:13 116:5,7           paid 31:24         188:8 189:2,9         29:4 30:7 31:25         116:17 117:5           32:22 116:3         191:18 196:4         32:4,23 33:5,15         119:15,24           270:5         202:15,16         33:20,24 35:19         120:10,22           pains         292:21         204:5,9 209:3         36:1,17,22 37:6         121:1 122:15           293:6         212:8,12         38:2 39:16         123:23 124:21           papantonio         215:16,20,20         40:10,17 41:3         126:17,25           2:11         215:24 217:21         42:12 43:7,12         128:11 129:1,7           paper         54:16         219:25 224:9         46:11,23 47:11	156:22 159:17	111:3 128:16	<b>parfitt</b> 2:4 4:7	91:21 92:20
paginated         161:1,11         13:25 15:25         100:5 103:12           37:13 43:14         164:18 166:3         16:6,10,14,18         105:18,20,25           48:11 88:10,15         166:12 170:6,8         16:21,24 17:6         107:4 108:6           256:24         170:18 178:13         17:11,20,24         109:20,25           pagination         179:18,21         18:7 25:9 26:21         110:21,24           104:14         180:11,16         27:22 28:10,17         112:13 116:5,7           paid 31:24         188:8 189:2,9         29:4 30:7 31:25         116:17 117:5           32:22 116:3         191:18 196:4         32:4,23 33:5,15         119:15,24           270:5         202:15,16         33:20,24 35:19         120:10,22           pains 292:21         204:5,9 209:3         36:1,17,22 37:6         121:1 122:15           293:6         212:8,12         38:2 39:16         123:23 124:21           papantonio         215:16,20,20         40:10,17 41:3         126:17,25           2:11         215:24 217:21         42:12 43:7,12         128:11 129:1,7           paper 54:16         217:22 218:2         44:12,14 45:25         129:16,24           69:6 76:12         219:25 224:9         46:11,23 47:11         130:15 132:13	195:25,25	151:25 153:13	8:25 9:21 10:3	93:19,25 95:5
37:13 43:14       164:18 166:3       16:6,10,14,18       105:18,20,25         48:11 88:10,15       166:12 170:6,8       16:21,24 17:6       107:4 108:6         256:24       170:18 178:13       17:11,20,24       109:20,25         pagination       179:18,21       18:7 25:9 26:21       110:21,24         104:14       180:11,16       27:22 28:10,17       112:13 116:5,7         paid 31:24       188:8 189:2,9       29:4 30:7 31:25       116:17 117:5         32:22 116:3       191:18 196:4       32:4,23 33:5,15       119:15,24         270:5       202:15,16       33:20,24 35:19       120:10,22         pains 292:21       204:5,9 209:3       36:1,17,22 37:6       121:1 122:15         293:6       212:8,12       38:2 39:16       123:23 124:21         papantonio       215:16,20,20       40:10,17 41:3       126:17,25         2:11       215:24 217:21       42:12 43:7,12       128:11 129:1,7         paper 54:16       217:22 218:2       44:12,14 45:25       129:16,24         69:6 76:12       219:25 224:9       46:11,23 47:11       130:15 132:13         103:23 116:15       228:3,15       50:1,6,24 51:6       137:14,25         121:5 135:2,6       229:18,19       52:25 54:2 55:1       138:13,15 </th <td>207:6,13 257:4</td> <td>155:4,7 160:17</td> <td>10:17 12:12</td> <td>96:11 97:10,14</td>	207:6,13 257:4	155:4,7 160:17	10:17 12:12	96:11 97:10,14
48:11 88:10,15       166:12 170:6,8       16:21,24 17:6       107:4 108:6         256:24       170:18 178:13       17:11,20,24       109:20,25         pagination       179:18,21       18:7 25:9 26:21       110:21,24         104:14       180:11,16       27:22 28:10,17       112:13 116:5,7         paid       31:24       188:8 189:2,9       29:4 30:7 31:25       116:17 117:5         32:22 116:3       191:18 196:4       32:4,23 33:5,15       119:15,24         270:5       202:15,16       33:20,24 35:19       120:10,22         pains       292:21       204:5,9 209:3       36:1,17,22 37:6       121:1 122:15         293:6       212:8,12       38:2 39:16       123:23 124:21         papantonio       215:16,20,20       40:10,17 41:3       126:17,25         2:11       215:24 217:21       42:12 43:7,12       128:11 129:1,7         paper       54:16       217:22 218:2       44:12,14 45:25       129:16,24         69:6 76:12       219:25 224:9       46:11,23 47:11       130:15 132:13         86:12 91:5 93:5       224:11 226:14       48:25 49:18       132:18 137:1,3         103:23 116:15       228:3,15       50:1,6,24 51:6       137:14,25         121:5 135:2,6       229:18,19	paginated	161:1,11	13:25 15:25	100:5 103:12
256:24         170:18 178:13         17:11,20,24         109:20,25           pagination         179:18,21         18:7 25:9 26:21         110:21,24           104:14         180:11,16         27:22 28:10,17         112:13 116:5,7           paid         31:24         188:8 189:2,9         29:4 30:7 31:25         116:17 117:5           32:22 116:3         191:18 196:4         32:4,23 33:5,15         119:15,24           270:5         202:15,16         33:20,24 35:19         120:10,22           pains         292:21         204:5,9 209:3         36:1,17,22 37:6         121:1 122:15           293:6         212:8,12         38:2 39:16         123:23 124:21           papantonio         215:16,20,20         40:10,17 41:3         126:17,25           2:11         215:24 217:21         42:12 43:7,12         128:11 129:1,7           paper         54:16         217:22 218:2         44:12,14 45:25         129:16,24           69:6 76:12         219:25 224:9         46:11,23 47:11         130:15 132:13           86:12 91:5 93:5         224:11 226:14         48:25 49:18         132:18 137:1,3           103:23 116:15         228:3,15         50:1,6,24 51:6         137:14,25           121:5 135:2,6         229:18,19         52:25 54:2 55	37:13 43:14	164:18 166:3	16:6,10,14,18	105:18,20,25
pagination         179:18,21         18:7 25:9 26:21         110:21,24           104:14         180:11,16         27:22 28:10,17         112:13 116:5,7           paid         31:24         188:8 189:2,9         29:4 30:7 31:25         116:17 117:5           32:22 116:3         191:18 196:4         32:4,23 33:5,15         119:15,24           270:5         202:15,16         33:20,24 35:19         120:10,22           pains         292:21         204:5,9 209:3         36:1,17,22 37:6         121:1 122:15           293:6         212:8,12         38:2 39:16         123:23 124:21           papantonio         215:16,20,20         40:10,17 41:3         126:17,25           2:11         215:24 217:21         42:12 43:7,12         128:11 129:1,7           paper         54:16         217:22 218:2         44:12,14 45:25         129:16,24           69:6 76:12         219:25 224:9         46:11,23 47:11         130:15 132:13           86:12 91:5 93:5         224:11 226:14         48:25 49:18         132:18 137:1,3           103:23 116:15         228:3,15         50:1,6,24 51:6         137:14,25           121:5 135:2,6         229:18,19         52:25 54:2 55:1         138:13,15           208:4 232:1,7,9         230:1 231:2         56	48:11 88:10,15	166:12 170:6,8	16:21,24 17:6	107:4 108:6
104:14         180:11,16         27:22 28:10,17         112:13 116:5,7           paid 31:24         188:8 189:2,9         29:4 30:7 31:25         116:17 117:5           32:22 116:3         191:18 196:4         32:4,23 33:5,15         119:15,24           270:5         202:15,16         33:20,24 35:19         120:10,22           pains 292:21         204:5,9 209:3         36:1,17,22 37:6         121:1 122:15           293:6         212:8,12         38:2 39:16         123:23 124:21           papantonio         215:16,20,20         40:10,17 41:3         126:17,25           2:11         215:24 217:21         42:12 43:7,12         128:11 129:1,7           paper 54:16         217:22 218:2         44:12,14 45:25         129:16,24           69:6 76:12         219:25 224:9         46:11,23 47:11         130:15 132:13           86:12 91:5 93:5         224:11 226:14         48:25 49:18         132:18 137:1,3           103:23 116:15         228:3,15         50:1,6,24 51:6         137:14,25           121:5 135:2,6         229:18,19         52:25 54:2 55:1         138:13,15           208:4 232:1,7,9         230:1 231:2         56:15 59:13,16         139:16,22           272:16         235:15,18         60:3 61:7,23         140:18,22	256:24	170:18 178:13	17:11,20,24	109:20,25
paid         31:24         188:8 189:2,9         29:4 30:7 31:25         116:17 117:5           32:22 116:3         191:18 196:4         32:4,23 33:5,15         119:15,24           270:5         202:15,16         33:20,24 35:19         120:10,22           pains         292:21         204:5,9 209:3         36:1,17,22 37:6         121:1 122:15           293:6         212:8,12         38:2 39:16         123:23 124:21           papantonio         215:16,20,20         40:10,17 41:3         126:17,25           2:11         215:24 217:21         42:12 43:7,12         128:11 129:1,7           paper         54:16         217:22 218:2         44:12,14 45:25         129:16,24           69:6 76:12         219:25 224:9         46:11,23 47:11         130:15 132:13           86:12 91:5 93:5         224:11 226:14         48:25 49:18         132:18 137:1,3           103:23 116:15         228:3,15         50:1,6,24 51:6         137:14,25           121:5 135:2,6         229:18,19         52:25 54:2 55:1         138:13,15           208:4 232:1,7,9         230:1 231:2         56:15 59:13,16         139:16,22           272:16         235:15,18         60:3 61:7,23         140:18,22           papers         116:20         244:22 257:7	pagination	179:18,21	18:7 25:9 26:21	110:21,24
32:22 116:3       191:18 196:4       32:4,23 33:5,15       119:15,24         270:5       202:15,16       33:20,24 35:19       120:10,22         pains 292:21       204:5,9 209:3       36:1,17,22 37:6       121:1 122:15         293:6       212:8,12       38:2 39:16       123:23 124:21         papantonio       215:16,20,20       40:10,17 41:3       126:17,25         2:11       215:24 217:21       42:12 43:7,12       128:11 129:1,7         paper 54:16       217:22 218:2       44:12,14 45:25       129:16,24         69:6 76:12       219:25 224:9       46:11,23 47:11       130:15 132:13         86:12 91:5 93:5       224:11 226:14       48:25 49:18       132:18 137:1,3         103:23 116:15       228:3,15       50:1,6,24 51:6       137:14,25         121:5 135:2,6       229:18,19       52:25 54:2 55:1       138:13,15         208:4 232:1,7,9       230:1 231:2       56:15 59:13,16       139:16,22         272:16       235:15,18       60:3 61:7,23       140:18,22         papers 116:20       244:22 257:7       64:1,7,12,17       141:9,23         116:21       260:8,10       65:11,25 67:6       146:11,24	104:14	180:11,16	27:22 28:10,17	112:13 116:5,7
270:5         202:15,16         33:20,24 35:19         120:10,22           pains         292:21         204:5,9 209:3         36:1,17,22 37:6         121:1 122:15           293:6         212:8,12         38:2 39:16         123:23 124:21           papantonio         215:16,20,20         40:10,17 41:3         126:17,25           2:11         215:24 217:21         42:12 43:7,12         128:11 129:1,7           paper         54:16         217:22 218:2         44:12,14 45:25         129:16,24           69:6 76:12         219:25 224:9         46:11,23 47:11         130:15 132:13           86:12 91:5 93:5         224:11 226:14         48:25 49:18         132:18 137:1,3           103:23 116:15         228:3,15         50:1,6,24 51:6         137:14,25           121:5 135:2,6         229:18,19         52:25 54:2 55:1         138:13,15           208:4 232:1,7,9         230:1 231:2         56:15 59:13,16         139:16,22           272:16         235:15,18         60:3 61:7,23         140:18,22           papers         116:20         244:22 257:7         64:1,7,12,17         141:9,23           116:21         260:8,10         65:11,25 67:6         146:11,24	<b>paid</b> 31:24	188:8 189:2,9	29:4 30:7 31:25	116:17 117:5
pains         292:21         204:5,9 209:3         36:1,17,22 37:6         121:1 122:15           293:6         212:8,12         38:2 39:16         123:23 124:21           papantonio         215:16,20,20         40:10,17 41:3         126:17,25           2:11         215:24 217:21         42:12 43:7,12         128:11 129:1,7           paper         54:16         217:22 218:2         44:12,14 45:25         129:16,24           69:6 76:12         219:25 224:9         46:11,23 47:11         130:15 132:13           86:12 91:5 93:5         224:11 226:14         48:25 49:18         132:18 137:1,3           103:23 116:15         228:3,15         50:1,6,24 51:6         137:14,25           121:5 135:2,6         229:18,19         52:25 54:2 55:1         138:13,15           208:4 232:1,7,9         230:1 231:2         56:15 59:13,16         139:16,22           272:16         235:15,18         60:3 61:7,23         140:18,22           papers         116:20         244:22 257:7         64:1,7,12,17         141:9,23           116:21         260:8,10         65:11,25 67:6         146:11,24	32:22 116:3	191:18 196:4	32:4,23 33:5,15	119:15,24
293:6         212:8,12         38:2 39:16         123:23 124:21           papantonio         215:16,20,20         40:10,17 41:3         126:17,25           2:11         215:24 217:21         42:12 43:7,12         128:11 129:1,7           paper 54:16         217:22 218:2         44:12,14 45:25         129:16,24           69:6 76:12         219:25 224:9         46:11,23 47:11         130:15 132:13           86:12 91:5 93:5         224:11 226:14         48:25 49:18         132:18 137:1,3           103:23 116:15         228:3,15         50:1,6,24 51:6         137:14,25           121:5 135:2,6         229:18,19         52:25 54:2 55:1         138:13,15           208:4 232:1,7,9         230:1 231:2         56:15 59:13,16         139:16,22           272:16         235:15,18         60:3 61:7,23         140:18,22           papers 116:20         244:22 257:7         64:1,7,12,17         141:9,23           116:21         260:8,10         65:11,25 67:6         146:11,24	270:5	202:15,16	33:20,24 35:19	120:10,22
papantonio         215:16,20,20         40:10,17 41:3         126:17,25           2:11         215:24 217:21         42:12 43:7,12         128:11 129:1,7           paper 54:16         217:22 218:2         44:12,14 45:25         129:16,24           69:6 76:12         219:25 224:9         46:11,23 47:11         130:15 132:13           86:12 91:5 93:5         224:11 226:14         48:25 49:18         132:18 137:1,3           103:23 116:15         228:3,15         50:1,6,24 51:6         137:14,25           121:5 135:2,6         229:18,19         52:25 54:2 55:1         138:13,15           208:4 232:1,7,9         230:1 231:2         56:15 59:13,16         139:16,22           272:16         235:15,18         60:3 61:7,23         140:18,22           papers 116:20         244:22 257:7         64:1,7,12,17         141:9,23           116:21         260:8,10         65:11,25 67:6         146:11,24	<b>pains</b> 292:21	204:5,9 209:3	36:1,17,22 37:6	121:1 122:15
2:11       215:24 217:21       42:12 43:7,12       128:11 129:1,7         paper 54:16       217:22 218:2       44:12,14 45:25       129:16,24         69:6 76:12       219:25 224:9       46:11,23 47:11       130:15 132:13         86:12 91:5 93:5       224:11 226:14       48:25 49:18       132:18 137:1,3         103:23 116:15       228:3,15       50:1,6,24 51:6       137:14,25         121:5 135:2,6       229:18,19       52:25 54:2 55:1       138:13,15         208:4 232:1,7,9       230:1 231:2       56:15 59:13,16       139:16,22         272:16       235:15,18       60:3 61:7,23       140:18,22         papers 116:20       244:22 257:7       64:1,7,12,17       141:9,23         116:21       260:8,10       65:11,25 67:6       146:11,24	293:6	212:8,12	38:2 39:16	123:23 124:21
paper         54:16         217:22 218:2         44:12,14 45:25         129:16,24           69:6 76:12         219:25 224:9         46:11,23 47:11         130:15 132:13           86:12 91:5 93:5         224:11 226:14         48:25 49:18         132:18 137:1,3           103:23 116:15         228:3,15         50:1,6,24 51:6         137:14,25           121:5 135:2,6         229:18,19         52:25 54:2 55:1         138:13,15           208:4 232:1,7,9         230:1 231:2         56:15 59:13,16         139:16,22           272:16         235:15,18         60:3 61:7,23         140:18,22           papers         116:20         244:22 257:7         64:1,7,12,17         141:9,23           116:21         260:8,10         65:11,25 67:6         146:11,24	papantonio	215:16,20,20	40:10,17 41:3	126:17,25
69:6 76:12       219:25 224:9       46:11,23 47:11       130:15 132:13         86:12 91:5 93:5       224:11 226:14       48:25 49:18       132:18 137:1,3         103:23 116:15       228:3,15       50:1,6,24 51:6       137:14,25         121:5 135:2,6       229:18,19       52:25 54:2 55:1       138:13,15         208:4 232:1,7,9       230:1 231:2       56:15 59:13,16       139:16,22         272:16       235:15,18       60:3 61:7,23       140:18,22         papers       116:20       244:22 257:7       64:1,7,12,17       141:9,23         116:21       260:8,10       65:11,25 67:6       146:11,24	2:11	215:24 217:21	42:12 43:7,12	128:11 129:1,7
86:12 91:5 93:5       224:11 226:14       48:25 49:18       132:18 137:1,3         103:23 116:15       228:3,15       50:1,6,24 51:6       137:14,25         121:5 135:2,6       229:18,19       52:25 54:2 55:1       138:13,15         208:4 232:1,7,9       230:1 231:2       56:15 59:13,16       139:16,22         272:16       235:15,18       60:3 61:7,23       140:18,22         papers 116:20       244:22 257:7       64:1,7,12,17       141:9,23         116:21       260:8,10       65:11,25 67:6       146:11,24	<b>paper</b> 54:16	217:22 218:2	·	129:16,24
103:23 116:15       228:3,15       50:1,6,24 51:6       137:14,25         121:5 135:2,6       229:18,19       52:25 54:2 55:1       138:13,15         208:4 232:1,7,9       230:1 231:2       56:15 59:13,16       139:16,22         272:16       235:15,18       60:3 61:7,23       140:18,22         papers       116:20       244:22 257:7       64:1,7,12,17       141:9,23         116:21       260:8,10       65:11,25 67:6       146:11,24	69:6 76:12		46:11,23 47:11	130:15 132:13
121:5 135:2,6       229:18,19       52:25 54:2 55:1       138:13,15         208:4 232:1,7,9       230:1 231:2       56:15 59:13,16       139:16,22         272:16       235:15,18       60:3 61:7,23       140:18,22         papers 116:20       244:22 257:7       64:1,7,12,17       141:9,23         116:21       260:8,10       65:11,25 67:6       146:11,24	86:12 91:5 93:5	224:11 226:14	48:25 49:18	132:18 137:1,3
208:4 232:1,7,9       230:1 231:2       56:15 59:13,16       139:16,22         272:16       235:15,18       60:3 61:7,23       140:18,22         papers 116:20       244:22 257:7       64:1,7,12,17       141:9,23         116:21       260:8,10       65:11,25 67:6       146:11,24		,	' '	137:14,25
272:16       235:15,18       60:3 61:7,23       140:18,22         papers 116:20       244:22 257:7       64:1,7,12,17       141:9,23         116:21       260:8,10       65:11,25 67:6       146:11,24	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	52:25 54:2 55:1	· ·
papers     116:20     244:22 257:7     64:1,7,12,17     141:9,23       116:21     260:8,10     65:11,25 67:6     146:11,24	, ,		·	, and the second se
116:21 260:8,10 65:11,25 67:6 146:11,24		· ·	· ·	·
		244:22 257:7	' ' '	· ·
262:12 263:12   68:10,14,18   147:13,19	116:21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	, i
		262:12 263:12	68:10,14,18	147:13,19

# Document 33013-12 PageID: 217400

[parfitt - pdq] Page 51

148:11,17	235:12 236:7	276:4 289:2,22	244:13 245:14
149:15 150:6	238:7 239:2,12	289:24	246:7,12,25
150:22 151:17	240:9 241:1,11	partially 56:13	247:1,4,6
153:10 158:13	242:1,17,25	56:14	<b>patent</b> 89:12,23
159:17,19,23	243:3,8,23	participant	90:5,15,22
160:2 161:6,8	244:14,25	110:15	141:14 147:4
161:23 162:5	246:8,20	participants	148:15 163:7
162:18 163:13	247:10 252:6	132:9 241:4	167:3,13
163:21 166:9	252:14 254:1,5	particle 226:25	168:22,23
166:15 167:8	255:7 259:9	283:24 284:3	170:8 171:8,21
167:15,20	261:2 262:4,20	particles 228:5	172:12,18,22
169:8 173:8	263:25 264:14	228:19,22	173:9,10,25
174:5 175:2,5	265:9 266:15	229:10 230:3	182:22 218:4,6
175:10,13,15	266:20 267:7	286:2 290:6	218:9,21,22
176:1,7,19,22	268:14 269:9	particular 51:7	219:3 241:17
176:25 180:7	269:14 270:10	51:8 54:12	242:6,11,14,15
180:10,12,15	271:5 272:21	55:25 56:1	243:16 247:9
184:19,22	272:24 273:4	99:20 104:6	286:18 287:11
188:3,6,15	273:15 275:10	203:16 263:13	pathway 96:21
190:16 191:7	275:13 281:20	273:10,22,23	279:9,9,11
192:5,17	283:3,8 284:25	particularly	281:10
193:20 195:6	285:2,4 287:13	40:6 44:22	pathways 81:20
196:7,9,12	289:10 290:2	108:15 239:20	99:1
205:13,25	290:12,21	257:8 263:14	patient 40:16
206:9,11	292:9	parties 291:18	40:20 162:11
207:17 208:6	parfitt's 21:8	partly 50:17	patients 39:23
209:1 211:22	<b>parity</b> 157:14	187:14 288:7	40:4 63:6,9
213:1,18 216:4	237:9,10	<b>parts</b> 12:18	patrick 3:4
222:19 225:9	245:17	197:14	pattern 87:20
225:24 227:13	parsing 150:8	passage 203:19	patterns 102:10
228:11 229:17	part 6:19 9:24	289:7,12	103:10 105:6
229:24 230:13	10:5 52:22	<b>past</b> 15:10	payment
230:19 231:21	99:13 105:12	145:10 162:8	121:24
231:23 232:2,4	121:23 122:19	194:14	<b>pdq</b> 4:18 41:10
232:10,19,22	122:21 170:17	<b>patency</b> 168:20	41:15 42:1,22
233:8 234:11	275:11,25	168:22 169:2	42:25 43:1 44:9
	1	1	

#### [pdq - please] Page 52

131:1,2,3,5,19	<b>period</b> 140:11	197:13 219:16
131:19,21	179:2 182:25	291:7
134:15,16	210:5 271:3	<b>places</b> 272:11
141:20 142:4	periods 143:9	287:5
149:18,20	177:22	placing 52:22
196:4,15 198:7	peripheral	plaintiffs 2:9
198:9,18 199:4	32:15	2:17 13:8 21:8
212:13,15	<b>perjury</b> 291:20	116:21 119:6
220:5,8 238:1	292:22 293:6	120:21 133:16
251:17 257:14	<b>permit</b> 262:14	208:1 231:14
percentage	peroneal 229:3	270:6 274:16
16:16 17:9,12	268:12 290:17	274:18
17:17 71:11	<b>person</b> 11:6,8	<b>plan</b> 61:11 62:1
260:1,3	38:16 140:8,9	plantation 1:20
<b>perez</b> 219:4,11	140:12 142:17	plausibility
perfect 43:12	177:23 197:13	55:23 56:10,24
<b>perform</b> 115:10	person's 27:8	62:25 67:20
168:21 263:4,5	274:24	76:13 93:4
performed	personally	125:10 162:24
72:14,22 73:9	24:24 72:6	227:20 230:7
231:20 263:1	78:18 264:16	262:5 278:8
performing	<b>persons</b> 197:13	plausible 59:23
116:1	persuasive	76:16 125:7
perineal 44:11	52:17	126:1 172:16
144:22 146:1	pertinent	172:25 173:5,6
174:20 196:6	277:12	173:10,23
196:17,18	<b>pfas</b> 280:1	174:1,3,7
220:2,12 221:6	pharmaceutical	218:20 279:9
225:1 226:16	203:15	plausibly
231:7 234:8,20	phone 11:7	285:24
245:4 251:15	<b>picking</b> 269:10	<b>play</b> 94:5
256:18 257:7	<b>piece</b> 162:23	<b>please</b> 8:19 9:1
257:15 282:7	<b>pile</b> 34:20	21:1 108:6
284:20	pills 38:25	116:7 130:4,6
perineum	<b>place</b> 25:22	159:15 175:7
permean	P-0000	
	131:19,21 134:15,16 141:20 142:4 149:18,20 196:4,15 198:7 198:9,18 199:4 212:13,15 220:5,8 238:1 251:17 257:14 percentage 16:16 17:9,12 17:17 71:11 260:1,3 perez 219:4,11 perfect 43:12 perform 115:10 168:21 263:4,5 performed 72:14,22 73:9 231:20 263:1 performing 116:1 perineal 44:11 144:22 146:1 174:20 196:6 196:17,18 220:2,12 221:6 225:1 226:16 231:7 234:8,20 245:4 251:15 256:18 257:7 257:15 282:7 284:20	131:19,21 134:15,16 141:20 142:4 149:18,20 196:4,15 198:7 198:9,18 199:4 212:13,15 220:5,8 238:1 251:17 257:14 percentage 16:16 17:9,12 17:17 71:11 260:1,3 perget 219:4,11 perfect 43:12 perform 115:10 168:21 263:4,5 performed 72:14,22 73:9 231:20 263:1 performing 116:1 perineal 44:11 144:22 146:1 perineal 44:11 174:20 196:6 196:17,18 220:2,12 221:6 225:1 226:16 231:7 234:8,20 245:4 251:15 256:18 257:7 257:15 282:7 284:20 pills 38:25

### [please - powder]

Page 53

212:7 243:9	pointing 143:16	198:6 208:21	postdate 22:10
262:20 273:16	185:17 189:3	211:10	22:18
281:25 292:11	192:21 193:24	populations	postdates 138:3
292:16	<b>points</b> 56:22,23	163:25 197:4	postmenopau
<b>plot</b> 251:3	62:25 185:11	<b>port</b> 136:10	48:24 91:14,20
254:25 255:1	polarized 73:6	<b>portion</b> 128:14	potential
<b>plus</b> 15:8 194:9	polymorphisms	224:7 270:4	118:18 120:24
242:3,3	224:23	290:9	121:1 165:3
<b>point</b> 11:16	<b>pool</b> 197:7	portions 52:4	228:4,18
12:13 27:12	199:12 251:16	<b>pose</b> 104:25	229:10 277:23
56:23 67:3 78:8	252:22 253:17	<b>posed</b> 276:23	279:11 280:1
86:5,11 88:13	253:18,22	position 54:9	289:16
90:12 91:22	<b>pool's</b> 156:3	177:11 212:22	potentially
109:17 119:8	<b>pooled</b> 26:10	283:15,20	79:21 111:11
119:10 134:13	44:24 45:1	positive 71:12	154:15 286:4
136:18 137:10	46:21 81:16	71:21 89:10,21	powder 1:7
137:12 142:12	85:6 140:25	141:13 167:3	8:10 12:23 24:2
143:4 145:21	141:4 143:16	195:17 196:5	35:2,3 38:5,5,9
146:9,9 147:20	144:2 146:22	196:16,25	39:3,24 40:5
148:15 149:2,3	159:8 178:25	286:16 287:12	50:15 68:2
150:14 151:7	181:1 182:4,9	288:11	71:15 86:19
151:10,14,16	182:14 183:6,7	possibility 95:3	89:11,15,22
176:14 182:10	183:11,12,16	95:7 165:17	94:4,10,12,13
194:10 197:3	183:16 185:8	176:10	94:16,19,25
198:15 201:10	193:5 198:25	possible 90:7	96:9 97:9 105:9
209:18,24	212:15 235:2	96:8 97:8,8	111:13 167:5
210:11,14,17	235:22 253:2	133:20 170:8	170:22 171:1
210:23 212:6	253:10 255:9	202:5 203:2,18	171:12 173:25
212:22 215:15	286:20	203:21 204:16	174:20 190:6
234:10 240:4,5	pooling 183:9	204:18,20	192:16 196:18
244:12 250:25	185:1,20 222:8	205:1 206:18	208:24 209:10
260:23,25	<b>poor</b> 129:9	286:16 287:11	209:13 212:9
275:8	<b>poorly</b> 283:23	possibly 94:5	214:18 215:3
<b>pointed</b> 157:25	284:3	post 178:5	216:7,13,18
167:11	population	190:21 191:9	231:8 234:8,20
	98:11 164:1		245:5 260:19
	Gollrow To		

# Document 33013-12 PageID: 217403

### [powder - problem]

Page 54

270:7,21	precedes	presented	previously 19:4
273:19,22	105:23	30:14 42:3	111:12 117:23
280:17 281:1	precise 46:13	217:2 276:22	285:14
285:19 290:9	68:20 200:4	277:15	primary 23:6
292:3 293:3	255:14 256:2	presenter 30:15	116:10 125:4
powders 97:25	predicated 68:4	prespecified	140:21 208:19
170:13 214:25	75:20 278:4	163:6 165:20	235:5 244:20
<b>power</b> 151:4,5	predicating	168:3,4	245:3 247:6
151:12,16,19	281:6	prestigious	254:18 261:11
153:2 158:10	predict 26:9	139:5	printout 4:16
158:12,15,16	predominant	presumably	4:18,22 37:12
158:16,17,20	114:23	90:24	41:15 47:22
176:10 177:3,8	preempted	presume	<b>prior</b> 11:13
177:11,15,23	250:10	195:16	54:10,16 64:13
178:5,11 179:6	premenopausal	pretext 64:8	68:11 93:20
184:17 185:9	91:14,19	prevalence	184:3 216:16
185:13,14,16	prepare 10:20	98:7 99:25	216:19 234:13
185:17 186:2,4	11:2 18:2	209:9	264:17 269:21
186:9,11,19,25	prepared 18:4	prevalent 285:7	273:11,17
187:11 189:15	preplanned	preventible	priorities 40:16
189:18 190:9	13:15	98:14 162:12	probability
190:11,14,19	presence 68:5	previous 10:23	214:7 261:22
190:19,21,25	69:1 72:2 75:20	12:5 34:25 42:1	probable
191:2,3,9	196:5,16	46:4 51:19,21	204:19,21
powered 187:2	280:23 281:6	53:15,18 61:13	205:2 206:18
187:10	289:18	65:20 68:6,23	probably 15:4
powerful	present 3:3	69:13 91:24	16:11 21:19
186:16,19	62:20 68:6	99:18 102:5	34:20 70:13
187:5	111:11 158:25	111:4 152:10	86:9 90:19
<b>powers</b> 158:17	277:22 289:16	190:18 195:11	108:1 133:2
practice 75:2	292:13	215:8,19	148:1 188:24
114:5,10 200:1	presentation	216:21 219:17	201:9 247:25
practices 1:8	191:25	221:5 232:14	272:22
292:4 293:3	presentations	261:8,10	problem 58:18
preceded 153:1	30:12,18,21	263:12,20	70:13 105:1
			106:21 175:16
	•		

### [problem - purposes]

Page 55

188:17	prompted	144:13,13,18	25:15,16 27:3
proceedings	70:18	194:17,18,22	102:5,22
274:21 291:6	<b>prone</b> 152:22	195:9 199:20	121:23 122:20
process 24:11	pronoun 91:3	201:10 206:14	200:4,16
56:12,13,19	pronouncing	212:13 217:5	232:18 244:19
93:16 137:22	58:10	229:7 239:3	245:2 258:15
produce 9:15	properly 291:8	258:14 262:17	publications
<b>produced</b> 9:17	proportion	263:8 264:1	25:2 26:7,16
10:7,18 13:24	110:4	provided 11:25	28:25 29:7,16
17:2 18:3,10	proposal 21:24	12:1 32:9 52:17	29:19 63:12
133:15 134:21	propounded	67:16 70:9 79:3	241:10 244:10
264:2,5 272:13	291:11	81:12,18 84:10	publish 87:18
284:23 287:23	prospective	89:19,20 118:2	241:3
produces	131:15 179:22	118:21,23	published
194:10	179:24 180:19	119:18 121:14	23:14 24:1,6
<b>product</b> 94:15	235:16 257:13	193:6 195:22	25:4,10 26:16
170:14 199:25	257:20,24	201:6 223:19	46:7 62:17
210:4 234:8	258:8,17	240:23 241:6,7	63:11 86:22
productively	259:18	258:9,10 259:2	114:12 116:15
183:6	prospectively	259:5 262:17	116:20 133:12
products 1:7,8	111:14	264:22 272:4,6	134:8 138:25
32:6 35:2 105:7	prostaglandins	provides 53:8	139:2,7 199:10
129:19 173:25	282:18	93:6 96:1	206:23,24
292:4,5 293:3,3	prostate 31:21	153:23 194:17	208:11 232:15
professional	protection	237:24 288:19	268:3 269:18
63:3,4,5 291:3	276:9,18	providing	278:6
professor 18:18	<b>protective</b> 50:9	61:18 173:20	pubmed 114:1
<b>profound</b> 98:24	137:21 149:19	201:13 236:17	<b>pull</b> 60:12
progesterone	provide 66:5	282:22	100:20,22
224:21	68:7 74:17	<b>public</b> 8:2 30:4	281:21
programming	78:21 79:9	30:8 36:14 58:7	purported
118:22	81:13,25 82:4	98:1,3,16	12:22
promoting	84:6 85:2,2,4	162:10 273:18	<b>purpose</b> 208:19
282:14 283:17	98:12 102:3	291:4,25	234:18
<b>promotion</b> 26:6	112:16 113:1,9	publication	purposes
	113:21 135:4	23:17 24:13	208:18 277:7

### [purposes - randomized]

Page 56

			_
285:14	240:14 245:10	153:11 159:2	54:23 86:8
<b>pushed</b> 213:14	247:14	159:23 162:3	134:3 143:2
<b>put</b> 48:6 51:10	quantitative	168:7 170:19	145:1,5,5
86:5 103:13,14	19:9 56:20 57:2	173:14 175:18	148:11,12
110:18 114:4	62:24 133:10	181:15 182:16	165:12 169:13
120:4 122:20	240:10 256:6	185:19 188:23	169:15 186:10
135:17 222:3	quantitatively	198:13 200:3	206:17 219:6
240:17 287:21	115:11	211:23 215:5,7	235:19 237:5
291:9	quantity 72:4,4	215:10,11,13	238:3 248:1
putting 229:3	76:3	221:20 225:18	250:10 258:22
q	queries 114:1	226:6 232:23	275:11,14,18
_	question 9:1,6	233:11 234:15	287:14 291:11
<b>quadruple</b> 157:5,23	14:12 17:12,17	235:24 236:3,4	quick 70:3
qualification	17:25 27:23,24	236:10 237:6	quickly 130:5
176:24 286:18	28:12 30:17	237:15 240:6,9	235:18
287:11	41:7,7,18 44:1	242:20 243:5,9	<b>quite</b> 14:12
	45:22 55:15	244:2,18	64:4 135:12
qualifications 141:14	56:17 57:14,20	245:23 246:6,9	139:2 193:20
qualified 72:24	59:20,21,25	246:21 247:6,7	<b>quote</b> 216:19
73:12 262:24	60:17 61:20	250:18,18	<b>quoted</b> 128:15
qualifies	66:18 67:11	259:24 261:24	178:11
249:13 250:6	72:25 73:11	264:10,11,15	<b>quoting</b> 203:24
qualify 89:18	74:10,11 75:12	264:17,18,19	r
248:23 249:4,6	75:16,17 77:11	264:20 265:1	<b>r</b> 5:20 28:3
249:15,22	81:3 83:4,8	269:3 278:20	170:1
qualifying	84:15 86:10	282:4,8 287:16	race 211:12
253:6	92:17,22 95:2	question's 9:5	212:14 213:2
qualitative	96:15 97:7,15	questioning	213:13 253:13
116:1 240:11	110:1,2 112:23	73:5	rafferty 2:11
	116:9 119:16	questionnaire	raise 151:10,14
qualitatively 60:2	121:7 122:12	106:8,10,15,19	151:16
qualities 240:7	124:1 128:3,13	107:3,19,20,21	raised 58:5
quality 236:20	135:7 137:15	108:9 109:1	random 256:1
•	137:19,20	110:13 145:6	random 230.1
237:3,3,3,20 239:19 240:1	141:16 142:24	questions 8:23	20:7 202:23
237.17 2 <del>4</del> 0.1	145:16 150:11	10:24 37:4	20.7 202.23
	Collegy To	1	

### [randomized - recall]

Page 57

203:15	143:16 164:7	278:20 282:10	190:21 235:8
randomly	172:17,18	287:24 289:8	248:2 253:12
108:10	221:18,19,22	289:13 290:22	283:19 292:17
rank 56:6	221:25 222:10	<b>reader</b> 114:19	reasonable
ranked 54:8,18	222:12,15	119:14,21,25	129:4,10
54:25 55:5,18	223:9,12	120:20,23,24	130:23 131:6
rare 27:25	242:10,21,24	121:2 174:24	132:10 133:1
28:14 223:1	243:6 246:2	233:18	192:24 237:8
rarity 97:23,25	247:14 253:14	reading 74:4	271:9,12 280:3
rate 13:7 48:18	253:15 288:12	130:2,3 292:15	reasonably
49:6,8 63:23	rats 229:22	<b>real</b> 287:8	289:17
209:14	230:4,5	realistic 128:25	reasons 80:13
<b>rated</b> 63:23	<b>ray</b> 73:1	129:6,9 130:13	80:22 239:3
201:3	rcts 202:22	realize 14:5	rebecca 231:13
<b>rather</b> 134:14	reach 65:8,12	<b>really</b> 16:7 21:3	recalculated
rating 239:1,23	76:8 196:20	25:5 27:11	134:15
240:1	198:8 199:13	47:15 93:3,16	<b>recall</b> 11:11
<b>ratings</b> 239:17	reached 205:11	95:19 109:12	16:22 20:16
240:4	reaction 227:3	111:25 117:3	25:3 26:18 31:5
ratio 127:24	<b>read</b> 10:22,23	143:10,14	31:10,15,17,17
128:5,6,8	44:23 52:1,3,4	149:21 159:4	32:5,9,11,24
144:12,15,15	73:16,17 87:9	194:7 203:13	33:6,9,11,13
146:5 147:7	88:12 106:20	219:24 220:18	34:7,8,13 37:2
149:9,10	109:18,21	235:6 244:3	37:8 39:24 40:1
150:19 151:3	122:3 131:22	261:7 266:7	42:2 43:17
162:17 163:19	132:5,6 133:18	267:18	51:11,21 70:19
172:10,12	134:2,23 139:9	<b>realm</b> 27:5	71:4,13 98:13
182:9 191:20	139:10 149:11	116:19	104:10,23,25
222:4,5,7,16,24	161:22 170:17	reason 20:18	105:6 110:6
222:24 223:1	176:2 189:22	47:17 52:17	111:10 112:1,3
242:16 243:15	196:25 205:16	80:19,23 87:10	112:24 113:2
243:15 250:2	214:23 216:4	98:5 100:23	113:19,24
251:16	224:13 232:25	102:18 108:4,7	127:16,23
rational 56:9	241:1,2 244:1,8	112:2,24	128:18 129:3
ratios 44:4	244:17 264:18	113:17 129:22	129:12,21
126:8,12 137:7	268:25 278:19	170:11 177:12	133:10,21

### [recall - related]

Page 58

134:12,15,16	54:22 58:1,4	reduction	reflection
135:7 152:16	61:7 70:8,9	40:24	255:12
152:22,23	73:6 83:10,12	refer 48:8	reflects 14:9
158:20 178:7	83:20 98:17,20	257:21	15:5,17
187:16,17	109:22,23	reference 10:17	refocus 124:1
282:3	115:23,25	44:20,22 78:20	refute 57:3
recalled 104:9	117:9,11	80:6 100:22	113:6 274:6
129:20	137:23 138:2	118:9,10	refuted 81:21
received 15:22	154:2,4 157:16	151:24 185:23	regard 17:12
15:23 31:8,9	157:19 168:6	219:22 256:15	275:15 280:16
33:12 58:6 79:2	169:21,24	259:14 276:15	282:4 283:21
230:4,5 237:16	176:19 184:8	284:9 286:19	regarded
recent 32:8	184:11 219:8	referenced	138:19,20,23
33:9 42:19,23	219:10,11	119:12 275:20	regarding
45:15,23 46:22	241:2 244:8	279:15 283:14	118:8 266:14
51:23 57:12	248:4 262:7,10	references	266:23 277:8
69:6 90:18 93:5	269:5 275:4,7	10:12,25 43:24	<b>regards</b> 224:16
123:1 138:4	287:18,22	47:6 50:18 79:6	225:4,6
268:19	record's 286:10	79:8,24 81:17	registered
recently 32:10	recorded 156:3	113:20 161:3	291:3
43:19	291:13	195:11 216:24	regulation 6:21
recognizes	records 58:7	244:13 245:14	276:1,5 289:2
277:22	132:8,11,12,16	referencing	regulator
recollection	recruitment	80:3 272:23	268:11
149:2	164:4	referring 34:20	regulatory
recommend	redacted 58:19	46:5 63:10	206:1,7 275:15
264:6	redactions	70:18 74:5,12	279:16
recommendat	58:13,14	166:20 198:22	rehash 64:10
39:3,4	redistribute	203:4 225:9	relate 30:18
recommendat	58:8	259:15 277:5	related 12:22
38:24 39:6	<b>redo</b> 56:17	<b>refers</b> 259:16	15:13,18 22:4,6
recommends	61:23 64:21	259:17	22:11 23:14
289:15	reduce 151:2	<b>reflect</b> 14:2,13	25:1 29:15,19
record 9:25	<b>reduced</b> 173:13	14:16 272:1	29:22 30:22
21:4,6,7,10	reducing 38:18	reflected 12:15	31:21 32:14
27:8 41:22,24	162:13	19:15 255:18	35:4,5,12 36:12
1	•		

### [related - report]

Page 59

,			
36:15 76:17,19	177:21 179:1	69:24 70:23	110:15 112:22
85:11 108:16	181:5,22	78:4 150:12	115:12,15
119:19 152:12	182:17,21	178:10 204:17	116:2 117:18
246:7 264:10	183:18 186:8,9	275:18 282:8	121:5,10,17
278:3	193:8 223:1	remind 127:4	123:3 126:24
relates 40:7	225:17 236:9	removing	127:10 133:14
204:2 283:16	242:22 243:5	279:22	138:4 147:14
relationship	247:3 250:24	repeat 142:1	152:15 154:6,7
12:23 45:3 56:1	251:23 252:25	replacement	154:13,14,21
62:9 95:14	260:5 267:22	257:2	155:8 156:22
131:23 174:25	reliability	replicate 240:4	157:8 159:14
186:12 214:17	102:11 103:10	report 4:14	160:3 161:5,6,7
215:14 231:7	104:10 105:6	5:18 8:10 10:22	167:19 168:9
288:3,4	105:15 106:2,4	10:22,23 12:15	168:15,16,17
relative 97:21	129:12 233:7	12:16 27:1,10	169:12,14
98:6 106:9	234:24 270:8	27:10 28:2,8,15	176:15,18,20
111:9 291:17	reliable 27:20	31:2 32:9 34:5	176:21,23,24
relevance 17:7	62:20	34:11,17,21,22	178:7,13 184:1
98:1,3 100:20	reliance 102:13	35:1 46:3,4,7	184:7,18
112:23 158:24	102:19 247:23	60:5,10,13,15	186:25 187:18
214:9	271:20,24	61:5,11,13,19	190:18,20
relevancy 17:7	272:1,11,12,13	61:25,25 62:7	191:16 193:7
17:7	<b>relied</b> 64:20,25	64:15,16 65:5,6	194:14 195:11
relevant 17:8	217:14 271:12	65:14 66:4,15	201:9 202:8
18:5 44:5 45:17	272:1	66:19,20 68:6,6	203:7 205:20
45:17 46:3 52:4	<b>rely</b> 52:18	68:23 69:12,14	206:24 207:7
60:8,24 62:12	90:23 115:4	72:8,9,21 74:1	207:11,14
62:20 79:21,25	227:23 240:8	74:18 75:3	208:5 213:17
82:1 84:3,9	<b>relying</b> 68:9,21	76:14 77:2,15	214:21 215:1,6
85:9 89:25 90:1	277:6	77:17,25 78:20	215:15,16
98:16 107:23	remained	79:11,18,25	216:16 217:7
112:21 119:13	226:16 282:7	80:4,5 81:6	218:17 219:21
120:9,14,18	<b>remains</b> 152:23	82:8 83:14	219:25 221:22
126:4,4 142:16	remember 12:9	85:17,22 87:5	222:2,3,25
143:9 159:1,2	29:2 31:7,22,23	91:18,25 92:3	223:16 227:21
165:20 167:21	32:2,21 41:25	99:18 102:15	227:22 231:4,4

### [report - results]

Page 60

232:14 233:23	[p			
254:14 256:5         291:4         reporter's         36:21 48:3,4         67:5,14,14,16         67:21,24 82:19           259:16,19         291:1         86:25         161:20 162:25         161:20 162:25           260:6,13,13,14         reporting         99:19         106:13,14         reputation         87:7         171:16 195:4,8           262:16 263:2,3         107:24,25         reputed         208:12         195:9,19,23         214:16,21           269:22 271:20         110:6 125:5         requests         9:14         215:14,21         216:25,15,17           272:2 277:5         244:20 245:3         requires         9:14         216:25,15,17         217:14 262:23           278:7,12,13,14         12:21 26:25         require         114:11         217:14 262:23         263:8 282:14           279:7,14         12:21 26:25         required         77:16         283:17 286:3,5         263:8 282:14           81:19 99:15         72:18 160:10         requires         274:13         responses         161:25           102:11 103:11         222:15,16         requires         274:13         responses         161:25           117:24 12:15         represent         225:12 28:25         19:14         10:25         19:14         10:25         1	232:14 233:23	reporter 1:24	218:5,7,9 247:9	response 60:22
257:25 258:7         reporter's         36:21 48:3,4         67:21,24 82:19           259:16,19         291:1         86:25         161:20 162:25           260:6,13,13,14         reporting         99:19         161:20 162:25           262:16 263:2,3         107:24,25         reputed         208:12         195:9,19,23           263:11 265:4,4         108:24,25         10:8         215:14,21           269:22 271:20         110:6125:5         requested         9:23         214:16,21           272:2 277:5         244:20 245:3         requests         9:14         216:2,5,15,17           279:7,14         12:21 26:25         require         17:14 26:23         26:14,16,19           280:19,20         27:15,16,19         required         77:16         283:17 286:3,5           102:11 103:11         222:15,16         required         77:16         283:17 286:3,5           109:3111:8         263:19,24         21:25 25:19,21         100:6111:17           109:3111:8         264:12,25         26:5,12 28:25         119:16           124:4,11,15         161:17 261:1         represente         29:22 42:23         restricted           125:6 126:1         represented         158:25         166:4 217:21         163:18 170:24 </td <td>235:22 254:14</td> <td>8:21 130:1</td> <td>265:20 286:2</td> <td>61:1 63:1 66:21</td>	235:22 254:14	8:21 130:1	265:20 286:2	61:1 63:1 66:21
259:16,19	254:14 256:5	291:4	reputable	67:5,14,14,16
260:6,13,13,14         reporting         99:19         reputation         87:7         171:16 195:4,8         195:9,19,23           262:16 263:2,3         107:24,25         requested         92:3         214:16,21         195:9,19,23         214:16,21         215:14,21         269:22 271:20         210:6 125:5         215:14,21         215:24,21         215:24,21         215:14,21         226:13         226:14         227:15,16         225:14         227:15,16         225:11         225:11         225:10         215:14,21 <td>257:25 258:7</td> <td>reporter's</td> <td>36:21 48:3,4</td> <td>67:21,24 82:19</td>	257:25 258:7	reporter's	36:21 48:3,4	67:21,24 82:19
261:8,10         106:13,14         reputed 208:12         195:9,19,23           262:16 263:2,3         107:24,25         requested 9:23         214:16,21           263:11 265:4,4         108:24,25         10:8         215:14,21           269:22 271:20         110:6 125:5         requests 9:14         216:2,5,15,17           272:2 277:5         244:20 245:3         require 114:11         217:14 262:23           278:7,12,13,14         reports 11:13         261:14,16,19         263:8 282:14           279:7,14         12:21 26:25         required 77:16         283:17 286:3,5           280:19,20         27:15,16,19         131:21         responses           reported 76:24         28:1,4 61:17         requireng 274:13         161:25           81:19 99:15         72:18 160:10         131:21         responses           102:11 103:11         222:15,16         245:10         79:17 114:1           106:6,8,18,23         233:1 238:1         research 19:14         restate 61:19           109:3 111:8         264:12,25         26:5,12 28:25         119:16           17:24 121:15         represent         29:22 42:23         restricted           132:8 147:11         19:3         245:12         result 163:17           146:6 251	259:16,19	291:1	86:25	161:20 162:25
262:16 263:2,3         107:24,25         requested         9:23         214:16,21           263:11 265:4,4         108:24,25         10:8         215:14,21           269:22 271:20         110:6 125:5         requests         9:14         216:2,5,15,17           272:2 277:5         244:20 245:3         require         114:11         217:14 262:23           278:7,12,13,14         reports         11:13         261:14,16,19         263:8 282:14           279:7,14         12:21 26:25         required         77:16         283:17 286:3,5           280:19,20         27:15,16,19         131:21         responses           199:15         72:18 160:10         requires         274:13           102:11 103:11         222:15,16         245:10         79:17 114:1           106:6,8,18,23         233:1 238:1         requiring         responsive           79:17 114:1         restate 61:19         100:6111:17           109:3 111:8         264:12,25         26:5,12 28:25         119:14           117:24 121:15         represent         29:22 42:23         restricted           124:4,11,15         161:17 261:1         researched         218:4           132:8 147:11         119:3         researcher         166:4 217:21	260:6,13,13,14	reporting 99:19	reputation 87:7	171:16 195:4,8
263:11 265:4,4         108:24,25         10:8         215:14,21           269:22 271:20         110:6 125:5         requests         9:14         216:2,5,15,17           272:2 277:5         244:20 245:3         require         114:11         217:14 262:23           278:7,12,13,14         reports         11:13         261:14,16,19         263:8 282:14           279:7,14         12:21 26:25         required         77:16         283:17 286:3,5           280:19,20         27:15,16,19         131:21         responses           199:15         72:18 160:10         131:21         responses           102:11 103:11         222:15,16         245:10         79:17 114:1           106:6,8,18,23         233:1 238:1         requiring         responsive           106:24 108:3         263:19,24         21:25 25:19,21         100:6111:17           109:3 111:8         264:12,25         26:5,12 28:25         119:16           117:24 121:15         represent         29:22 42:23         restricted           124:4,11,15         161:17 261:1         researched         218:4           132:8 147:11         147:17 154:24         represents         166:4 217:21           156:14,19         270:6         158:25         189:17 280	261:8,10	106:13,14	<b>reputed</b> 208:12	195:9,19,23
269:22 271:20         110:6 125:5         requests         9:14         216:2,5,15,17           272:2 277:5         244:20 245:3         require         114:11         217:14 262:23           278:7,12,13,14         reports         11:13         261:14,16,19         263:8 282:14           279:7,14         12:21 26:25         required         77:16         283:17 286:3,5           280:19,20         27:15,16,19         131:21         responses           81:19 99:15         72:18 160:10         requires         274:13         161:25           81:19 99:15         72:18 160:10         requiring         responses           102:11 103:11         222:15,16         245:10         79:17 114:1           106:6,8,18,23         233:1 238:1         research         19:14         restate         61:19           106:24 108:3         263:19,24         21:25 25:19,21         100:6 111:17         109:3 111:8         264:12,25         research         19:14         restate         61:19           17:24 12:15         represent         29:22 42:23         restricted           124:4,11,15         161:17 261:1         researched         218:4           132:8 147:11         119:3         represents         researcher         163:18 170:24 <td>262:16 263:2,3</td> <td>107:24,25</td> <td>requested 9:23</td> <td>214:16,21</td>	262:16 263:2,3	107:24,25	requested 9:23	214:16,21
272:2 277:5         244:20 245:3         require 114:11         217:14 262:23           278:7,12,13,14         12:21 26:25         required 77:16         283:17 286:3,5           280:19,20         27:15,16,19         131:21         responses           81:19 99:15         72:18 160:10         requires 274:13         161:25           81:19 99:15         72:18 160:10         requiring         responses           102:11 103:11         222:15,16         245:10         79:17 114:1           106:6,8,18,23         233:1 238:1         research 19:14         restate 61:19           106:24 108:3         263:19,24         21:25 25:19,21         100:6 111:17           109:3 111:8         264:12,25         26:5,12 28:25         119:16           117:24 121:15         represent         29:22 42:23         restricted           124:4,11,15         161:17 261:1         represented         218:4           132:8 147:11         119:3         researched         218:4           156:14,19         270:6         158:25         189:17 280:2           157:21 168:22         represents         researchers         287:22           169:4,5 177:7         146:6 252:11         229:11         results 27:19,20           221:3 216:9         <	263:11 265:4,4	108:24,25	10:8	215:14,21
278:7,12,13,14         reports         11:13         261:14,16,19         263:8 282:14           279:7,14         12:21 26:25         required         77:16         283:17 286:3,5           280:19,20         27:15,16,19         131:21         responses           reported         76:24         28:1,4 61:17         requires         274:13         responses           81:19 99:15         72:18 160:10         requiring         responsive           102:11 103:11         222:15,16         245:10         79:17 114:1           106:6,8,18,23         233:1 238:1         research         19:14         restate         61:19           106:24 108:3         263:19,24         21:25 25:19,21         100:6 111:17         100:6 111:17           109:3 111:8         264:12,25         26:5,12 28:25         119:16           17:24 12:15         represent         29:22 42:23         restricted           124:4,11,15         161:17 261:1         270:5         166:4 217:21           125:6 126:1         represented         245:12         result         163:18 170:24           156:14,19         270:6         158:25         189:17 280:2         287:22           157:21 168:22         represents         87:18 208:11         results	269:22 271:20	110:6 125:5	requests 9:14	216:2,5,15,17
279:7,14         12:21 26:25         required         77:16         283:17 286:3,5           280:19,20         27:15,16,19         131:21         responses           reported         76:24         28:1,4 61:17         requires         274:13         161:25           81:19 99:15         72:18 160:10         requiring         responsive           102:11 103:11         222:15,16         245:10         79:17 114:1           106:6,8,18,23         233:1 238:1         research 19:14         restate 61:19           106:24 108:3         263:19,24         21:25 25:19,21         100:6 111:17           109:3 111:8         264:12,25         26:5,12 28:25         119:16           117:24 12:15         represent         29:22 42:23         restricted           124:4,11,15         161:17 261:1         270:5         166:4 217:21           125:6 126:1         represented         245:12         result         163:17           132:8 147:11         119:3         245:12         result         163:18 170:24           156:14,19         270:6         158:25         189:17 280:2           157:21 168:22         represents         87:18 208:11         resulting         277:2           19:21 193:4         268:21 <th< td=""><td>272:2 277:5</td><td>244:20 245:3</td><td>require 114:11</td><td>217:14 262:23</td></th<>	272:2 277:5	244:20 245:3	require 114:11	217:14 262:23
280:19,20         27:15,16,19         131:21         responses           reported         76:24         28:1,461:17         requires         27:13           81:19 99:15         72:18 160:10         requiring         responsive           102:11 103:11         222:15,16         245:10         79:17 114:1           106:6,8,18,23         233:1 238:1         research 19:14         restate 61:19           106:24 108:3         263:19,24         21:25 25:19,21         100:6 111:17           109:3 111:8         264:12,25         26:5,12 28:25         119:16           117:24 121:15         represent         29:22 42:23         restricted           124:4,11,15         161:17 261:1         270:5         166:4 217:21           125:6 126:1         represented         245:12         result 163:17           147:17 154:24         representing         245:12         result 163:17           156:14,19         270:6         158:25         189:17 280:2           157:21 168:22         represents         researchers         287:22           169:4,5 177:7         146:6 252:11         229:11         resulting 277:2           215:3 216:9         reproductive         residents 20:11         52:16 105:13           221:19,24,25 </td <td>278:7,12,13,14</td> <td>reports 11:13</td> <td>261:14,16,19</td> <td>263:8 282:14</td>	278:7,12,13,14	reports 11:13	261:14,16,19	263:8 282:14
reported         76:24         28:1,4 61:17         requires         274:13         161:25           81:19 99:15         72:18 160:10         requiring         responsive           102:11 103:11         222:15,16         245:10         79:17 114:1           106:6,8,18,23         233:1 238:1         research         19:14         restate         61:19           106:24 108:3         263:19,24         21:25 25:19,21         100:6 111:17         100:6 111:17           109:3 111:8         264:12,25         26:5,12 28:25         119:16           117:24 121:15         represent         29:22 42:23         restricted           124:4,11,15         161:17 261:1         270:5         166:4 217:21           125:6 126:1         represented         245:12         result         163:17           147:17 154:24         representing         245:12         result         163:18 170:24           156:14,19         270:6         158:25         189:17 280:2         287:22           169:4,5 177:7         146:6 252:11         268:21         29:11         resulting         277:2           191:21 193:4         268:21         reproductive         respect         169:2         10:11 127:17           221:3 22:18         99:12 9	279:7,14	12:21 26:25	required 77:16	283:17 286:3,5
81:19 99:15         72:18 160:10         requiring         responsive           102:11 103:11         222:15,16         245:10         79:17 114:1           106:6,8,18,23         233:1 238:1         research 19:14         restate 61:19           106:24 108:3         263:19,24         21:25 25:19,21         100:6 111:17           109:3 111:8         264:12,25         26:5,12 28:25         119:16           117:24 121:15         represent         29:22 42:23         restricted           124:4,11,15         161:17 261:1         270:5         166:4 217:21           125:6 126:1         represented         245:12         result 163:17           147:17 154:24         representing         245:12         result 163:18 170:24           156:14,19         270:6         158:25         189:17 280:2           157:21 168:22         represents         87:18 208:11         resulting 277:2           191:21 193:4         268:21         29:11         residents 20:11           220:2 221:18         89:12 90:5,15         respect 169:2         52:16 105:13           220:2 221:18         94:7 147:4         respectively         134:22 140:3           224:3 236:11         148:16 163:7         216:13         143:20,23           242:12 246	280:19,20	27:15,16,19	131:21	responses
102:11 103:11         222:15,16         245:10         79:17 114:1           106:6,8,18,23         233:1 238:1         research 19:14         restate 61:19           106:24 108:3         263:19,24         21:25 25:19,21         100:6 111:17           109:3 111:8         264:12,25         26:5,12 28:25         119:16           117:24 121:15         represent         29:22 42:23         restricted           124:4,11,15         161:17 261:1         270:5         166:4 217:21           125:6 126:1         represented         245:12         result 163:17           147:17 154:24         119:3         245:12         result 163:17           147:17 154:24         representing         245:12         result 163:18 170:24           156:14,19         270:6         158:25         189:17 280:2           157:21 168:22         represents         87:18 208:11         resulting 277:2           191:21 193:4         268:21         229:11         results 27:19,20           215:3 216:9         reproductive         residents 20:11         52:16 105:13           220:2 221:18         89:12 90:5,15         respect 169:2         10:11 127:17           224:3 236:11         148:16 163:7         216:13         143:20,23           242:12 24	reported 76:24	28:1,4 61:17	requires 274:13	161:25
106:6,8,18,23         233:1 238:1         research 19:14         restate 61:19           106:24 108:3         263:19,24         21:25 25:19,21         100:6 111:17           109:3 111:8         264:12,25         26:5,12 28:25         119:16           117:24 121:15         represent         29:22 42:23         restricted           124:4,11,15         161:17 261:1         270:5         166:4 217:21           125:6 126:1         represented         245:12         result 163:17           132:8 147:11         119:3         245:12         result 163:17           147:17 154:24         representing         158:25         189:17 280:2           156:14,19         270:6         158:25         189:17 280:2           157:21 168:22         represents         87:18 208:11         resulting 277:2           191:21 193:4         268:21         229:11         resulting 277:2           215:3 216:9         89:12 90:5,15         respect 169:2         110:11 127:17           221:19,24,25         94:7 147:4         respectively         134:22 140:3           242:12 246:25         168:23 170:9         responded         148:5 164:22           247:4 254:15         170:10 174:19         167:10         164:23 171:23	81:19 99:15	72:18 160:10	requiring	responsive
106:24 108:3       263:19,24       21:25 25:19,21       100:6 111:17         109:3 111:8       264:12,25       26:5,12 28:25       119:16         117:24 121:15       represent       29:22 42:23       restricted         124:4,11,15       161:17 261:1       270:5       166:4 217:21         125:6 126:1       represented       researched       218:4         132:8 147:11       119:3       245:12       result 163:17         147:17 154:24       representing       researcher       163:18 170:24         156:14,19       270:6       158:25       189:17 280:2         157:21 168:22       represents       researchers       287:22         169:4,5 177:7       146:6 252:11       229:11       resulting 277:2         191:21 193:4       268:21       229:11       residents 20:11       52:16 105:13         220:2 221:18       89:12 90:5,15       respect 169:2       110:11 127:17         221:19,24,25       94:7 147:4       respectively       134:22 140:3         242:12 246:25       168:23 170:9       responded       148:5 164:22         247:4 254:15       170:10 174:19       167:10       164:23 171:23	102:11 103:11	222:15,16	245:10	79:17 114:1
109:3 111:8       264:12,25       26:5,12 28:25       119:16         117:24 121:15       represent       29:22 42:23       restricted         124:4,11,15       161:17 261:1       270:5       166:4 217:21         125:6 126:1       represented       218:4         132:8 147:11       119:3       245:12       result 163:17         147:17 154:24       representing       researcher       163:18 170:24         156:14,19       270:6       158:25       189:17 280:2         157:21 168:22       represents       researchers       287:22         169:4,5 177:7       146:6 252:11       87:18 208:11       resulting 277:2         191:21 193:4       268:21       229:11       results 27:19,20         215:3 216:9       reproductive       residents 20:11       52:16 105:13         220:2 221:18       89:12 90:5,15       respect 169:2       110:11 127:17         221:19,24,25       94:7 147:4       respectively       134:22 140:3         242:12 246:25       168:23 170:9       responded       148:5 164:22         247:4 254:15       170:10 174:19       167:10       164:23 171:23	106:6,8,18,23	233:1 238:1	research 19:14	restate 61:19
117:24 121:15         represent         29:22 42:23         restricted           124:4,11,15         161:17 261:1         270:5         166:4 217:21           125:6 126:1         represented         245:12         result 163:17           132:8 147:11         119:3         245:12         result 163:17           147:17 154:24         representing         researcher         163:18 170:24           156:14,19         270:6         158:25         189:17 280:2           157:21 168:22         represents         researchers         287:22           169:4,5 177:7         146:6 252:11         87:18 208:11         resulting 277:2           191:21 193:4         268:21         229:11         results 27:19,20           215:3 216:9         reproductive         residents 20:11         52:16 105:13           220:2 221:18         89:12 90:5,15         respect 169:2         110:11 127:17           221:19,24,25         94:7 147:4         respectively         134:22 140:3           242:12 246:25         168:23 170:9         168:23 170:9         167:10         164:23 171:23	106:24 108:3	263:19,24	21:25 25:19,21	100:6 111:17
124:4,11,15         161:17 261:1         270:5         166:4 217:21           125:6 126:1         represented         245:12         result 163:17           132:8 147:11         119:3         245:12         result 163:17           147:17 154:24         representing         researcher         163:18 170:24           156:14,19         270:6         158:25         189:17 280:2           157:21 168:22         represents         287:22         researchers           169:4,5 177:7         146:6 252:11         87:18 208:11         resulting 277:2           191:21 193:4         268:21         229:11         results 27:19,20           215:3 216:9         reproductive         residents 20:11         52:16 105:13           220:2 221:18         89:12 90:5,15         respect 169:2         110:11 127:17           221:19,24,25         94:7 147:4         respectively         134:22 140:3           242:12 246:25         168:23 170:9         responded         148:5 164:22           247:4 254:15         170:10 174:19         167:10         164:23 171:23	109:3 111:8	264:12,25	26:5,12 28:25	119:16
125:6 126:1         represented         researched         218:4           132:8 147:11         119:3         245:12         result 163:17           147:17 154:24         representing         researcher         163:18 170:24           156:14,19         270:6         158:25         189:17 280:2           157:21 168:22         represents         researchers         287:22           169:4,5 177:7         146:6 252:11         87:18 208:11         resulting 277:2           191:21 193:4         268:21         229:11         results 27:19,20           215:3 216:9         reproductive         residents 20:11         52:16 105:13           220:2 221:18         89:12 90:5,15         respect 169:2         110:11 127:17           221:19,24,25         94:7 147:4         respectively         134:22 140:3           224:3 236:11         148:16 163:7         216:13         143:20,23           242:12 246:25         168:23 170:9         responded         148:5 164:22           247:4 254:15         170:10 174:19         167:10         164:23 171:23	117:24 121:15	represent	29:22 42:23	restricted
132:8 147:11       119:3       245:12       result 163:17         147:17 154:24       representing       researcher       163:18 170:24         156:14,19       270:6       158:25       189:17 280:2         157:21 168:22       represents       287:22         169:4,5 177:7       146:6 252:11       87:18 208:11       resulting 277:2         191:21 193:4       268:21       229:11       results 27:19,20         215:3 216:9       reproductive       residents 20:11       52:16 105:13         220:2 221:18       89:12 90:5,15       respect 169:2       110:11 127:17         221:19,24,25       94:7 147:4       respectively       134:22 140:3         224:3 236:11       148:16 163:7       216:13       143:20,23         242:12 246:25       168:23 170:9       responded       148:5 164:22         247:4 254:15       170:10 174:19       167:10       164:23 171:23	124:4,11,15	161:17 261:1	270:5	166:4 217:21
147:17 154:24         representing         researcher         163:18 170:24           156:14,19         270:6         158:25         189:17 280:2           157:21 168:22         represents         287:22           169:4,5 177:7         146:6 252:11         87:18 208:11         resulting 277:2           191:21 193:4         268:21         229:11         results 27:19,20           215:3 216:9         reproductive         residents 20:11         52:16 105:13           220:2 221:18         89:12 90:5,15         respect 169:2         110:11 127:17           221:19,24,25         94:7 147:4         respectively         134:22 140:3           224:3 236:11         148:16 163:7         216:13         143:20,23           242:12 246:25         168:23 170:9         responded         148:5 164:22           247:4 254:15         170:10 174:19         167:10         164:23 171:23	125:6 126:1	represented	researched	218:4
156:14,19       270:6       158:25       189:17 280:2         157:21 168:22       represents       287:22         169:4,5 177:7       146:6 252:11       87:18 208:11       resulting 277:2         191:21 193:4       268:21       229:11       results 27:19,20         215:3 216:9       reproductive       residents 20:11       52:16 105:13         220:2 221:18       89:12 90:5,15       respect 169:2       110:11 127:17         221:19,24,25       94:7 147:4       respectively       134:22 140:3         224:3 236:11       148:16 163:7       216:13       143:20,23         242:12 246:25       168:23 170:9       responded       148:5 164:22         247:4 254:15       170:10 174:19       167:10       164:23 171:23	132:8 147:11	119:3	245:12	<b>result</b> 163:17
157:21 168:22         represents         researchers         287:22           169:4,5 177:7         146:6 252:11         87:18 208:11         resulting 277:2           191:21 193:4         268:21         229:11         results 27:19,20           215:3 216:9         reproductive         residents 20:11         52:16 105:13           220:2 221:18         89:12 90:5,15         respect 169:2         110:11 127:17           221:19,24,25         94:7 147:4         respectively         134:22 140:3           224:3 236:11         148:16 163:7         216:13         143:20,23           242:12 246:25         168:23 170:9         responded         148:5 164:22           247:4 254:15         170:10 174:19         167:10         164:23 171:23	147:17 154:24	representing	researcher	163:18 170:24
169:4,5 177:7       146:6 252:11       87:18 208:11       resulting 277:2         191:21 193:4       268:21       229:11       resulting 277:2         215:3 216:9       reproductive       residents 20:11       52:16 105:13         220:2 221:18       89:12 90:5,15       respect 169:2       110:11 127:17         221:19,24,25       94:7 147:4       respectively       134:22 140:3         224:3 236:11       148:16 163:7       216:13       143:20,23         242:12 246:25       168:23 170:9       responded       148:5 164:22         247:4 254:15       170:10 174:19       167:10       164:23 171:23	156:14,19	270:6	158:25	189:17 280:2
191:21 193:4       268:21       229:11       results 27:19,20         215:3 216:9       reproductive       residents 20:11       52:16 105:13         220:2 221:18       89:12 90:5,15       respect 169:2       110:11 127:17         221:19,24,25       94:7 147:4       respectively       134:22 140:3         224:3 236:11       148:16 163:7       216:13       143:20,23         242:12 246:25       168:23 170:9       responded       148:5 164:22         247:4 254:15       170:10 174:19       167:10       164:23 171:23	157:21 168:22	represents	researchers	287:22
215:3 216:9       reproductive       residents       20:11       52:16 105:13         220:2 221:18       89:12 90:5,15       respect       169:2       110:11 127:17         221:19,24,25       94:7 147:4       respectively       134:22 140:3         224:3 236:11       148:16 163:7       216:13       143:20,23         242:12 246:25       168:23 170:9       responded       148:5 164:22         247:4 254:15       170:10 174:19       167:10       164:23 171:23	169:4,5 177:7	146:6 252:11	87:18 208:11	resulting 277:2
220:2 221:18       89:12 90:5,15       respect 169:2       110:11 127:17         221:19,24,25       94:7 147:4       respectively       134:22 140:3         224:3 236:11       148:16 163:7       216:13       143:20,23         242:12 246:25       168:23 170:9       responded       148:5 164:22         247:4 254:15       170:10 174:19       167:10       164:23 171:23	191:21 193:4	268:21	229:11	results 27:19,20
221:19,24,25       94:7 147:4       respectively       134:22 140:3         224:3 236:11       148:16 163:7       216:13       143:20,23         242:12 246:25       168:23 170:9       responded       148:5 164:22         247:4 254:15       170:10 174:19       167:10       164:23 171:23	215:3 216:9	reproductive	residents 20:11	52:16 105:13
224:3 236:11       148:16 163:7       216:13       143:20,23         242:12 246:25       168:23 170:9       responded       148:5 164:22         247:4 254:15       170:10 174:19       167:10       164:23 171:23	220:2 221:18	89:12 90:5,15	respect 169:2	110:11 127:17
242:12 246:25       168:23 170:9       responded       148:5 164:22         247:4 254:15       170:10 174:19       167:10       164:23 171:23	, ,			
247:4 254:15			216:13	·
			_	
260:17,21 175:22,23 177:13 178:6			167:10	
	260:17,21	175:22,23		177:13 178:6

### [results - risk] Page 61

182:3 189:20       206:20 220:1       68:1 78:13,15       272:24 275:20         190:10 210:19       223:20,23       79:18,20 80:5       278:11 281:12         211:7,15,15,18       224:2,11       80:15 85:9,23       282:3 285:13         212:9 216:14       225:17 231:5       99:8 100:8       rigler 68:25         216:15 226:5       234:16 235:18       101:25 104:23       72:7 74:6,15         251:14 258:3       260:17 275:5       107:14,19       rigorous 115:7         retainer 13:10       281:15,16,22       109:17 117:16       risk 35:4 37:23         retrograde       287:15 290:10       117:18,21       38:9,18 39:6,7         76:6 168:2       290:14       118:14 119:6       39:17 40:14,20         173:16 227:1       reviewed 10:22       120:11 126:5       40:25 41:2,5         227:24 229:8       11:12,16,22       133:3,9,22       44:13 49:11,14         retrospective       12:2 21:16,19       143:1 150:11       49:16 50:10
211:7,15,15,18       224:2,11       80:15 85:9,23       282:3 285:13         212:9 216:14       225:17 231:5       99:8 100:8       rigler 68:25         216:15 226:5       234:16 235:18       101:25 104:23       72:7 74:6,15         251:14 258:3       260:17 275:5       107:14,19       rigorous 115:7         retainer 13:10       281:15,16,22       109:17 117:16       risk 35:4 37:23         retrograde       287:15 290:10       117:18,21       38:9,18 39:6,7         76:6 168:2       290:14       118:14 119:6       39:17 40:14,20         173:16 227:1       reviewed 10:22       120:11 126:5       40:25 41:2,5         227:24 229:8       11:12,16,22       133:3,9,22       44:13 49:11,14
212:9 216:14       225:17 231:5       99:8 100:8       rigler 68:25         216:15 226:5       234:16 235:18       101:25 104:23       72:7 74:6,15         251:14 258:3       260:17 275:5       107:14,19       rigorous 115:7         retainer 13:10       281:15,16,22       109:17 117:16       risk 35:4 37:23         retrograde       287:15 290:10       117:18,21       38:9,18 39:6,7         76:6 168:2       290:14       118:14 119:6       39:17 40:14,20         173:16 227:1       reviewed 10:22       120:11 126:5       40:25 41:2,5         227:24 229:8       11:12,16,22       133:3,9,22       44:13 49:11,14
216:15 226:5       234:16 235:18       101:25 104:23       72:7 74:6,15         251:14 258:3       260:17 275:5       107:14,19       rigorous 115:7         retainer 13:10       281:15,16,22       109:17 117:16       risk 35:4 37:23         retrograde       287:15 290:10       117:18,21       38:9,18 39:6,7         76:6 168:2       290:14       118:14 119:6       39:17 40:14,20         173:16 227:1       reviewed 10:22       120:11 126:5       40:25 41:2,5         227:24 229:8       11:12,16,22       133:3,9,22       44:13 49:11,14
251:14 258:3       260:17 275:5       107:14,19       rigorous 115:7         retainer 13:10       281:15,16,22       109:17 117:16       risk 35:4 37:23         retrograde       287:15 290:10       117:18,21       38:9,18 39:6,7         76:6 168:2       290:14       118:14 119:6       39:17 40:14,20         173:16 227:1       reviewed 10:22       120:11 126:5       40:25 41:2,5         227:24 229:8       11:12,16,22       133:3,9,22       44:13 49:11,14
retainer       13:10       281:15,16,22       109:17 117:16       risk       35:4 37:23         retrograde       287:15 290:10       117:18,21       38:9,18 39:6,7         76:6 168:2       290:14       118:14 119:6       39:17 40:14,20         173:16 227:1       reviewed       10:22       120:11 126:5       40:25 41:2,5         227:24 229:8       11:12,16,22       133:3,9,22       44:13 49:11,14
retrograde       287:15 290:10       117:18,21       38:9,18 39:6,7         76:6 168:2       290:14       118:14 119:6       39:17 40:14,20         173:16 227:1       reviewed 10:22       120:11 126:5       40:25 41:2,5         227:24 229:8       11:12,16,22       133:3,9,22       44:13 49:11,14
76:6 168:2 290:14 118:14 119:6 39:17 40:14,20 173:16 227:1 <b>reviewed</b> 10:22 120:11 126:5 40:25 41:2,5 227:24 229:8 11:12,16,22 133:3,9,22 44:13 49:11,14
173:16 227:1     reviewed     10:22     120:11 126:5     40:25 41:2,5       227:24 229:8     11:12,16,22     133:3,9,22     44:13 49:11,14
227:24 229:8 11:12,16,22 133:3,9,22 44:13 49:11,14
retrospective 12:2.21:16.19 143:1.150:11 49:16.50:10
12.2 21.10,17 1 13.1 130.11 T7.10 30.10
105:1 25:15,16 26:24 155:9 158:15 85:10 96:14
<b>retrospectively</b> 27:2 28:25 159:24 160:2 97:22,22 98:6
111:14 37:20 59:5 164:23 166:5 98:15 99:1
<b>return</b> 86:9 62:18 72:6,8,9 168:16,24 101:6,15,17
230:16 272:16
292:22 102:2,7,17 175:11 179:5 123:22 124:5
<b>returned</b> 7:9 103:15 115:5 180:15 184:1 125:6,12 126:3
<b>reversed</b> 116:25 134:25 184:14 185:3 128:5,6 149:21
106:11 108:23   135:5 232:15   188:12,13,25   162:12 163:6,9
<b>review</b> 11:13 246:18 272:4 190:15 194:19 163:10,11
20:6 24:9,20,21   272:10 285:14   199:6 208:15   164:11 171:13
30:1 31:16 <b>reviewers</b> 215:19 222:22 171:19 172:6,7
37:23 38:21 24:13 224:5,8 227:24 172:24 173:7
42:19 46:14 <b>reviewing</b> 232:1,13 235:9 174:14 177:10
48:14 49:10 115:15 267:9 236:18 239:5 177:24 181:18
59:11 62:15 <b>reviews</b> 27:16 240:25 241:17 183:1 193:6
70:3 78:10 82:4 81:15,16,24 241:21,25 196:19 200:2
83:25 84:4,11 220:2 223:23 242:6,11,16 201:5,6 204:2
84:21,22,23,25   <b>right</b> 15:7 22:2   247:23 248:7   210:3 212:13
84:25 85:1,23 22:16 34:9 248:24 249:13 212:16 214:18
97:16 102:14
102:17 103:22 44:16 49:23 251:22 257:17 218:17 221:19
115:7 199:24 63:5 64:12 66:3 259:7,21 262:4 221:22,25
205:22 206:4 66:15 67:23 265:24 266:16 222:5,10,24

[risk - sean] Page 62

223:9 224:6,18	<b>rule</b> 276:12,20	sandler 102:9	schedule 9:11
224:22,24	277:8	285:9,18	9:14,17
225:2,6,8,22,23	<b>ruled</b> 204:25	sanitary 245:7	schildkraut
226:11 231:10	<b>rules</b> 8:19	285:25	76:11 194:24
234:7,14	<b>ruling</b> 69:15,19	sas9.4. 165:7	208:15 246:25
237:25 238:1	279:5 281:8	satisfied 258:25	scholarship
242:16 245:8	<b>running</b> 165:16	saw 132:23	43:20
245:10,16	237:14	182:22 217:2	<b>school</b> 18:23
246:12 250:2	runs 174:16,17	<b>saying</b> 49:21,23	19:13,21
260:19,21,22	runway 64:3	87:21,23	science 65:23
261:1 273:21	S	100:23 107:21	141:24 246:23
276:22 277:16	s 285:9	134:20 150:9,9	sciences 18:19
278:17,24	saed's 270:5	171:22 172:5,8	19:1,9
279:1,1,12	safety 23:6,13	173:3 185:5	scientific 27:7
281:11 285:7	23:18 261:11	189:24 190:11	57:1 62:14
285:24 289:22	sake 222:20	207:12 233:17	87:25 114:6,19
289:25	salary 17:4,14	255:4,8 284:22	114:21 122:9
<b>risks</b> 40:21	18:1	290:14	263:23 264:13
125:5 174:2	sales 1:8 292:4	<b>says</b> 37:19	266:13,23
218:21 276:23	293:3	43:18 48:17	267:6,12,15,16
<b>rls</b> 1:8 293:3	sample 106:6	54:18 73:17	267:18 268:1,5
<b>role</b> 18:18,20	106:10,17	103:4 105:9	268:9 280:4,14
81:21 94:6	107:8,11,13,14	166:18 168:19	scientist 265:24
<b>room</b> 11:4	107:15,18	202:8,20	scientists
<b>rosner</b> 84:16	108:2,3 111:23	215:22 216:5	138:22 205:10
<b>row</b> 83:14,16	124:17 140:4	220:12,14,14	208:13 268:6
84:16 85:8	150:13 152:7	226:23 228:2	<b>scope</b> 60:17
86:11 124:7	150:13 152:7	230:3 241:1	62:1
220:13	176:11 185:2,5	270:17,20	scopus 114:1
<b>rows</b> 80:9	186:2,5,9,11,15	276:12 277:20	score 237:17,20
192:10	186:16 260:2,4	289:1	238:15,18,19
<b>rpr</b> 1:24	samples 70:21	scale 236:21,23	239:7
<b>rr</b> 189:16 190:9	70:25 71:2,3,12	240:8	scribbled 10:13
220:14,19	71:14 109:8	scenario 62:13	<b>se</b> 96:5 113:4
249:8	183:23	135:13,13,13	<b>sean</b> 6:12
	105.25		230:23

### [search - selection]

Page 63

search 66:5	251:2 253:9	60:9,15 63:6,8	259:8 262:11
79:12,17 80:15	257:7 258:5	68:20 80:9	262:11 269:19
85:2 114:1	262:12 265:12	82:17,21 91:23	270:17,20
<b>second</b> 37:14	265:14,14	92:15 102:13	273:8,11 276:7
37:22 38:20	266:15 273:7,8	102:21 105:10	276:10,12
52:15,21 58:2	285:21	118:7,11,19	285:1 287:16
88:19,21 89:3,4	section 25:21	123:12,14	288:16 289:1
89:6 92:5 97:21	25:23 26:3	125:18 130:16	<b>seeing</b> 166:10
103:16 104:7	27:13,18 37:23	130:20 137:6	212:7 218:22
104:17 106:20	38:18,21 39:12	137:10 139:3	284:7
108:22 110:25	39:16 43:24	141:1 143:20	seem 15:7 82:9
111:1,2 118:18	44:6 74:20,21	144:6 146:19	271:8
121:22 122:21	81:7 89:5 92:5	149:5 152:4	seems 230:8
122:22 123:25	120:13 122:1	153:13 154:24	263:21 271:11
133:25 134:5,6	127:20,22	155:3,17 170:7	seen 9:8,10
134:7 138:15	131:22 140:3	182:23,25	14:8 24:24 42:2
145:10 152:20	149:1 151:22	192:1,6,12,14	72:15,16,18,21
155:3 159:16	160:7,10	192:23 193:2	98:7 117:16
160:17 161:11	164:22,24	194:9 196:7,15	122:8,21
166:3,5,13	196:3 197:1	200:21 207:19	181:19 192:1
168:25 170:5,7	200:18,20	207:24 209:20	segment 278:8
170:18 174:18	214:21 215:5	209:23,25	segments
175:3 176:2	218:14 224:9	211:6 215:21	277:11
178:15 180:16	228:24 233:25	217:22 218:14	select 251:2
188:9 202:14	234:1 244:11	220:24 221:2	252:23
207:8 211:6	244:15 256:18	221:17,25	selected 242:19
212:8,12	262:12 270:4	222:16 223:2	242:21,23
215:16,20,23	270:22,24	224:18 225:4	243:4 245:9
215:24 217:21	277:12	225:18 234:21	250:9,16 251:9
217:23,23	sections 276:16	238:22,23	251:10,22
218:1 226:14	277:4	240:23 241:15	257:12,20
228:2,3,8 230:2	see 21:17 22:21	243:16 246:6	selecting
235:15 236:4	25:20 28:21	246:10,10	146:15
243:25 244:17	37:19 38:18	248:17 251:4	selection
244:22 249:23	40:19 43:14,23	253:8 255:20	234:25 239:4
249:24 250:25	43:25 50:18	256:3 257:16	244:21 245:2

### [selection - significance]

Page 64

248:24 249:4         166:17 170:7         sets 145:4         275:23 281:13           selective         211:1         171:5 174:16         settle 34:11         281:23 283:1           selectively         175:3 178:14         settle 264:8         283:10           174:23         179:18,20         seven 217:3         showed 90:22           self 102:11         180:14 196:3         seven 217:3         showed 90:22           106:13,14,17         214:15 216:5         67:15 69:2         shower 35:3,3           106:23 108:24         224:12,12         80:12 96:19,25         showing 96:12           108:25 111:8         225:10 228:3,8         100:11,14,17         217:14           send 24:19,20         257:8,23         194:17,18         277:21 284:4           292:11         263:10 265:14         197:15 206:23         287:3 289:14           sense 52:5         266:11,18         197:15 206:23         287:3 289:14           sensitivites         sentences 92:13         248:18 250:10         shows 145:22           134:13         94:21         263:12 248:8         250:10         shows 145:22           128:19 130:12         separate 47:9         sexer 273:25         side 139:13         select 139:13         select 139:13         select 292:9,13         sel	Las a series as G	·· ·· · · ·		
selectively         175:3 178:14         settled         264:8         283:10           174:23         179:18,20         seven         217:3         showed         90:22           self         102:11         180:14 196:3         several         23:22         163:8,19           106:13,14,17         214:15 216:5         67:15 69:2         shower         35:3,3           106:23 108:24         224:12,12         80:12 96:19,25         shower         35:3,3           108:25 111:8         225:10 228:3,8         100:11,14,17         217:14           169:4,5         231:3 234:17         135:6 148:3         shown         90:12 20:1           send         24:19,20         257:8,23         194:17,18         277:21 284:4           292:11         263:10 265:14         197:15 206:23         287:3 289:14           sense 52:5         266:11,18         219:5 224:22         290:6           102:7 156:15         267:2 273:9,11         233:12 240:10         shows 145:22           134:13         94:21         233:12 240:10         shows 145:22           127:23,25         126:8,12 148:6         sex 273:25         side 139:13           128:19 130:12         158:6         space 29:19         space 136:20	248:24 249:4	166:17 170:7	sets 145:4	275:23 281:13
174:23         179:18,20         seven 217:3         showed 90:22           self 102:11         180:14 196:3         several 23:22         163:8,19           103:10 106:6         205:2 209:4         34:21 53:6,7         196:24,24           106:13,14,17         214:15 216:5         67:15 69:2         shower 35:3,3           106:23 108:24         224:12,12         80:12 96:19,25         showing 96:12           108:25 111:8         225:10 228:3,8         100:11,14,17         217:14           169:4,5         231:3 234:17         135:6 148:3         shown 90:14,20           292:11         263:10 265:14         197:15 206:23         287:3 289:14           sense 52:5         266:11,18         219:5 224:22         290:6           102:7 156:15         267:2 273:9,11         233:12 240:10         shows 145:22           156:17         273:13 274:8         240:12,18         193:13 196:21           sensitivity         separate 47:9         248:18 250:10         212:16           127:23,25         126:8,12 148:6         sex 273:25         side 139:13           134:17 135:10         78:10,11 137:7         shake 8:20         siemiatycki           14:21         september         241:7         sign 290:22           sent 292:9 </td <td>selective 211:1</td> <td>171:5 174:16</td> <td><b>settle</b> 34:11</td> <td>281:23 283:1</td>	selective 211:1	171:5 174:16	<b>settle</b> 34:11	281:23 283:1
self         102:11         180:14 196:3         several         23:22         163:8,19           103:10 106:6         205:2 209:4         34:21 53:6,7         196:24,24           106:13,14,17         214:15 216:5         67:15 69:2         shower         35:3,3           106:23 108:24         224:12,12         80:12 96:19,25         showing         96:12           108:25 111:8         225:10 228:3,8         100:11,14,17         217:14           169:4,5         231:3 234:17         135:6 148:3         shown         90:14,20           send         24:19,20         257:8,23         194:17,18         277:21 284:4           292:11         263:10 265:14         197:15 206:23         287:3 289:14           sense         52:5         266:11,18         219:5 224:22         290:6           102:7 156:15         267:2 273:9,11         233:12 240:10         shows 145:22         199:6           sensitivities         sentences         92:13         248:18 250:10         212:16           134:13         94:21         separate         47:9         248:18 250:10         212:16           132:19 130:12         158:6         shake 8:20         siemiatycki           134:17 135:10         78:10,11 137:7         sheet <td>selectively</td> <td>175:3 178:14</td> <td>settled 264:8</td> <td>283:10</td>	selectively	175:3 178:14	settled 264:8	283:10
103:10 106:6         205:2 209:4         34:21 53:6,7         196:24,24           106:13,14,17         214:15 216:5         67:15 69:2         shower 35:3,3           106:23 108:24         224:12,12         80:12 96:19,25         showing 96:12           108:25 111:8         225:10 228:3,8         100:11,14,17         217:14           169:4,5         231:3 234:17         135:6 148:3         shown 90:14,20           292:11         265:10 265:14         197:15 206:23         287:3 289:14           292:11         266:11,18         219:5 224:22         290:6           102:7 156:15         266:22 273:9,11         233:12 240:10         shows 145:22           156:17         273:13 274:8         248:18 250:10         212:16           sensitivities         sentences 92:13         248:18 250:10         212:16           134:13         94:21         263:13         side 139:13           sensitivity         separate 47:9         severe 286:5,8         side 139:13           134:17 135:10         78:10,11 137:7         shake 8:20         shake 8:20           14:21         sheet 292:9,13         signature           52:14,20 54:7         227:4 275:18         short 44:8         signatures           88:23 91:10         284:5	174:23	179:18,20	<b>seven</b> 217:3	<b>showed</b> 90:22
106:13,14,17         214:15 216:5         67:15 69:2         shower 35:3,3           106:23 108:24         224:12,12         80:12 96:19,25         showing 96:12           108:25 111:8         225:10 228:3,8         100:11,14,17         217:14           169:4,5         231:3 234:17         135:6 148:3         shown 90:14,20           send 24:19,20         257:8,23         194:17,18         277:21 284:4           292:11         263:10 265:14         197:15 206:23         287:3 289:14           sense 52:5         266:11,18         219:5 224:22         290:6           102:7 156:15         267:2 273:9,11         233:12 240:10         shows 145:22           156:17         273:13 274:8         248:18 250:10         212:16           sensitivities         sentences 92:13         248:18 250:10         212:16           134:13         separate 47:9         severe 286:5,8         side 139:13           127:23,25         126:8,12 148:6         sake 8:20         siemiatycki           134:17 135:10         78:10,11 137:7         shared 219:11         sign 290:22           165:5         september         241:7         292:21           sent 292:9         14:21         sheet 292:9,13         signature           88:23 91:10	<b>self</b> 102:11	180:14 196:3	several 23:22	163:8,19
106:23 108:24         224:12,12         80:12 96:19,25         showing 96:12           108:25 111:8         225:10 228:3,8         100:11,14,17         217:14           169:4,5         231:3 234:17         135:6 148:3         shown 90:14,20           send 24:19,20         257:8,23         194:17,18         277:21 284:4           292:11         263:10 265:14         197:15 206:23         287:3 289:14           sense 52:5         266:11,18         219:5 224:22         290:6           102:7 156:15         267:2 273:9,11         233:12 240:10         shows 145:22           156:17         273:13 274:8         240:12,18         193:13 196:21           sensitivites         sentences 92:13         248:18 250:10         212:16           134:13         94:21         263:13         side 139:13           sensitivity         separate 47:9         263:13         side 139:13           127:23,25         126:8,12 148:6         sex 273:25         shake 8:20           134:17 131:18         separately         shapes 136:20         263:17           165:5         september         241:7         292:91         292:21           sentence 34:24         49:13 50:12         75:11 93:9         sheets 292:19         292:10	103:10 106:6	205:2 209:4	34:21 53:6,7	196:24,24
108:25 111:8         225:10 228:3,8         100:11,14,17         217:14           169:4,5         231:3 234:17         135:6 148:3         shown 90:14,20           send 24:19,20         257:8,23         194:17,18         277:21 284:4           292:11         263:10 265:14         197:15 206:23         287:3 289:14           sense 52:5         266:11,18         219:5 224:22         290:6           102:7 156:15         267:2 273:9,11         233:12 240:10         shows 145:22           156:17         273:13 274:8         240:12,18         193:13 196:21           sensitivites         sentences 92:13         248:18 250:10         212:16           134:13         94:21         263:13         side 139:13           sensitivity         separate 47:9         263:13         side 139:13           127:23,25         126:8,12 148:6         shake 8:20         siemiatycki           130:25 131:18         separately         shapes 136:20         263:17           134:17 135:10         78:10,11 137:7         shared 219:11         sign 290:22           sentence 34:24         49:13 50:12         75:11 93:9         sheet 292:9,13         signature           88:23 91:10         284:5         126:23 181:4         265:17,23	106:13,14,17	214:15 216:5	67:15 69:2	<b>shower</b> 35:3,3
169:4,5         231:3 234:17         135:6 148:3         shown 90:14,20           send 24:19,20         257:8,23         194:17,18         277:21 284:4           292:11         263:10 265:14         197:15 206:23         287:3 289:14           sense 52:5         266:11,18         219:5 224:22         290:6           102:7 156:15         267:2 273:9,11         233:12 240:10         shows 145:22           156:17         273:13 274:8         240:12,18         193:13 196:21           sensitivities         sentences 92:13         248:18 250:10         212:16           134:13         94:21         263:13         side 139:13           sensitivity         separate 47:9         severe 286:5,8         sided 14:8           127:23,25         126:8,12 148:6         sex 273:25         165:1           130:25 131:18         separately         shapes 136:20         siemiatycki           134:17 135:10         78:10,11 137:7         shared 219:11         sign 290:22           sent 292:9         14:21         sheet 292:9,13         signature           sentence 34:24         75:11 93:9         sheets 292:19         291:23 292:8           49:13 50:12         75:11 93:9         short 44:8         signatures           88:23 91:10 <td>106:23 108:24</td> <td>224:12,12</td> <td>80:12 96:19,25</td> <td>showing 96:12</td>	106:23 108:24	224:12,12	80:12 96:19,25	showing 96:12
send         24:19,20         257:8,23         194:17,18         277:21 284:4           292:11         263:10 265:14         197:15 206:23         287:3 289:14           sense         52:5         266:11,18         219:5 224:22         290:6           102:7 156:15         267:2 273:9,11         233:12 240:10         shows         145:22           156:17         273:13 274:8         240:12,18         193:13 196:21           sensitivities         sentences         92:13         248:18 250:10         212:16           134:13         94:21         263:13         side         139:13           sensitivity         separate         47:9         severe         286:5,8         sided         139:13           128:19 130:12         158:6         shake         8:20         siemiatycki           134:17 135:10         78:10,11 137:7         shared         219:11         sign         290:22           sent         292:9         14:21         sheet         292:9,13         signature           sentence         34:24         75:11 93:9         sheets         292:19         292:10           sentence         34:24         227:4 275:18         short         44:8         signatures	108:25 111:8	225:10 228:3,8	100:11,14,17	217:14
292:11         263:10 265:14         197:15 206:23         287:3 289:14           sense 52:5         266:11,18         219:5 224:22         290:6           102:7 156:15         267:2 273:9,11         233:12 240:10         shows 145:22           156:17         273:13 274:8         240:12,18         193:13 196:21           sensitivities         sentences 92:13         248:18 250:10         212:16           134:13         94:21         263:13         side 139:13           sensitivity         separate 47:9         severe 286:5,8         sided 14:8           127:23,25         126:8,12 148:6         sex 273:25         165:1           128:19 130:12         158:6         sex 273:25         sided 14:8           134:17 135:10         158:6         shake 8:20         siemiatycki           134:17 135:10         78:10,11 137:7         shared 219:11         sign 290:22           263:17         september         241:7         292:21           sent 292:9         14:21         sheet 292:9,13         291:23 292:8           49:13 50:12         75:11 93:9         sheets 292:19         292:10           52:14,20 54:7         227:4 275:18         126:23 181:4         265:17,23           88:23 91:10         284:5	169:4,5	231:3 234:17	135:6 148:3	<b>shown</b> 90:14,20
sense         52:5         266:11,18         219:5 224:22         290:6           102:7 156:15         267:2 273:9,11         233:12 240:10         shows         145:22           156:17         273:13 274:8         240:12,18         193:13 196:21           sensitivities         sentences         92:13         248:18 250:10         212:16           side         139:13         side         139:13           sensitivity         separate         47:9         severe         286:5,8         sided         14:8           127:23,25         126:8,12 148:6         sex         273:25         165:1         sided         14:8           128:19 130:12         158:6         sex         273:25         165:1         sided         14:8           130:25 131:18         separately         shake         8:20         siemiatycki         sign         292:12           165:5         september         241:7         292:21         292:21         292:21           sent 292:9         14:21         sheet         292:9,13         291:23 292:8           49:13 50:12         75:11 93:9         sheets         292:19         292:10           52:14,20 54:7         227:4 275:18         126:23 181:4         265:17	<b>send</b> 24:19,20	257:8,23	194:17,18	277:21 284:4
102:7 156:15         267:2 273:9,11         233:12 240:10         shows 145:22           156:17         273:13 274:8         240:12,18         193:13 196:21           sensitivities         sentences 92:13         248:18 250:10         212:16           134:13         94:21         263:13         side 139:13           sensitivity         separate 47:9         severe 286:5,8         side 14:8           127:23,25         126:8,12 148:6         sex 273:25         165:1           130:25 131:18         separately         shake 8:20         semiatycki           134:17 135:10         78:10,11 137:7         shared 219:11         sign 290:22           165:5         september         241:7         signature           sent 292:9         14:21         sheet 292:9,13         signature           sentence 34:24         series 74:24         292:18,21         291:23 292:8           49:13 50:12         75:11 93:9         sheets 292:19         292:10           52:14,20 54:7         227:4 275:18         short 44:8         signatures           88:23 91:10         284:5         126:23 181:4         265:17,23           92:5,6 94:1,20         serous 99:24         237:14 271:2         266:7           97:21 98:23         266:4<	292:11	263:10 265:14	197:15 206:23	287:3 289:14
156:17         273:13 274:8         240:12,18         193:13 196:21           sensitivities         94:21         248:18 250:10         212:16           sensitivity         separate 47:9         severe 286:5,8         side 139:13           127:23,25         126:8,12 148:6         sex 273:25         165:1           130:25 131:18         separately         shake 8:20         siemiatycki           134:17 135:10         78:10,11 137:7         shared 219:11         sign 290:22           165:5         september         241:7         292:21           sent 292:9         14:21         sheet 292:9,13         signature           sentence 34:24         75:11 93:9         sheets 292:19         292:10           52:14,20 54:7         227:4 275:18         short 44:8         signatures           88:23 91:10         284:5         126:23 181:4         265:17,23           92:5,6 94:1,20         serous 99:24         237:14 271:2         266:7           97:21 98:23         266:4         shorthand         signed 292:11           105:9 108:22         serving 114:7         shoulders         significance           110:3 122:22         set 12:22         136:15         161:10 179:24           126:24 130:24         150:12 186:10	sense 52:5	266:11,18	219:5 224:22	290:6
sensitivities         sentences         92:13         248:18 250:10         212:16           134:13         94:21         263:13         side 139:13           sensitivity         separate 47:9         severe 286:5,8         sided 14:8           127:23,25         126:8,12 148:6         sex 273:25         165:1           128:19 130:12         158:6         shake 8:20         siemiatycki           130:25 131:18         separately         shapes 136:20         263:17           134:17 135:10         78:10,11 137:7         shared 219:11         sign 290:22           165:5         september         241:7         292:21           sent 292:9         14:21         sheet 292:9,13         signature           sentence 34:24         75:11 93:9         sheets 292:19         291:23 292:8           49:13 50:12         75:11 93:9         sheets 292:19         292:10           52:14,20 54:7         227:4 275:18         126:23 181:4         265:17,23           92:5,6 94:1,20         serous 99:24         237:14 271:2         266:7           97:21 98:23         266:4         shorthand         significance           105:9 108:22         serving 114:7         shoulders         significance           105:12 186:10	102:7 156:15	267:2 273:9,11	233:12 240:10	shows 145:22
134:13         94:21         263:13         side 139:13           sensitivity         separate 47:9         severe 286:5,8         sided 14:8           127:23,25         126:8,12 148:6         sex 273:25         165:1           138:19 130:12         158:6         shake 8:20         siemiatycki           130:25 131:18         separately         shapes 136:20         263:17           134:17 135:10         78:10,11 137:7         shared 219:11         sign 290:22           165:5         september         241:7         292:21           sent 292:9         14:21         sheet 292:9,13         signature           sentence 34:24         75:11 93:9         sheets 292:19         291:23 292:8           49:13 50:12         75:11 93:9         sheets 292:19         292:10           52:14,20 54:7         227:4 275:18         short 44:8         signatures           88:23 91:10         284:5         126:23 181:4         265:17,23           92:5,6 94:1,20         serous 99:24         237:14 271:2         266:7           97:21 98:23         266:4         shorthand         292:12           105:9 108:22         served 33:17         291:16         292:12           105:9 108:22         set 12:22         136:15	156:17	273:13 274:8	240:12,18	193:13 196:21
sensitivity         separate         47:9         severe         286:5,8         sided         14:8           127:23,25         126:8,12 148:6         sex         273:25         165:1           130:25 131:18         158:6         shake         8:20         siemiatycki           134:17 135:10         78:10,11 137:7         shared         219:11         sign         290:22           165:5         september         241:7         292:21         292:21           sent 292:9         14:21         sheet         292:9,13         291:23 292:8           sentence         34:24         series         74:24         292:18,21         291:23 292:8           49:13 50:12         75:11 93:9         sheets         292:19         292:10           52:14,20 54:7         227:4 275:18         short         44:8         signatures           88:23 91:10         284:5         126:23 181:4         265:17,23           92:5,6 94:1,20         serous         99:24         237:14 271:2         266:7           97:21 98:23         266:4         shorthand         292:12           105:9 108:22         serving         114:7         shoulders         161:10 179:24           126:24 130:24         150:12 186:10 </td <td>sensitivities</td> <td>sentences 92:13</td> <td>248:18 250:10</td> <td>212:16</td>	sensitivities	sentences 92:13	248:18 250:10	212:16
127:23,25       126:8,12 148:6       sex 273:25       165:1         128:19 130:12       158:6       shake 8:20       siemiatycki         130:25 131:18       separately       78:10,11 137:7       shapes 136:20       263:17         134:17 135:10       78:10,11 137:7       shared 219:11       sign 290:22         165:5       september       241:7       292:21         sent 292:9       14:21       sheet 292:9,13       signature         sentence 34:24       series 74:24       292:18,21       291:23 292:8         49:13 50:12       75:11 93:9       sheets 292:19       292:10         52:14,20 54:7       227:4 275:18       short 44:8       signatures         88:23 91:10       284:5       126:23 181:4       265:17,23         92:5,6 94:1,20       serous 99:24       237:14 271:2       266:7         97:21 98:23       266:4       shorthand       signed 292:11         104:7,19 105:3       served 33:17       291:16       292:12         105:9 108:22       serving 114:7       shoulders       161:10 179:24         126:24 130:24       150:12 186:10       show 37:8       180:19 214:9         132:6 140:2       235:4 252:12       139:25 149:1       277:24 279:4 <td>134:13</td> <td>94:21</td> <td>263:13</td> <td><b>side</b> 139:13</td>	134:13	94:21	263:13	<b>side</b> 139:13
128:19 130:12       158:6       shake       8:20       siemiatycki         130:25 131:18       36:20       263:17         134:17 135:10       78:10,11 137:7       36ared       219:11       36gn       290:22         165:5       36ett       292:9,13       292:21       292:21         36ett       292:9,13       36ett       292:21       292:21         36ett       292:18,21       291:23 292:8       292:10       292:10       292:10         36ett       292:19       292:10       36etts       292:10       36etts       292:10       36etts       <	sensitivity	separate 47:9	<b>severe</b> 286:5,8	<b>sided</b> 14:8
130:25 131:18       separately       shapes       136:20       263:17         134:17 135:10       78:10,11 137:7       shared       219:11       sign       290:22         165:5       september       241:7       292:21         sent       292:9       14:21       sheet       292:9,13       signature         sentence       34:24       series       74:24       292:18,21       291:23 292:8         49:13 50:12       75:11 93:9       sheets       292:19       292:10         52:14,20 54:7       227:4 275:18       short       44:8       signatures         88:23 91:10       284:5       126:23 181:4       265:17,23         92:5,6 94:1,20       serous       99:24       237:14 271:2       266:7         97:21 98:23       266:4       shorthand       signed       292:11         104:7,19 105:3       served       33:17       291:16       292:12         105:9 108:22       serving       114:7       shoulders       significance         110:3 122:22       set       12:22       136:15       161:10 179:24         126:24 130:24       150:12 186:10       show       37:8       180:19 214:9         132:6 140:2       235:4 252:12	127:23,25	126:8,12 148:6	sex 273:25	165:1
134:17 135:10       78:10,11 137:7       shared       219:11       sign       290:22         sent       292:9       14:21       sheet       292:18,21       291:23 292:8         sentence       34:24       series       74:24       292:18,21       291:23 292:8         49:13 50:12       75:11 93:9       sheets       292:19       292:10         52:14,20 54:7       227:4 275:18       short       44:8       signatures         88:23 91:10       284:5       126:23 181:4       265:17,23         92:5,6 94:1,20       serous       99:24       237:14 271:2       266:7         97:21 98:23       266:4       shorthand       signed       292:11         104:7,19 105:3       served       33:17       291:16       292:12         105:9 108:22       serving       114:7       shoulders       significance         110:3 122:22       set       12:22       136:15       161:10 179:24         126:24 130:24       150:12 186:10       show       37:8       180:19 214:9         132:6 140:2       235:4 252:12       139:25 149:1       277:24 279:4	128:19 130:12	158:6		siemiatycki
165:5         september         241:7         292:21           sent         292:9         14:21         sheet         292:9,13         signature           sentence         34:24         series         74:24         292:18,21         291:23 292:8           49:13 50:12         75:11 93:9         sheets         292:19         292:10           52:14,20 54:7         227:4 275:18         short         44:8         signatures           88:23 91:10         284:5         126:23 181:4         265:17,23           92:5,6 94:1,20         serous         99:24         237:14 271:2         266:7           97:21 98:23         266:4         shorthand         signed         292:11           104:7,19 105:3         served         33:17         291:16         292:12           105:9 108:22         serving         114:7         shoulders         significance           110:3 122:22         set         12:22         136:15         161:10 179:24           126:24 130:24         150:12 186:10         show         37:8         180:19 214:9           132:6 140:2         235:4 252:12         139:25 149:1         277:24 279:4	130:25 131:18	separately	<b>shapes</b> 136:20	263:17
sent         292:9         14:21         sheet         292:9,13         signature           sentence         34:24         series         74:24         292:18,21         291:23 292:8           49:13 50:12         75:11 93:9         sheets         292:19         292:10           52:14,20 54:7         227:4 275:18         short         44:8         signatures           88:23 91:10         284:5         126:23 181:4         265:17,23           92:5,6 94:1,20         serous         99:24         237:14 271:2         266:7           97:21 98:23         266:4         shorthand         signed         292:11           104:7,19 105:3         served         33:17         291:16         292:12           105:9 108:22         serving         114:7         shoulders         significance           110:3 122:22         set         12:22         136:15         161:10 179:24           126:24 130:24         150:12 186:10         show         37:8         180:19 214:9           132:6 140:2         235:4 252:12         139:25 149:1         277:24 279:4	134:17 135:10	78:10,11 137:7	<b>shared</b> 219:11	<b>sign</b> 290:22
sentence         34:24         series         74:24         292:18,21         291:23 292:8           49:13 50:12         75:11 93:9         sheets         292:19         292:10           52:14,20 54:7         227:4 275:18         short         44:8         signatures           88:23 91:10         284:5         126:23 181:4         265:17,23           92:5,6 94:1,20         serous         99:24         237:14 271:2         266:7           97:21 98:23         266:4         shorthand         signed         292:11           104:7,19 105:3         served         33:17         291:16         292:12           105:9 108:22         serving         114:7         shoulders         significance           110:3 122:22         set         12:22         136:15         161:10 179:24           126:24 130:24         150:12 186:10         show         37:8         180:19 214:9           132:6 140:2         235:4 252:12         139:25 149:1         277:24 279:4	165:5	september	241:7	292:21
49:13 50:12       75:11 93:9       sheets 292:19       292:10         52:14,20 54:7       227:4 275:18       short 44:8       signatures         88:23 91:10       284:5       126:23 181:4       265:17,23         92:5,6 94:1,20       serous 99:24       237:14 271:2       266:7         97:21 98:23       266:4       shorthand       signed 292:11         104:7,19 105:3       served 33:17       291:16       292:12         105:9 108:22       serving 114:7       shoulders       significance         110:3 122:22       set 12:22       136:15       161:10 179:24         126:24 130:24       150:12 186:10       show 37:8       180:19 214:9         132:6 140:2       235:4 252:12       139:25 149:1       277:24 279:4		14:21	· ·	
52:14,20 54:7       227:4 275:18       short 44:8       signatures         88:23 91:10       284:5       126:23 181:4       265:17,23         92:5,6 94:1,20       serous 99:24       237:14 271:2       266:7         97:21 98:23       266:4       shorthand       signed 292:11         104:7,19 105:3       served 33:17       291:16       292:12         105:9 108:22       serving 114:7       shoulders       significance         110:3 122:22       set 12:22       136:15       161:10 179:24         126:24 130:24       150:12 186:10       show 37:8       180:19 214:9         132:6 140:2       235:4 252:12       139:25 149:1       277:24 279:4		series 74:24	· ·	
88:23 91:10       284:5       126:23 181:4       265:17,23         92:5,6 94:1,20       serous 99:24       237:14 271:2       266:7         97:21 98:23       266:4       shorthand       signed 292:11         104:7,19 105:3       served 33:17       291:16       292:12         105:9 108:22       serving 114:7       shoulders       significance         110:3 122:22       set 12:22       136:15       161:10 179:24         126:24 130:24       150:12 186:10       show 37:8       180:19 214:9         132:6 140:2       235:4 252:12       139:25 149:1       277:24 279:4			sheets 292:19	292:10
92:5,6 94:1,20       serous       99:24       237:14 271:2       266:7         97:21 98:23       266:4       shorthand       signed       292:11         104:7,19 105:3       served       33:17       291:16       292:12         105:9 108:22       serving       114:7       shoulders       significance         110:3 122:22       set       12:22       136:15       161:10 179:24         126:24 130:24       150:12 186:10       show       37:8       180:19 214:9         132:6 140:2       235:4 252:12       139:25 149:1       277:24 279:4	· ·			
97:21 98:23       266:4       shorthand       signed 292:11         104:7,19 105:3       served 33:17       291:16       292:12         105:9 108:22       serving 114:7       shoulders       significance         110:3 122:22       set 12:22       136:15       161:10 179:24         126:24 130:24       150:12 186:10       show 37:8       180:19 214:9         132:6 140:2       235:4 252:12       139:25 149:1       277:24 279:4				·
104:7,19 105:3       served 33:17       291:16       292:12         105:9 108:22       serving 114:7       shoulders       significance         110:3 122:22       set 12:22       136:15       161:10 179:24         126:24 130:24       150:12 186:10       show 37:8       180:19 214:9         132:6 140:2       235:4 252:12       139:25 149:1       277:24 279:4			237:14 271:2	
105:9 108:22       serving       114:7       shoulders       significance         110:3 122:22       set       12:22       136:15       161:10 179:24         126:24 130:24       150:12 186:10       show       37:8       180:19 214:9         132:6 140:2       235:4 252:12       139:25 149:1       277:24 279:4				
110:3 122:22       set 12:22       136:15       161:10 179:24         126:24 130:24       150:12 186:10       show 37:8       180:19 214:9         132:6 140:2       235:4 252:12       139:25 149:1       277:24 279:4	· ·			
126:24 130:24				
132:6 140:2 235:4 252:12 139:25 149:1 277:24 279:4				
153:14 164:10   258:15 291:7   208:24 212:24   285:17 286:25				
	153:14 164:10	258:15 291:7	208:24 212:24	285:17 286:25

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217413

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

### [significance - sorry]

Page 65

287:2 288:9	<b>singh</b> 1:17 4:3	157:21,23	267:24
significant	4:15,20 5:5,19	174:22 175:19	society 4:21
125:18 126:18	8:1 34:18 42:8	175:24 176:5,9	47:22,25 50:16
141:8,22 163:8	52:17 53:19,21	176:11,12	50:23 51:5
165:3,18 171:7	54:7 55:4 57:9	178:20 185:2,5	<b>solely</b> 122:1
171:12 172:13	59:1 68:16	186:3,5,9,11,17	<b>solid</b> 226:22
177:9,13,24	129:24 142:22	245:11 255:10	<b>soluble</b> 283:23
194:11 198:8	154:7 237:13	sizes 176:16,17	284:3
209:25 210:9	263:17 275:8	skadden 2:19	somatic 99:1
212:1,4,16	275:10,14	skadden.com	somebody
214:5,19 216:9	281:12 285:13	2:24	181:18
216:17 219:2	293:5,23	skimmed 12:1	<b>sonal</b> 1:17 4:3
220:3 223:4	single 75:3,7	208:2	4:15,20 5:5,18
251:24 260:18	77:5 178:24	<b>skip</b> 105:12	8:1 34:17 42:7
262:13 279:25	179:10	161:4	57:8 154:7
288:13	<b>sist</b> 181:5,7	<b>slate</b> 2:19	292:1 293:5,23
significantly	<b>sister</b> 105:5	<b>slight</b> 123:21	<b>sonya</b> 1:24
164:11 172:11	113:2 132:20	282:21,23	291:3,24
185:7 224:17	135:9 144:22	slightly 124:3	<b>sorry</b> 9:11
224:23 225:5	145:6,14 146:1	252:1	11:24 14:5,7
225:14,22	156:16 158:4	slow 93:23	19:19 41:11
237:24	164:2 167:24	<b>slowly</b> 244:25	54:22 58:17
similar 85:3	259:1,1,2	<b>small</b> 89:10,15	62:5 72:4 77:24
106:5 172:18	288:10,13	112:7 124:17	84:23 85:7,17
174:2 183:15	site 98:25	154:16 176:16	86:12 89:1
189:17 190:9	<b>sites</b> 178:14	176:17 224:7	100:6 104:12
211:10 218:21	209:4,8	251:5 255:19	105:17,20,22
219:3 223:8	situation 120:4	smaller 52:23	110:21 119:9
247:14	six 24:10	151:2	123:13 124:19
similarly 39:6	186:15,15,18	smallest 52:15	140:22,23
160:25 279:4	217:1	<b>smith</b> 231:13	142:1 146:24
<b>simple</b> 246:5	sixfold 177:6	231:14 232:25	154:16 155:6
<b>simply</b> 146:4	<b>sixth</b> 186:16	233:23 263:17	166:7,9 168:17
259:24	<b>size</b> 124:17	smoking 39:9	174:10 175:1,5
<b>sing</b> 292:1	140:4 150:13	40:21 41:2	175:8,14 178:1
	151:5 152:7,14	224:25 225:7	178:3,4 180:8
•	•	•	

## [sorry - statistical]

Page 66

[]			8: ::
180:13,16,17	195:1 198:3	<b>stand</b> 12:11	93:1 98:2 99:3
181:9 184:19	213:7 218:16	standard 75:2	99:5 110:9
188:5 189:8	248:1 267:20	114:5,10	161:15 168:12
194:18 196:2	288:18	205:22 206:6,7	171:14 183:2
207:12 209:7	specifically	<b>stands</b> 238:14	185:18 189:21
215:12,23	88:3,23 97:3	<b>start</b> 37:17,17	203:24 204:5,6
219:24 225:15	99:6 126:23	40:23 72:25	204:9 211:4
229:25 255:6	153:22 160:4,7	100:15 116:22	214:6,10,24
259:4 273:4	193:14 208:20	130:1 162:21	226:17,20
278:23 281:19	228:2,4,15,18	164:20 175:20	258:1,3,3,5
286:9	229:2,18 270:1	185:12 187:15	261:21 279:16
<b>sort</b> 18:2 20:21	280:9 290:17	191:3 203:12	282:5,20
24:10,22 43:21	specificity	started 18:19	statements 30:3
54:15,19 82:16	100:3,8,18	19:21 22:19	30:5,9 36:24
121:7 126:4	101:4 128:21	158:3 170:14	291:12
192:18 203:13	134:13,16	starting 20:4	<b>states</b> 1:3 31:14
223:24 253:17	specifics 12:14	69:4 94:1	44:16 166:23
261:5 266:12	16:22 31:15	127:22 132:6	166:24 226:23
277:12	96:22 98:13	171:5 234:10	268:11 275:16
<b>sound</b> 117:1	206:2	260:8	276:18 279:25
<b>sounds</b> 78:15	specified 148:8	<b>starts</b> 88:23	282:6 293:1
source 58:7	<b>speed</b> 269:10	106:1 175:11	statistic 198:19
79:5,8 279:15	269:11	200:10 279:20	198:21
sources 16:25	<b>spell</b> 194:25	<b>stat</b> 197:15	statistical
87:17 233:13	<b>spend</b> 83:6,7	<b>state</b> 81:6 85:24	118:21 125:20
<b>south</b> 2:13	<b>spent</b> 14:24	135:23 141:24	125:22 153:2
<b>spans</b> 175:4	<b>spes</b> 135:10	161:21 203:25	161:10,15
238:9	spoken 30:8	211:7 212:2	164:21 165:1
speaking	square 198:4	267:13,15	177:2 179:23
283:14	<b>squared</b> 198:18	274:21 290:5	180:18 184:16
specific 12:17	220:7,13,15	<b>stated</b> 56:22	189:15 190:7,8
23:16 62:13	223:4	117:6 121:6	191:5 197:8,16
86:8 95:20 97:4	squares 197:9	166:25 211:6	198:2,11,12
99:18 137:15	198:5	279:7	199:14 220:6
152:21,24	<b>stake</b> 116:12	statement	223:6 261:6
157:25 168:5		44:17 90:4 92:1	288:9

### [statistically - study]

Page 67

statistically	strengths 53:14	89:19 90:18,20	208:12,12,16
128:6,9 141:7	73:12 152:1,6,9	90:21,23,24	208:24 211:24
141:22 151:19	152:22	94:17 95:9,22	216:16,20,22
163:8 165:3,18	stress 168:4	96:19,25 98:8,8	216:23 217:1,3
171:11 172:11	282:16 283:18	99:15 111:13	217:13 220:7
174:24 177:13	strike 72:25	115:12 116:2	220:14,15,16
190:23 194:11	126:6 128:13	131:8,9 134:1,8	221:12,23
209:24 220:3	215:6 244:2	134:11 135:16	222:9,9,13
260:18	271:2	138:24 144:18	223:9,11,14,19
<b>status</b> 104:11	stroke 95:25	145:2 148:3	224:1 227:2
111:14	<b>strong</b> 162:4,8	152:11 153:1	228:4,15,18,21
stayed 13:15	162:9,14,17	158:4 159:14	229:6,14
stenographic	163:1,2 197:20	159:20 160:5,7	230:10 231:6
291:13	205:19	160:11,15,17	233:14,19
<b>step</b> 93:15	stronger	164:10,13	234:13,25
204:4 236:1	205:11,16	169:3 173:16	237:2,21
254:16	<b>strongly</b> 213:14	173:23 177:5,9	238:14 239:20
steven 5:22	struggling	179:22 183:12	240:1,7,13,14
179:15	211:14	183:21,22	240:18 244:1
stimulus	<b>stuck</b> 203:13	184:24,24	245:9,21,25
282:12	students 19:25	185:1,2,6,14,20	246:3,14,17,24
<b>stop</b> 39:3 73:4	20:10,10,12,13	186:19,25	247:1,11,12,14
stopped 20:18	20:14	187:2,9,12	247:17,19
<b>strata</b> 186:7	studies 29:14	188:11,24	248:9 252:21
stratified	42:20 43:22	189:4,11,16,18	253:18 255:5
212:14	45:3,11,12,12	189:19 190:1	256:2 257:9,12
<b>stratum</b> 213:7	46:22 47:2,2,3	193:4 194:17	260:16,20,22
213:9 218:16	47:19 50:20	194:18,20,22	262:17 263:7,9
<b>street</b> 1:20 2:5	52:16 53:7,12	195:2,9,17,18	268:3,7 271:7
2:13,21	62:15,15,16,16	195:20 196:5	280:20 281:7,8
strength 55:9	64:25 67:15,16	196:16,19	284:18 288:10
56:23 59:23	68:7,9,21 75:9	197:14,24,25	<b>study</b> 5:22 20:5
62:24 67:19	75:18 76:23	198:19,22,23	20:6,6 28:6,9
115:19 152:21	80:8 81:9,14,15	199:1,6 200:8	28:19 44:4
152:24 162:6	81:19 82:4 85:3	200:11 201:3	52:23 53:4,9
211:4	88:25,25 89:9,9	204:12 206:23	64:24 69:10,15

### [study - suggests]

Page 68

75:10,18 76:12	200:11 208:14	237:11 287:14	276:20
81:13,22 85:22	208:19,19	subjective	substances
87:19 90:17	209:4,8,16	56:12,13,19,25	289:20
91:11,23,24	211:8 217:5	236:24	substantially
105:1,5 113:2	218:8,11	submission	155:19,20
114:21 115:15	219:12 220:9	261:18,20	201:24
117:20 118:1	221:2,6,9	submissions	substantive
126:16 127:17	223:19,22	261:15	37:18
130:25 132:9	226:13 229:2,3	<b>submit</b> 34:10	substantively
132:14,20,23	229:5,20 230:2	submits 24:12	82:11
133:12,21	231:11,12	submitted	<b>subtype</b> 35:17
135:9 136:25	234:18 235:3	14:10,10 21:12	36:9 192:25
140:4,11,13	236:5,6 237:16	21:13,15,17	193:23
143:13,24	241:4 244:21	22:3,9 29:25	subtypes 100:2
144:2,22,24	245:11 247:4	34:5 35:1 278:7	100:12,15,17
145:6,14	247:22 248:10	submitting	193:9 265:22
146:16,18	253:16,19	21:22,24	sufficient 65:7
151:19 152:1,6	254:8 256:7	139:24	65:12 66:8
152:9 154:24	257:13,17,21	subsample	143:7 152:11
154:25 155:13	257:24 258:8	146:15	177:22,25
157:7,21,24	258:17 259:18	subsection	206:18
158:1,10,12	263:11 284:21	278:16	suggest 67:9
159:5 160:16	286:18 287:25	subsequent	89:9 95:23
160:20 164:2,8	288:6,10,14	68:25 69:11,12	107:1,6 192:20
164:17 165:11	<b>stuff</b> 43:11	69:12,14 87:19	217:4 234:13
174:12 178:6	subgroup	141:11 161:20	suggested
178:20,23,24	108:20 110:4	subsequently	76:10 91:12
179:10,15,25	165:5,24	272:4	195:18 205:10
180:1,19,20	166:25 167:13	<b>subset</b> 149:4	241:16
185:10,11	168:8 172:2	163:4 257:12	suggesting
187:1,4,6,17	174:18	257:20,21,23	53:10 67:4,24
191:4,6,21,22	subgroups	258:5,7,17,24	174:18 281:10
195:1,15,15	171:9,18,25	259:17	suggestion
196:20,24	172:17 174:13	subsets 253:6	282:24
197:4,11	<b>subject</b> 118:25	substance 6:23	suggests 76:11
198:15,24	120:18 237:4,7	29:25 276:2,6	107:5 131:19

### [suggests - taher]

Page 69

193:25	<b>sure</b> 9:23 12:19	surface 271:12	<b>table</b> 6:14
suite 2:5	19:18 21:15	<b>surgery</b> 170:15	123:2 137:4
<b>sum</b> 266:12	23:18 26:9	171:4	140:15,19,25
summary	28:13 37:15,25	survive 111:22	144:5,6 147:1,3
86:19 216:19	41:19 44:21	surviving 112:3	149:3 153:23
251:16 276:15	49:12 57:16	112:4	154:16,18
276:16 277:15	58:9 59:8 60:12	survivor	155:14 156:2
supplement	64:1 69:9 70:5	111:24	191:22,24
34:25 52:11	70:16 74:19	survivors	194:4,5,16,18
75:9 138:8	78:12 80:16	111:10,21,25	196:1 197:8
206:3	82:15 84:2,2	susceptibility	198:19 201:3
supplemental	85:1 86:4,6	98:25 286:4	201:19 209:17
4:14 8:9 12:16	88:17 91:25	susceptible	220:10,12,22
34:17,22 77:1	93:13,23 95:12	53:23 54:1	220:25,25
112:22 121:10	100:7,13 104:5	182:25 237:1	221:1,4,13,14
127:10 140:19	107:5 109:19	<b>suspect</b> 129:22	221:17 230:21
178:13 219:21	114:17 117:25	129:23	230:25 236:14
219:24 230:21	119:7,17 124:2	sworn 8:2	236:15,16,18
241:12	130:9 132:25	symptoms	238:9,10,11,14
supplementary	133:23 138:12	95:18	240:15,20
6:14 230:25	140:17 141:18	<b>syncing</b> 287:22	241:8,12
240:25 241:8	145:20 147:5	systematic	243:10,11,12
supplements	154:12,19	46:14 62:14	248:14 253:3
138:11	155:15 158:23	81:15 82:3 84:4	254:20 259:16
<b>supply</b> 292:12	159:5 160:18	84:11,21,22,25	<b>tables</b> 138:8
<b>support</b> 44:10	165:10 172:4	85:1,23 199:23	194:6 240:25
50:13 57:2	178:21 180:24	220:2 231:5	<b>taher</b> 6:5 45:19
118:22 130:17	186:15 189:1,9	281:16	191:10,12,15
264:12,13	191:17 198:20	systematically	191:22 195:16
284:19	210:22 213:16	108:9	200:21 204:20
supported	221:3 233:20	systems 18:19	217:2 221:6
81:20	238:16 248:19	18:25 19:14	222:14 223:15
supporting	253:4 254:17	t	238:4,5 239:1
279:15	256:14 283:1	<b>tab</b> 41:9,9,11	239:11 240:3
supports	285:3	47:21 52:7 57:6	240:16,17
192:15 286:15		41.21 32.1 31.0	262:16 273:2
		ahnalagias	

## [tails - talking]

## Page 70

[tuns tunning]			1 450 70
<b>tails</b> 136:11	73:22 74:25	229:20 230:3	290:9 292:3
take 8:25 9:3,4	76:7,8,18,21,22	248:18 251:15	293:2
9:6 29:4 38:20	76:22,25 81:21	256:18 257:3,7	<b>talk</b> 18:17
41:17,20 43:19	83:25 85:10	257:15 267:20	26:10 36:19
53:24 68:18	86:18 91:12	267:21,23	70:17 88:2
70:3 83:9 98:9	92:7,11 94:9,14	268:12,12,21	94:17 98:8
109:22 115:22	99:10,20 100:1	273:11 275:17	126:23 127:21
153:11 154:1	102:10 103:9	277:9,20,21,22	131:12 136:2
159:15 161:16	106:4,13,14,18	278:2,3,10	147:24 152:17
219:6,7 224:13	108:16,25	279:9 280:18	153:25 154:2
227:7 253:5	109:1,3,11	280:23 281:2	156:18 160:6
261:6 271:16	110:6 111:9	281:11 282:7	160:11,25
taken 21:5	113:1,3,11,21	282:12 283:16	165:21 179:12
41:23 54:10	114:12 117:13	283:23 284:2,8	179:13 180:3
58:3 83:11	118:2 119:6,13	284:19 285:6	180:22 182:23
88:24 89:6,8	119:19 120:8	285:23,24	184:6 187:1
98:19 115:24	123:16,21	286:2,12,16	191:14,15,20
117:10 138:1	124:4,11 129:3	287:6 288:5,18	197:12 203:19
154:3 157:18	132:8 133:11	289:13,14,16	207:6,13 269:6
169:23 184:10	134:9 141:13	289:19,19,21	talked 15:16
219:9 248:6	146:21 152:12	289:25 290:4,5	57:11 64:3
262:9 275:6	168:3,13 178:8	290:6,16,17,18	77:15,17,22
283:16 287:17	185:15 189:14	<b>talcum</b> 1:6 8:10	99:9 100:10
291:6,16	193:14 196:17	12:23 24:2 35:2	118:16 126:14
takes 73:22	196:18,18	38:5,5,9 39:3	138:17,21
95:14 271:10	202:5 203:1,17	39:24 40:5 68:2	150:20 156:21
talc 15:13,18	203:21,23	94:19 96:9 97:9	158:19 163:18
22:4,11,24	204:1,10	170:21 171:1	190:18 193:20
23:15 25:1 29:8	205:12 208:20	173:24 190:6	195:7 233:3
30:5,18,22	220:2,12,16	192:16 208:24	238:5 252:24
35:24 36:3,7,15	221:2,6,9,12	209:13 231:8	259:10 270:7
39:11 41:1	224:5,7 225:1,7	234:8,20 245:5	270:11
43:24 44:6,11	226:16,25	260:19 270:6	talking 40:20
45:3 46:9 50:14	227:1 228:5,19	270:21 273:19	41:25 42:12
55:21 68:5,7	228:22,25,25	273:21 280:17	95:13,14 99:7,8
69:1,7 72:2	229:3,6,7,8,14	281:1 285:19	101:21 104:22

## [talking - thing]

Page	71	
- 45	, -	

[taiking - tiling]			rage /r
110:8 111:20	tell 33:3,16	terry 193:15,16	78:24 165:9,10
116:11 124:18	73:8 115:14	193:18,21	280:22
130:1,5 147:23	120:23 123:4	terry's 193:5	tests 125:14,17
158:16 159:19	143:22 144:11	test 78:25 92:10	164:21 165:1
160:15,16	173:13 246:22	172:9 173:12	168:20,21
161:9 170:4	256:11 277:13	211:25 212:3,6	171:17 173:4
181:12 183:19	telling 77:11	212:19,21	210:8 213:23
186:6 204:22	tem 73:9,16,17	213:6,7,10	text 37:18
204:24,24	73:18	219:1	78:25 164:19
210:18 215:21	temporality	<b>tested</b> 70:22	164:20 166:5,6
227:19 229:3	59:22 67:20	71:12,15,20	166:8
248:8,8 255:17	ten 180:2,21	testified 8:3	<b>thank</b> 10:3 18:7
259:14 280:14	181:7,8,8,10,23	33:18 37:6 54:7	24:23 33:4
287:6	182:15 183:18	<b>testify</b> 51:13	35:15 38:2,4,23
talks 27:10 55:2	249:25 257:9	testifying 72:1	43:12 61:15
93:7 100:8	term 75:23	testimony 4:13	68:1 81:3 83:24
132:24 140:7	93:14,16 95:20	28:11 31:1,3,7	93:25 103:25
215:1 258:4	97:2 128:4	31:24 33:10	109:20 127:1,7
277:16 287:4	144:10,14,17	36:2,7,8 39:25	138:16 146:8
tanha 6:9	144:19,20,22	44:15 49:19	152:3 161:8
219:15,18	144:24 145:1	50:7 60:4 61:18	175:15 176:22
220:1,9,17	145:24,24	66:1 75:15	176:25 184:22
223:18 224:4	146:1 147:6,16	92:21 93:20	215:18 217:24
281:13,21,24	147:21,21	115:2 118:22	241:11 257:6
283:14,15	148:14 150:17	118:24 119:1	272:25 275:9
tasigna 32:6,14	183:17 209:10	119:17 120:17	290:21
32:17 57:10	209:15 233:20	141:10 150:7	thanks 41:12
58:9	terms 12:14	151:15 167:16	<b>theory</b> 210:2
taught 20:24	27:9 65:1 79:12	191:5 205:14	therapies
<b>teach</b> 19:21	79:17 81:2 82:2	216:22 227:23	224:21
teaching 19:20	84:14 87:13	252:7 282:22	therapy 48:24
20:5,19,22	140:4 150:10	291:10 293:6	91:15,20 257:3
<b>team</b> 30:13	157:24 162:8	testing 68:22,22	<b>thereof</b> 137:21
telephonic	162:15 186:19	68:23,24 72:1,7	<b>thing</b> 64:19
78:15	205:1 213:23	72:13,14,17,22	78:7 114:11
		73:13,20 78:23	129:25 133:20

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217420

## [thing - tissues]

Page 72

[. 9]			$\mathcal{L}$
169:14 181:22	146:19 147:23	202:18 203:3	182:25 183:18
183:15 199:19	148:21 149:25	215:12 288:11	188:11 197:13
201:16 206:20	152:10 153:22	thousand 16:9	198:16 201:10
224:15 230:9	159:23 161:2	33:4	204:12 210:5
things 21:23,25	162:6,25	three 11:1 22:9	222:21 230:7
25:3 39:9,11	168:10 173:24	22:18,19,20	233:22 237:14
40:24 58:19	177:25 189:23	33:19 143:10	244:1 245:1
64:10 87:2,4	191:3 193:13	144:18,25	246:19 247:24
106:22 133:19	194:13 199:10	145:2 154:15	256:12 258:15
149:11 187:8	202:2,2 210:6	158:4 167:22	270:5 271:3
187:10 235:11	210:10 218:24	195:25 272:11	291:7,8,12
266:12 267:13	222:7 223:5	283:9	<b>times</b> 15:6
272:4 287:16	226:21 227:10	threshold 268:4	34:21 48:8
think 11:21	234:14,15	thursday 1:18	50:25 139:8
12:13 18:5	235:21 239:23	time 9:4 11:21	145:13,14
22:19 26:4 31:6	246:5 247:24	13:16 14:10	186:15,16,18
31:21 39:12,19	254:9 259:13	18:16 19:12	208:3 216:10
40:6 46:18 48:2	259:14,15,17	29:2 43:18	231:9 233:12
49:2 51:18	261:4,5 263:2,9	48:11 53:6 57:1	234:20 244:20
54:23 61:16,17	267:19,22	62:17 65:13,20	245:3,4 248:22
64:3 66:2 67:17	269:1 272:14	66:7 68:18 83:6	249:2,12,17,20
68:19,23 73:4	273:9 274:4,11	83:7 93:23	250:5 258:9
73:21 78:11	274:12,22	109:22 119:10	tisi 2:12 14:5
80:20 86:24	280:8 283:8	131:8 136:25	21:2 57:14,21
87:4 91:6 92:24	288:16 289:6,7	140:10 142:15	58:12,16,20,23
96:3,8 97:2	289:12	142:25 152:8	59:2 61:22
99:7 100:19,23	thinking 40:23	153:5,17,20	69:25 70:5,11
101:3,19	93:15 95:24	156:19 158:22	70:15 102:25
102:25 103:3	96:2	158:23 159:14	103:3,5,17,22
109:8 110:8,10	<b>third</b> 92:5	159:24 160:1	103:25 127:4,7
112:21 113:14	238:17 273:9	160:11 177:17	154:13,17
116:9,18	284:2,2	177:19,20	174:10 178:1
119:21 121:6	<b>thirds</b> 168:19	179:5,8,11,12	217:25
121:12 125:20	228:14 260:9	180:4,22,22,25	tissues 229:7
126:3 131:7,12	thought 105:7	181:4,5,6,13	265:19
131:17 143:12	159:7 163:15	182:12,14,17	

## [title - tsca]

Page 73

<b>title</b> 103:8	totality 202:7	trajectories	triangular
289:1	203:3	265:18	135:25 136:1,2
tobacco 264:2	totally 87:24	transcribed	136:9,10
264:23	<b>touch</b> 59:7	291:14	<b>tried</b> 31:9 244:6
today 10:11,21	towards 34:19	transcript 4:19	trigger 227:3
12:5 26:11	73:18 123:3	5:4 42:7 57:8	282:13
51:17 86:10,13	184:17 200:13	291:16 292:15	<b>triple</b> 157:4
95:15 112:12	257:1	292:18,23	<b>trouble</b> 199:11
182:2 230:16	town 13:19	transformation	<b>true</b> 99:5
280:16	toxic 6:23 276:2	270:22 271:4	155:24 160:22
together 61:25	276:6,20	271:17	201:23 235:10
88:24 89:6,8	289:20	transformatio	259:4,5 261:23
183:16 185:1	<b>track</b> 17:2 24:7	271:10	291:15,21
252:22 253:11	43:3 269:10,10	translates	293:6
253:22	<b>tract</b> 85:11 94:7	97:22	<b>truly</b> 128:19,24
<b>told</b> 38:12	170:9,10	translocation	130:11
50:22 51:4	182:22 218:9	228:12	try 9:4 25:18,22
210:19	265:20 286:2	transparent	45:21 61:20
tomorrow	tracts 89:13,23	63:22 66:10	97:6 109:16
95:16	90:6,15,22	67:8 81:1	133:24 253:16
tons 138:24	125:3 137:8	<b>travel</b> 13:14	273:9
took 254:7	147:4 148:16	286:1	<b>trying</b> 17:17
top 21:12,17	163:7 168:23	traveled 13:12	31:12 58:25
59:16,19 70:23	170:25 174:19	13:20	60:17 67:7 83:2
144:6 149:8	175:22,23	treatment	84:15,18 142:2
177:12 209:18	218:5,7,18,21	230:5 270:18	150:11 158:9
210:18 276:12	218:22 246:16	tremolite 75:1	165:12 188:17
285:22	247:9	<b>trend</b> 106:11	190:7,8,24
<b>topic</b> 122:19	tracy 3:5	108:22 195:17	202:13 203:10
topical 23:22	trading 17:1	<b>trends</b> 216:2,6	204:15 206:3
23:25	traditional	216:10	210:21 211:1
tort 206:8	25:16 27:2	<b>trial</b> 274:18	236:3 239:18
<b>total</b> 15:9 148:1	42:10	trials 20:7	244:5 254:9
148:4 185:25	traditionally	201:17 202:24	267:14 269:13
189:5,12 190:2	141:7,21	203:15,15	tsca 6:24 276:2
195:3 220:16			276:7
	Collrow To		

## [tubal - understand]

Page 74

_			
<b>tubal</b> 90:25	230:18 253:3	225:19 228:14	57:18 80:10,11
123:4,14 124:8	256:13,18	231:9 234:20	80:12,18
124:15 125:2,8	260:6 262:2	242:23 243:6	105:20 113:12
125:12 126:2	265:8 266:9	245:4 251:20	118:7 151:19
137:12,19	276:14 278:11	253:5,10,22	160:17 205:23
157:10,13,14	279:13	257:4 258:9,22	214:8 276:2,6
158:2,11	turning 25:13	259:1,3 260:9	276:20 278:24
163:19 164:5	220:22 221:11	261:9 262:13	289:19 291:9
164:12,13	244:7	type 27:7 28:16	291:20 292:21
170:12,20	twice 243:22	165:4 190:15	293:6
245:14 246:7	245:23 249:3	types 35:6,22	<b>undergo</b> 170:12
<b>tube</b> 265:21	250:21,21	101:22 198:23	170:20
<b>tubes</b> 126:9	257:15 258:12	265:16 266:1	undergoing
141:15 163:5	258:20	<b>typical</b> 247:16	115:6
166:21 167:3	two 11:1,3,12	263:22	undergone
167:13,24	12:20 14:7 23:5	<b>typo</b> 163:12	123:14
169:6,7 241:9	23:25 24:4,22	189:7	underlying
241:17 242:6	45:2 48:14	u	82:20 97:18
242:11,15	54:23 74:25	ucsf 264:4	153:20 169:3
243:16 244:10	79:7,20 80:11	ucsi 204.4 uh 104:24	253:25 254:4
259:11 282:13	83:21 92:13	118:20 123:9	254:12 255:12
286:19 287:11	94:20 105:6,10	ultimately	underpowered
<b>tumor</b> 192:1	133:1 134:2,6	260:2	213:24,25
265:15	145:2 156:14	umbrella 81:15	underreported
<b>tumors</b> 265:18	163:24 165:1	220:1 223:22	107:2
turn 23:3 25:7	168:19 169:12	225:17 281:15	understand 8:8
37:13,16,22	169:14,15	281:22	24:11 28:12
43:5 48:11 49:9	171:24 189:24	unable 151:8	41:6 51:3 57:19
52:11 59:9 62:6	195:18,25	unadjusted	57:25 59:2
74:18 80:1	210:7 211:5,11	135:15,18,23	60:17 72:11
98:21 105:13	211:24,24	158:16	73:23 77:13
121:21 133:24	212:2 213:8,21	unclear 47:18	88:14 119:17
140:15 144:5	214:8 217:4	226:16 282:7	119:22,25
164:16 165:25	220:1,14,15	under 6:22	129:8 140:8,9
209:3,17	221:17,18	32:10 48:15	142:2,3 198:21
214:15 227:20	222:17 223:3	J2.10 70.1J	204:15 206:6
-	Collroy To	1 1 .	

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217423

## [understand - uses]

Page 75

208:18 210:21	united 1:3	41:1 48:23	221:2,6,9
220:18 233:19	31:14 268:11	54:20 62:7 63:4	224:25 226:16
244:3,6 252:9	275:16 279:25	63:6,13,15	234:14,21
255:3 256:1	293:1	85:10 89:11,15	235:25 248:18
257:19 266:7	university 17:4	89:22 91:12	260:19 268:12
269:4	17:14 19:5	94:19,25 95:15	268:21 273:11
understanding	unmarked	96:9 97:9,24	274:1 276:1,6
58:6 109:5	272:19	102:10,11	276:24 277:22
128:4 173:15	<b>unpack</b> 106:15	103:10,10	278:25 279:24
201:25 250:23	190:8 204:18	104:8 105:6	282:7 285:6,23
252:10	252:2	106:5,6,13,14	286:17 288:5
understood	unreasonable	106:18,23	289:3 292:19
13:6,6 30:16	129:10 276:21	109:1,4 110:7	<b>used</b> 63:16,16
38:17 44:19	278:17,24	110:16,16	63:18,23 64:14
45:14,21 51:20	279:1	111:9 117:13	64:16 66:25
51:22 55:11	unsophisticated	129:19 132:3,8	67:25 79:13
59:6 61:21 62:6	174:24	135:25 136:8	91:3,15 92:10
63:10 64:6,9,9	unsurprisingly	138:11 144:7,9	94:14 123:15
71:1,8 72:3,6	256:17	144:10,14,17	131:19 132:13
72:12 75:22	update 61:18	144:19,20,22	162:8 170:21
78:18 80:1 86:9	65:14 203:6	144:23,24	174:19 209:13
120:1 122:22	268:19 288:13	145:1,17,18,24	241:23 242:5
140:1 141:7,21	updated 37:3	145:25 146:1,1	242:15 257:15
183:13 213:11	37:20 42:17,19	146:21 147:6	257:21 258:24
213:11 234:9	42:23 43:15,19	148:6,14 149:8	user 241:24
267:14	45:6 46:6 66:6	164:4 167:5	<b>users</b> 110:4
underwear	77:16 160:23	170:13 171:12	146:10 147:14
245:7 285:25	updating	183:17 194:1	147:16,21,22
<b>undue</b> 52:22	223:20	199:21 201:8	163:9,9,11,12
unexposed	<b>upward</b> 178:18	203:5 205:1	163:16 210:3
128:21 189:14	upwards 180:1	208:20,24	241:20,20
190:3,3	180:21	209:9,10,10,14	242:8,10
unfortunately	usc 122:1	211:8 212:9,12	243:14,17,20
43:13 88:11	use 6:22 35:2	214:18 215:3	243:21
132:7,7	36:15 38:5,5,9	216:8,11,13,18	uses 205:23
	39:24 40:5,19	217:17 220:3	289:15
•			

Document 33013-12 PageID: 217424

[using - we've] Page 76

• 20.2:	0.61 47 00 01		100 24 424 2
using 38:24	261:15,20,21	viewpoint	120:24 121:2
39:3 41:4 56:10	variable 132:1	100:18	126:16,23
58:7 64:5 95:21	variants 157:12	viewpoints	133:3 148:12
97:2 105:5	various 99:16	54:17,20,25	149:10 165:14
124:11 131:17	100:2 163:10	55:3,12,18	181:17,19
134:12,17,20	183:6 250:13	56:21 62:22	182:19 190:11
136:13 167:12	277:17	63:24 67:22	199:24 203:17
168:7,11,11	vegetables	100:7	205:21 206:17
170:14 199:25	149:20 162:14	vimercati 74:22	215:15 225:15
199:25 210:4	verbal 8:19	74:24	225:16 227:20
223:11 236:21	verbatim 14:4	vioxx 264:23	228:1 230:9,18
238:14 240:8	23:4 25:18 39:8	visit 40:12,16	237:10 254:8
242:13,14	61:10 105:8	<b>vitae</b> 4:12 18:9	268:25 271:18
273:19	134:12 136:10	<b>vitonis</b> 118:21	276:14 287:21
<b>usually</b> 20:5,16	172:15 179:3	vitonis's 121:4	wanted 86:3
27:10,14,15,25	179:25 189:13	<b>vitro</b> 62:15	154:18 230:15
54:11 198:6	212:18 265:16	<b>voice</b> 21:3	230:17 252:16
199:22 200:6	version 88:10	volume 1:1	288:15
uterine 35:10	160:23 227:11	292:1	wants 8:25
<b>uterine</b> 35:10 282:13 288:17	160:23 227:11 227:16 282:22		wants 8:25 washington 2:6
		W	
282:13 288:17 uterus 229:4	227:16 282:22	<b>w w</b> 2:20 5:12	washington 2:6
282:13 288:17 uterus 229:4 v	227:16 282:22 versus 28:14	<b>w w</b> 2:20 5:12 28:3 127:2	washington 2:6 way 19:11
282:13 288:17 uterus 229:4 v v 2:12	227:16 282:22 versus 28:14 31:14 33:7	w 2:20 5:12 28:3 127:2 292:11 293:25	washington 2:6 way 19:11 56:21,22 62:24
282:13 288:17 uterus 229:4  v v 2:12 vagina 229:4	227:16 282:22 versus 28:14 31:14 33:7 111:14 124:18	w 2:20 5:12 28:3 127:2 292:11 293:25 wait 228:11	washington 2:6 way 19:11 56:21,22 62:24 87:11 101:3
282:13 288:17 uterus 229:4  v v 2:12 vagina 229:4 282:12 286:1	227:16 282:22 versus 28:14 31:14 33:7 111:14 124:18 124:19,23	w 2:20 5:12 28:3 127:2 292:11 293:25 wait 228:11 262:20,20	washington 2:6 way 19:11 56:21,22 62:24 87:11 101:3 113:5 115:3,10
282:13 288:17 uterus 229:4 v v 2:12 vagina 229:4 282:12 286:1 vague 149:1	227:16 282:22 versus 28:14 31:14 33:7 111:14 124:18 124:19,23 136:9 158:17	w 2:20 5:12 28:3 127:2 292:11 293:25 wait 228:11 262:20,20 want 8:24 9:3	washington 2:6 way 19:11 56:21,22 62:24 87:11 101:3 113:5 115:3,10 115:14 126:18
282:13 288:17 uterus 229:4  v v 2:12 vagina 229:4 282:12 286:1 vague 149:1 validate 132:8	227:16 282:22 versus 28:14 31:14 33:7 111:14 124:18 124:19,23 136:9 158:17 163:14,16	w 2:20 5:12 28:3 127:2 292:11 293:25 wait 228:11 262:20,20 want 8:24 9:3 18:16 25:2 27:6	washington 2:6 way 19:11 56:21,22 62:24 87:11 101:3 113:5 115:3,10 115:14 126:18 130:21 145:24
282:13 288:17 uterus 229:4  v v 2:12 vagina 229:4 282:12 286:1 vague 149:1 validate 132:8 value 115:20	227:16 282:22 versus 28:14 31:14 33:7 111:14 124:18 124:19,23 136:9 158:17 163:14,16 183:17 258:12	w 2:20 5:12 28:3 127:2 292:11 293:25 wait 228:11 262:20,20 want 8:24 9:3 18:16 25:2 27:6 28:12 31:22	washington 2:6 way 19:11 56:21,22 62:24 87:11 101:3 113:5 115:3,10 115:14 126:18 130:21 145:24 151:9 167:14
282:13 288:17 uterus 229:4  v v 2:12 vagina 229:4 282:12 286:1 vague 149:1 validate 132:8 value 115:20 141:21 165:2	227:16 282:22 versus 28:14 31:14 33:7 111:14 124:18 124:19,23 136:9 158:17 163:14,16 183:17 258:12 vi 143:22	w 2:20 5:12 28:3 127:2 292:11 293:25 wait 228:11 262:20,20 want 8:24 9:3 18:16 25:2 27:6 28:12 31:22 55:12 59:2	washington 2:6 way 19:11 56:21,22 62:24 87:11 101:3 113:5 115:3,10 115:14 126:18 130:21 145:24 151:9 167:14 168:9,19 196:2
282:13 288:17 uterus 229:4  v v 2:12 vagina 229:4 282:12 286:1 vague 149:1 validate 132:8 value 115:20 141:21 165:2 213:6,7,9,20	227:16 282:22 versus 28:14 31:14 33:7 111:14 124:18 124:19,23 136:9 158:17 163:14,16 183:17 258:12 vi 143:22 144:19	w 2:20 5:12 28:3 127:2 292:11 293:25 wait 228:11 262:20,20 want 8:24 9:3 18:16 25:2 27:6 28:12 31:22 55:12 59:2 64:10 68:20	washington 2:6 way 19:11 56:21,22 62:24 87:11 101:3 113:5 115:3,10 115:14 126:18 130:21 145:24 151:9 167:14 168:9,19 196:2 199:20 208:4
282:13 288:17 uterus 229:4  v v 2:12 vagina 229:4 282:12 286:1 vague 149:1 validate 132:8 value 115:20 141:21 165:2 213:6,7,9,20 214:2,5 245:10	227:16 282:22 versus 28:14 31:14 33:7 111:14 124:18 124:19,23 136:9 158:17 163:14,16 183:17 258:12 vi 143:22 144:19 viagra 4:23	w 2:20 5:12 28:3 127:2 292:11 293:25 wait 228:11 262:20,20 want 8:24 9:3 18:16 25:2 27:6 28:12 31:22 55:12 59:2 64:10 68:20 69:25 71:6	washington 2:6 way 19:11 56:21,22 62:24 87:11 101:3 113:5 115:3,10 115:14 126:18 130:21 145:24 151:9 167:14 168:9,19 196:2 199:20 208:4 217:11 228:14
v 2:12 vagina 229:4 vagina 229:4 282:12 286:1 vague 149:1 validate 132:8 value 115:20 141:21 165:2 213:6,7,9,20 214:2,5 245:10 245:16 246:10	227:16 282:22 versus 28:14 31:14 33:7 111:14 124:18 124:19,23 136:9 158:17 163:14,16 183:17 258:12 vi 143:22 144:19 viagra 4:23 51:11 52:9	w 2:20 5:12 28:3 127:2 292:11 293:25 wait 228:11 262:20,20 want 8:24 9:3 18:16 25:2 27:6 28:12 31:22 55:12 59:2 64:10 68:20 69:25 71:6 73:19 82:16	washington 2:6 way 19:11 56:21,22 62:24 87:11 101:3 113:5 115:3,10 115:14 126:18 130:21 145:24 151:9 167:14 168:9,19 196:2 199:20 208:4 217:11 228:14 238:18 260:9
v 2:12 vagina 229:4 vagina 229:4 282:12 286:1 vague 149:1 validate 132:8 value 115:20 141:21 165:2 213:6,7,9,20 214:2,5 245:10 245:16 246:10 247:18 261:20	227:16 282:22 versus 28:14 31:14 33:7 111:14 124:18 124:19,23 136:9 158:17 163:14,16 183:17 258:12 vi 143:22 144:19 viagra 4:23 51:11 52:9 videoconfere	w 2:20 5:12 28:3 127:2 292:11 293:25 wait 228:11 262:20,20 want 8:24 9:3 18:16 25:2 27:6 28:12 31:22 55:12 59:2 64:10 68:20 69:25 71:6 73:19 82:16 83:6,7 86:6	washington 2:6 way 19:11 56:21,22 62:24 87:11 101:3 113:5 115:3,10 115:14 126:18 130:21 145:24 151:9 167:14 168:9,19 196:2 199:20 208:4 217:11 228:14 238:18 260:9 ways 136:13
v 2:12 vagina 229:4 vagina 229:4 282:12 286:1 vague 149:1 validate 132:8 value 115:20 141:21 165:2 213:6,7,9,20 214:2,5 245:10 245:16 246:10 247:18 261:20 values 136:4	227:16 282:22 versus 28:14 31:14 33:7 111:14 124:18 124:19,23 136:9 158:17 163:14,16 183:17 258:12 vi 143:22 144:19 viagra 4:23 51:11 52:9 videoconfere 1:16	w 2:20 5:12 28:3 127:2 292:11 293:25 wait 228:11 262:20,20 want 8:24 9:3 18:16 25:2 27:6 28:12 31:22 55:12 59:2 64:10 68:20 69:25 71:6 73:19 82:16 83:6,7 86:6 91:25 103:17	washington 2:6 way 19:11 56:21,22 62:24 87:11 101:3 113:5 115:3,10 115:14 126:18 130:21 145:24 151:9 167:14 168:9,19 196:2 199:20 208:4 217:11 228:14 238:18 260:9 ways 136:13 172:1 230:10
v 2:12 vagina 229:4 vagina 229:4 282:12 286:1 vague 149:1 validate 132:8 value 115:20 141:21 165:2 213:6,7,9,20 214:2,5 245:10 245:16 246:10 247:18 261:20	227:16 282:22 versus 28:14 31:14 33:7 111:14 124:18 124:19,23 136:9 158:17 163:14,16 183:17 258:12 vi 143:22 144:19 viagra 4:23 51:11 52:9 videoconfere 1:16 view 233:6	w 2:20 5:12 28:3 127:2 292:11 293:25 wait 228:11 262:20,20 want 8:24 9:3 18:16 25:2 27:6 28:12 31:22 55:12 59:2 64:10 68:20 69:25 71:6 73:19 82:16 83:6,7 86:6	washington 2:6 way 19:11 56:21,22 62:24 87:11 101:3 113:5 115:3,10 115:14 126:18 130:21 145:24 151:9 167:14 168:9,19 196:2 199:20 208:4 217:11 228:14 238:18 260:9 ways 136:13 172:1 230:10 we've 53:5

# Document 33013-12 PageID: 217426

[we've - women] Page 77

181:19 194:13	143:18 251:24	whitmore	<b>women</b> 89:12
208:3 252:24	255:12,25	194:24	89:22 90:5,15
268:7 270:7	256:1,8 262:23	whittemore	90:25 91:14,14
283:10 286:20	weighting	238:13,17,22	91:20,20 94:23
weaknesses	67:13 255:23	239:21	95:17 96:8,10
73:13	weights 136:11	<b>wide</b> 143:17	96:16 97:9
weather 13:15	256:4,5,6	192:9 193:3	107:1,9,12,16
<b>web</b> 43:13	weinberg 285:8	255:17	107:22 111:21
website 39:19	went 10:24	<b>wider</b> 136:12	112:8,17 123:8
website's 37:4	25:6 51:17 90:8	146:15 255:24	123:13,14
<b>wedded</b> 176:16	120:3 150:24	widespread	124:7,14 125:1
week 139:10	219:11 221:15	97:24	125:2,12,13
145:15 146:22	236:1 240:2	<b>wilks</b> 33:7	126:1,9,9
231:9 234:20	246:17 251:12	withdraw 83:4	137:11,13
243:22 245:3,4	251:25	83:7	140:5,25
245:23 249:3	wentzensen 5:7	witness 4:3	144:11 147:4
250:22 257:16	86:16,18 87:8	41:17,21 57:17	147:21 148:15
258:10,12,20	88:9 89:14	57:23 58:11	156:4 163:4,7
<b>weekly</b> 144:21	91:18 98:21	59:4,8 68:13,17	163:19 164:3
258:11,12	101:23 102:9	69:19,23 70:6	166:20 167:3
259:22	138:18	83:19 88:12	168:22 169:5
weeks 69:20	wera 5:24	103:2,7,15,20	169:11 170:12
<b>weigh</b> 56:21	187:22	105:24 106:3	170:20,24
72:25 73:12	wernicke 28:2	137:2 159:22	174:19 175:21
101:3 115:11	westlaw 52:12	166:13,16	175:22 178:19
weighed 67:12	whatever's	175:8,12,14	178:22 180:1,4
67:17	76:20,23	188:5 192:6	180:21 182:19
weighing	<b>whi</b> 143:21	196:8,11,14	182:20 183:4
266:25	144:19,24	219:5,13	184:14 208:25
weight 26:6	156:1 158:4	232:24 269:13	208:25 209:13
39:5 40:23	159:3 167:23	275:9 291:8,10	209:19,23
50:12,19 53:12	177:20	292:1,15	210:18 211:9
62:8,10,11	white 208:25	293:19	212:15,17
63:17,18 65:3	209:11,23	witnesses 115:1	216:8,9 218:4,5
66:21 67:4	211:9,14	<b>wolf</b> 263:18	218:6,20,21
135:17 136:15	212:15 216:8		219:2 234:7

#### [women - yeah] Page 78

241:8,16,17,24	work 14:2,13	251:13,14,24	77:20,20 78:1
242:6,10,13,14	14:17,20 15:7	252:18 253:3,3	79:19 80:20
243:15,20	15:10,13,17,24	253:24 254:3	82:8,12,20 83:2
244:9 246:16	16:17 17:1 19:4	254:17 255:16	83:19 84:7 86:2
247:9 252:12	19:7 35:20 63:3	X	90:3 92:14
257:15 259:6	63:4,5,11 87:15	<b>x</b> 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1	93:21 94:14
259:10,11	205:5 206:5	55:22 73:1	95:8 97:20,21
273:19	233:6 264:12	80:18 99:21	99:11 100:9
women's	265:5	251:20	101:13 102:1
154:23 155:13	<b>worked</b> 138:21	xs 80:10	102:17,17
155:23 169:2	working 21:21		103:7 104:21
woolen 6:13	29:21 284:10	y	106:3,23,25
44:25 45:23	works 87:14	<b>y</b> 55:22 99:21	107:17 110:1
230:18,19,20	203:10	251:5	110:10 111:5,7
230:23 231:2,4	<b>world</b> 25:18	<b>yeah</b> 11:23,24	112:5,15,23
231:12 235:6	27:6 197:15	14:9,19 16:2	113:9,16,19
238:21 239:1,7	worried 226:2	18:21 19:6 20:8	114:3,3 116:8
240:17 241:23	<b>worse</b> 93:11	20:20 22:1 24:3	117:6 118:3
242:15,18	284:8	24:3 25:17 27:4	119:10 122:4
244:8 248:8,10	<b>worth</b> 15:6	27:15 28:3,18	124:16 127:14
248:24 249:5	<b>write</b> 202:7	29:2 30:13 32:8	127:20 129:2
250:15,19	285:22	32:11,14 34:13	129:13 130:22
251:4 254:25	<b>writing</b> 70:16	37:8 41:21	132:19 134:23
257:17 258:24	writings 12:21	42:14,18 43:3	135:4 136:20
259:16 260:2	<b>written</b> 199:17	43:21 44:1,24	138:22 139:10
260:11	<b>wrong</b> 58:10	45:16 46:12,25	140:10 142:1
woolen's 45:15	103:5 152:3	49:7 50:2 51:2	144:8,13 145:4
45:16	166:7,7 196:10	52:4 53:14,20	146:14 147:2
worcester 1:21	196:11	54:21 55:17	147:14,18
word 77:4,5	wrongly 88:10	56:16,18 59:24	149:1 150:2,21
129:8 168:4	<b>wrote</b> 77:4	60:5,19 63:14	152:17 153:15
286:7,9	91:22	64:10 68:21	153:24 154:22
wording 66:24	<b>wu</b> 6:16 98:12	70:6 71:4,6,10	155:11 156:10
words 101:5	194:24 239:6	71:10 72:10,15	157:1,6 160:4
130:2 164:21	247:19 248:10	73:20,25 74:13	162:6 163:16
187:4 269:15	248:12 251:13	74:17,23 76:14	163:16,24
	1		

Page 79

## [yeah - zoom]

166:2,16,16,22	255:11 259:12	249:2,12,16,19
168:18 169:11	260:13,15	249:25 250:5
177:14 180:7	263:19 265:11	253:6
181:2,12 182:7	266:21 268:19	yesterday
182:7,16	271:6,15,21,23	10:25 13:14
183:24 185:22	272:8,14 274:9	younger 164:3
188:1,22	277:11 279:7	164:3
191:19 193:4	280:19 281:4	Z
193:17 194:12	282:21 283:13	790horry 2:20
196:23 199:3	286:11 287:2	<b>zachary</b> 2:20 8:6 292:11
199:17 200:20	288:6 289:4	293:25
201:21 202:25	<b>year</b> 14:21	
204:7,11,17	16:17,19,20	zachary.martin 2:24
205:9 206:2	17:23 20:9,10	zack 9:21 43:7
207:21,23	20:11 24:9 48:7	64:1 139:22
208:2,22 212:8	48:19 102:21	166:9 180:8
213:4 214:13	110:15 111:18	184:19
214:22 216:1	143:24 156:14	
217:8 218:15	158:23 183:18	<b>zoom</b> 3:3,4,5
219:22,23	years 20:4 31:4	
220:25 221:7	33:18 48:20	
221:10,14	51:16 95:14	
222:6,6 224:6	140:8,9,12	
225:12,12,16	142:15,17	
227:18 228:17	143:10 144:21	
228:17 229:16	144:25 145:3	
235:17,24	146:2 153:19	
236:15,20	155:5,16	
238:10,12,24	158:24 159:1,2	
239:10 240:22	160:12,13,19	
242:4,19	177:23 180:2	
243:13,18	180:21 181:7,8	
244:18 245:15	181:8,11,23	
247:11 250:17	182:15 194:9	
252:16 253:7	216:12,12	
254:2,13	248:21,22	
-		

#### Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1, 2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the

foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete

transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers

as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal

Solutions further represents that the attached

exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete

documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or

attorneys in relation to this deposition and that

the documents were processed in accordance with

our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted

fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions'
confidentiality and security policies and practices
should be directed to Veritext's Client Services
Associates indicated on the cover of this document or
at www.veritext.com.